On eventually injective endomorphisms

Daniel T. Wise

Abstract. We describe a property of linear groups that strengthens the Hopf property of finitely generated residually finite groups.

This is an updated version of a note written in 1999 – just around the time I wrote a couple of papers with Mark Sapir on related topics. A few years before that I was curious about the question of whether ascending HNN extensions of free groups were residually finite – a problem Mark brilliantly solved later with Borisov in [1, 2]. We wrote a paper giving two examples of an ascending HNN extension of a residually finite group that is not residually finite [13]. We also proved that ascending HNN extensions of f.g. free groups are Hopfian [5]. That latter paper had an elementary proof that was superseded by Borisov–Sapir. Surely emerging from his ascending HNN extension inquiry, Drutu–Sapir provided the one-relator group

$$\langle a, t \mid t^{-2}at^2 = a^2 \rangle,$$

which is a strikingly simple example of a group that is residually finite but not linear [4]. Both examples in [13] are ascending HNN extensions of residually finite groups that are not linear. One is Grigorchuk's group, which is not linear since it is an infinite torsion group. Schur proved this over \mathbb{R} and Kaplansky proved this over arbitrary fields [9], but we know this from Tits' alternative [17], as a virtually solvable torsion group is obviously finite. The base group $F *_H F$ of the other ascending HNN extension is also non-linear by Theorem 6. The non-linearity in these examples suggests Problem 11 below.

Mark had a great attitude about mathematics and a very "can-do attitude" about life. Working and interacting with him was always fun. He shared his opinions and his values. If he didn't like the way I handled something he would say "this isn't rocket science" and give his own version (that he thought was simpler). He had strong opinions about things and stood up for what he believed in. Though he seemed to

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 20H20 (primary); 20E06, 20E26 (secondary). *Keywords:* linear group, Hopfian, endomorphism.

savour the word "no", and he enjoyed and celebrated a skeptical spirit, fundamentally he really was quite an optimist about both mathematics and life. Every time I met him, Mark was learning and sharing new things – math, music, or culture. Mark Sapir was a unique and interesting person and we miss him sorely.

Definition 1. An endomorphism $\phi: G \to G$ is *eventually injective* if for some *n*, the restriction of ϕ to $\phi^n(G)$ is an injection.

It then follows that the restriction of ϕ to $\phi^m(G)$ is injective for all $m \ge n$. Eventual injectivity of ϕ is also equivalent to requiring that the sequence of kernels ker (ϕ^n) terminates. Note that $\phi(\phi^n(G)) = \phi^n(\phi(G)) \subset \phi^n(G)$.

The following easy proposition gives examples of familiar endomorphisms which are eventually injective.

Proposition 2. Let G be either an f.g. free group, or an f.g. abelian group. Every endomorphism of G is eventually injective.

Proof. Observe that rank($\phi^n(G)$) is a non-increasing sequence, and consequently, for some N,

$$\operatorname{rank}(\phi^N(G)) = \operatorname{rank}(\phi^n(G))$$

for $n \ge N$. In case G is free, this implies that $\phi^N(G) \cong \phi^{N+1}(G)$ and so since free groups are Hopfian (recalled below), the restriction of ϕ to $\phi^N(G)$ is injective.

If G is abelian, define comp(G) to be the ordered set (f, t) where f is the rank of the maximal torsion-free subgroup of G, and t is the order of the largest finite subgroup of G. We say $(t_1, f_1) > (t_2, f_2)$ provided that $t_1 > t_2$, or $t_1 = t_2$ and $f_1 > f_2$. It is easy to see that if $G \to Q$ is an epimorphism which is not a monomorphism then comp(G) > comp(Q), and that the set of complexities is well ordered. Thus $\phi^n(G)$ has the same complexity as $\phi^{n+1}(G)$ for some n, and hence the restriction of ϕ to $\phi^n(G)$ is injective.

A group *G* is *residually finite* if $\{1_G\}$ is the intersection of finite index subgroups of *G*. A group *G* is *Hopfian* if every surjective endomorphism of *G* is injective. Mal'cev proved that f.g. residually finite groups are Hopfian [11]. Hirshon generalized Mal'cev's result in [7] by showing that if $\phi: G \to G$ is an endomorphism of an f.g. residually finite group, and $[G: \phi(G)] < \infty$ then ϕ is eventually injective. Sela proved in [14] that every endomorphism of a torsion-free word-hyperbolic group is eventually injective. We gave an example in [18] of an f.g. residually finite group *G* with an endomorphism $\phi: G \to G$ that is *not* eventually injective.

