# New Fueter-Type Variables Associated to the Global Operator in the Quaternionic Case

by

Daniel ALPAY, Kamal DIKI and Mihaela VAJIAC

# Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to develop a new theory of three non-commuting quaternionic variables and its related Schur analysis theory for a modified version of the quaternionic global operator.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 47S05 (primary); 46S05, 46E22, 30G35 (secondary).

*Keywords:* Fueter variables, rational functions, realization theory, quaternionic analysis, Arveson space, global operator.

## §1. Introduction

Quaternionic analysis, or more generally Clifford analysis, allows one to extend the classical theory of holomorphic functions of one complex variable into function theories of hypercomplex variables. A well-developed theory in this non-commutative setting is the theory of Cauchy–Fueter regular functions (or monogenic functions); see [13, 33] and the references therein. In 2007 a new theory of regular functions of a quaternionic variable was introduced in [29]. These are the so-called *slice hyper-holomorphic* functions on quaternions. For more details on this topic we refer the reader to the books [21, 20, 28] and the references therein. This theory generated several interesting applications in different areas of mathematics and physics due

Communicated by N. Ozawa. Received January 26, 2023. Revised May 23, 2023.

D. Alpay: Schmid College of Science and Technology, Chapman University, One University Drive, Orange, CA 92866, USA;

e-mail: alpay@chapman.edu

K. Diki: Schmid College of Science and Technology, Chapman University, One University Drive, Orange, CA 92866, USA;

e-mail: diki@chapman.edu

M. Vajiac: Schmid College of Science and Technology, Chapman University, One University Drive, Orange, CA 92866, USA;

e-mail: mbvajiac@chapman.edu

 $<sup>\</sup>bigodot$  2024 Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University.

This work is licensed under a CC BY 4.0 license.

to the discovery of the notion of the S-spectrum for quaternionic operators introduced in [17]; see also [16, 15, 20, 30] and the references therein. In particular, this function theory allowed one to develop the quaternionic counterpart of operator theory, Schur analysis, and quantum mechanics; see [4, 16, 15, 20].

Let us recall that Blaschke products are building blocks of classical function theory on the disk as in [37] and, more generally, in Schur analysis, and they also constitute essential tools of the Beurling–Lax theorem, which characterizes shiftinvariant subspaces of the Hardy space. A fundamental technique that we use in this paper is based on the research developed in [19], where the authors discovered a new approach to the theory of slice hyperholomorphic functions, using a special operator with non-constant coefficients called the *quaternionic global operator*. The authors of [19] proved that under suitable conditions on the domain, the space of slice hyperholomorphic functions is strictly contained in the kernel of this global operator with non-constant coefficients. This quaternionic global operator was used in [5] to prove a counterpart of the well-known Fueter–Sce mapping theorem in the case of quaternionic polyanalytic functions. It was also used to study poly-slice monogenic functions introduced in [2, 5].

The purpose of this paper is to develop a theory of three non-commuting quaternionic variables and a related Schur analysis for the quaternionic global operator. We first set the framework for our work, and define the skew field of quaternions (denoted by  $\mathbb{H}$ ) as the space of elements of the form

(1.1) 
$$q = x_0 + x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + x_3 \mathbf{e}_3$$

where  $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3$  are real numbers and  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3$  satisfy the Cayley multiplication table. A number of the form q in (1.1) is called a quaternion, and

$$\vec{q} = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + x_3 \mathbf{e}_3$$

is called its vector part (more information on quaternions is provided in Section 2).

As in Definition 2.4, the global operator with non-constant coefficients, which was introduced for the first time in [19], was initially written as

(1.2) 
$$G_q(f) \coloneqq |\vec{q}|^2 \partial_{x_0} f(q) + \vec{q} \sum_{l=1}^3 x_l \partial_{x_l} f(q).$$

The operator  $G_q$  acts on functions of a quaternionic variable which are differentiable with respect to the real variables and it was first used to develop a Cauchy formula in the case of slice hyperholomorphic functions; see [19]. For a distributional approach to the Cauchy problem in this context see [22]. In particular, in [19], it was proved that slice-hyperholomorphic functions are strictly included in the kernel of the global operator  $G_q$ . However, the global operator we work with, denoted by  $V_q$ , is the normalized form of  $G_q$ ,  $V_q = \frac{G_q}{|\vec{q}|^2}$ , namely

(1.3) 
$$V_q = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} - \frac{1}{\vec{q}} \sum_{u=1}^3 x_u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_u}.$$

The global operator  $V_q$  was used in [5] to develop the Fueter mapping theorem for poly slice monogenic functions. In [3] the global operator was used to study infinite-order differential operators acting on entire hyperholomorphic functions and it was used also in [31] to study global differential equations for slice regular functions. Recent extensions and new results on the global operator and related topics can be found in [14, 32, 35].

**Definition 1.1.** A quaternionic-valued function f which is at least  $C^1$  in the real variables in a domain  $\Omega_R \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  is called  $V_q$ -regular if  $V_q f = 0$ .

In this paper we will focus on a family of  $V_q$ -regular functions which are realanalytic and we develop a Cauchy–Kovalevskaia product (denoted CK-product) for this space. We consider the version of Gleason problem associated to  $V_q$ , which will allow us to introduce a new type of Fueter-like variables and develop a Schur analysis and rational functions for this operator. For consistency we now introduce the notation that will be used throughout the paper.

**Definition 1.2.** Given  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  such that  $x_u \neq 0$  for some u = 1, 2, 3, we define the  $V_q$ -Fueter variables

(1.4) 
$$\mu_u(x) = x_u \left( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \right), \quad u = 1, 2, 3,$$

and, for  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ , we write, with a slight abuse of notation,

$$x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3},$$

where  $x^{\alpha}$  does not depend on  $x_0$ .

The functions  $\mu_u$ , u = 1, 2, 3, are the counterpart of the classical Fueter variables (see Definition 2.1 for the latter) in the present setting. These new variables allow us to define and study a counterpart of Schur analysis in this setting. In Theorem 3.6 we prove a more general form of the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** The functions

(1.5) 
$$\mu_u(x) = x_u \left( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \right), \quad u = 1, 2, 3,$$

are  $V_q$ -regular on  $\mathbb{H}^* \coloneqq \{q = x_0 + \vec{q}, \ \vec{q} \neq 0\}.$ 

Throughout the paper we will exchange the quadruple  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with  $x_u \neq 0$  for some u = 1, 2, 3 with the quaternionic variable  $q = x_0 + \vec{q} \in \mathbb{H}^*$  so that we can consider  $\mu_u$  as functions of both. For a given  $x \in \mathbb{H}^*$ ,  $\mu_u(x)$  commute pairwise and we recover Fueter-like monomials as in Definition 3.3:

(1.6) 
$$\mu^{\alpha}(x) = \mu_1^{\alpha_1}(x)\mu_2^{\alpha_2}(x)\mu_3^{\alpha_3}(x),$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ .

The functions  $\mu^{\alpha}$  are  $V_q$ -regular on  $\mathbb{H}^*$  (see Section 3) and we will see that they can be rewritten as

(1.7) 
$$\mu^{\alpha}(x) = x^{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^{|\alpha|}.$$

As explained in the following remarks, and throughout the paper, there are important and fundamental differences between the cases considered in [7, 9, 11] (and in particular the case of Fueter variables in [9]) and the present setting.

**Remark 1.4.** In the setting of Fueter variables, the Cauchy–Fueter operator  $D = \partial_{x_0} + \mathbf{e}_1 \partial_{x_1} + \mathbf{e}_2 \partial_{x_2} + \mathbf{e}_3 \partial_{x_3}$  and the operators of partial differentiation commute for smooth functions. This is not the case for the operator  $V_q$ , which does not commute with differentiations with respect to  $x_u$ , u = 1, 2, 3. So, for instance,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}$  need not be  $V_q$ -regular when f is  $V_q$ -regular. Since  $V_q$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}$  commute,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}$  is  $V_q$ -regular when f is  $V_q$ -regular. As an illustration, the case  $f(x) = \mu_1(x)$  is considered in Example 3.9. The function  $\frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x_2}$  is not  $V_q$ -regular, while the function  $\frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x_0}$  is  $V_q$ -regular but cannot be written as a convergent Fueter-like series of the  $\mu^{\alpha}$  monomials.

To present these ideas we adopt the following structure: In Section 2 we review basic notation and definitions of quaternions, slice hyperholomorphic functions, and the quaternionic global operator with non-constant coefficients. In Section 3 we introduce the Fueter-like variables and prove a Gleason-type theorem in this setting. Section 4 is devoted to the study of a Cauchy–Kovalevskaia-type extension and CK-type product corresponding to these Fueter-like variables. In Sections 5 and 6 we study some examples of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces generated by the Fueter-like variables, including a counterpart of Arveson space and Schur multipliers. Finally, in Section 7 we obtain Blaschke factors in this framework, followed by building a rational function theory in Section 8. We conclude the paper with a general description of the next steps we will undertake using these new Fueter-like variables.

#### §2. Preliminary results

We recall that the non-commutative field of quaternions is defined and denoted by

$$\mathbb{H} = \{ q = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k : x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \},\$$

where the imaginary units satisfy the multiplication rules

$$i^{2} = j^{2} = k^{2} = -1$$
 and  $ij = -ji = k$ ,  $jk = -kj = i$ ,  $ki = -ik = j$ .

