# On nonlinear iterated function systems with overlaps

Boris Solomyak

**Abstract.** We construct an example of an iterated function system on the line, consisting of linear fractional transformations, such that two of the maps share a fixed points, but the dimension of the attractor equals the conformal dimension, so that there is no "dimension drop".

# 1. Introduction

Let  $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  be a collection of  $C^{1+\theta}$ -smooth maps of a compact interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  into itself. Here  $\mathcal{I}$  is a finite alphabet, with  $\#\mathcal{I} \ge 2$ . We call  $\Phi$  an *iterated function system* (IFS). The IFS is assumed to be *hyperbolic*, that is, there exist  $0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < 1$ , such that

$$0 < \gamma_1 \le |\phi'_i(x)| \le \gamma_2 < 1$$
 for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $x \in I$ .

By [10], there exists a unique non-empty compact set  $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\Phi}$ , called the *attractor* of the IFS, such that  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{i \in I} \phi(\Lambda)$ . Such an IFS is called *self-conformal*, and the attractor is sometimes called a *self-conformal set*. It was shown by Falconer [5] (see also [6, Chapter 3]) that the Hausdorff dimension of a self-conformal set is always equal to its box-counting (Minkowski) dimension, so we simply write dim( $\Lambda$ ) below. We are interested in computing this dimension.

For a finite word  $u \in \mathcal{I}^n$ , let

$$\phi_u := \phi_{u_1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{u_n}, \quad I_u := \phi_u(I).$$

The pressure function is given by

$$P_{\Phi}(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{u \in \mathcal{I}^n} \|\phi'_u\|^t, \qquad (1.1)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the supremum norm on *I*. Using the Bounded Distortion Property, it is easy to see that the limit in (1.1) exists, and there is a unique zero of *Bowen's equation* 

$$P_{\Phi}(s) = 0,$$

*Mathematics Subject Classification 2020:* 28A80 (primary); 37E05, 20M05 (secondary). *Keywords:* non-linear iterated function system, overlap, dimension drop.

whose solution  $s = s(\Phi)$  is called the *conformal dimension* of  $\Phi$ . We always have the upper bound, obtained using the natural covers by cylinder intervals  $I_u$ , with |u| = n:

$$\dim(\Lambda_{\Phi}) \le \min\{1, s(\Phi)\}.$$

If the Open Set Condition holds, that is, there exists a nonempty open set  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $f_i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{O}$  and all  $f_i(\mathcal{O})$  are mutually disjoint, then

$$\dim(\Lambda_{\Phi}) = s(\Phi).$$

These are all classical results; see the original papers [2, 15], as well as the books [1, 6, 13]. There have been many extensions and generalizations, in particular, to infinite hyperbolic IFSs [11] and to parabolic IFSs [12], but we do not discuss them here.

What happens in the "overlapping case," e.g., when the Open Set Condition fails (or when it is not obvious whether it holds or not)? This is much less understood, although the dimension properties of such an IFS have been studied for a long time. We do not survey the extensive literature on this topic, but mention the important progress, which occurred in the last ten years in the study of *self-similar* IFSs, that is, when the maps  $\phi_i(x) = r_i x + a_i$ , with  $|r_i| \in (0, 1)$  are affine linear contractions. In the self-similar case, the conformal dimension is known as the *similarity dimension*:

$$\dim_{sim}(\Phi) = s, \text{ where } \Phi = \{x \mapsto r_i x + a_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}, \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} r_i^s = 1.$$

The following conjecture was first stated by Simon [17] in this generality, although special cases of it have been considered earlier.

**Conjecture 1** (Exact coincidence conjecture). If  $\Phi = \{x \mapsto r_i x + a_i\}_{i \in I}, x \in \mathbb{R}, with |r_i| \in (0, 1), is such that$ 

$$\dim(\Lambda_{\Phi}) < \min\{1, \dim_{sim}(\Phi)\},\$$

then  $\Phi$  has an "exact overlap," that is, the semigroup generated by  $\Phi$  is not free. (Equivalently, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and two distinct words  $u, v \in \mathcal{I}^n$ , such that  $\phi_u \equiv \phi_v$ .)

Although the general conjecture remains open, Hochman [8], using ideas from additive combinatorics, has achieved a major breakthrough in this direction. The next definition is from [20].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an IFS on a metric space  $(X, \varrho)$ , that is,  $f_i : X \to X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the *exponential separation condition* on a set  $X' \subseteq X$  if there exists c > 0 such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large we have

$$\sup_{x \in X'} \varrho(f_{\mathbf{i}}(x), f_{\mathbf{j}}(x)) > c^{n}, \text{ for all } \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{I}^{n} \text{ with } f_{\mathbf{i}} \neq f_{\mathbf{j}}.$$
 (1.2)

If, in addition, the semigroup generated by  $\mathcal{F}$  is free, that is,  $f_{\mathbf{i}} \equiv f_{\mathbf{j}} \iff \mathbf{i} = \mathbf{j}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the *strong exponential separation condition*, abbreviated SESC. If these properties hold for infinitely many *n*, then we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the *(strong) exponential separation condition on X' along a subsequence*.

