Inviscid fluid interacting with a nonlinear two-dimensional plate

Abhishek Balakrishna, Igor Kukavica, Boris Muha, and Amjad Tuffaha

Abstract. We address a moving boundary problem that consists of a system of equations modeling an inviscid fluid interacting with a two-dimensional nonlinear Koiter plate at the boundary. We derive a priori estimates needed to prove the local-in-time existence of solutions. We use the Arbitrary Lagrange Euler (ALE) coordinates to fix the domain and obtain careful estimates for the nonlinear Koiter plate, ALE velocity, and pressure without any viscoelastic smoothing. For the nonlinear Koiter plate, higher order energy estimates are obtained, whereas estimates for the ALE pressure are obtained by setting up an elliptic problem. For the ALE velocity, the bounds are obtained through div-curl estimates by estimating the ALE vorticity. We then extend our results in two directions: (1) to include fractional Sobolev spaces and (2) to incorporate the normalized second fundamental form.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the inviscid free boundary problem that couples the incompressible Euler equation with the nonlinear Koiter plate. The system consists of a fluid channel with a free elastic boundary on top, modeled by the scalar nonlinear Koiter plate equation. The fluid motion is modeled by the incompressible Euler equations defined on an evolving domain. The interaction between the elastic plate and the fluid flow is captured through two mechanisms. The first is the dynamic loading effect on the structure described by the fluid pressure, and the second is the kinematic impermeability condition, which matches the normal velocities of the structure with the normal velocity of the flow. The Koiter model, which is used to describe the moving interface, is a nonlinear two-dimensional equation proposed by Koiter to describe the deformations of thin elastic shells, and it was derived under two main assumptions. The first one is that points at the normal to the mid-surface of the shell remain at the normal to the deformed mid-surface after deformation has occurred, and the second is that stress forces within the shell are tangential to the mid-surface. The non-linearity in the model reflects a significant coupling of flexural-longitudinal effects due to large deformations. We consider the case of

a nonlinear Koiter-type elastic plate, in which the reference configuration is assumed to be flat. However, the analysis and the results can be extended to the general elastic shell case (non-flat reference configurations). For a rigorous justification of the model, see, e.g., [10].

In this paper, our main result is the derivation of a priori estimates and the local in-time existence of strong solutions to the model. The a priori estimates for the whole system are obtained by combining higher-order energy estimates for the nonlinear Koiter plate with pressure and vorticity estimates. It is important that the estimates are independent of the viscoelastic damping imposed on the plate. Local-in-time solutions for the regularized system (with plate viscoelastic damping $\nu > 0$) are constructed via a fixed point argument, and solutions for the undamped system are then obtained using the a priori estimates as the viscoelastic damping parameter ν goes to zero.

The critical step in obtaining higher-order energy estimates for the nonlinear Koiter plate involves calculating and estimating the elastic operator defined in (2.22). Additionally, we use the incompressible Euler equation, with the boundary conditions, to set up an elliptic equation for the pressure with Robin boundary data. Elliptic regularity then allows us to establish the appropriate estimates for the pressure. Finally, velocity estimates are obtained through div-curl type estimates.

The well-posedness of free boundary problems that couple lower-dimensional structures with viscous fluid has been an active area of research. Some of the first results along these lines were obtained by Desjardins et al. [5, 11], who showed the existence of weak solutions to a system coupling the Navier–Stokes equation (NSE) to a strongly damped linear plate. Other existence results on weak solutions for models that couple the NSE to lower-dimensional structures have been obtained in [12, 15, 24, 25, 29]. The mentioned results on the existence of weak solutions are valid until the possible self-intersection of the domain. Results on strong solutions of two-dimensional fluid interacting with a one-dimensional solid for large initial data have been obtained in [13, 14]. Additionally, a three-dimensional fluid interacting with a three-dimensional elastic body with structural damping has been considered in [16, 17], establishing the global results for small initial data. All the results mentioned above, except [29], are for linear structure equations. The theory for nonlinear structures is considerably less developed, with only a few recent findings extending the theory of weak solutions to cases where the structure is modeled as a nonlinear generalized standard material; see, e.g., [2]. Systems that model the interaction of viscous flows with a linear and nonlinear Koiter plate have been studied in [6, 21–23, 26–28]. On the other hand, inviscid flow-structure systems have been examined in [1, 7, 8, 30]. These models consider linearized potential flow coupled with a nonlinear structure on a fixed domain. The Euler equations coupled with a fourth-order linear plate have been addressed only recently in [18–20] for incompressible and compressible cases, respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we begin by describing the model and reformulating the problem using ALE variables. We then state our main result in Theorem 2.1, which provides a priori bounds for existence. The a priori estimates are obtained independently of the viscoelastic damping parameter in the plate $\nu > 0$. While the

construction scheme for the local-in-time solutions uses viscoelastic damping in an essential way, the a priori estimates allow for the construction of solutions for the undamped system ($v = 0$). We end Section 2 with a description of the elastic operator L_K. In Section 3, we individually estimate the plate, pressure, and fluid velocity to prove Theorem 2.1.

2. Mathematical model and main results

2.1. Model

We consider a fluid-structure interaction model on an open domain $\Omega(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, which depends on time $t \in [0, T]$, with $T > 0$ specified below. The fluid is modeled by the incompressible 3D Euler equations

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0,$$
$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

in $\Omega(t) \times [0, T] = \bigcup_{t \in [0,T]} \Omega(t)$. For simplicity, we consider $\Omega(0) = \Omega = \mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$, where $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ is a two-dimensional torus. In other words, we consider the 1-periodic boundary conditions in the horizontal directions. We denote the upper and lower parts of the boundary of $\Omega(0)$ as

$$\Gamma_0 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_1 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \{1\}.$$

On Γ_0, we impose the slip boundary condition

$$u \cdot N = 0.$$

The nonlinear Koiter plate is located along the top boundary, and the fluid domain is given by

$$\Omega(t) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_3 < 1 + w(x_1, x_2, t)\},$$

where $w : \Gamma_1 \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ is the vertical displacement of the plate, which satisfies the equation

$$hw_{tt} + L_K w - v\Delta w_t = p|_{x_3=1+w} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times [0, T], \tag{2.2}$$

where $h > 0$ is the thickness of the nonlinear Koiter plate, which is considered fixed, L_K is a nonlinear operator and is the L^2 gradient of the Koiter energy described in Section 2.3 and $v \geq 0$ is the damping parameter. Note that p is evaluated at $(x_1, x_2, 1 + w(x_1, x_2, t))$, while w satisfies the initial condition

$$(w, w_t)|_{t=0} = (0, w_1),$$

where

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} w_1 = 0.$$

Note that we are mainly interested in the case $\nu = 0$; however, the parameter ν is needed in the construction of solutions. This analysis applies with minimal changes to general initial data $w(0) \in H^5$ allowing for more general initial configuration of the interface. However, we assume $w(0) = 0$ for simplicity.