On  $\mathbb{H}$  the conjugate and the modulus of q are defined respectively by

$$\bar{q} = x_0 - \vec{q}, \quad \vec{q} = x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k$$

and

$$|q| = \sqrt{q\bar{q}} = \sqrt{x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}.$$

Throughout the paper we use the notation  $\mathbf{e}_0 = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_1 = i$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_2 = j$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_3 = k$  interchangeably for the imaginary units. It is important to note that the conjugation satisfies the property  $\overline{pq} = \overline{qp}$  for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$ .

An important tool in the quaternionic case is the symmetric product of n quaternionic numbers  $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$ :

(2.1) 
$$q_1 \times q_2 \times \cdots \times q_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} q_{\sigma(1)} q_{\sigma(2)} \cdots q_{\sigma(n)}$$

where the sum is over the set  $S_n$  of all permutations on n indices.

In the classical case, Fueter [26, 27] used the following variables to describe the kernel of the Cauchy–Fueter operator.

**Definition 2.1.** The classical Fueter variables are  $\zeta_l = x_l - x_0 \mathbf{e}_l$  and we denote by  $\zeta^{\alpha}$  the symmetric product  $\zeta_1^{\times \alpha_1} \times \zeta_2^{\times \alpha_2} \times \zeta_3^{\times \alpha_3}$ , where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ .

These variables are Fueter-regular with respect to the classical Cauchy–Fueter operator.

We now define the setting for slice hyperholomorphic functions. The unit sphere

$$\left\{\vec{q} = x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\right\}$$

coincides with the set of all imaginary units given by

$$\mathbb{S} = \left\{ q \in \mathbb{H} : q^2 = -1 \right\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{H}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  function on a given domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}$ . Then f is said to be (left) slice hyperholomorphic function if, for every  $I \in S$ , the restriction  $f_I$  to  $\mathbb{C}_I = \mathbb{R} + I\mathbb{R}$ , with variable q = x + Iy, is holomorphic on  $\Omega_I \coloneqq \Omega \cap \mathbb{C}_I$ , that is, it has continuous partial derivatives with respect to x and y and the function  $\overline{\partial_I} f \colon \Omega_I \to \mathbb{H}$  defined by

$$\overline{\partial_I}f(x+Iy) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \Big( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + I \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Big) f_I(x+yI)$$

vanishes identically on  $\Omega_I$ . The set of slice hyperholomorphic functions will be denoted by  $S\mathcal{R}(\Omega)$ .

The right quaternion vector space  $SR(\Omega)$  is endowed with the natural topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. The characterization of such functions on a ball centered at the origin is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3** (Series expansion [29]). An  $\mathbb{H}$ -valued function f is slice hyperholomorphic on B(0, R) if and only if it has a series expansion of the form

$$f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} q^n a_n,$$

converging on  $B(0, R) = \{q \in \mathbb{H}; |q| < R\}.$ 

Another interesting approach to defining slice hyperholomorphic functions is to consider them as solutions of a special global operator with non-constant coefficients that was introduced and studied in [19, 22, 31]. This leads to the following definition.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{H}$  a function of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$ . We define the global operator  $G_q(f)$  by

$$G_q(f) \coloneqq |\vec{q}|^2 \partial_{x_0} f(q) + \vec{q} \sum_{l=1}^3 x_l \partial_{x_l} f(q),$$

for any  $q = x_0 + \vec{q} \in \Omega$ .

It was proved in [19] that any slice hyperholomorphic function is in the kernel of  $G_q$  on axially symmetric slice domains. We briefly recall the definition of such a domain

**Definition 2.5.** A domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}$  is said to be a slice domain (or just *s*-domain) if  $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}$  is non-empty and for all  $I \in \mathbb{S}$ , the set  $\Omega_I := \Omega \cap \mathbb{C}_I$  is a domain of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . If, moreover, for every  $q = x + Iy \in \Omega$ , the whole sphere  $x + y\mathbb{S} := \{x + Jy; J \in \mathbb{S}\}$  is contained in  $\Omega$ , we say that  $\Omega$  is an axially symmetric slice domain.

There are many other interesting properties of the global operator  $G_q$  that have been studied in the literature, in particular in [18]. We recall some here.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $f, g: \Omega \to \mathbb{H}$  two functions of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$ . Then, for  $q = x_0 + \vec{q} \in \Omega$ , we have

(1) 
$$G(fg) = G(f)g + fG(g) + (\vec{q}f - f\vec{q}) \sum_{l=1}^{3} x_l \partial_{x_l} g.$$

In particular, it holds that

(2)  $G(f\lambda + g) = G(f)\lambda + G(g)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$ ,

(3)  $G(x_0f) = |\vec{q}|^2 f + x_0 G(f)$  and  $G(\vec{q}f) = -|\vec{q}|^2 f + \vec{q}G(f)$ ,

(4)  $G(q^k f) = q^k G(f)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### §3. The $V_q$ -Fueter variables, a new type of Fueter variable

In this section we introduce the Gleason setting that will yield the new  $V_q$ -Fueter variables in the quaternionic case, in a natural way. Through this process, we find these variables, which correspond to the operator  $V_q$ , when we use the same technique the authors applied in the real ternary case [11], in the split quaternionic case [7], and in the regular Fueter quaternionic case [9]. For completion, in the case of Grassmann variables, see also [8].

It is also worth pointing out that our work here is the first to find a counterpart to the classical Fueter variables through the treatment of this case of a differential operator with non-constant coefficients. All the applications mentioned before used this method for operators with constant coefficients.

The strategy involves a clever application of the chain rule, and, for a  $V_q$ -regular function f, we compute

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} f(tx) \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

In the previous examples of ternary and split quaternions, we can take a = 0 and b = 1. In the present case a cannot be chosen to be 0 because of the singularity at the origin.

We will see that we can apply the same technique to obtain the Fueter-like variables  $\mu_u$  in the proof of the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open domain of  $\mathbb{H}^*$ . For a  $V_q$ -regular function  $f \in C^1(\Omega)$ , let  $a, b \in \Omega$  be such that  $[a, b] = \{(1 - t)a + tb, 0 \le t \le 1\} \subset \Omega$ . Then

(3.1) 
$$f(b) - f(a) = \sum_{u=1}^{3} \mu_u (b-a) R_u^{ab} f,$$

where

(3.2) 
$$R_u^{ab}f = \int_a^b \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_u} (a + t(b - a)) \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

*Proof.* The chain rule gives

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}f(a+tx) &= x_0\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}(a+tx) + \sum_{u=1}^3 x_u\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_u}(a+tx) \\ &= \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\sum_{u=1}^3 x_u\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_u}(a+tx) + \sum_{u=1}^3 x_u\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_u}(a+tx) \\ &= \sum_{u=1}^3 \mu_u(x)\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_u}(a+tx), \end{split}$$

and the result follows by integrating back and setting x = b - a. The reader should note that in the second step of the equality we use the fact that f is in the kernel of  $V_q$ .

# Remark 3.2. Note that

(3.3) 
$$\mu_u(b-a) \neq \mu_u(b) - \mu_u(a).$$

Furthermore, the operators  $R_u^{ab}$  do not commute with  $V_q$ .

For  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$  we use the multi-index notation and set

(3.4) 
$$x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3} \text{ and } |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3.$$

**Definition 3.3.** For the  $V_q$ -Fueter variables  $\mu_u$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ , we define the product

(3.5) 
$$\mu^{\alpha}(x) = \mu_1^{\alpha_1}(x)\mu_2^{\alpha_2}(x)\mu_3^{\alpha_3}(x),$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{H}^*$ .

**Remark 3.4.** Since the  $V_q$ -Fueter variables  $\mu_u$  commute, in the above definition we do not need to use the symmetric product and, in fact, we can rewrite the product as

(3.6) 
$$\mu^{\alpha}(x) = x^{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^{|\alpha|}.$$

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open domain in  $\mathbb{H}^*$ . For every  $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^*$  it holds that

(3.7) 
$$|\mu^{\alpha}|^{2} = |x|^{2\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{x_{0}^{2}}{x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + x_{3}^{2}} \right)^{|\alpha|},$$

and, in particular, we have

(3.8) 
$$|\mu_u(x)|^2 \le |\zeta_u(x)|^2, \quad u = 1, 2, 3.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $\frac{x_0}{\vec{a}}$  has no real part on  $\Omega$  and

(3.9) 
$$|\mu_u(x)|^2 = x_u^2 + \frac{x_u^2 x_0^2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} \le x_u^2 + x_0^2 = |\zeta_u(x)|^2.$$

We can now prove that the  $V_q\text{-}{\rm Fueter}$  products  $\mu^\alpha$  are in the kernel of  $V_q$  on  $\mathbb{H}^*.$ 

**Theorem 3.6.** It holds that  $\mu^{\alpha}$  are in the kernel of the operator  $V_q$  on any open domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^*$ . Moreover, we have

$$(3.10) V_q \mu^{\alpha}(x) = 0,$$

for every  $x \in \Omega$ .

*Proof.* We divide the verification into a number of steps.

Step 1: It holds that

(3.11) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_u} \frac{1}{\vec{q}} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_u}{\vec{q}^2} + \frac{2x_u}{\vec{q}^3}, \quad u = 1, 2, 3.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\frac{1}{\vec{q}} = \frac{\vec{q}}{\vec{q}^2} = -\frac{\vec{q}}{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_u} \frac{1}{\vec{q}} = \frac{-\mathbf{e}_u (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) + 2x_u \vec{q}}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^2}$$
$$= \frac{\mathbf{e}_u \vec{q}^2}{\vec{q}^4} + \frac{2x_u \vec{q}}{\vec{q}^3}$$

and hence the result.