**Theorem 1.2** (Hochman [8, Corollary 1.2]). If a self-similar IFS  $\Phi = \{x \mapsto r_i x + a_i\}_{i \in I}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $|r_i| \in (0, 1)$ , satisfies the SESC along a subsequence, then

 $\dim(\Lambda_{\Phi}) = \min\{1, \dim_{sim}(\Phi)\}.$ 

This already implies the validity of Conjecture 1 for self-similar IFS with algebraic parameters. In fact, the results for self-similar sets are deduced from results on self-similar measures, and there is an analogous conjecture for the dimension of such, see [8], but here, we restrict ourselves to the dimension of attractors for brevity. Further progress, building on the work of Hochman [8], was achieved by Varjú [21] and Rapaport [14], but the conjecture is still open.

Now, we turn to the overlapping nonlinear case, where our knowledge is much more limited. Some results of "almost every" type were obtained in [18, 19] (for parabolic and infinite hyperbolic IFS on the line as well) and in some later papers (we do not provide an exhaustive bibliography here), using the *transversality method*. However, this method has many limitations, since the transversality condition is difficult to check, and moreover, quite often it is known to fail.

One may wonder whether some form of the Exact Coincidence Conjecture holds for a class of nonlinear IFS, maybe under extra assumptions, like real analyticity of the maps. Notice that in the non-real analytic case the exact coincidence should be defined for the restrictions of the iterates to the attractor, i.e.,

$$\phi_u|_{\Lambda_{\Phi}} \equiv \phi_v|_{\Lambda_{\Phi}}$$
 for some  $u \neq v$  in  $\mathcal{I}^n$ .

It would be very interesting to extend Hochman's Theorem 1.2 in some form to a class of non-linear IFS. As far as we are aware, this is currently known only for IFS consisting of linear-fractional transformations. The following result was obtained in joint work with Takahashi [20]. We quote [20, Corollary 1.10] (in a special case for simplicity).

**Theorem 1.3** ([20]). Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a finite collection of linear fractional transformations with real coefficients. Assume that there exists  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ , a bounded open interval, such that  $f_i(\overline{U}) \subset U$  for all  $i \in I$ . Let  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$  be the attractor of the IFS  $\mathcal{F}$ , and assume that  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not a singleton. If  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the strong exponential separation condition (SESC) on a non-empty subset of  $\overline{U}$ , then  $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}) = \min\{1, s(\mathcal{F})\}$ , where  $s(\mathcal{F})$  is the conformal dimension of the IFS. Note that  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} = \{x\}$  is equivalent to x being the common fixed point of all the maps of the IFS. In the case of a self-similar IFS, this implies that the maps commute, which yields exact overlaps. This is no longer true for linear-fractional transformations, and thus, we need the assumption of  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$  not being a singleton.

**Remark 1.4.** Theorem 1.3 was obtained as a by-product of a study of *hyperbolic projective IFS* consisting of Möbius transformations in [20]. It is, in fact, a rather straightforward consequence of the results and techniques from a joint work with Hochman [9] on the dimension properties of the Furstenberg (stationary) measure for random walks on the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  in [9]. Some of the results from [20] were extended by Christodoulou and Jurga [4] to projective IFS which contain a parabolic map.

The following question was raised by Michał Rams in a personal communication to Balázs Bárańy.

**Question.** Is there a conformal (strictly contractive) IFS on  $\mathbb{R}$ , such that two maps share a fixed point, but nevertheless the dimension of the attractor is equal to the conformal dimension?

Note that this is impossible for a self-similar IFS, since two affine linear maps on  $\mathbb{R}$ , sharing a fixed point, necessarily commute. In the next section, we provide an affirmative answer, using Theorem 1.3.

## 2. Example

It is well known that the action of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbb{RP}^1$ , which can be identified with  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ , can be expressed in terms of linear fractional transformations. For

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}),$$

let  $f_A(x) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ .

We consider the IFS  $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\} = \{f_A, f_B, f_C\}$  on the real line, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & t\\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.1)

for an appropriate parameter t, so that

$$f_1(x) = \frac{x}{4x+4}, \quad f_2(x) = \frac{x}{4}, \quad f_3^{(t)}(x) = \frac{x}{4} + \frac{t}{2}.$$

Notice that  $f_1(0) = f_2(0) = 0$ . We require that t > 0. Then  $\{f_1, f_2, f_3^{(t)}\}$  is a strictly contracting conformal IFS on  $I = I_t := [0, x_0^{(t)}]$ , where  $x_0^{(t)} = 2t/3$  is the fixed point

of  $f_3^{(t)}$ . Under these assumptions,

$$\max_{j \le 3} \{ \|f_j'|_I \|_{\infty} \} \le 1/4,$$

hence the conformal dimension of the IFS is strictly less than 1. Notice that

$$f_1(I) = [0, t/(4t+6)], \quad f_2(I) = [0, t/6], \quad f_3^{(t)}(I) = [t/2, x_0^{(t)}] = [t/2, 2t/3].$$

**Theorem 2.1.** Consider the IFS  $\mathcal{F}_t = \{f_1, f_2, f_3^{(t)}\}$ , and let  $\Lambda_t$  be its attractor. For all t > 0 outside a set of Hausdorff, dimension zero holds

$$\dim(\Lambda_t) = \dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t).$$

It is known (and not hard to see) that for an IFS of linear-fractional transformations the SESC on a set containing at least three points is equivalent to the strong Diophantine condition for the corresponding sub-semigroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([20] and [9]). Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  be a finite subset of a semi-simple Lie group *G* equipped with a metric  $\rho$ . Write  $A_i = A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_n}$  for  $i = i_1 \dots i_n$ . We say that the set  $\mathcal{A}$  is *Diophantine* if there exists a constant c > 0 such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{I}^n, \ A_{\mathbf{i}} \neq A_{\mathbf{j}} \implies \varrho(A_{\mathbf{i}}, A_{\mathbf{j}}) > c^n.$$
 (2.2)

The set A is *strongly Diophantine* if there exists c > 0 such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{I}^n, \ \mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{j} \implies \varrho(A_{\mathbf{i}}, A_{\mathbf{j}}) > c^n.$$
 (2.3)

If we could choose t > 0 algebraic in such a way that the semigroup  $\{A, B, C_t\}^+$  is free, we would be done, because a subset of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  consisting of matrices with algebraic elements is Diophantine (see, for example, [7, Prop. 4.3]). We do not know if this is possible. Instead, we start with the following "warm-up".

## **Lemma 2.3.** For all but countable many t > 0 the semigroup $\{A, B, C_t\}^+$ is free.

*Proof.* First, we note that  $\{A, B\}^+$  is free. In general, the problem of freeness for semigroups of rational matrices is undecidable, even for triangular 2 × 2 matrices [3]. However, there are many effective sufficient conditions. In particular, it follows from [3, Section 4.2] that  $\{A, B\}^+$  is free. In fact,  $\{A, B\}$  is easily reduced to the canonical form

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 1\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

considered in that paper, and then [3, Proposition 2] gives the claim. (For completeness, we include the proof of freeness in the Appendix A, following [3]). Now, suppose that  $\{A, B, C_t\}^+$  is not free for some t. Then AX(t) = BY(t), where X, Y are some words in  $\{A, B, C_t\}^+$ . (Indeed, AX(t) = CY(t) and BX(t) = CY(t) are impossible, since  $f_C(I)$  is disjoint from  $f_A(I)$  and  $f_B(I)$ .) Then  $u \mapsto AX(u) - BY(u)$  is a matrix-function having a zero at u = t. This function is welldefined and real-analytic on  $(-\varepsilon, +\infty)$ , hence it is either constant zero, or it has no accumulation points in  $[0, \infty)$ . However, if it is constant zero, we obtain that AX(0) = BY(0). Note that  $C_0 = B$ , so AX(0) = BY(0) then gives a non-trivial relation in the semigroup  $\{A, B\}^+$ , contradicting the claim above.

Thus, for any finite words  $X, Y \in \{A, B, C_t\}^+$ , there are at most countable many t > 0 such that AX(t) = BY(t), and the lemma is proved.

In the end, our proof does not rely on Lemma 2.3. Instead, we are going to use another result from [20], saying that a 1-parameter family of real-analytic IFS, with a real-analytic dependence on the parameter, under some mild non-degeneracy assumptions satisfies the SESC for all parameters outside of a Hausdorff dimension zero set. This is a generalization of [8, Theorem 5.9], which deals with real-analytic families of self-similar IFS.