We assume that the nonlinear plate evolves with the fluid and we thus have the velocity-matching condition on the interface $\Gamma_1(t)$

$$w_t + u_1 \partial_1 w + u_2 \partial_2 w = u_3, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\Gamma_1(t) = \{(x_1, x_2, 1 + w(x_1, x_2, t)) : (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2\}$.

2.2. ALE change of variables

Denote by $\psi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ the harmonic extension of $1 + w$ to the domain $\Omega = \Omega(0)$, i.e.,

$$\Delta \psi = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{2.4}$$
$$\psi(x_1, x_2, 1, t) = 1 + w(x_1, x_2) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \tag{2.5}$$
$$\psi(x_1, x_2, 0, t) = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_0. \tag{2.6}$$

Next, we define $\eta \colon \Omega \times [0, T] \to \Omega(t)$ as

$$\eta(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = (x_1, x_2, \psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)), \quad (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega, \tag{2.7}$$

which represents the ALE change of variables. Note that

$$\nabla \eta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \partial_1 \psi & \partial_2 \psi & \partial_3 \psi \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote $E = (\nabla \eta)^{-1}$, which is explicitly given by

$$E = \frac{1}{J} F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\partial_1 \psi / \partial_3 \psi & -\partial_2 \psi / \partial_3 \psi & 1 / \partial_3 \psi \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$J = \partial_3 \psi$$

and

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_3 \psi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_3 \psi & 0 \\ -\partial_1 \psi & -\partial_2 \psi & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.9}$$

is the transpose of the cofactor matrix. Note that F satisfies the Piola identity

$$\partial_i F_{ij} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \tag{2.10}$$

using [9, page 39] or a direct verification. Next, denote by

$$v(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = u(\eta(x_1, x_2, x_3, t), t) \quad \text{and} \quad q(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = p(\eta(x_1, x_2, x_3, t), t), \tag{2.11}$$

the ALE velocity and pressure. With this change of variables, the system (2.1) becomes

$$\partial_t v_i + v_1 E_{j1} \partial_j v_i + v_2 E_{j2} \partial_j v_i + \frac{1}{\partial_3 \psi} (v_3 - \psi_t) \partial_3 v_i + E_{ki} \partial_k q = 0,$$

$$E_{ki} \partial_k v_i = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

in $\Omega \times [0, T]$, where we used $E_{j3} \partial_j v_i = (1/\partial_3 \psi) \partial_3 v_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The initial condition is given by

$$v\big|_{t=0} = v_0.$$

On the other hand, the boundary condition on the bottom boundary reads

$$v_3 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0, \tag{2.13}$$

while the condition (2.3) may be rewritten as

$$F_{3i} v_i = w_t \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1. \tag{2.14}$$

The plate equation takes the form

$$h w_{tt} + L_K w - \nu \Delta w_t = q \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \tag{2.15}$$

where q is as in (2.11). As in [19, Section 4], we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} q = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{2.16}$$

and then from (2.15), we get

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} w = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{2.17}$$

assuming $\int_{\Gamma_1} w_1 = 0$.

   With the ALE coordinates introduced, all the estimates from this point on are performed, unless specified otherwise, on the fixed domain Ω, with the top and bottom boundaries Γ_1 and Γ_0, respectively.

   We now state the main result of our paper in the form of the following theorem that summarizes our a priori estimates for the local in-time existence for the system (2.12)–(2.15).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\nu \geq 0$. Assume that (v, q, w) is a $C^\infty([0, T_0] \times \Omega)$ solution to the initial boundary value problem (2.12)–(2.16) on a time interval $[0, T_0]$, where $T_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\|v_0\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|w_1\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} \leq M,$$

where $M \geq 1$. Then, v, w, and q satisfy

$$\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}, \|\psi\|_{H^{5.5}}, \|\psi_t\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|E\|_{H^{4.5}} \leq C_0 M, \quad t \in [0, \min\{T, T_0\}]$$

and

$$\|v_t\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_{tt}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_1)}, \|q\|_{H^{2.5}} \leq K, \quad t \in [0, \min\{T, T_0\}],$$

where $C_0 > 0$ is a constant that is independent of the parameter $v \geq 0$, while K and T are constants that depend on M, but not on v.

2.3. The elastic operator

In this section, we derive an expression for the Koiter energy of the nonlinear Koiter plate by following [10], where this model is justified. For the rest of this paper, Greek indices and exponents take values in the set $\{1, 2\}$ and Latin indices and exponents take values in the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$. The tangential vectors of the deformed configuration are given by

$$a_\alpha(w) = \partial_\alpha \eta|_{x_3=1} = e_\alpha + n\partial_\alpha w, \quad \alpha = 1, 2,$$

where $e_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ represent the unit tangential vectors of the undeformed boundary Γ_1 and $a_3 = n = (0, 0, 1)$ is the unit normal vector to Γ_1. The components of the first fundamental form of the deformed configuration read

$$a_{\alpha\beta}(w) = a_\alpha(w) \cdot a_\beta(w) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w,$$

where it is understood that $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$. We define the change of the metric tensor $G(w)$ as

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(w) = a_{\alpha\beta}(w) - e_\alpha \cdot e_\beta = \partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w.$$

The non-normalized normal vector to the deformed configuration is given by

$$n(w) = a_1(w) \times a_2(w) = n - e_1\partial_1 w - e_2\partial_2 w,$$

which then leads to the definition

$$a_3(w) = \frac{n(w)}{|n(w)|}.$$

As in [28], we define the tensor

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{|a_1 \times a_2|}\partial_\alpha a_\beta(w) \cdot n(w) - \partial_\alpha a_\beta \cdot n = \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} w, \tag{2.18}$$

representing a non-normalized variant of the second fundamental form measuring a change in curvature; here, $\partial_\alpha a_\beta$ represents the covariant components of the curvature tensor of the reference configuration. Also, in (2.18), $\partial_\alpha a_\beta = \partial_\alpha a_\beta(0)$ and $|a_1 \times a_2| = |a_1(0) \times a_2(0)| = |e_1 \times e_2|$ are understood. For a treatment of the normalized version of the tensor R, see Section 4.