Step 2: It holds that

(3.12) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_u} \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^{|\alpha|} = \sum_{\substack{t,s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ t+s=|\alpha|}} \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^t \left(\frac{x_0 \mathbf{e}_u}{\vec{q}^2} + \frac{2x_u x_0}{\vec{q}^3}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^s,$$

where u = 1, 2, 3.

Equation (3.12) is a direct consequence of (3.11) and of the formula for the derivative of  $f^n$  when f is a matrix-valued function (and in particular quaternionic valued) of (say) a real variable w:

(3.13) 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f^n}{\mathrm{d}w} = \sum_{\substack{t,s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ t+s=|\alpha|}} f^t f' f^s.$$

Step 3: We have

(3.14) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \left( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \right)^{|\alpha|} = \frac{|\alpha|}{\vec{q}} \left( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \right)^{|\alpha|-1}.$$

This is because  $1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}$  commutes with its derivative with respect to  $x_0$ , and formula (3.13) reduces then to the classical formula.

Step 4: We now calculate

$$\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\sum_{u=1}^{3}x_{u}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{u}}\mu^{\alpha}.$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\vec{q}} \sum_{u=1}^{3} x_{u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{u}} x^{\alpha} \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{|\alpha|} \\ &= \frac{1}{\vec{q}} \bigg[ \sum_{u=1}^{3} x_{u} \Big( \alpha_{u} x^{\alpha - e_{u}} \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{|\alpha|} \\ &+ x^{\alpha} \sum_{\substack{t,s \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \\ t+s = |\alpha| - 1}} \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{t} \Big( \frac{x_{0} \mathbf{e}_{u}}{\vec{q}^{2}} + \frac{2x_{u} x_{0}}{\vec{q}^{3}} \Big) \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{s} \Big) \bigg] \\ &= \frac{1}{\vec{q}} \bigg[ |\alpha| x^{\alpha} \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{|\alpha|} \\ &+ x^{\alpha} \sum_{\substack{t,s \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \\ t+s = |\alpha| - 1}} \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{t} \Big( \sum_{u=1}^{3} \frac{x_{u} x_{0} \mathbf{e}_{u}}{\vec{q}^{2}} + \frac{2x_{u}^{2} x_{0}}{\vec{q}^{3}} \Big) \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{s} \bigg] \\ &= \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}} \bigg[ |\alpha| \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{|\alpha|} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{t,s \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \\ t+s = |\alpha| - 1}} \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{t} \Big( \frac{x_{0} \vec{q}}{\vec{q}^{2}} - \frac{2\vec{q}^{2} x_{0}}{\vec{q}^{3}} \Big) \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{s} \bigg] \end{split}$$

FUETER-TYPE VARIABLES, GLOBAL OPERATOR

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}} \bigg[ |\alpha| \Big( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{|\alpha|} - \sum_{\substack{t,s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ t+s = |\alpha| - 1}} \Big( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \Big)^t \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \Big( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \Big)^s \bigg] \\ &= \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}} \Big[ |\alpha| \Big( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{|\alpha|} - |\alpha| \Big( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{|\alpha| - 1} \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \bigg]. \end{split}$$

Step 5: We can now compute  $V_q \mu^{\alpha}$ . Using (3.14) and the previous step we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{q}\mu^{\alpha} &= x^{\alpha} \frac{|\alpha|}{\vec{q}} \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{|\alpha|-1} - \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}} \Big[ |\alpha| \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{|\alpha|} - |\alpha| \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{|\alpha|-1} \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big] \\ &= \frac{|\alpha|x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}} \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big)^{|\alpha|-1} \Big( 1 - \Big( 1 + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big) + \frac{x_{0}}{\vec{q}} \Big) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.

**Remark 3.7.** We observe that both functions  $\mu^{\alpha}$  and  $\zeta^{\alpha}$  coincide with  $x^{\alpha}$  when  $x_0 = 0$ . It is important to note that these are two different extensions of the same real function  $x^{\alpha}$  leading to two different regular function theories. In fact,  $\mu^{\alpha}$  is the  $V_q$ -regular extension of  $x^{\alpha}$ , while  $\zeta^{\alpha}$  gives the classical Fueter extension. However, the classical Fueter variables  $\zeta^{\alpha}$  extend  $x^{\alpha}$  to the whole space of quaternions while  $\mu^{\alpha}$  extend  $x^{\alpha}$  to domains of  $\mathbb{H}^*$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function  $q^n$  is in ker  $V_q$  and, moreover,

$$q^n = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \mu^{\alpha} c_{\alpha,n}$$

where, with  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ ,

(3.15) 
$$c_{\alpha,n} = \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \mathbf{e}_1^{\times \alpha_1} \times \mathbf{e}_2^{\times \alpha_2} \times \mathbf{e}_3^{\times \alpha_3},$$

where the symmetric product is taken among all the products of the units  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u}}$ .

*Proof.* In  $\mathbb{H}^*$  we have

$$q^n = (x_0 + \vec{q})^n$$
$$= \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^n (\vec{q})^n$$

869

$$= \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^n \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=n} x^{\alpha} c_{\alpha,n}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{|\alpha|=n} x^{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^n c_{\alpha,n},$$

for some  $c_{\alpha,n} \in \mathbb{H}$  which can be expressed in terms of symmetrized products as in (3.15) by known formulas.

We note that (3.15) does not take into account the Cayley table of multiplication for the quaternions.

**Example 3.9.** Let us examine  $\mu_1(x) = x_1(1 + \frac{x_0}{\overline{q}}) = x_1 + x_0 \frac{x_1}{\overline{q}}$ . The function  $\frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x_2} = x_1 x_0 (\frac{\mathbf{e}_2}{\overline{q}^2} + \frac{2x_2}{\overline{q}^3})$  is not  $V_q$ -regular, while the function  $\frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x_0} = \frac{x_1}{\overline{q}}$  is  $V_q$ -regular but cannot be written as a convergent Fueter-like series of the  $\mu^{\alpha}$ .

Discussion of Example 3.9. We have

$$\frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x_2}(x) = x_1 x_0 \Big(\frac{\mathbf{e}_2}{\vec{q}^2} + \frac{2x_2}{\vec{q}^3}\Big),$$

and so

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mu_1}{\partial x_0 \partial x_2}(x) = x_1 \left(\frac{\mathbf{e}_2}{\vec{q}^2} + \frac{2x_2}{\vec{q}^3}\right)$$

is independent of  $x_0$ . On the other hand,

$$\sum_{u=1}^{3} x_u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_u} \left( \frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x_2} \right) = \sum_{u=1}^{3} x_u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_u} \left( x_1 x_0 \left( \frac{\mathbf{e}_2}{\vec{q}^2} + \frac{2x_2}{\vec{q}^3} \right) \right)$$
$$= x_0 \left[ \sum_{u=1}^{3} x_u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_u} \left( x_1 \left( \frac{\mathbf{e}_2}{\vec{q}^2} + \frac{2x_2}{\vec{q}^3} \right) \right) \right].$$

Hence

$$\left(V_q \frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x_2}\right)(x) = x_1 \left(\frac{\mathbf{e}_2}{\vec{q}^2} + \frac{2x_2}{\vec{q}^3}\right) - x_0 \left[\sum_{u=1}^3 x_u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_u} \left(x_1 \left(\frac{\mathbf{e}_2}{\vec{q}^2} + \frac{2x_2}{\vec{q}^3}\right)\right)\right].$$

Setting  $x_0 = 0$  shows that  $V_q \frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x_2} \neq 0$ .

We now turn to  $\frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x_0}$ , which is  $V_q$ -regular since differentiation with respect to  $x_0$  commutes with  $V_q$ . Assume now that

$$\frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x_0} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \mu^\alpha c_\alpha$$

for some quaternionic coefficients  $c_{\alpha}$ , and where the convergence is assumed in some set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^*$ . In other words,

(3.16) 
$$\frac{x_1}{\vec{q}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} x^{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^{|\alpha|} c_{\alpha}.$$

Setting  $x_0 = 0$  in this equation, and multiplying both sides by  $\vec{q}$  leads to

(3.17) 
$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} x_1^{\alpha_1 + 1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3} \mathbf{e}_1 c_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2 + 1} x_3^{\alpha_3} \mathbf{e}_2 c_\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3 + 1} \mathbf{e}_3 c_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where this equality is valid a priori only for  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  such that  $x_u \neq 0$  for some u = 1, 2, 3. By classical results on series and summable families, (3.17) can be extended to  $x_u = 0$ . Comparing the linear terms on both sides of (3.17) leads to

$$x_1 = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 c_{0,0,0} + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2 c_{0,0,0} + x_3 \mathbf{e}_3 c_{0,0,0},$$

which is impossible, leading to a contradiction.