We recall the setup from [20, Section 2.3] in our special case (in [20] the case of d-dimensional IFS is considered). Let J be a compact interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  and V a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a finite set,  $|\mathcal{I}| \ge 2$ , and suppose that for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  we are given a real-analytic function

$$\phi_i: \overline{V} \times J \to V.$$

This means that the function is real-analytic on some neighborhood of  $\overline{V} \times J$ . Denote  $\Phi_t = \{\phi_i(x, t)\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ . This is a real-analytic IFS on  $\overline{V}$ , depending on the parameter  $t \in J$  real-analytically. For  $t \in J$  let  $\Pi_t : \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the natural projection map corresponding to  $\Phi_t$ .

**Definition 2.4.** The IFS family  $\Phi_t$ ,  $t \in J$ , is called *non-degenerate* if

$$\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{N}}, \ \mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{j} \implies \exists t_0 \in J \text{ such that } \Pi_{t_0}(\mathbf{i}) \neq \Pi_{t_0}(\mathbf{j}).$$

**Theorem 2.5** ([20, Theorem 2.10]). Suppose that the IFS family  $\Phi_t$ ,  $t \in J$ , is nondegenerate. Then  $\Phi_t$  satisfies the SESC condition on the singleton  $\{x_0^{(t)}\}$  for any fixed  $x_0^{(t)} \in V$  for all parameters  $t \in J$  outside of an exceptional set of Hausdorff dimension zero.

Our family  $\mathcal{F}_t := \{f_1, f_2, f_3^{(t)}\}$  is clearly NOT non-degenerate since for any  $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \{1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we have  $\Pi_t(\mathbf{i}) = \Pi_t(\mathbf{j}) = 0$ . The idea is to consider a sequence of IFS:

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)} = \{ f_u^{(t)} : u \in \{1, 2, 3\}^n, u \text{ contains } 3 \}.$$
(2.4)

It is obvious that its attractor  $\Lambda_t^{(n)} \subset \Lambda_t$ , and we show that

$$\dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)}) \to \dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t), \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Since the upper bound  $\dim(\Lambda_t) \leq \dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t)$  always holds, it is enough to show that for each *n* the IFS  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)}$  satisfies the SESC, and then by Theorem 1.3, we have  $\dim(\Lambda_t^{(n)}) = \dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)})$ , and the desired claim for  $\mathcal{F}_t$  follows.

**Proposition 2.6.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $0 < t_n < t'_n < \infty$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)}$  is nondegenerate on  $[t_n, t'_n]$ .

*Proof.* It is actually more convenient to prove the claim for

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}^{(n)} = \{ f_{u}^{(t)} : u = v3, |u| \le n, v \in \{1, 2\}^* \}.$$

Showing non-degeneracy for  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}^{(n)}$  for all *n* is sufficient, since every word in the symbolic space corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{(n)}$  can be written as a concatenation of words of length  $\leq 2n - 1$  having a single 3 at the end.

Denote by  $I_u^t$  the cylinder interval for  $\mathcal{F}_t$  corresponding to  $u \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , that is,  $I_u^t = f_u^{(t)}(I)$ . The following lemma is immediate.

**Lemma 2.7.** Suppose that for all  $v, w \in \{1, 2\}^*$ ,  $v \neq w$ , of length  $\leq n - 1$ , there exists t > 0 such that  $I_{v_3}^t \cap I_{w_3}^t = \emptyset$ , then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^{(n)}$  is non-degenerate.

Of course, the parameter *t* is usually different for different pairs of cylinder intervals.

We use the following notation: for intervals  $[a, b], [c, d] \subset (0, +\infty)$ , we write

$$[a,b] \preceq [c,d] \iff a < c \text{ and } b < d; \quad [a,b] \prec [c,d] \iff b < c.$$

For a symbol  $\alpha \in A$ , we write  $\alpha^k = \alpha \dots \alpha$ , with  $\alpha^0$  being the empty word. We introduce an ordering on  $\{1, 2\}^k$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is the usual lexicographic when the words are written backwards. More precisely,  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k < \beta = \beta_1 \dots \beta_k$  if  $\alpha_m < \beta_m$ , where  $m \leq k$  is the last position on which  $\alpha$  differs from  $\beta$ . Thus, we have

$$1^k < 21^{k-1} < 121^{k-2} < \dots < 12^{k-1} < 2^k.$$

**Lemma 2.8.** For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if v < w, with  $v, w \in \{1, 2\}^k$ , then

$$f_v(x) < f_w(x)$$
 for all  $x > 0$ ,

and hence  $I_{v3}^{(t)} \preceq I_{w3}^{(t)}$ .

Recall that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  do not depend on t and  $f_3^{(t)}(x) = x/4 + t/2$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the claim for consecutive v < w, and hence by the definition of the order it is enough to check that

$$f_{2m_1}(x) < f_{1m_2}(x)$$
 for all  $x > 0$  and  $m \ge 0$ .