The method employed in [18] is as follows: First produce an endomorphism $\phi: F \to F$ with a subgroup $H \subset F$ such that $\phi(H) \subset H$. Let $G = F *_{H=H} F$ be the double of *F* along *H*, then the endomorphism ϕ of *F* extends to an endomorphism ϕ of *G*. If the sequence $\phi^{-n}(H)$ does not terminate, then $\phi: G \to G$ is not eventually

injective. Finally, if F is residually finite, and H is separable, then $G = F *_H F$ is residually finite.

For instance, let $F = \langle a, b \rangle$ and $H = \langle b^n a^{2^n} b^{-n} : n \ge 0 \rangle$, and let $\phi : F \to F$ be induced by $b \mapsto b$ and $a \mapsto a^2$. Note $\phi(H) \subset H$ since ϕ maps each $b^n a^{2^n} b^{-n}$ to its square. Letting the second copy of F be generated by $\langle \overline{a}, \overline{b} \rangle$, the group $G = F *_H F$ is presented by:

$$\langle a, b, \overline{a}, \overline{b} \mid b^n a^{2^n} b^{-n} = \overline{b}^n \overline{a}^{2^n} \overline{b}^{-n} : n \ge 0 \rangle$$
(1)

The sequence $\phi^{-n}(H)$ does not terminate as $\phi^{n-1}(b^n a b^{-n}) = (b^n a^{2^{n-1}} b^{-n}) \notin H$, but $\phi^n(b^n a b^{-n}) = (b^n a^{2^n} b^{-n}) \in H$.

Problem 3. Give an example of a finitely presented residually finite group with an endomorphism that is not eventually injective.

Note that for $G = F *_H F$ of equation (1), the group F is a free group of rank 2, but H is an infinite rank subgroup. Consequently, the double $F *_H F$ is not finitely presented.

A crucial property of $\phi: F \to F$ above is that the sequence $\phi^{-n}(H)$ does not terminate. The following shows we cannot maintain this property with *H* replaced by an f.g. subgroup of *F*.

Proposition 4. Let ϕ : $F \to F$ be an endomorphism of a free group, and let $H \subset F$ be a f.g. subgroup with $\phi(H) \subset H$. Then the ascending sequence $\phi^{-n}(H)$ terminates.

Proof. By Proposition 2, for some *r*, the restriction of ϕ to $\phi^r(F)$ is an injection. By [8], for each *n*, the rank of $\phi^n(F) \cap H$ is bounded by $2 \operatorname{rank}(F) \operatorname{rank}(H)$. Consequently, the preimages of *H* under the maps $\phi^m : \phi^r(F) \to F$ form an increasing sequence K_m of subgroups of $\phi^r(F)$, and each of these subgroups has

$$\operatorname{rank} < 2 \operatorname{rank}(F) \operatorname{rank}(H).$$

By a result of Takahasi [16], such a sequence must terminate. Indeed, think in terms of immersions of graphs, and observe that the subgraphs corresponding to K_m must stabilize but cannot continue growing because the rank is bounded.

For each *m*, observe that $\phi^{r+m}(x) \in H$ iff $\phi^m(\phi^r(x)) \in H$ iff $\phi^r(x) \in K_m$. Thus,

$$\phi^{-r-m}(H) = \phi^{-r}(K_m).$$

Since for some M we have $K_i = K_j$ for $i, j \ge M$, we conclude that

$$\phi^{-r-i}(H) = \phi^{-r}(K_i) = \phi^{-r}(K_j) = \phi^{-r-j}(H).$$

Problem 5. Let $\phi: F \to F$ be an endomorphism of an f.g. free group, and let *H* be an f.g. subgroup of *F*. Is the collection of preimages $\{\phi^{-n}(H) : n \ge 0\}$ actually a finite collection?

Our main goal is the following generalization of Proposition 2. We give two proofs. The first applies a result of Stallings, and the second is direct.

Theorem 6. Let G be an f.g. linear group. Then every endomorphism of G is eventually injective.

Proof. Let $\mu: A \to B$ and $\nu: A \to B$ be homomorphisms. The *equalizer* Equal (μ, ν) is the subgroup

$$\{a \in A : \mu(a) = \nu(a)\}.$$

Stallings proved in [15, Corollary 3.5] that if G is an f.g. linear group, then the collection of equalizer subgroups of G satisfies the ascending chain condition.