Just as in the classical case, let us now define the conjugate operator of  $V_q$ , denoted by  $\overline{V_q}$ , to be the operator defined by

(3.18) 
$$\overline{V_q} \coloneqq \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + \frac{1}{\vec{q}} \sum_{u=1}^3 \mathbf{e}_u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_u}.$$

We can prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.10.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open domain in  $\mathbb{H}^*$ . If f is  $V_q$ -regular on  $\Omega$ , then  $\overline{V_q}(f)$  is also  $V_q$ -regular on  $\Omega$ . Moreover, in this case we have

(3.19) 
$$\frac{1}{2}\overline{V_q}f(q) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}f(q), \quad \forall q = x_0 + \vec{q}.$$

*Proof.* We first observe that

$$(V_q + \overline{V_q})f(q) = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}f(q).$$

However, since f is  $V_q$ -regular, then it belongs to ker $(V_q)$  so that  $V_q(f) = 0$ , leading to

$$\overline{V_q}f(q)=2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}f(q).$$

Thus, applying the operator  $V_q$  and, using the fact that it commutes with  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}$ , we obtain

$$V_q \overline{V_q} f(q) = 2V_q \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f(q)$$
$$= 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} V_q f(q)$$
$$= 0.$$

So, the function  $\overline{V_q}(f)$  is  $V_q$ -regular, which ends the proof.

**Proposition 3.11.** It holds that for every  $q \in \mathbb{H}^*$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\overline{V_q}\mu^{\alpha}(q) = \frac{|\alpha|}{q}\mu^{\alpha}(q)$$

*Proof.* We use the previous computations of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\mu^{\alpha}$  and  $\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\sum_{u=1}^3 x_u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_u}\mu^{\alpha}$  to obtain

$$\overline{V_q}\mu^{\alpha}(q) = 2|\alpha| \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}} \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^{|\alpha|-1}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\overline{V_q}\mu^{\alpha}(q) = 2|\alpha|q^{-1}\mu^{\alpha}(x),$$

which is the desired equality.

**Remark 3.12.** It is easy to see that a consequence of this proposition yields the counterpart of the properties of the number operators and we have

$$M_q \overline{V_q}(\mu^{\alpha}) = 2|\alpha|\mu^{\alpha}$$

where  $M_q$  represents the left quaternionic multiplication.

# §4. Cauchy–Kovalevskaia product

In this section we build a Cauchy–Kovalevskaia product using the new variables  $\mu^{\alpha}$ . We start by building a CK-extension of a function in the kernel of  $V_q$ ,  $f = f_0 + \mathbf{e}_1 f_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 f_2 + \mathbf{e}_3 f_3$ , where  $f_0$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$  are real-valued differentiable functions on an open subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^*$ . The equation  $V_q f = 0$  can be rewritten as

(4.1) 
$$\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_0} = G_j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where  $G_j$  is real analytic in the variables  $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3$ . The right-hand side can be written in terms of the partial derivatives with respect to the other three variables,

872

i.e.  $\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_k}$ , j = 0, 1, 2, 3, k = 1, 2, 3, and they are given by the following system on an open subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^*$ :

(4.2)  
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x_0} &= \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} (x_1 E f_1 + x_2 E f_2 + x_3 E f_3), \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_0} &= -\frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} (-x_1 E f_0 - x_2 E f_3 + x_3 E f_2), \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_0} &= -\frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} (-x_2 E f_0 - x_1 E f_3 + x_3 E f_1), \\ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_0} &= -\frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} (-x_3 E f_0 - x_1 E f_2 + x_2 E f_1), \end{aligned}$$

where E denotes the Euler operator

$$E = \sum_{u=1}^{3} x_u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_u}.$$

We can therefore apply the Cauchy–Kovalevskaia theorem (see e.g. [23, §7, p. 39], which asserts that the system (4.1) has a unique solution near a real point possibly different from the origin) for given initial real analytic values  $f_j(0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  on an open domain  $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset (\mathbb{H}^* \cap \{x_0 = 0\})$ . This solution, F, is defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^*$ , where  $\widetilde{\Omega} = \Omega \cap \{x_0 = 0\}$ , and we call it the *CK*-extension of f with respect to  $V_q$ .

**Definition 4.1.** The CK-extension to  $\Omega$  with respect to the operator  $V_q$  found above is denoted by  $F = \operatorname{CK}_{V_q}(f)$ .

Remark 4.2. The choices

$$f_0(0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3}$$

and

$$f_1(0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = f_2(0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = f_3(0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$$

lead, in the case of the variables  $x^{\alpha}$  to

(4.3) 
$$\mu^{\alpha} = \operatorname{CK}_{V_q}(x^{\alpha}).$$

**Definition 4.3.** We can now define the CK-product of two functions f, g in the kernel of  $V_q$  to be

(4.4) 
$$f \star_{V_q} g = \operatorname{CK}_{V_q}(f(0, x_1, x_2, x_3)g(0, x_1, x_2, x_3)),$$

for every  $x \in \Omega$ .

It is easy to see that, for any two quaternions c, d, we have that the CKproduct of two powers of these Fueter variables behaves in a very nice way:

**Proposition 4.4.** On the entire domain  $\mathbb{H}^*$  we have that

(4.5) 
$$\mu^{\alpha}c \star_{V_q} \mu^{\beta}d = \mu^{\alpha+\beta}cd$$

*Proof.* The proof is left to the reader, with the hint that the main argument is the uniqueness of the CK extension of  $x^{\alpha}$ ,  $x^{\beta}$ , and  $x^{\alpha+\beta}$ , respectively.

**Theorem 4.5.** For every  $x \in \mathbb{H}^*$  it holds that

(4.6) 
$$\left(\exp\left(x_0\frac{1}{\vec{q}}E\right)\right)(x^{\alpha}) = \mu^{\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* We proceed in a number of steps.

Step 1: It holds that

(4.7) 
$$E\left(\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\right) = -\frac{1}{\vec{q}}.$$

Indeed, using (3.11) we can write

$$E\left(\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\right) = \sum_{u=1}^{3} x_u \left(\frac{\mathbf{e}_u}{\vec{q}^2} + \frac{2x_u}{\vec{q}^3}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\vec{q}}{\vec{q}^2} + 2\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}{\vec{q}^3}$$
$$= \frac{\vec{q}}{\vec{q}^2} - 2\frac{\vec{q}^2}{\vec{q}^3}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\vec{q}}.$$

Step 2: For non-commuting functions of a real variable it holds that

(4.8) 
$$(fg)' = f'g + fg'.$$

This follows from

$$f(t)g(t) - f(t_0)g(t_0) = (f(t) - f(t_0))g(t) + f(t_0)(g(t) - g(t_0)).$$

Note that f and g may commute at a joint value t but we do not assume that f(t)g(s) = g(s)f(t) for  $t \neq s$ .

Step 3: It holds that

(4.9) 
$$E\left(\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n}}\right) = \begin{cases} (|\alpha| - n)\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n}}, & 0 \le n \le |\alpha|, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We proceed by induction. The case n = 0 corresponds to the formula

$$E(x^{\alpha}) = |\alpha| x^{\alpha}.$$

We then write

$$\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n+1}} = \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^n} \frac{1}{\vec{q}}$$

and apply (4.8) with  $f(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n}}$  and  $g(x) = \frac{1}{\vec{q}}$  to get

$$E\left(\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n+1}}\right) = \sum_{u=1}^{3} x_{u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{u}} \left(\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n+1}}\right)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{u=1}^{3} x_{u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{u}} \left(\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n}}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\vec{q}} + \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n}} \left(\sum_{u=1}^{3} x_{u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{u}} \frac{1}{\vec{q}}\right)$$
$$= \underbrace{\left(|\alpha| - n\right) \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n}}}_{\text{induction at rank } n} \frac{1}{\vec{q}} + \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n}} \underbrace{-1}_{\text{by } (4.7)}}_{\text{by } (4.7)}$$
$$= \left(|\alpha| - n - 1\right) \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n+1}}.$$

Step 4: We prove

(4.10) 
$$\left(E\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\right)^n(x^\alpha) = (|\alpha|-1)(|\alpha|-2)\cdots(|\alpha|-n+1)\frac{x^\alpha}{\vec{q}^n}, \quad n=1,2,\ldots$$

We proceed by induction. The case n = 1 corresponds to the previous step. Then

$$\left(E\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\right)^{n+1}(x^{\alpha}) = E\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\left(\left(E\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\right)^{n}(x^{\alpha})\right)$$

$$= E\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\left((|\alpha|-1)(|\alpha|-2)\cdots(|\alpha|-n+1)\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n}}\right)$$

$$= (|\alpha|-1)(|\alpha|-2)\cdots(|\alpha|-n+1)E\left(\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n}}\right)\frac{1}{\vec{q}}$$

$$= (|\alpha|-1)(|\alpha|-2)\cdots(|\alpha|-n+1)(|\alpha|-n)\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\vec{q}^{n+1}},$$

where we have used the induction hypothesis to go from the first to the second line, and formula (4.9) to go from the third line to the fourth.

Step 5: We prove (4.6). We first note that, in view of (4.10),

$$\left(E\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\right)^n(x^\alpha) = 0$$

for  $n \geq |\alpha|$ . Furthermore, since

$$\left(\frac{1}{\vec{q}}E\right)^n = \frac{1}{\vec{q}}\left(E\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\right)^{n-1}E, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we have that the series

$$\left(\exp\left(x_0\frac{1}{\vec{q}}E\right)\right)(x^{\alpha}) = x^{\alpha} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_0^n}{n!} \left(\frac{1}{\vec{q}}E\right)^n (x^{\alpha})$$
$$= x^{\alpha} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_0^n}{n!} \frac{1}{\vec{q}} \left(E\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\right)^{n-1} E(x^{\alpha})$$

has only a finite number of non-zero terms, and is therefore equal to

$$\begin{split} \left(\exp\left(x_0\frac{1}{\vec{q}}E\right)\right)(x^{\alpha}) &= x^{\alpha} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_0^n}{n!} \frac{1}{\vec{q}} \left(E\frac{1}{\vec{q}}\right)^{n-1} E(x^{\alpha}) \\ &= x^{\alpha} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \frac{|\alpha|(|\alpha|-1)\cdots(|\alpha|-n+1)}{n!} \left(\frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^n\right) \\ &= x^{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}}\right)^{|\alpha|}. \end{split}$$

The theorem is now proven.