We have  $f_{2^m}(x) = 4^{-m}x$ . To compute  $f_{1^m}$  note that  $f_{1^m} = f_1^m = f_{A^m}$ . One can check by induction that

$$A^{m} = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-m} & 0\\ \frac{2^{m+2}(1-4^{-m})}{3} & 2^{m} \end{pmatrix},$$

hence

$$f_{1^m}(x) = \frac{x}{4^m \left(1 + 4x(1 - 4^{-m})/3\right)}.$$
(2.5)

Thus,

$$f_{2^{m_1}}(x) = \frac{x}{4^{m+1}(1+x)} < \frac{x}{4^{m+1}\left(1 + x(1-4^{-m})/3\right)} = f_{1^{m_2}}(x) \text{ for all } x > 0,$$

as desired.

**Lemma 2.9.** For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if v < w, with  $v, w \in \{1, 2\}^k$ , then there exists T > 0 such that

$$I_{v3}^{(t)} \prec I_{w3}^{(t)}$$
 for all  $t \ge T$ .

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 2.8, it is enough to show the claim for consecutive v < w in our order, which means that there exists  $u \in \{1, 2\}^*$ , possibly empty, such that  $v = 2^m 1u$  and  $w = 1^m 2u$ . Recall that I = [0, 2t/3], hence  $I_3 = [t/2, 2t/3]$ . Let  $a = f_u(t/2)$  and  $b = f_u(2t/3)$ , so that  $I_{u3} = [a, b]$ . Using the calculations in the proof of the last lemma, we obtain that  $I_{v3}^{(t)} \prec I_{w3}^{(t)}$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{b}{4^{m+1}(1+b)} < \frac{a}{4^{m+1}\left(1 + a(1-4^{-m})/3\right)}$$

which is the hardest to achieve when m = 0, when it reduces to

$$\frac{b}{b+1} < a \iff b-a < ab.$$
(2.6)

Now there are two cases. If  $u = 2^{\ell}$ , we simply have  $a = 4^{-\ell}t/2$  and  $b = 4^{-\ell}2t/3$ , then  $b - a = 4^{-\ell}t/6 < 4^{-2\ell}t^2/3 = ab$  for  $t > 4^{\ell}/2$ . The more difficult case is when

$$u = U12^{\ell}, \quad U \in \{1, 2\}^*$$

(U may be empty). Note that  $\lim_{t\to+\infty} f_{2\ell}(t/2) = \infty$ . On the other hand,  $f_1(x) < 1/4$  for all x > 0, and

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} f_1(x) = \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{x}{4x+4} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Thus, for any  $\delta > 0$ , we can find T > 0 sufficiently large such that for all  $t \ge T$  holds

$$\frac{1}{4} - \delta < a' := f_{12^{\ell}}(t/2) < f_{12^{\ell}}(2t/3) =: b' < \frac{1}{4}$$

Then

$$b - a = f_u(2t/3) - f_u(t/2) = f_U(b') - f_U(a').$$

Notice that  $f_1(x) > x/5$  for  $x \in (0, 1/4)$ , and of course,  $f_2(x) = x/4 > x/5$  for x > 0, hence

$$b > 5^{-|U|}b', \ a > 5^{-|U|}a' \implies ab > 5^{-2|U|}a'b' > 5^{-2|U|-2},$$

assuming  $\delta < 1/20$ . By the continuity of  $f_U$ , we can choose  $\delta \in (0, 1/20)$  such that

$$b - a = f_U(b') - f_U(a') < 5^{-2|U|-2} < ab,$$

achieving (2.6). The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 2.10.** For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $v \in \{1, 2\}^{k+1}$ ,  $w \in \{1, 2\}^k$ , then

$$I_{v3}^{(t)} \prec I_{w3}^{(t)}$$
 for all  $t \in (0,3)$ .

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 2.8, it is enough to consider  $v = 2^{k+1}$  and  $u = 1^k$ . By (2.5),

$$I_{2^{k+1}3}^{(t)} \prec I_{1^{k}3}^{(t)} \iff \frac{2t}{3 \cdot 4^{k+1}} < \frac{t/2}{4^{k} \left(1 + 2t(1 - 4^{-k})/3\right)}$$

which is equivalent to

$$t < \frac{3}{1-4^{-k}} \, .$$

Combining Lemmas 2.7, 2.9, and 2.10, yields the proposition.

**Proposition 2.11.** We have  $\dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)}) \to \dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .

This is standard, but we provide the proof for the reader's convenience. Before the proof, we recall some general facts about the conformal dimension for hyperbolic IFS on the line. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a finite alphabet, and let  $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  be a  $C^{1+\theta}$ -smooth

hyperbolic IFS on a compact interval  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ , that is, there exist  $0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < 1$  such that

$$0 < \gamma_1 \le |\phi_i'(x)| \le \gamma_2 < 1$$
 for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $x \in J$ .

Let  $d_n > 0$  be such that

$$\sum_{u\in\mathcal{I}^n}\|\phi_u'\|^{d_n}=1,$$

where we use the sup-norm on J. It is well known (see, e.g., [6, Chapter 5]) that

$$\dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathscr{G}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_n, \tag{2.7}$$

and moreover,

$$|\dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\Phi) - d_n| \le O(1) \cdot n^{-1}$$

where the constant depends only on the IFS. In fact, by the Chain Rule and the Principle of Bounded Distortion, there exists C > 1, depending only on the IFS, such that for all  $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{I}^*$  holds

$$C^{-1} \|\phi'_{\mathbf{i}}\| \cdot \|\phi'_{\mathbf{j}}\| \le \|\phi'_{\mathbf{i}}\| \le \|\phi'_{\mathbf{i}}\| \cdot \|\phi'_{\mathbf{j}}\|.$$
(2.8)

~

From the upper bound in (2.8), we obtain  $d_n \ge d_{2n} \ge \ldots \ge \dim_{\text{conf}}(\Phi)$ , and from the lower bound, we get

$$1 = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\in\mathcal{I}^n} \|\phi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}'\|^{d_{2n}} \ge C^{-1} \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathcal{I}^n} \|\phi_{\mathbf{i}}'\|^{d_{2n}}\Big)^2 \implies \sum_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathcal{I}^n} \|\phi_{\mathbf{i}}'\|^{d_{2n}} \le C^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}^n} \|\phi_{\mathbf{i}}'\|^{d_{2n}+\delta} \le 1, \text{ where } \gamma_2^{\delta n} = C^{-1/2}, \ \delta = \frac{\log C}{2n \log(1/\gamma_2)},$$

and so  $d_n \leq d_{2n} + \delta$ . Repeating this argument we obtain

$$d_{2^m n} \ge d_n - \frac{\log C}{2n \log(1/\gamma_2)} \cdot \left[ n^{-1} + (2n)^{-1} + \dots + (2^{m-1}n)^{-1} \right] \ge d_n - \frac{\log C}{n \log(1/\gamma_2)},$$

hence

$$d_n - \frac{\log C}{n \log(1/\gamma_2)} \le \dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\Phi) \le d_n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(2.9)

Proof of Proposition 2.11. Recall that  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_t = \{f_1, f_2, f_3^{(t)}\}$  (now, the parameter t is fixed). For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $d_N > 0$  be such that

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{A}^N} \| (f_u^{(t)})' \|^{d_N} = 1,$$

so that

$$s := \dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} d_N.$$

It is clear that  $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , since  $f_2$  and  $f_3^{(t)}$  are similitudes of contraction ratio 1/4, and hence already

$$\sum_{u \in \{2,3\}^n} \left\| \left( f_u^{(t)} \right)' \right\|^{1/2} = 1.$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that

$$d_N \in [s, s + \varepsilon).$$

Recall that we consider the IFS  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(N)}$ , for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , defined in equation (2.4). Let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(N)} := \mathcal{A}^N \setminus \{1, 2\}^N$ , so that  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(N)} = \{f_u : u \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(N)}\}$ . It is immediate that  $\|(f_u^{(t)})'\| \leq 4^{-|u|}$  for all u, hence we have

$$\sum_{u \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^N} \| (f_u^{(t)})' \|^{d_N} = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{A}^N \setminus \{1,2\}^N} \| (f_u^{(t)})' \|^{d_N} \ge 1 - 2^N (4^{-N})^{d_N} \ge 1 - 4^{-N\varepsilon} \ge 1/2,$$

for N sufficiently large, and this implies

$$\sum_{u \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^N} \| (f_u^{(t)})' \|^{d_N - (2N)^{-1}} \ge 1.$$
(2.10)

Let  $s_n^{(N)} > 0$  be such that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i}\in(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^N)^n} \|(f_{\mathbf{i}}^{(t)})'\|^{s_n^{(N)}} = 1$$

so that  $\dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(N)}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n^{(N)}$  by (2.7), and (2.10) yields

$$s_1^{(N)} \ge d_N - (2N)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, applying the inequality (2.9) for  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(N)}$ , with n = 1, we obtain

$$s_1^{(N)} - \frac{\log C}{\log(4^N)} \le \dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(N)}) \le s_1^{(N)},$$

since  $\max_{\mathbf{i}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(N)}} \|(f_{\mathbf{i}}^{(t)})'\| = 4^{-N}$ . Combining everything, we get that

$$\left|\dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(N)}) - \dim_{\operatorname{conf}}(\mathcal{F}_t)\right| \le \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2N} + \frac{\log C}{N\log 4}$$

which yields the desired claim.