Finally, we define the elasticity tensor

$$\mathcal{A}E = \frac{4\lambda\mu}{\lambda + 2\mu}(A : E)A + 4\mu AEA, \quad E \in \mathrm{Sym}\,\mathbb{R}^{2\times2},$$

where $A : E = \mathrm{Tr}(AE^T)$. Here, A is the contravariant metric tensor associated to Γ_1 and $\lambda, \mu > 0$ are the Lamé constants; the components of the matrix A are given by

$$a^{\alpha\beta} = a^{\alpha} \cdot a^{\beta},$$

where the three vectors a^i are defined by the relation $a_j \cdot a^i = \delta_{ij}$, for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, and $a^3 = a_3 = n$. For our geometry, we obtain $a^i = a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We then define the Koiter energy $\mathcal{E}_K$ as

$$\mathcal{E}_K = \frac{h}{4}\int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{A}G(w(t, \cdot)) : G(w(t, \cdot)) + \frac{h^3}{48}\int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{A}R(w(t, \cdot)) : R(w(t, \cdot))$$

$$= \frac{h}{4}\int_{\Gamma_1} a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}G_{\alpha\beta}(w(t, \cdot))G_{\sigma\tau}(w(t, \cdot)) + \frac{h^3}{48}\int_{\Gamma_1} a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}R_{\alpha\beta}(w(t, \cdot))R_{\sigma\tau}(w(t, \cdot)).$$

In order to simplify the notation, we introduce bilinear forms connected to the membrane and bending effects in the variational formulation as

$$a_{\mathrm{m}}(t, w, \xi) = \frac{h}{2}\int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{A}G(w(t, \cdot)) : \big(G'(w(t, \cdot))\xi\big)$$

$$= \frac{h}{2}\int_{\Gamma_1} a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}G_{\alpha\beta}(w(t, \cdot))\big(G'_{\sigma\tau}(w(t, \cdot))\xi\big),$$

$$a_{\mathrm{b}}(t, w, \xi) = \frac{h^3}{24}\int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{A}R(w(t, \cdot)) : \big(R'(w(t, \cdot))\xi\big)$$

$$= \frac{h^3}{24}\int_{\Gamma_1} a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}R_{\alpha\beta}(w(t, \cdot))\big(R'_{\sigma\tau}(w(t, \cdot))\xi\big), \tag{2.19}$$

respectively, for $\xi \in H^2(\Gamma)$; here, G' and R' denote the Fréchet derivatives of G and R, respectively, and are given by

$$(R'(w)\xi)_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha\beta}\xi,$$
$$(G'(w)\xi)_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}\xi\partial_{\beta}w + \partial_{\alpha}w\partial_{\beta}\xi, \tag{2.20}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \tau$ range over $1, 2$. Also, the tensor $a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}$ is defined as

$$a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} = a^{\alpha\beta}a^{\sigma\tau}\frac{4\lambda\mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} + 4\mu a^{\alpha\sigma}a^{\beta\tau} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\sigma\tau}\frac{4\lambda\mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} + 4\mu\delta_{\alpha\sigma}\delta_{\beta\tau}. \tag{2.21}$$

The elastodynamics of the plate is given by the variational formulation

$$h\rho_s\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Gamma_1} \partial_t w(t,)\xi dy + a_{\mathrm{m}}(t, w, \xi) + a_{\mathrm{b}}(t, w, \xi) + \nu\int_{\Gamma_1} \nabla w_t \cdot \nabla\xi$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_1} g\xi dy, \quad \xi \in H^2(\Gamma_1)$$

on the time interval $(0, T)$, where ρ_s is the structure density, g is the density of area force acting on the structure. We denote the elasticity operator by L_K, formally given by

$$\langle L_K w, \xi \rangle = a_{\mathrm{m}}(t, w, \xi) + a_{\mathrm{b}}(t, w, \xi), \tag{2.22}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing with respect to L^2.

To obtain an explicit expression for L_K for our particular configuration, we first rewrite

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{\mathrm{m}}(t, w, \xi) &= \frac{h a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{2} \left[\int_{\Gamma_1} \partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w \partial_\sigma \xi \partial_\tau w + \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w \partial_\sigma w \partial_\tau \xi \right] \\
&= -\frac{h a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{2} \left[\int_{\Gamma_1} \xi(\partial_\sigma(\partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w \partial_\tau w)) + \int_{\Gamma_1} \xi(\partial_\tau(\partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w \partial_\sigma w)) \right] \\
&= (L_{\mathrm{m}} w, \xi), \tag{2.23}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$L_{\mathrm{m}} w = -\frac{h a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{2} (\partial_\sigma(\partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w \partial_\tau w) + \partial_\tau(\partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w \partial_\sigma w)).$$

Similarly,

$$a_{\mathrm{b}}(t, w, \xi) = \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \xi = \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \xi \partial^4_{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} w = (L_{\mathrm{b}} w, \xi), \tag{2.24}$$

where

$$L_{\mathrm{b}} w = \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \partial^4_{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} w.$$

Therefore, combining (2.22), (2.23), and (2.24), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_K w &= L_{\mathrm{m}} w + L_{\mathrm{b}} w \\
&= -\frac{h a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{2} \left(\partial_\sigma(\partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w \partial_\tau w) + \partial_\tau(\partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w \partial_\sigma w) \right) + \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \partial^4_{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} w. \tag{2.25}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. A priori estimates

In this section, we provide a priori estimates for v, q, and w in appropriate Sobolev spaces and prove Theorem 2.1. We begin by deriving preliminary estimates on E, F, and J.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2]$, and assume that*

$$\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} \le C_0 M, \quad t \in [0, T_0],$$

where $M \ge 1$ is as in the statement of Theorem 2.1. Then, we have

$$\|E - I\|_{H^{2.5}}, \|F - I\|_{H^{2.5}}, \|J - 1\|_{H^{2.5}}, \|J - 1\|_{L^\infty} \le \varepsilon, \quad t \in [0, T_0], \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$0 \le T_0 \le \frac{\varepsilon}{CM^3},$$

and C is a sufficiently large constant depending on C_0.

Before the proof, note that by the definitions of ψ and η in (2.4)–(2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\|\eta\|_{H^{5.5}} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H^{5.5}} \lesssim \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}$$

and

$$\|\eta_t\|_{H^{3.5}} \lesssim \|\psi_t\|_{H^{3.5}} \lesssim \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}, \tag{3.2}$$

and both far right sides are bounded by constant multiples of M.

Also, we have

$$\|J\|_{H^{4.5}} = \|\partial_3\psi\|_{H^{4.5}} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H^{5.5}} \lesssim \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}$$

and

$$\|J_t\|_{H^{2.5}} \lesssim \|\psi_t\|_{H^{3.5}} \lesssim \|\eta_t\|_{H^{3.5}} \lesssim \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)},$$

with both right sides bounded by a constant multiple of M.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Since $E = (\nabla\eta)^{-1}$, we have

$$E_t = -E\nabla\eta_t E,$$

where the right-hand side is understood as a product of three matrices. Next, we observe

$$\partial_t(E - I) = (E - I)\nabla\eta_t(E - I) + (E - I)\nabla\eta_t + \nabla\eta_t(E - I) + \nabla\eta_t,$$

from where we obtain that the function $y = \|E - I\|_{H^{2.5}}$ satisfies

$$y \lesssim \int_0^t (y^2 + 1)M \, ds,$$

where we used (3.2). Using a barrier argument, we get $y \lesssim \varepsilon$ provided $T \le \varepsilon/CM$, and the bound on the first term in (3.1) is established. Similarly, we have

$$\|J - 1\|_{H^{2.5}} \lesssim \left\| \int_0^t J_t \, ds \right\|_{H^{2.5}} \lesssim MT.$$

The bound for $\|F - I\|_{H^{2.5}}$ follows immediately from those on $\|E - I\|_{H^{2.5}}$ and $\|J - 1\|_{H^{2.5}}$ by using $F = JE$. ∎

Note that the value of ε in Lemma 3.1 is fixed in the pressure estimates and then further restricted in the conclusion of a priori bounds.