Let b and c be two quaternions, and writing

$$q^n b = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3_0} \mu^{\alpha} c_{\alpha,n} b$$
 and  $q^m c = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3_0} \mu^{\alpha} c_{\alpha,m} c$ ,

we have the  $\star_{V_q}$  product of the two:

$$q^n b \star_{V_q} q^m c = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \mu^{\alpha+\beta} c_{\alpha,n} b c_{\beta,m} c.$$

In the expression above, since b and c are quaternions they do not commute with  $c_{\alpha,n}$  and  $c_{\beta,m}$ ; however, when  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  this expression reduces to

(4.11) 
$$q^{n}b \star_{V_{q}} q^{m}c = \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}} \mu^{\alpha+\beta}c_{\alpha,n}c_{\beta,m}bc$$
$$= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=\gamma\\\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}}} c_{\alpha,n}c_{\beta,m}\right)bc, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}, \ c \in \mathbb{H}.$$

When  $x_0 = 0$  we have  $q = \vec{q}$  and the  $\star_{V_q}$  product reduces to the pointwise product. We have

$$\vec{q}^n = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0} x^\alpha c_{\alpha,n}$$

and

$$\vec{q}^n \vec{q}^m = \vec{q}^{n+m},$$

so that

(4.12)  

$$c_{\gamma,n+m} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=\gamma\\\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}}} c_{\alpha,n}c_{\beta,m},$$

$$q^{n}b \star_{\text{slice}} q^{m}c = q^{n+m}bc$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}} \mu^{\gamma}c_{\gamma,n+m}bc.$$

We then have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{H}$ . On the entire domain  $\mathbb{H}^*$  it holds that

(4.13) 
$$q^n b \star_{V_q} q^m c = q^n b \star_{\text{slice}} q^m c = q^{n+m} b c$$

and, more generally, for f intrinsic,

$$f \star_{V_q} g = f \star_{\text{slice}} g = fg$$

The term *intrinsic* used in slice quaternionic analysis means that the function preserves all slices. This equality does not hold for more general functions in the kernel of  $V_q$ .

#### §5. Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces

We now set the stage for the Schur analysis theory in this case and we begin by defining a reproducing kernel for the Hilbert space of power series in  $\mu^{\alpha}$ .

For r, R, and  $\rho$  strictly positive, let us first define the domain:

(5.1) 
$$\Omega_{r,R,\rho} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^4 : r < |x_u| < R, \ u = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and } |x_0| < \rho \right\}$$

First we see that for any  $x \in \Omega_{r,R,\rho} \subset \mathbb{H}^*$ , we have

(5.2) 
$$|\mu^{\alpha}(x)| \le L_{r,R,\rho}^{|\alpha|},$$

where  $L_{r,R,\rho} = R(1 + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{3}r}).$ 

Let  $\Omega_{r,R,\rho}$  and *L* be defined as above. From standard positivity arguments, as in [7, 11] the next proposition follows.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $c_{\alpha}$  be a family of positive numbers for  $\alpha$  in a subset S of  $\mathbb{N}_0^3$ . Assume that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in S} \frac{L_{r_1,R_1,\rho_1}^{2|\alpha|}}{c_{\alpha}} < \infty$$

for all  $r_1$ ,  $R_1$ ,  $\rho_1$  such that

(5.3) 
$$r < r_1 < R_1 < R \quad and \quad 0 < \rho_0 < \rho_0$$

Then the function

(5.4) 
$$K_{\mathbf{c}}(x,y) = \sum_{\alpha \in S} \frac{\mu^{\alpha}(x)\overline{\mu^{\alpha}(y)}}{c_{\alpha}}$$

is positive definite in  $\Omega_{r,R,\rho}$  and the associated reproducing kernel Hilbert space consists of the Fueter-like series with quaternionic coefficients  $f_{\alpha}$ :

(5.5) 
$$\mathfrak{H}(K_{\mathbf{c}}) = \left\{ f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \mu^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} : f \text{ abs. conv. in } \Omega_{r,R,\rho}, \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} c_{\alpha} |f_{\alpha}|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$
  
*Proof.* The proof follows from the usual arguments as in [7, 11].

*Proof.* The proof follows from the usual arguments as in [7, 11].

**Proposition 5.2.** Elements of  $\mathfrak{H}(K_{\mathbf{c}})$  are  $V_q$ -regular on

(5.6) 
$$\Omega(\mathfrak{H}(K_{\mathbf{c}})) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{H}^* : \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \frac{|\mu^{\alpha}(x)|^2}{c_{\alpha}} < \infty \right\}.$$

*Proof.* We proceed in a number of steps.

Step 1: Let  $a \in (0, 1)$ . Then

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^3}a^{2|\alpha|}|\alpha|^2<\infty,$$

and in particular  $a^{|\alpha|}|\alpha|$  are uniformly bounded. By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)^2 \le 3(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2).$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} a^{2|\alpha|} |\alpha|^2 &\leq 3 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} a^{2|\alpha|} (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2) \\ &= 3 \sum_{u=1}^3 \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} a^{2\alpha_1} a^{2\alpha_2} a^{2\alpha_3} \alpha_u^2 \right) \end{split}$$

But

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^3}a^{2\alpha_1}a^{2\alpha_2}a^{2\alpha_3}\alpha_1^2\right) = \left(\sum_{\alpha_1=0}^\infty a^{2\alpha_1}\alpha_1^2\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha_2=0}^\infty a^{2\alpha_2}\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha_3=0}^\infty a^{2\alpha_3}\right) < \infty,$$

and similarly for u = 2 and u = 3.

Step 2: For  $r_1$  and  $R_1$  as in (5.3),

(5.7) 
$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \frac{\left|\frac{\partial \mu^{\alpha}}{\partial x_1}\right|^2}{c_{\alpha}} < \infty$$

for  $r_1 \leq |x_1| \leq R_1$ , and similarly for  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mu^{\alpha}}{\partial x_1} &= \alpha_1 x^{\alpha - e_1} \left( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \right)^{|\alpha|} \\ &+ x^{\alpha} \bigg[ \sum_{\substack{t,s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ t+s = |\alpha| - 1}} \left( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \right)^t \left( \frac{x_0 \mathbf{e}_u}{\vec{q}^2} + \frac{2x_u x_0}{\vec{q}^3} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \right)^s \bigg] \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{\partial \mu^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{1}} \right| &\leq \alpha_{1} R_{1}^{|\alpha|-1} + R_{1}^{|\alpha|} |\alpha| \left( 1 + \frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{3}r_{1}} \right)^{|\alpha|-1} \left( \frac{\rho_{1}}{3r_{1}^{2}} + \frac{2R_{1}\rho_{1}}{3^{3/2}r_{1}^{3/2}} \right) \\ &\leq R_{1}^{\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{3}r_{1}} \right)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha| \underbrace{ \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{3}r_{1}}} \left( \frac{\rho_{1}}{3r_{1}^{2}} + \frac{2R_{1}\rho_{1}}{3^{3/2}r_{1}^{3/2}} \right) \right]}_{= M, \text{ independent of } \alpha }. \end{split}$$

Let  $R_2$  be such that  $R_1 < R_2 < R$ . We can write

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}} \frac{|\frac{\partial \mu^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{1}}|^{2}}{c_{\alpha}} &\leq M \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}} \frac{R_{1}^{2|\alpha|} (1 + \frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{3}r_{1}})^{2|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{2}}{c_{\alpha}} \\ &= M \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}} \frac{R_{2}^{2|\alpha|} (1 + \frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{3}r_{1}})^{2|\alpha|} |\alpha|}{c_{\alpha}} \Big[ \Big( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \Big)^{2|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{2} \Big] \\ &\leq M \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}} \frac{R_{2}^{2|\alpha|} (1 + \frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{3}r_{1}})^{2|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{2}}{c_{\alpha}} \\ &< \infty, \end{split}$$

using Step 1, for some constant M.

Step 3: For  $r_1$  and  $R_1$  as in (5.3) we have

(5.8) 
$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \frac{\left|\frac{\partial \mu^{\alpha}}{\partial x_0}\right|^2}{c_{\alpha}} < \infty$$

for  $|x_0| \leq \rho_1$ .

This follows from

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\mu^{\alpha} = x^{\alpha} \left[ \sum_{\substack{t,s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ t+s = |\alpha| - 1}} \left( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \right)^t \left( \frac{1}{\vec{q}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{x_0}{\vec{q}} \right)^s \right]$$

and the corresponding bound

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\mu^{\alpha}\right| \leq |\alpha| R_1^{|\alpha|} \Big(1 + \frac{\rho_1}{\sqrt{3}r_1}\Big)^{|\alpha|-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}r_1}$$

This step can be proven directly using the Appell-type property, i.e.  $V_{\bar{q}}$  acts on powers of  $\mu$  the same as  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}$ .