*Proof of Theorem* 2.1. We only need to show the lower bound for dim(Λ<sub>t</sub>). We have that dim(Λ<sub>t</sub>) ≥ dim(Λ<sub>t</sub>)<sup>(n)</sup> for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where Λ<sub>t</sub><sup>(n)</sup> is the attractor of the IFS  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)}$ , defined in (2.4). It was shown in Proposition 2.6 that  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)}$  is non-degenerate on some interval, hence on the entire (0, +∞). In order to apply Theorem 2.5, we need to restrict the IFS and the parameter set to a fixed bounded interval. We can fix  $J = [t_0, t_1] \subset (0, \infty)$  arbitrarily and consider  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)}$  as an IFS on  $[-\varepsilon, 2t_1/3]$  for a small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It is a real-analytic family of IFS, depending real-analytically on  $t \in J$ . By Theorem 2.5, it satisfies the SESC on {0} for  $t \in J \setminus \mathcal{E}_n$ , with dim<sub>H</sub>( $\mathcal{E}_n$ ) = 0, and then we can apply Theorem 1.3 to conclude that dim(Λ<sub>t</sub>) = dim<sub>conf</sub>( $\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)}$ ) for  $t \in J \setminus \mathcal{E}_n$  (here we use also that dim<sub>conf</sub>( $\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)}$ ) < 1, since it is the conformal dimension of an IFS obtained my removing some maps from the *n*-th interate of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , and the latter has conformal dimension ≤ log 3/ log 4). The proof is concluded by an application of Proposition 2.11.

### 3. Concluding remarks

#### 3.1. On the dimension of the natural measure

The following remark is due to Balázs Bárány. For a conformal IFS  $\Phi = {\phi_i}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ , consider the Gibbs measure  $\nu_{\Phi}$  on the symbolic space  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{N}}$  corresponding to the potential

$$\mathbf{i} \mapsto s \cdot \log |\phi_{i_1}'(\Pi_{\Phi}(\sigma \mathbf{i}))|,$$

where  $s = s(\Phi)$  is the conformal dimension of  $\Phi$  and  $\Pi_{\Phi}$  is the natural projection. This Gibbs measure on  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{N}}$  satisfies

$$C^{-1}|I_{\mathbf{i}|_n}|^s \le v_{\Phi}([\mathbf{i}|_n]) \le C|I_{\mathbf{i}|_n}|^s,$$

for some constant C > 1, for *every*  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , see, e.g., [13, Chapter 9]. The push-forward of  $v_{\Phi}$ , that is,  $\mu_{\Phi} = v_{\Phi} \circ \Pi_{\Phi}^{-1}$ , is called the *natural measure* for  $\Phi$ . If  $\Phi$  satisfies the Strong Separation Condition, the local dimension of  $\mu_{\Phi}$  is equal to *s* at every point, and hence, the  $L^q$ -dimension if equal to *s* for all  $q \ge 1$  (see, e.g., [13, Section 9.2], [6, Chapter 11] or [1, Section 2.6] for definitions). In our example, for the IFS  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , for any t > 0, the local dimension of the natural measure is strictly less than the conformal dimension  $s(\mathcal{F}_t)$  at the common fixed point 0, since the number of cylinder intervals of level *n* containing 0, all of comparable length, grows exponentially with *n*. This implies, by a lemma of Shmerkin [16, Lemma 1.7], that there exists  $q \in (1, \infty)$  such that the  $L^q$ -dimension of  $\mu_{\Phi}$  is strictly less than the conformal dimension  $s(\Phi)$ .

### 3.2. Questions

- 1. Is there t > 0 such that  $\mathcal{F}_t$  satisfies the strong exponential separation condition?
- 2. Is there an algebraic t > 0 such that the semigroup  $\{A, B, C_t\}^+$  is free? (Note that the positive answer for Question 2 implies the positive answer for Question 1.)
- 3. In the cases when the Hausdorff dimension of the attractor of  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is equal to the conformal dimension, is this also the Hausdorff dimension of the natural measure?

# A. On the freeness of the semigroup $\{A, B\}^+$

Here, we show the proof of the freeness, following [3]. Recall that  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  and

 $B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $R = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 4/3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . The freeness of  $\{A, B\}^+$  is equivalent to the freeness of

$$\left\{2RA^{-1}R^{-1}, 2RB^{-1}R^{-1}\right\}^{+} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}^{+} =: \{E, F\}^{+}.$$

Suppose that there exist matrices  $X, Y \in \{E, F\}^+$  such that XE = YF. Then

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & 0 \\ y_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z},$$

whence

$$4x_2 = XE(2,1) = YF(2,1) = 4y_2 + 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Balázs Bárany for sharing the question with me. for helpful discussions, and especially for his remark in Section 3.