Also, by the definitions of E and F in (2.8) and (2.9), respectively, we have

$$\|E\|_{H^{4.5}}, \|F\|_{H^{4.5}} \le P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\|E_t\|_{H^{2.5}}, \|F_t\|_{H^{2.5}} \leq P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}). \tag{3.4}$$

Above and in the sequel, the symbol P denotes a generic polynomial of its arguments. It is assumed to be nonnegative and is allowed to change from inequality to inequality.

3.1. The plate estimates

We now proceed to derive estimates for the plate. For $f \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, and $|\gamma| = \sum_{i=1}^2 \gamma_i$, denote by $\partial^\gamma f$ the partial derivative of order γ of f, which is defined as

$$\partial^\gamma f = \partial_{x_1}^{\gamma_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\gamma_2} f$$

with $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$, where $|\gamma| = 3$, we test the plate equation (2.2) with $-\partial^{2\gamma} w_t$, obtaining

$$h(\partial^\gamma w_{tt}, \partial^\gamma w_t) - (L_K w, \partial^{2\gamma} w_t) + \nu(\partial^\gamma \nabla w_t \cdot \partial^\gamma \nabla w) = -(\partial^{2\gamma} q, w_t). \tag{3.5}$$

We now take a closer look at the term $(L_K w, \partial^{2\gamma} w_t)$. Using (2.19) and (2.22), we write

$$-(L_K w, \partial^{2\gamma} w_t) = -\frac{h}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{A} G(w) : G'(w) \partial^{2\gamma} w_t$$

$$-\frac{h^3}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{A} R(w) : R'(w) \partial^{2\gamma} w_t$$

$$= -\frac{h}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} G_{\alpha\beta}(w)(G'(w)\partial^{2\gamma} w_t)_{\sigma\tau}$$

$$-\frac{h^3}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} R_{\alpha\beta}(w)(R'(w)\partial^{2\gamma} w_t)_{\sigma\tau}. \tag{3.6}$$

We handle each of the above terms below. Using (2.20) and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$-\frac{h}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} G_{\alpha\beta}(w)(G'(w)\partial^{2\gamma} w_t)_{\sigma\tau}$$

$$= -\frac{a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} h}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w (\partial_\sigma \partial^{2\gamma} w_t)_\tau w - \frac{a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} h}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w \partial_\sigma w \partial_\tau \partial^{2\gamma} w_t$$

$$\lesssim a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} h \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} |D^4 \partial_\alpha w||\partial_\beta w \partial_\sigma w||D^2 \partial_\tau w_t| + \int_{\Gamma_1} |D^3 \partial_\alpha w||D \partial_\beta w||\partial_\sigma w||D^2 \partial_\tau w_t| \right)$$

$$+ a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} h \int_{\Gamma_1} |D^2 \partial_\alpha w||D^2 \partial_\beta w||\partial_\sigma w||D^2 \partial_\tau w_t|$$

$$+ a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} h \int_{\Gamma_1} |D^2 \partial_\alpha w||D \partial_\beta w||D \partial_\sigma w||D^2 \partial_\tau w_t|$$

$$\leq P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}), \tag{3.7}$$

where P is a polynomial that may vary line to line. Denoting $\partial_\alpha = \partial_{x_\alpha}$, we use (2.20) and (2.21) to get

$$-\frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} R_{\alpha\beta}(w)(R'(w)\partial^{2\gamma} w_t)_{\sigma\tau}$$

$$= -\frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial_{\alpha\beta} w \partial^{2\gamma} \partial_{\sigma\tau} w_t$$

$$= \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} (\partial^\gamma \partial_{\alpha\beta} w)(\partial^\gamma \partial_{\sigma\tau} w_t)$$

$$= \frac{4\lambda\mu h^3}{24(\lambda + 2\mu)} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\sigma\tau} \int_{\Gamma_1} (\partial^\gamma \partial_{\alpha\beta} w)(\partial^\gamma \partial_{\sigma\tau} w_t)$$

$$+ \frac{4\mu h^3}{24} \delta_{\alpha\sigma} \delta_{\beta\tau} \int_{\Gamma_1} (\partial^\gamma \partial_{\alpha\beta} w)(\partial^\gamma \partial_{\sigma\tau} w_t)$$

$$= \frac{4\lambda\mu h^3}{24(\lambda + 2\mu)} \int_{\Gamma_1} (\partial^\gamma \partial_{\alpha\alpha} w)(\partial^\gamma \partial_{\sigma\sigma} w_t) + \frac{4\mu h^3}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} (\partial^\gamma \partial_{\alpha\beta} w)(\partial^\gamma \partial_{\alpha\beta} w_t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{4\lambda\mu h^3}{24(\lambda + 2\mu)} \|\partial^\gamma \partial_{\alpha\alpha} w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2 + \frac{4\mu h^3}{24} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2} \|\partial^\gamma \partial_{\alpha\beta} w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2 \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Now, inserting the estimates from (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8) into (3.5), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(h\|D^3 w_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2 + \frac{4\lambda\mu h^3}{24(\lambda+2\mu)} \|D^3 \partial_{\alpha\alpha} w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2 + \frac{4\mu h^3}{24} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2} \|D^3 \partial_{\alpha\beta} w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2 \right)$$

$$+ \nu\|D^4 w_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2$$

$$\leq -(D^6 q, w_t) + P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}). \quad (3.9)$$

Next, we eliminate the higher order pressure term. To do so, we need to proceed as in [19, Lemma 3.2]. Rather than repeating the entire proof, we summarize the strategy below.

(1) Our aim is to prove the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \int J\Lambda^{2.5} v_i \Lambda^{3.5} v_i \Big|_t - \frac{1}{2} \int J\Lambda^{2.5} v_i \Lambda^{3.5} v_i \Big|_0$$

$$\leq -\int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} q\Lambda^6 w_t \, d\sigma \, ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t P(\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|q\|_{H^{2.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}) ds, \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\Lambda = (I - \Delta_2)^{1/2},$$

and Δ_2 denotes the Laplacian in x_1 and x_2 variables with the periodic boundary conditions. After (3.10) is established, it is simply added to (3.9). This leads to a cancellation of the higher order pressure term.