Step 4: We prove that, pointwise, for  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \mu^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{H}(K_{\mathbf{c}})$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_u}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^3_0}\mu^\alpha f_\alpha=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^3_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_u}\mu^\alpha f_\alpha.$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the previous lemma we see that the series of derivatives

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_u} \mu^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_u} \mu^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{c_{\alpha}}} \sqrt{c_{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}$$

converges uniformly in intervals  $[r_1, R_1]$ . Since the series  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \mu^{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$  converges in  $\mathfrak{H}(K_{\mathbf{c}})$  then it converges pointwise and a classical calculus theorem allows us to conclude that we have convergence in Step 4. This classical theorem speaks of sequences and not of summable families, but we can reduce the latter to the case of sequences by identifying  $\mathbb{N}_0^3$  and  $\mathbb{N}_0$  via a bijection.

This solves the cases of u = 1, 2, 3. The case u = 0 is treated in a similar way and we leave the details to the reader.

We now apply Step 4 four times in the definition of  $V_q$ . More precisely,

$$\begin{split} V_q\bigg(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^3}\mu_{\alpha}f_{\alpha}\bigg) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\bigg(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^3}\mu_{\alpha}f_{\alpha}\bigg) - \frac{1}{\vec{q}}\sum_{u=1}^3 x_u\frac{\partial}{\partial x_u}\bigg(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^3}\mu_{\alpha}f_{\alpha}\bigg) \\ &= \bigg(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\mu_{\alpha}f_{\alpha}\bigg) - \frac{1}{\vec{q}}\sum_{u=1}^3 x_u\bigg(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_u}\mu_{\alpha}f_{\alpha}\bigg) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^3}V_q\mu^{\alpha}f_{\alpha} \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

This concludes the proof.

Let us now turn to a definition of a backward-shift and multiplication operator in this case and we set

(5.9) 
$$e_1 = (1, 0, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, 0), \text{ and } e_3 = (0, 0, 1).$$

**Definition 5.3.** The multiplication and backward shift operators on  $\mathbb{H}^*$  are

(5.10) 
$$M_u \mu^\alpha = \mu^{\alpha + e_u}$$

(5.11) 
$$B_u \mu^{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha_u}{|\alpha|} \mu^{\alpha - e_u}, \quad u = 1, 2, 3$$

with the understanding that  $B_u \mu^{\alpha} = 0$  if  $\alpha_u = 0$ .

Note that

(5.12) 
$$\left(\sum_{u=1}^{3} B_{u} M_{u}\right) \mu^{\alpha} = \mu^{\alpha}.$$

As expected, we have the following result:

**Theorem 5.4.** Assume that the domain of definition of  $M_u$  in  $\mathfrak{H}(K_c)$  contains the linear span of the Fueter polynomials  $\mu^{\alpha}$ . Then

(5.13) 
$$M_u^*(\mu^\alpha) = \frac{c_\alpha}{c_{\alpha-e_u}} \mu^{\alpha-e_u},$$

with the understanding that the right-hand side of (5.13) equals 0 if  $\alpha_u = 0$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to check that

$$\langle M_{u}\mu^{\alpha},\mu^{\beta}\rangle = \langle \mu^{\alpha},\mu^{\beta+e_{u}}\rangle$$

$$= c_{\alpha}\delta_{\alpha,\beta+e_{u}}$$

$$= c_{\alpha}\delta_{\alpha-e_{u},\beta}$$

$$= \frac{c_{\alpha}}{c_{\alpha-e_{u}}}c_{\alpha-e_{u}}\delta_{\alpha-e_{u},\beta}$$

$$= \frac{c_{\alpha}}{c_{\alpha-e_{u}}}\langle \mu^{\alpha-e_{u}},\mu^{\beta}\rangle.$$

This concludes the proof.

## §6. Arveson space and Schur multipliers

For properties of the classical Arveson space, as well as a motivation for its definition, the reader can turn to [12, 24]. We now turn to the definition of an Arveson space in our case, which we will denote by  $\mathfrak{A}$ , in the present setting. We start with the definition of the counterpart of the *unit ball* in our case:

(6.1) 
$$\Omega_A = \Omega(\mathfrak{A}) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{H}^*, \ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} |\mu^{\alpha}(x)|^2 \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} < \infty \right\}.$$

**Definition 6.1.** The  $V_q$ -Arveson space is the Hilbert space of absolutely convergent  $V_q$ -series on the unit ball

(6.2) 
$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \mu^{\alpha}(x) c_{\alpha},$$

with

(6.3) 
$$\langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \frac{\alpha!}{|\alpha|!} |c_{\alpha}|^2 < \infty,$$

that is,

(6.4) 
$$\mathfrak{A} = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \mu^{\alpha}(x) c_{\alpha} : f \text{ abs. conv. with } \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \frac{\alpha!}{|\alpha|!} |c_{\alpha}|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Here, the notation is that of Definition 3.3.

Definition 6.2. The reproducing kernel for this Arveson space is

(6.5) 
$$K_{\mathfrak{A}}(x,y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \mu^{\alpha}(x) \overline{\mu^{\alpha}(y)} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!}$$

The domain of this kernel is defined by the following.

**Proposition 6.3.** Elements of the Arveson space are  $V_q$ -regular in

(6.6) 
$$\Omega_A = \Omega(\mathfrak{A}) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{H}^*, \ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3_0} |\mu^{\alpha}(x)|^2 \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} < \infty \right\}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the reproducing kernel property, using the same type of arguments as in Section 5.  $\Box$ 

Evaluation at the origin does not exist; however, we can now define its counterpart by setting, for  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ :

$$C(f) = c_{0,0,0}.$$

**Theorem 6.4.** The Arveson space is the unique reproducing kernel Hilbert space (up to a multiplicative constant) of  $V_q$  power series such that  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  are bounded operators there and satisfy

(6.7) 
$$I - \sum_{u=1}^{3} M_u M_u^* = CC^*$$

Furthermore,

(6.8) 
$$M_u^* = B_u, \quad u = 1, 2, 3$$

in the Arveson space.

*Proof.* We first assume that (6.7) (sometimes called the structural identity) is in force and compute the associated inner product. We proceed in a number of steps.

Step 1: We have that

$$C^*1 = 1_{\mathbb{H}}$$

(the constant function equal identically to 1).

Indeed,

$$(C^*1)(y) = \langle C^*1, K_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot, y) \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}}$$
$$= \langle 1_{\mathbb{H}}, CK_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$$
$$= 1.$$

Here we used the fact that  $CK_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot, y) = 1_{\mathbb{H}}$ .

Step 2: 
$$M_{\mu}^* = 0$$
. This is (5.13) with  $\alpha = (0, 0, 0)$ .

Step 3: Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be different from (0,0,0), and assume that (6.7) is in force. We have

(6.9) 
$$1 = \sum_{u=1}^{3} \frac{c_{\alpha}}{c_{\alpha-e_u}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3.$$

Indeed,

$$\langle \mu^{\alpha}, \mu^{\beta} \rangle = \sum_{u=1}^{3} \langle M_{u}^{*} \mu^{\alpha}, M_{u}^{*} \mu^{\beta} \rangle,$$

that is,

$$c_{\alpha}\delta_{\alpha,\beta} = \sum_{u=1}^{3} \frac{c_{\alpha}c_{\beta}}{c_{\alpha-e_{u}}c_{\beta-e_{u}}} \langle \mu^{\alpha-e_{u}}\mu^{\beta-e_{u}} \rangle$$

or, equivalently, (6.9) holds.

Step 4: The inner product is that of the Arveson space. From (6.9) we have that the sequence  $d_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{c_{\alpha}}$  satisfies

$$d_{\alpha} = \sum_{u=1}^{3} d_{\alpha - e_u}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3.$$

Together with  $d_{0,0,0} = 1$  we get  $c_{\alpha} = c_{0,0,0} \frac{\alpha!}{|\alpha|!}$ . The converse is proven by reading these arguments backwards, with  $c_{\alpha} =$  $\frac{\alpha!}{|\alpha|!}$ .  **Proposition 6.5.** Assume that S is an  $\mathbb{H}^{n \times m}$ -valued multiplier defined on  $\Omega_A$ . Then

(6.10) 
$$\left(M_S^*(K_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot, y))\xi\right)(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} \mu^{\alpha}(x) (S \star_{V_q} \mu^{\alpha})(y)^* \xi, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$

*Proof.* Since  $M_S^*$  is continuous we obtain

$$\begin{split} \langle M_{S}^{*}(K_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot,y)\xi), K_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot,x)\eta \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} &= \langle K_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot,y)\xi, S\star_{V_{q}}K_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot,x)\eta \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} \mu^{\alpha}(x) \langle K_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot,y)\xi, S\eta\star_{V_{q}}\mu^{\alpha} \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} \mu^{\alpha}(x) \overline{\xi^{*}S\eta\star_{V_{q}}\mu^{\alpha}(y)} \\ &= \eta^{*} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} \mu^{\alpha}(x) (S\xi\star_{V_{q}}\mu^{\alpha}(y))^{*} \\ &= \eta^{*} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} \mu^{\alpha}(x) (S\star_{V_{q}}\mu^{\alpha})(y)^{*}\xi. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 6.6.** An  $\mathbb{H}^{n \times m}$ -valued function S is a contractive multiplier (i.e. a Schur multiplier) from  $\mathfrak{A}^m$  into  $\mathfrak{A}^n$  if and only if the  $\mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$ -valued kernel

(6.11) 
$$K(x,y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} \left\{ \mu^{\alpha}(x) \overline{\mu^{\alpha}(y)} I_n - (\mu^{\alpha} \star_{V_q} S)(x) (\mu^{\alpha} \star_{V_q} S)(y)^* \right\}$$

is positive definite in  $\Omega_A = \Omega(\mathfrak{A})$ .