Let $\theta: G \to G$ be the homomorphism with trivial image, and let $\rho: G \to G$ be an arbitrary endomorphism. Observe that $\ker(\rho^n) = \operatorname{Equal}(\rho^n, \theta)$ is an ascending sequence of equalizers. By Stallings' theorem, for some N, we have

$$\ker(\rho^n) = \ker(\rho^N)$$

for all $n \ge N$.

For $G \subset SL_d(\mathbb{F})$, we consider the sequence of kernels of homomorphisms

$$\rho^n \colon G \to G \subset SL_d(\mathbb{F}).$$

The sequence must terminate because of the following, and so we obtain another proof of Theorem 6.

Theorem 7. Let G be an f.g. group and let \mathbb{F} be a field. Consider an ascending sequence $N_j \subset G$ of normal subgroups whose corresponding quotients are subgroups of $SL_d(\mathbb{F})$. This ascending sequence terminates.

Proof. Let *G* be an f.g. group with generators $\{g_1, \ldots, g_r\}$. Let \mathbb{F} be field. Let $\operatorname{Rep}_d(G)$ denote the set of homomorphisms from *G* to $SL_d(\mathbb{F})$. Note that $\operatorname{Rep}_d(G)$ corresponds to a subspace of $M_d^r \cong \mathbb{F}^{d^2r}$, where M_d is the set of $d \times d$ matrices with coefficients in \mathbb{F} . Specifically, each homomorphism $\rho: G \to SL_d(\mathbb{F})$ determines the element $(\rho(g_1), \rho(g_2), \ldots, \rho(g_r))$ of M_d^r .

The set $\operatorname{Rep}_d(G)$ is an *affine algebraic set* which means that $\operatorname{Rep}_d(G)$ is the set of zeros Z(S) of a set S of polynomials in the d^2r variables. Specifically, letting $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_{d^2r}]$ denote the free commutative algebra on d^2r variables, then the relations of G together with the requirement that G map to $SL_d(\mathbb{F})$ determine a set S

of polynomials in $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{d^2r}\}$ and these polynomials evaluate to zero precisely for an element of $\operatorname{Rep}_d(G)$. The ideal I of $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_{d^2r}]$ generated by S is f.g. by Hilbert's Basis theorem.

Suppose (ρ_j) is an infinite sequence of elements of $\operatorname{Rep}_d(G)$ whose kernels N_j form an ascending sequence of subgroups of G. For each j, there is a quotient $G_j = G/N_j$ and a corresponding representation space $\operatorname{Rep}_d(G_j)$ and a corresponding ideal I_j . In this way we obtain a corresponding ascending sequence of ideals $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset I_3 \cdots$. Similarly, let $N_{\infty} = \bigcup_j N_j$ and $G_{\infty} = G/N_{\infty}$ and let I_{∞} denote the corresponding ideal, and note that $I_{\infty} = \bigcup_j I_j$. By Hilbert's Basis theorem, I_{∞} is f.g. and so these generators are contained in I_m for some m, and so

$$I_m = I_{m+1} = \cdots = I_{\infty}.$$

Finally, we show that for q > m we have $N_m = N_q$. Since $I_m = I_q$, we have $\operatorname{Rep}_d(G_m) = \operatorname{Rep}_d(G_q)$. But then G_m is a homomorphic image of G_q , and so we have surjections

$$G_m \to G_q \to G_m$$
.

But by Mal'cev's theorems, G_m is f.g. linear (as a subgroup of $SL_d(\mathbb{F})$), and hence f.g. residually finite and hence Hopfian, so the composition $G_m \to G_q$ is injective.

Problem 8. Let *G* be an f.g. 3-manifold group. Is every endomorphism of *G* eventually injective?

Remark 9. The last two decades have given some advances in recognizing various groups as linear.

In particular, we now know that most 3-manifold groups are linear see [12] and references. The unknown cases are fundamental groups of certain closed graph manifolds [10]. It is hard to believe that such groups might admit endomorphisms that are not eventually injective.

Similarly, any double $F *_H F$ of a free group along an f.g. subgroup H is linear [6]. And this gives an unwieldy indirect proof of Proposition 4. But it also indicates how to prove that other examples of f.g. convex subgroups H of (virtually) special groups F have the property of Proposition 4.