(2) After the cancellation, using (3.1), the first term on the left-hand side of (3.10) is estimated as

$$-\int J\Lambda^{2.5}v_i\Lambda^{3.5}v_i \lesssim \|J\|_{L^\infty}\|\Lambda^{2.5}v\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^{3.5}v\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{2.5}v\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^{3.5}v\|_{L^2}$$
$$\lesssim \|v\|_{L^2}^{1/3.5}\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^{6/3.5}.$$

To prove (3.10), we first claim

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int J\Lambda^{2.5}v_i\Lambda^{3.5}v_i = \frac{1}{2}\int J_t\Lambda^{2.5}v_i\Lambda^{3.5}v_i + \int J\Lambda^{2.5}\partial_t v_i\Lambda^{3.5}v_i + \bar{I},$$
$$\tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\bar{I} = \frac{1}{2}\int J\Lambda^{2.5}v_i\Lambda^{3.5}\partial_t v_i - \frac{1}{2}\int J\Lambda^{2.5}\partial_t v_i\Lambda^{3.5}v_i$$
$$\leq P(\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|q\|_{H^{2.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}).$$

(3) Next, the equations (2.12) are rewritten as

$$J\partial_t v_i + v_1 F_{j1}\partial_j v_i + v_2 F_{j2}\partial_j v_i + (v_3 - \psi_t)\partial_3 v_i + F_{ki}\partial_k q = 0,$$
$$F_{ki}\partial_k v_i = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Using $(3.12)_1$ in the second term of (3.11), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int J\Lambda^{2.5}v_i\Lambda^{3.5}v_i$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\int J_t\Lambda^{2.5}v_i\Lambda^{3.5}v_i + \int\left(J\Lambda^{2.5}(\partial_t v_i) - \Lambda^{2.5}(J\partial_t v_i)\right)\Lambda^{3.5}v_i$$
$$- \sum_{m=1}^{2}\int\Lambda^{2.5}(v_m F_{jm}\partial_j v_i)\Lambda^{3.5}v_i - \int\Lambda^{2.5}\left((v_3-\psi_t)\partial_3 v_i\right)\Lambda^{3.5}v_i$$
$$- \int\Lambda^3(F_{ki}\partial_k q)\Lambda^3 v_i + \bar{I}$$
$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + \bar{I}. \tag{3.13}$$

(4) The terms on the right-hand side of (3.13) are bounded as

$$I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 \leq P(\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|q\|_{H^{2.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}) \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$I_5 \leq -\int_{\Gamma_1} q\Lambda^6 w_t + P(\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|q\|_{H^{2.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}). \tag{3.15}$$

Applying (3.14) and (3.15) to (3.13) and integrating in time, we then obtain (3.10).

Adding (3.9) and (3.10) the pressure terms cancel, and we get

$$h\|D^3 w_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4\lambda\mu h^3}{24(\lambda + 2\mu)}\|D^3\partial_{\alpha\alpha}w\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4\mu h^3}{24}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2}\|D^3\partial_{\alpha\beta}w\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu\|D^4 w_t\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\lesssim \|D^3 w_t(0)\| + \|v\|_{L^2}^{2/7}\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^{12/7} + \int_0^t P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}, \|q\|_{H^{2.5}}), \qquad (3.16)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of ν.

Remark 3.2. While in our paper we consider the nonlinear Koiter type plate, the analysis can also be extended to other type of structures. Namely, we may treat the following models.

(1) Extensible plates:

$$w_{tt} + \Delta^2 w - \nu\Delta w_t + \left(\int_{\Gamma_1}|\nabla w|^2\, dx\right)\Delta w = q.$$

(2) Koiter shells: this is the more general setting in which the reference configuration of the interface is not flat. In particular, we may consider a reference configuration parameterized by a C^3 injective mapping $\phi\colon \Gamma_1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. In this case, we may assume that the deformation happens primarily in the normal direction and is described by the mapping

$$\phi_w(y, t) = \phi(y) + w(t, y)n(y), \quad y \in \Gamma_1.$$

The tangent vectors a_α in this case are no longer the standard vectors e_α and are space dependent. This gives rise to a space dependent tensor $a^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$. The local existence theorem can also be obtained in this case under additional assumptions on the initial configuration which guarantee nondegeneracy of the H^2-coercivity of the Koiter energy $\mathcal{E}_K$. Unlike in our situation, the time of existence also depends on the persistence of this nondegeneracy condition; see [28].

3.2. Pressure estimates

Here, we establish the following pressure estimate.

Lemma 3.3. *Under the conditions of Theorem* 2.1, *we have*

$$\|q\|_{H^{2.5}} \leq P(\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}). \qquad (3.17)$$

To obtain an equation for the pressure in the interior, we apply $F_{ji}\partial_j$ to (2.12) and then use the Piola identity (2.10) to write

$$\partial_j(F_{ji}E_{ki}\partial_k q) = \partial_j(\partial_t F_{ji}v_i) - \partial_j\left(\sum_{m=1}^{2}F_{ji}v_m E_{km}\partial_k v_i\right) - \partial(E_{ji}(v_3 - \psi_t)\partial_3 v_i) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(3.18)$$

using the divergence-free condition.

To obtain the boundary condition on $\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$, we multiply (2.12) with F_{3i} obtaining

$$F_{3i} E_{ki} \partial_k q = -F_{3i} \partial_t v_i - F_{3i} v_1 E_{j1} \partial_j v_i$$
$$- F_{3i} v_2 E_{j2} \partial_j v_i - E_{3i}(v_3 - \psi_t) \partial_3 v_i \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1, \quad (3.19)$$

where we again employed $F_{ji}/\partial_3 \psi = E_{ji}$ in the last term. On Γ_1, we use (2.14) and (2.15) and rewrite the first term on the right-hand side of (3.19) as

$$-F_{3i} \partial_t v_i = -\partial_t(F_{3i} v_i) + \partial_t F_{3i} v_i = -w_{tt} + \partial_t F_{3i} v_i$$
$$= h^{-1} L_K w - h^{-1} \nu \Delta w_t - h^{-1} q + \partial_t F_{3i} v_i.$$

Thus, on Γ_1, the boundary condition (3.19) becomes a Robin boundary condition

$$F_{3i} E_{ki} \partial_k q + h^{-1} q = h^{-1}(L_K w - \nu \Delta w_t) + \partial_t F_{3i} v_i - F_{3i} v_1 E_{j1} \partial_j v_i - F_{3i} v_2 E_{j2} \partial_j v_i$$
$$- E_{3i}(v_3 - \psi_t) \partial_3 v_i = g_1 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1. \quad (3.20)$$

On Γ_0, we have $E = I$, and then the first term on the right-hand side of (3.19) vanishes. Thus, we get

$$F_{3i} E_{ki} \partial_k q = -F_{3i} v_1 E_{j1} \partial_j v_i - F_{3i} v_2 E_{j2} \partial_j v_i - E_{3i}(v_3 - \psi_t) \partial_3 v_i = g_0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0.$$
$$(3.21)$$

To estimate the pressure, we need the following statement from [19] on the elliptic regularity for the Robin–Neumann problem.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $d \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Let $1 \leq l \leq 2$, and suppose that u is an H^l solution to*

$$\partial_i(d_{ij} \partial_j u) = \operatorname{div} f \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$d_{mk} \partial_k u N_m + u = g_1 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1,$$
$$d_{mk} \partial_k u N_m = g_0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_0. \quad (3.22)$$