*Proof.* To simplify notation we assume n = m = 1. Assume first that  $M_S$  is a contraction. Then  $I_{\mathfrak{A}} - M_S M_S^*$  is a positive operator from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into itself. The positivity of the kernel (6.11) follows then from the formula

$$\langle (I_{\mathfrak{A}} - M_S M_S^*) K_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot, y), K_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}}$$
  
=  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} \{ \mu^{\alpha}(x) \overline{\mu^{\alpha}(y)} I_n - (\mu^{\alpha} \star_{V_q} S)(x) (\mu^{\alpha} \star_{V_q} S)(y)^* \},$ 

which in turn is obtained from (6.10).

Conversely, if the kernel (6.11) is positive definite in  $\Omega(\mathfrak{A})$ , the right linear span of the pairs of functions

$$\left( (K_{\mathfrak{A}}(\cdot, y))(x), \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} (\mu^{\alpha}(x)(S \star_{V_q} \mu^{\alpha})(y)^*) \right) \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$$

defines a densely defined contractive relation, which extends therefore to the graph of an everywhere defined contraction; the adjoint of this contraction is  $M_S$ .

In view of the structural identity (6.9), the Fueter-like variables are Schur multipliers. In the next section we present another important Schur multiplier, which is the counterpart here of an elementary Blaschke factor.

# §7. $V_q$ Blaschke-type factors

As mentioned in the introduction, Blaschke products are building blocks of classical function theory on the disk as in [37] and, more generally, in Schur analysis, and they also constitute essential tools of the Beurling–Lax theorem, which characterizes shift-invariant subspaces of the Hardy space.

We denote by  $\Omega_1(\mathfrak{A})$  the set of  $x \in \mathbb{H}^*$  such that

$$\sum_{u=1}^{3} |\mu_u(x)|^2 < 1.$$

By inequalities (3.8) we have

$$\left\{x\in \mathbb{H}^*; \ 3x_0^2+x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2<1\right\}\subset \Omega_1(\mathfrak{K}).$$

**Remark 7.1.** It is essential to consider elements of  $\mathbb{H}^*$ , for example the element  $x = (\frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0) \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4; 3x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < 1\}$ . However,  $\sum_{u=1}^3 |\mu_u(x)|^2$  is not defined.

It is also interesting to note that the above ellipsoid also appears in [9].

**Theorem 7.2.** Let  $a \in \Omega_1(\mathfrak{A})$  and set  $\mu(a) = (\mu_1(a) \, \mu_2(a) \, \mu_3(a))$ ; with this notation we have that  $\mu(a)\mu(a)^* = \sum_{u=1}^3 |\mu_u(a)|^2 < 1$ . Then the multiplication operator by  $\mu(a)$  on the left is a strict contraction from  $\mathfrak{A}^3$  into  $\mathfrak{A}$  and the map

(7.1)  
$$B_a(x) = (1 - \mu(a)\mu(a)^*)^{1/2}(1 - \mu(x)\mu(a)^*)^{-\star_{V_q}} + \chi_{V_q}(\mu(x) - \mu(a))(I_3 - \mu(a)^*\mu(a))^{-1/2}$$

is a Schur multiplier from  $\mathfrak{A}^3$  into  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows the proofs in [6, Prop. 4.1, p. 11] and [9, Thm. 4.7, p. 146], and is briefly outlined. In the complex setting we also refer to [36], where a different, but equivalent expression is given for  $B_a$ . We set

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{A}} & 0\\ 0 & -I_{\mathfrak{A}^3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Step 1: The operator-matrix

$$H(a) = \begin{pmatrix} (I_{\mathfrak{A}} - M_{\mu(a)}M_{\mu(a)}^{*})^{-1/2} & -M_{\mu(a)}(I_{\mathfrak{A}^{3}} - M_{\mu(a)}^{*}M_{\mu(a)})^{-1/2} \\ -M_{\mu(a)}^{*}(I_{\mathfrak{A}} - M_{\mu(a)}M_{\mu(a)}^{*})^{-1/2} & (I_{\mathfrak{A}^{3}} - M_{\mu(a)}^{*}M_{\mu(a)})^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

is J-unitary, i.e.

$$H(a)JH(a)^* = H(a)^*JH(a) = J.$$

See [25] for the general case where  $M_{\mu(a)}$  is replaced by an arbitrary strict contraction between Hilbert spaces. The operator-matrix H(a) is called the Halmos extension of  $M_{\mu(a)}$ .

Step 2: The operator

$$\left(I_{\mathfrak{A}} M_{\mu}\right) H(a) J H(a)^{*} \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ M_{\mu}^{*} \end{pmatrix}$$

is non-negative.

Indeed,  $H(a)JH(a)^* = J$  by the previous step, and  $I_{\mathfrak{A}} - M_{\mu}M_{\mu}^* \ge$ by (6.9).

Step 3:  $B_a$  is a Schur multiplier. It suffices to write

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{A}} M_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} H(a) = \left( (I_{\mathfrak{A}} - M_{\mu} M_{\mu(a)}^{*}) (I_{\mathfrak{A}} - M_{\mu(a)} M_{\mu(a)}^{*})^{-1/2} (M_{\mu} - M_{\mu(a)}) (I_{\mathfrak{A}^{3}} - M_{\mu(a)}^{*} M_{\mu(a)})^{-1/2} \right) = \left( \sqrt{1 - \mu(a)^{*} \mu(a)} \right)^{1/2} (I_{\mathfrak{A}} - M_{\mu} M_{\mu(a)}^{*}) \left( I_{\mathfrak{A}} M_{B_{a}} \right).$$

This concludes the proof.

# §8. $V_q$ rational functions

The notion of a rational function is important in Schur analysis, and leads to state space representations of linear systems. The study of hypercomplex rational functions using the Cauchy–Kovalevskaia extension theorem originates with the work of Laville; see [34].

**Definition 8.1.** The  $\mathbb{H}^{n \times m}$ -valued  $V_q$ -regular function on an open domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^*$  is called  $V_q$ -rational if its restriction to  $x_0 = 0$  can be written as

(8.1) 
$$R(0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = D + C \left( I_N - \sum_{u=1}^3 x_u A_u \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{u=1}^3 x_u B_u \right),$$

where  $D \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times m}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times N}$ ,  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathbb{H}^{N \times N}$ , and  $B_1, \ldots, B_3 \in \mathbb{H}^{N \times m}$ .

Equivalently, taking the  $V_q$ -extension, we can write

(8.2) 
$$R(x) = D + C(I - \mu(x)A)^{-\star_{V_q}} \star_{V_q} \mu(x)B,$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proposition 8.2.** The Blaschke factor (7.1) is a  $V_q$ -rational function on  $\Omega_1(\mathfrak{A})$ .

*Proof.* We follow the proof of [6, Prop. 4.1, p. 12] and first recall that for a contraction  $K \in \mathbb{H}^{s \times r}$  it holds that

$$K(I_r - K^*K)^{1/2} = (I_s - KK^*)^{1/2}K$$
 and  $K^*(I_s - KK^*)^{1/2} = (I_r - K^*K)^{1/2}K^*$ .

These equalities are used in the computations below.

To see that  $B_a$  is  $V_q$ -rational we write (with  $\mu(x) = (x_1 x_2 x_3)$ )

$$B_{a}(0, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})$$

$$= B_{a}(0, 0, 0, 0) + B_{a}(0, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) - B_{a}(0, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$= -\underbrace{(1 - \mu(a)\mu(a)^{*})^{1/2}\mu(a)(I_{3} - \mu(a)^{*}\mu(a))^{-1/2}}_{\mu(a)}$$

$$+ (1 - \mu(a)\mu(a)^{*})^{1/2}(1 - \mu(x)\mu(a)^{*})^{-1}(\mu(x) - \mu(a))(I_{3} - \mu(a)^{*}\mu(a))^{-1/2}$$

$$+ (1 - \mu(a)\mu(a)^{*})^{1/2}\mu(a)(I_{3} - \mu(a)^{*}\mu(a))^{-1/2}$$

$$= -\mu(a)$$

$$+ (1 - \mu(a)\mu(a)^{*})^{1/2}(1 - \mu(x)\mu(a)^{*})^{-1}$$

$$\times \{\mu(x) - \mu(a) + (1 - \mu(x)\mu(a)^{*})\mu(a)\}(I_{3} - \mu(a)^{*}\mu(a))^{-1/2}$$

$$= -\mu(a) + (1 - \mu(a)\mu(a)^{*})^{1/2}(1 - \mu(x)\mu(a)^{*})^{-1}\mu(x)(I_{3} - \mu(a)^{*}\mu(a))^{1/2},$$

which is of the form (8.1) with

(8.3) 
$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 B_1 \\ A_2 B_2 \\ A_3 B_3 \\ C D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(a)^* & (I_3 - \mu(a)^* \mu(a))^{1/2} \\ (1 - \mu(a)\mu(a)^*)^{1/2} & -\mu(a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark 8.3.** We note that (8.3) is co-isometric. Existence of a co-isometric realization is a general property of Schur multipliers, and will be considered elsewhere. Here, T is in fact unitary.