Remark 10. Let $G = F *_H F$ be the double along an infinitely generated subgroup *F* that we described in (1). A consequence of Theorem 6 is that *G* is residually finite but not linear. We now understand that there are uncountably many pairwise non-isomorphic groups of this type [3]. Yet there are only countably many isomorphism classes of f.g. linear groups ...

We close with the following problem motivated by the non-linearity of the base groups of the ascending HNN extensions in [13].

Problem 11. Let *H* be an f.g. linear group. Let $\phi: H \to H$ be a monomorphism, and let $G = H *_t = \langle H, t | t^{-1}ht = \phi(h) \rangle$ be the ascending HNN extension of *H* associated to ϕ . Is every endomorphism of *G* eventually injective? Is *G* linear?

Note that Borisov–Sapir proved G is residually finite in this case [1].

Acknowledgements. I am extremely grateful to the referee for many helpful corrections to this text.

Funding. Research supported by NSERC.

References

- A. Borisov and M. Sapir, Polynomial maps over finite fields and residual finiteness of mapping tori of group endomorphisms. *Invent. Math.* 160 (2005), no. 2, 341–356 Zbl 1083.14023 MR 2138070
- [2] A. Borisov and M. Sapir, Polynomial maps over *p*-adics and redisual properties of mapping tori of group endomorphisms. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2009), no. 16, 3002–3015
 Zbl 1183.20031 MR 2533795
- [3] H. K. Chong and D. T. Wise, An uncountable family of finitely generated residually finite groups. J. Group Theory 25 (2022), no. 2, 207–216 Zbl 1495.20034 MR 4388367
- [4] C. Druţu and M. Sapir, Non-linear residually finite groups. J. Algebra 284 (2005), no. 1, 174–178 Zbl 1076.20021 MR 2115010
- [5] R. Geoghegan, M. L. Mihalik, M. Sapir, and D. T. Wise, Ascending HNN extensions of finitely generated free groups are Hopfian. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 33 (2001), no. 3, 292–298 Zbl 1028.20020 MR 1817768
- [6] F. Haglund and D. T. Wise, Special cube complexes. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 17 (2008), no. 5, 1551–1620 Zbl 1155.53025 MR 2377497
- [7] R. Hirshon, Some properties of endomorphisms in residually finite groups. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 24 (1977), no. 1, 117–120 Zbl 0374.20043 MR 0470080
- [8] A. G. Howson, On the intersection of finitely generated free groups. J. London Math. Soc. 29 (1954), 428–434 Zbl 0056.02106 MR 0065557
- [9] I. Kaplansky, *Fields and rings*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1969 Zbl 0238.16001 MR 0269449
- [10] Y. Liu, Virtual cubulation of nonpositively curved graph manifolds. J. Topol. 6 (2013), no. 4, 793–822 Zbl 1286.57002 MR 3145140
- [11] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*. Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 89, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1977 Zbl 0368.20023 MR 0577064
- [12] P. Przytycki and D. T. Wise, Mixed 3-manifolds are virtually special. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (2018), no. 2, 319–347 Zbl 1511.57025 MR 3758147

- [13] M. Sapir and D. T. Wise, Ascending HNN extensions of residually finite groups can be non-Hopfian and can have very few finite quotients. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 166 (2002), no. 1-2, 191–202 Zbl 0995.20013 MR 1868545
- [14] Z. Sela, Endomorphisms of hyperbolic groups. I. The Hopf property. *Topology* 38 (1999), no. 2, 301–321 Zbl 0929.20033 MR 1660337
- [15] J. R. Stallings, Finiteness properties of matrix representations. Ann. of Math. (2) 124 (1986), no. 2, 337–346 Zbl 0604.20027 MR 0855299
- [16] M. Takahasi, Note on locally free groups. J. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ. Ser. A 1 (1950), 65–70 Zbl 0041.00801 MR 0041845
- [17] J. Tits, Free subgroups in linear groups. J. Algebra 20 (1972), 250–270 Zbl 0236.20032 MR 0286898
- [18] D. T. Wise, A continually descending endomorphism of a finitely generated residually finite group. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **31** (1999), no. 1, 45–49 Zbl 0974.20025 MR 1651017

Received 18 May 2023; revised 25 March 2024.

Daniel T. Wise

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Burnside Hall, 805 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, QC H3A 2K6, Canada; daniel.wise@mcgill.ca