If

$$\|d - I\|_{L^\infty} \leq \varepsilon_0,$$

where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small, then

$$\|u\|_{H^l} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{l-1}} + \|g_1\|_{H^{l-3/2}(\Gamma_1)} + \|g_0\|_{H^{l-3/2}(\Gamma_0)}. \quad (3.23)$$

In this paper, we need an extension for $l \leq 3$.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that $d \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$. Let $2 \leq l \leq 3$, and suppose that u is an H^l solution of*

$$\partial_i(d_{ij} \partial_j u) = \operatorname{div} f \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$d_{mk} \partial_k u N_m + u = g_1 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1,$$
$$d_{mk} \partial_k u N_m = g_0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_0. \quad (3.24)$$

If

$$\|d - I\|_{L^\infty} \le \varepsilon_0, \tag{3.25}$$

where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small, then

$$\|u\|_{H^l} \le P(\|f\|_{H^{l-1}}, \|g_1\|_{H^{l-3/2}(\Gamma_1)}, \|g_0\|_{H^{l-3/2}(\Gamma_0)}, \|d\|_{W^{2,\infty}}).$$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. We only show the a priori estimate, i.e., we assume that u is smooth and satisfies (3.22). The a priori estimate can then be justified in a standard way using the difference quotients. Let $n \in \{1, 2\}$. Then, differentiating (3.24), we obtain that $U = \partial_n u$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i(d_{ij}\partial_j U) &= \operatorname{div} \partial_n f - \partial_i(\partial_n d_{ij}\partial_j u) && \text{in } \Omega, \\
d_{mk}\partial_k U N_m + U &= \partial_n g_1 - \partial_n d_{mk}\partial_k u N_m && \text{on } \Gamma_1, \\
d_{mk}\partial_k U N_m &= \partial_n g_0 - \partial_n d_{mk}\partial_k u N_m && \text{on } \Gamma_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.4, and the fact that $\|Du\|_{H^{l-5/2}(\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1)} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{l-1}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U\|_{H^{l-1}} &\lesssim \|\partial_n f\|_{H^{l-2}} + \|\partial_n d_{ij}\partial_j u\|_{H^{l-2}} + \|\partial_n g_1\|_{H^{l-5/2}(\Gamma_1)} \\
&\quad + \|\partial_n g_0\|_{H^{l-5/2}(\Gamma_0)} + \|\partial_n d_{mk}\partial_k u N_m\|_{H^{l-5/2}(\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1)} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{H^{l-1}} + \|d\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\|u\|_{H^{l-1}} + \|g_1\|_{H^{l-3/2}(\Gamma_1)} + \|g_0\|_{H^{l-3/2}(\Gamma_0)} \\
&\quad + \|d\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\|Du\|_{H^{l-5/2}(\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1)} \\
&\lesssim (1 + \|d\|_{W^{1,\infty}})\big(\|f\|_{H^{l-1}} + \|g_1\|_{H^{l-3/2}(\Gamma_1)} + \|g_0\|_{H^{l-3/2}(\Gamma_0)}\big). \tag{3.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we rearrange (3.24) to obtain

$$d_{33}\partial_{33}^2 u = \operatorname{div} f - \sum_{(i,j) \ne (3,3)} \partial_i(d_{ij}\partial_j u) - \partial_3 d_{33}\partial_3 u. \tag{3.27}$$

Differentiating (3.27) with ∂_3, we have

$$d_{33}\partial_{333}^3 u = \operatorname{div} \partial_3 f - \sum_{(i,j) \ne (3,3)} \partial_3\partial_i(d_{ij}\partial_j u) - \partial_{33}^2 d_{33}\partial_3 u - 2\partial_3 d_{33}\partial_{33}^2 u.$$

Now, using (3.26) with $l = 3$, (3.25) and Lemma 3.4, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{333} u\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|f\|_{H^2} + \|d\|_{L^\infty}\|U\|_{H^2} + \|d\|_{W^{2,\infty}}\|u\|_{H^1} + \|d\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\|u\|_{H^2} \\
&\lesssim P(\|f\|_{H^2}, \|g_1\|_{H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1)}, \|g_0\|_{H^{3/2}(\Gamma_0)}, \|d\|_{W^{2,\infty}}). \tag{3.28}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.26) for $l = 3$ and (3.28), we obtain the statement of the lemma for $l = 3$. Using Lemma 3.4 and interpolating between $l = 2$ and $l = 3$, we obtain the statement of the lemma. ∎

We now prove Lemma 3.3.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. We apply the elliptic estimate (3.23) in $H^{2.5}$ to the equation (3.18) with the boundary conditions (3.20)–(3.21), leading to

$$\|q\|_{H^{2.5}} \lesssim P(\|f\|_{H^{1.5}}, \|g_1\|_{H^1(\Gamma_1)}, \|g_0\|_{H^1(\Gamma_0)}, \|d\|_{W^{2,\infty}}). \tag{3.29}$$

For the interior term, we may write

$$\|f\|_{H^{1.5}} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_t F_{ji} v_i\|_{H^{r-2}} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{m=1}^2 \|F_{ji} v_m E_{km} \partial_k v_i\|_{H^{1.5}}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^3 \|a_{ji}(v_3 - \psi_t)\partial_3 v_i\|_{H^{1.5}}$$

$$\leq P(\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|E\|_{H^{4.5}}, \|F\|_{H^{4.5}}, \|F_t\|_{H^{2.5}}, \|\psi_t\|_{H^{3.5}}). \tag{3.30}$$

Next, we observe that from (2.25) we have

$$\|L_K w\|_{H^1(\Gamma_1)} \leq P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}).$$

For the boundary terms, we estimate

$$\|g_0\|_{H^1(\Gamma_0)} + \|g_1\|_{H^1(\Gamma_1)}$$
$$\lesssim \|L_K w\|_{H^1(\Gamma_1)} + \|\Delta w_t\|_{H^1(\Gamma_1)} + \|F_t\|_{H^{1.5}(\partial\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^{1.5}(\partial\Omega)}$$
$$+ \|F\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)} \|E\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)} \|\nabla v\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}$$
$$+ \|E\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}(\|v\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)} + \|\psi_t\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}) \|\nabla v\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}$$
$$\leq P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}, \|F\|_{H^{4.5}}, \|F_t\|_{H^{2.5}}, \|\psi_t\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|v\|_{H^{3.5}}), \tag{3.31}$$

where $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$. By combining (3.29)–(3.31) and using (3.3)–(3.4), we obtain (3.17). ∎

3.3. The velocity estimates and the conclusion

In this section, we use the ALE vorticity $\zeta(x,t) = \operatorname{curl} u(\eta(x,t),t)$, where $\omega = \operatorname{curl} u$, to get control of the velocity v. The vorticity in the ALE variables is given by

$$\zeta_i = \varepsilon_{ijk} E_{mj} \partial_m v_k$$

and satisfies

$$\partial_t \zeta_i + v_1 E_{j1} \partial_j \zeta_i + v_2 E_{j2} \partial_j \zeta_i + (v_3 - \psi_t) E_{j3} \partial_j \zeta_i = \zeta_k E_{mk} \partial_m v_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