Rational functions were defined in the setting of the Cauchy operator in [9]. When restricted to  $x_0 = 0$ , one obtains the same class of functions, namely functions of the form (8.1). The  $V_q$ -rational functions are stable under sum,  $V_q$ -product, and  $V_q$ -inversion when sizes are compatible. The arguments are the same as in [9], to which we refer the reader.

#### §9. Conclusions and future endeavors

We are now extending this work to analyze a theory of Schur–Agler functions (see [1] and see [10] for the Fueter case) and Schur multipliers, as well as to expand the rational function theory in this context.

Consider a system of linear ordinary differential equations with variable coefficients of the form

$$V_j(f) = \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_0} - \sum_{u=1}^3 \sum_{k=0}^3 a_{k,u}(x) \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_u} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where the  $a_{k,u}$  are real analytic on some open subset of the real line, and the system of equations is denoted by V. Thanks to the Cauchy–Kovalevskaia theorem one can define V-Fueter variables as the CK extensions of the functions  $x_u$ , u = 1, 2, 3, and monomials as CK-extensions of the monomials  $x^{\alpha}$ . It seems difficult though to develop a general theory which goes beyond some trivial facts. The cases considered in [9] (for the Cauchy–Fueter operator, factorizing the  $\mathbb{R}^4$  Laplacian) and in [11] associated to the operator

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2}$$

studied in the setting of split quaternion, together with the present analysis for the  $V_q$ -operator, exhibit how different each specific case can be.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank the reviewer(s) for their work and comments: these have greatly improved our paper. D. Alpay also thanks the Foster G. and Mary McGaw Professorship in Mathematical Sciences, which supported his research. D. Alpay and M. Vajiac thank Chapman University for its Faculty Opportunity Fund that helped fund this research. K. Diki thanks Prof. I. Sabadini and Prof. F. Colombo for introducing him to the global operator approach and he also thanks the Grand Challenges Initiative Program at Chapman University for supporting his research.

#### References

- J. Agler, On the representation of certain holomorphic functions defined on a polydisc, in *Topics in operator theory: Ernst D. Hellinger memorial volume*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 48, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990, 47–66. Zbl 0733.32002 MR 1207393
- [2] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, K. Diki and I. Sabadini, Poly slice monogenic functions, Cauchy formulas and the *PS*-functional calculus, J. Operator Theory 88 (2022), 309–364. Zbl 07734185 MR 4534900
- [3] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, S. Pinton, I. Sabadini and D. C. Struppa, Infinite-order differential operators acting on entire hyperholomorphic functions, J. Geom. Anal. **31** (2021), 9768– 9799. Zbl 1484.30050 MR 4303941
- [4] D. Alpay, F. Colombo and I. Sabadini, *Slice hyperholomorphic Schur analysis*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 256, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016. Zbl 1366.30001 MR 3585855
- [5] D. Alpay, K. Diki and I. Sabadini, On the global operator and Fueter mapping theorem for slice polyanalytic functions, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) 19 (2021), 941–964. Zbl 1482.30116 MR 4328762
- [6] D. Alpay and H. T. Kaptanoğlu, Some finite-dimensional backward-shift-invariant subspaces in the ball and a related interpolation problem, Integral Equations Operator Theory 42 (2002), 1–21. Zbl 1010.47012 MR 1866874
- [7] D. Alpay, M. E. Luna-Elizarrarás, M. Shapiro and D. Struppa, Gleason's problem, rational functions and spaces of left-regular functions: The split-quaternion setting, Israel J. Math. 226 (2018), 319–349. Zbl 1405.30050 MR 3819695
- [8] D. Alpay, I. L. Paiva and D. C. Struppa, A general setting for functions of Fueter variables: Differentiability, rational functions, Fock module and related topics, Israel J. Math. 236 (2020), 207–246. Zbl 1439.30074 MR 4093884
- D. Alpay, M. Shapiro and D. Volok, Rational hyperholomorphic functions in ℝ<sup>4</sup>, J. Funct. Anal. 221 (2005), 122–149. Zbl 1077.30044 MR 2124899
- [10] D. Alpay, M. Shapiro and D. Volok, Reproducing kernel spaces of series of Fueter polynomials, in Operator theory in Krein spaces and nonlinear eigenvalue problems, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 162, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006, 19–45. Zbl 1107.46023 MR 2240272
- [11] D. Alpay, A. Vajiac and M. B. Vajiac, Gleason's problem associated to a real ternary algebra and applications, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. 28 (2018), article no. 43, 16 pp. Zbl 1405.30049 MR 3795166
- [12] W. Arveson, Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras. III. Multivariable operator theory, Acta Math. 181 (1998), 159–228. Zbl 0952.46035 MR 1668582
- [13] F. Brackx, R. Delanghe and F. Sommen, *Clifford analysis*, Research Notes in Mathematics 76, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1982. Zbl 0529.30001 MR 0697564
- [14] J. O. G. Cervantes and D. G. Campos, On the conformal mappings and the global operator G, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **31** (2021), article no. 6, 13 pp. Zbl 1458.30052 MR 4196634
- [15] F. Colombo and J. Gantner, Quaternionic closed operators, fractional powers and fractional diffusion processes, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 274, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2019. Zbl 1458.47001 MR 3967697
- [16] F. Colombo, J. Gantner and D. P. Kimsey, Spectral theory on the S-spectrum for quaternionic operators, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 270, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018. Zbl 1422.47002 MR 3887616

- [17] F. Colombo, G. Gentili, I. Sabadini and D. C. Struppa, Non commutative functional calculus: bounded operators, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 4 (2010), 821–843. Zbl 1225.47018 MR 2735309
- [18] F. Colombo, J. O. González-Cervantes and I. Sabadini, On slice biregular functions and isomorphisms of Bergman spaces, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 57 (2012), 825–839. Zbl 1251.30055 MR 2955960
- [19] F. Colombo, J. O. González-Cervantes and I. Sabadini, A nonconstant coefficients differential operator associated to slice monogenic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365 (2013), 303–318. Zbl 1278.30047 MR 2984060
- [20] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini and D. C. Struppa, Noncommutative functional calculus, Progress in Mathematics 289, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel, Basel, 2011. Zbl 1228.47001 MR 2752913
- [21] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini and D. C. Struppa, *Entire slice regular functions*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2016. Zbl 1372.30001 MR 3585395
- [22] F. Colombo and F. Sommen, Distributions and the global operator of slice monogenic functions, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 8 (2014), 1257–1268. Zbl 1306.30019 MR 3233977
- [23] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, Methods of mathematical physics. Vol. II: Partial differential equations, Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons), New York-London, 1962. Zbl 0099.29504 MR 0140802
- [24] S. W. Drury, A generalization of von Neumann's inequality to the complex ball, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1978), 300–304. Zbl 0377.47016 MR 0480362
- [25] H. Dym, J contractive matrix functions, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces and interpolation, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989. Zbl 0691.46013 MR 1004239
- [26] R. Fueter, Analytische Funktionen einer Quaternionenvariablen, Comment. Math. Helv. 4 (1932), 9–20. Zbl 0005.07101 MR 1509442
- [27] R. Fueter, Über die analytische Darstellung der regulären Funktionen einer Quaternionenvariablen, Comment. Math. Helv. 8 (1935), 371–378. Zbl 0014.16702 MR 1509533
- [28] G. Gentili, C. Stoppato and D. C. Struppa, *Regular functions of a quaternionic variable*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2022. Zbl 1269.30001 MR 4501241
- [29] G. Gentili and D. C. Struppa, A new theory of regular functions of a quaternionic variable, Adv. Math. 216 (2007), 279–301. Zbl 1124.30015 MR 2353257
- [30] R. Ghiloni, V. Moretti and A. Perotti, Continuous slice functional calculus in quaternionic Hilbert spaces, Rev. Math. Phys. 25 (2013), article no. 1350006, 83 pp. Zbl 1291.47008 MR 3062919
- [31] R. Ghiloni and A. Perotti, Global differential equations for slice regular functions, Math. Nachr. 287 (2014), 561–573. Zbl 1294.30095 MR 3193936
- [32] J. O. González Cervantes and D. González-Campos, The global Borel–Pompieu-type formula for quaternionic slice regular functions, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 66 (2021), 721–730. Zbl 1468.30085 MR 4243671
- [33] K. Gürlebeck, K. Habetha and W. Sprößig, Holomorphic functions in the plane and ndimensional space, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008. Zbl 1132.30001 MR 2369875
- [34] G. Laville, On Cauchy–Kovalewski extension, J. Funct. Anal. 101 (1991), 25–37.
   Zbl 0762.47020 MR 1132305
- [35] A. Perotti, Slice regularity and harmonicity on Clifford algebras, in Topics in Clifford analysis—special volume in honor of Wolfgang Spröβig, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2019, 53–73. Zbl 1442.30057 MR 4191450

- [36] W. Rudin, Function theory in the unit ball of C<sup>n</sup>, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 241, Springer, New York-Berlin, 1980. Zbl 0495.32001 MR 0601594
- [37] D. Sarason, Sub-Hardy Hilbert spaces in the unit disk, University of Arkansas Lecture Notes in the Mathematical Sciences 10, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1994. Zbl 1253.30002 MR 1289670