**Funding.** Supported by the Israel Science Foundation grant #1647/23.

### References

- B. Bárańy, K. Simon, and B. Solomyak, *Self-similar and self-affine sets and measures*. Math. Surveys Monogr. 276. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2023 Zbl 07759243 MR 4661364
- [2] R. Bowen, Hausdorff dimension of quasicircles. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 50 (1979), 11–25 Zbl 0439.30032 MR 0556580
- [3] J. Cassaigne, T. Harju, and J. Karhumäki, On the undecidability of freeness of matrix semigroups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* 9 (1999), no. 3-4, 295–305 Zbl 1029.20027 MR 1723469
- [4] A. Christodoulou and N. Jurga, The Hausdorff dimension of self-projective sets. 2020, arXiv:2007.06430v1.
- [5] K. Falconer, Dimensions and measures of quasi self-similar sets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 106 (1989), no. 2, 543–554 Zbl 0683.58034 MR 0969315
- [6] K. Falconer, *Techniques in fractal geometry*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1997. Zbl 0869.28003 MR 1449135
- [7] A. Gamburd, D. Jakobson, and P. Sarnak, Spectra of elements in the group ring of SU(2).
   J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 1 (1999), no. 1, 51–85 Zbl 0916.22009 MR 1677685
- [8] M. Hochman, On self-similar sets with overlaps and inverse theorems for entropy. Ann. of Math. (2) 180 (2014), no. 2, 773–822 Zbl 1337.28015 MR 3224722
- [9] M. Hochman and B. Solomyak, On the dimension of Furstenberg measure for  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Invent. Math. **210** (2017), no. 3, 815–875 Zbl 1398.37012 MR 3735630
- [10] J. E. Hutchinson, Fractals and self-similarity. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30 (1981), no. 5, 713–747 Zbl 0598.28011 MR 0625600
- [11] R. D. Mauldin and M. Urbanski, Dimensions and measures in infinite iterated function systems. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 73 (1996), no. 1, 105–154 Zbl 0852.28005 MR 1387085
- [12] R. D. Mauldin and M. Urbanski, Parabolic iterated function systems. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 20 (2000), no. 5, 1423–1447 Zbl 0982.37045 MR 1786722
- F. Przytycki and M. Urbański, *Conformal fractals: ergodic theory methods*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 371. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010
   Zbl 1202.37001 MR 2656475
- [14] A. Rapaport, Proof of the exact overlaps conjecture for systems with algebraic contractions. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 55 (2022), no. 5, 1357–1377 Zbl 1527.28009 MR 4517689
- [15] D. Ruelle, Repellers for real analytic maps. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 2 (1982), no. 1, 99–107. Zbl 0506.58024 MR 0684247
- P. Shmerkin, On Furstenberg's intersection conjecture, self-similar measures, and the Lq norms of convolutions. Ann. of Math. (2) 189 (2019), no. 2, 319–391 Zbl 1426.11079
   MR 3919361
- [17] K. Simon, Overlapping cylinders: the size of a dynamically defined Cantor-set. In Ergodic theory of Z<sup>d</sup> actions (Warwick, 1993–1994), pp. 259–272. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 228, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996 Zbl 0853.58075 MR 1411222

- K. Simon, B. Solomyak, and M. Urbanski, Hausdorff dimension of limit sets for parabolic IFS with overlaps. *Pacific J. Math.* 201 (2001), no. 2, 441–478 Zbl 1046.37014 MR 1875903
- [19] K. Simon, B. Solomyak, and M. Urbanski, Invariant measures for parabolic IFS with overlaps and random continued fractions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353 (2001), no. 12, 5145–5164 Zbl 0987.37075 MR 1852098
- [20] B. Solomyak and Y. Takahashi, Diophantine property of matrices and attractors of projective iterated function systems in ℝP<sup>1</sup>. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 16 (2021), no. 16, 12639–12669 Zbl 1497.11185 MR 4300232
- [21] P. P. Varjú, On the dimension of Bernoulli convolutions for all transcendental parameters. Ann. of Math. (2) 189 (2019), no. 3, 1001–1011 Zbl 1426.28024 MR 3961088

Received 24 January 2024; revised 13 March 2024.

#### **Boris Solomyak**

Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, 5290002 Ramat-Gan, Israel; bsolom3@gmail.com