To obtain non-tangential estimates, we use the Sobolev extension operator $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$, which is a continuous operator $H^k(\Omega) \to H^k(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R})$ for all $k \in [0,6]$, where k is not necessarily an integer. We require the extension to be such that supp $\tilde{f}$ vanishes in a neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}^2 \times (-1/2, 3/2)^c$. We now consider the equation for $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$, given by

$$\partial_t \theta_i + \tilde{v}_1 \tilde{E}_{j1} \partial_j \theta_i + \tilde{v}_2 \tilde{E}_{j2} \partial_j \theta_i + (\tilde{v}_3 - \tilde{\psi}_t) \tilde{E}_{j3} \partial_j \theta_i = \theta_k \tilde{E}_{mk} \partial_m \tilde{v}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \tag{3.32}$$

in $\Omega_0 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, with the initial condition $\theta(0) = \tilde{\zeta}(0)$. Since θ obeys a transport type equation, from the properties of the extension operator we have

$$\theta(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in (\mathbb{T}^2 \times (-1/2, 3/2)^c) \times [0, T].$$

Next, we define the quantity

$$X = \int_{\Omega_0} |\Lambda_3^{2.5} \theta|^2,$$

where

$$\Lambda_3 = (I - \Delta)^{1/2}$$

on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, with the periodic boundary conditions in the x_1 and x_2 directions. Repeating the arguments of [19, Lemma 3.7], we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} X \lesssim P(\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}) X, \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{3.33}$$

Note that, by the continuity properties of the Sobolev extensions, we have

$$\|\zeta(0)\|_{H^{2.5}}^2 \lesssim X(0) \lesssim \|\zeta(0)\|_{H^{2.5}}^2.$$

From [19, Lemma 3.7], we also obtain the inequality that relates X to the vorticity, given by

$$\|\zeta\|_{H^{2.5}}^2 \lesssim X. \tag{3.34}$$

To prove (3.34), we consider the difference $\sigma = \zeta - \theta$, which satisfies

$$\partial_t \sigma_i + v_1 E_{j1} \partial_j \sigma_i + v_2 E_{j2} \partial_j \sigma_i + (v_3 - \psi_t) E_{j3} \partial_j \sigma_i = \sigma_k E_{mk} \partial_m v_i \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. This leads to the energy equality

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\sigma|^2 = -\sum_{m=1}^{2} \int v_m E_{jm} \sigma_i \partial_j \sigma_i - \int (v_3 - \psi_t) E_{j3} \sigma_i \partial_j \sigma_i + \int \sigma_k E_{mk} \partial_m v_i \sigma_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{2} \int \left(\partial_j (v_m E_{jm}) + \partial_j (v_3 - \psi_t) \right) |\sigma|^2 + \int \sigma_k E_{mk} \partial_m v_i \sigma_i, \tag{3.35}$$

where the boundary terms vanish since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{2} v_m E_{3m} + (v_3 - \psi_t) E_{33} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

From (3.35) and $\sigma(0) = 0$ in Ω, we obtain $\zeta = \theta$ in Ω, and thus (3.34) holds.

Lastly, we proceed to prove Theorem 2.1 and close our a priori estimates.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. To establish the a priori estimates and recover the full regularity of the velocity v, we employ the div-curl estimate from [4], which provides control of the full Sobolev norm of the velocity given information on the divergence, curl, and the trace of the normal component of the velocity. In particular,

$$\|v\|_{H^{3.5}} \lesssim \|\operatorname{curl} v\|_{H^{2.5}} + \|\operatorname{div} v\|_{H^{2.5}} + \|v \cdot N\|_{H^3(\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1)} + \|v\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.36}$$

We will use (3.34) above to control the curl and the plate-Euler tangential estimates in (3.16) from Section 3 in order to control the normal component of the velocity at the boundary via the kinematic boundary condition (2.3). Finally, for the divergence, we utilize the variable divergence-free condition.

For the curl of the velocity, we write

$$\|\operatorname{curl} v\|_{H^{2.5}} \lesssim \sum_i \|\varepsilon_{ijk} E_{mj} \partial_m v_k\|_{H^{2.5}} + \sum_i \|\varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_m v_k (E_{mj} - \delta_{mj})\|_{H^{2.5}}$$

$$\lesssim \|\zeta\|_{H^{2.5}} + \varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{3.5}},$$

from where we estimate by (3.33) and (3.34),

$$\|\operatorname{curl} v\|_{H^{2.5}}$$

$$\lesssim \|\zeta(0)\|_{H^{2.5}} + \varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{3.5}} + \int_0^t P(\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}) X^{1/2} \, ds. \tag{3.37}$$

To treat the divergence, we use the divergence-free condition to obtain

$$\|\operatorname{div} v\|_{H^{2.5}} = \|(E_{ki} - \delta_{ki})\partial_k v_i\|_{H^{2.5}} \lesssim \varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \tag{3.38}$$

while for the bottom boundary term, we have $v_3|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$. Employing (2.14) on Γ_1, we get

$$\|v_3\|_{H^3} \lesssim \varepsilon\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}\|v\|_{H^{3.5}} + \varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{3.5}} + \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}, \tag{3.39}$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ is arbitrary. Next, we bound the second term on the right side of (3.16) as

$$\|v\|_{L^2}^{2/7}\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^{12/7} \le \varepsilon_1\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1}\|v\|_{L^2}^2 \le \varepsilon_1\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1}\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1}\int_0^t \partial_t\|v\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\le \varepsilon_1\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1}\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1}\int_0^t \|v\|_{L^2}\|v_t\|_{L^2}$$

$$\le \varepsilon_1\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1}\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$+ C_{\varepsilon_1}\int_0^t P(\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}) X \, ds, \tag{3.40}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, 1]$ is a small constant to be determined; the last inequality is obtained by using (2.12) to bound

$$\|v_t\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{3.5}}\|E\|_{H^3}\|\nabla v\|_{H^1} + (\|v\|_{H^{3.5}} + \|\psi_t\|_{H^3})\|\nabla v\|_{H^1} + \|E\|_{H^2}\|q\|_{H^2}$$

$$\le P(\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}).$$

By (3.16) and (3.40), we have

$$h\|D^3 w_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4\lambda\mu h^3}{24(\lambda+2\mu)}\|D^3\partial_{\alpha\alpha}w\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4\mu h^3}{24}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2}\|D^3\partial_{\alpha\beta}w\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\leq \|D^3 w_t(0)\| + \varepsilon_1\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1}\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$+ C_{\varepsilon_1}\int_0^t P(\|w\|_{H^5}, \|w_t\|_{H^3}, \|q\|_{H^{2.5}})X\,ds, \tag{3.41}$$

with the implicit constants again independent of ν. Using (3.37), (3.38), and (3.39) in (3.36) and fixing a sufficiently small ε so that $\varepsilon\|v\|_{H^3}$ can be absorbed, we conclude that

$$\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 \lesssim \|\zeta(0)\|_{H^{2.5}}^2 + \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}^2 + \varepsilon^2\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}^2\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2$$

$$+ \int_0^t P(\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}, \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)})X\,ds. \tag{3.42}$$

Multiplying (3.42) with a small constant $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1]$ and adding the resulting inequality to (3.41), we obtain

$$\varepsilon_0\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + h\|D^3 w_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4\lambda\mu h^3}{24(\lambda+2\mu)}\|D^3\partial_{\alpha\alpha}w\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4\mu h^3}{24}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2}\|D^3\partial_{\alpha\beta}w\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\lesssim \|D^3 w_t(0)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \varepsilon_1\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1}\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon_0\|v(0)\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + \varepsilon_0\|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}^2$$

$$+ \varepsilon_0\varepsilon^2\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}^2\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1}\int_0^t P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}, \|q\|_{H^{2.5}})X\,ds.$$

Applying (2.17) and using the Poincaré inequality, we then get

$$\varepsilon_0\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + h\|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}^2 + \frac{\lambda\mu h^3}{C(\lambda+2\mu)}\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}^2 + \frac{\mu h^3}{C}\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}^2$$

$$\lesssim \|D^3 w_t(0)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \varepsilon_1\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1}\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon_0\|v(0)\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + \varepsilon_0\|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}^2$$

$$+ \varepsilon_0\varepsilon^2\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}^2\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1}\int_0^t P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}, \|q\|_{H^{2.5}})X\,ds. \tag{3.43}$$

First, we let ε_0 be sufficiently small to absorb the fifth term on the right-hand side into the second term on the left-hand side. Then, we choose ε sufficiently small to absorb the sixth term on the right-hand side into the first and the fourth term on the left-hand side. Lastly, we choose ε_1 sufficiently small to absorb the second term on the right-hand side to bound

$$\varepsilon_0\|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + h\|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}^2 + \frac{\lambda\mu h^3}{C(\lambda+2\mu)}\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}^2 + \frac{\mu h^3}{C}\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}^2$$

$$\lesssim \|w_t(0)\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}^2 + \|v(0)\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + \int_0^t P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)})X\,ds, \tag{3.44}$$

where we also used (3.17). Combining (3.33) and (3.44), we get

$$
\varepsilon_0 \|v\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + h\|w_t\|_{H^3}^2 + \frac{\lambda\mu h^3}{C(\lambda+2\mu)}\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}^2 + \frac{\mu h^3}{C} + \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}^2 + X
$$

$$
\lesssim \|w_t(0)\|_{H^3}^2 + \|v(0)\|_{H^{3.5}}^2 + X(0) + \int_0^t P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}, X)\, ds.
$$

Applying a Grönwall argument on (3.33) and (3.44) (see [3]), we obtain an estimate

$$
\|v\|_{H^{3.5}} + \|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)} + \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} + X^{1/2} \lesssim M
$$

on $[0, T_0]$, where T_0 depends on the size of initial data, and the proof of the theorem is concluded. ∎

Remark 3.6. We can generalize the estimate (3.44) to fractional Sobolev spaces. A brief outline of the argument is provided here. Testing (2.2) with $\Lambda^{2(2+\delta)} w_t$, with $\delta \in (0, 1.5]$, we obtain

$$
h(\Lambda^{2+\delta} w_{tt}, \Lambda^{2+\delta} w_t) + (L_K w, \Lambda^{2(2+\delta)} w_t) = (q, \Lambda^{2(2+\delta)} w_t). \qquad (3.45)
$$

We now take a closer look at the term $(L_K w, \Lambda^{2(2+\delta)} w_t)$. Using (2.19) and (2.22), we write

$$
(L_K w, \Lambda^{2(2+\delta)} w_t) = \frac{h}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} G_{\alpha\beta}(w) (G'(w)\Lambda^{2(2+\delta)} w_t)_{\sigma\tau}
$$

$$
+ \frac{h^3}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} R_{\alpha\beta}(w)(R'(w)\Lambda^{2(2+\delta)} w_t)_{\sigma\tau}
$$

$$
= M_1 + M_2. \qquad (3.46)
$$

We now bound each term on the right-hand side. For the first term, we have

$$
M_1 = \frac{a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} h}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w (\partial_\sigma \Lambda^{2(2+\delta)} w_t) \partial_\tau w
$$

$$
+ \frac{a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} h}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial_\alpha w \partial_\beta w \partial_\sigma w \partial_\tau \Lambda^{2(2+\delta)} w_t
$$

$$
\lesssim h \int_{\Gamma_1} \left| \Lambda^{2+\delta} \left(\partial_{\alpha\sigma}^2 w \partial_\beta w \partial_\tau w \right) \right| \left| \Lambda^{2+\delta} w_t \right|
$$

$$
\lesssim h \int_{\Gamma_1} \left| \Lambda^{2+\delta} \left(\partial_{\alpha\sigma}^2 w \partial_\beta w \partial_\tau w \right) - \left(\Lambda^{2+\delta} \partial_{\alpha\sigma}^2 w \right) \partial_\beta w \partial_\tau w \right| \left| \Lambda^{2+\delta} w_t \right|
$$

$$
+ h \int_{\Gamma_1} \left| \left(\Lambda^{2+\delta} \partial_{\alpha\sigma}^2 w \right) \partial_\beta w \partial_\tau w \right| \left| \Lambda^{2+\delta} w_t \right|,
$$

from where, using the Kato–Ponce inequality to estimate the commutator terms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_1 &\lesssim h\|\Lambda^{2+\delta}(\partial_\beta w\,\partial_\tau w)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}\|w\|_{H^{4+\delta}(\Gamma_1)}\|w_t\|_{H^{2+\delta}(\Gamma_1)} \\
&\quad + P(\|w\|_{H^{4+\delta}(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^{2+\delta}(\Gamma_1)}) \\
&\lesssim h\|\Lambda^{2+\delta}(\partial_\beta w\,\partial_\tau w) - (\Lambda^{2+\delta}\partial_\beta w)\partial_\tau w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}\|w\|_{H^{4+\delta}(\Gamma_1)}\|w_t\|_{H^{2+\delta}(\Gamma_1)} \\
&\quad + h\|(\Lambda^{2+\delta}\partial_\beta w)\partial_\tau w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}\|w\|_{H^{4+\delta}(\Gamma_1)}\|w_t\|_{H^{2+\delta}(\Gamma_1)} \\
&\quad + P(\|w\|_{H^{4+\delta}(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^{2+\delta}(\Gamma_1)}) \\
&\leq P(\|w\|_{H^{4+\delta}(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^{2+\delta}(\Gamma_1)}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.47}
$$

For M_2, we may proceed exactly as in (3.8), replacing $-\partial^{2\gamma}$ with $\Lambda^{2(2+\delta)}$ to obtain

$$
M_2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{4\lambda\mu h^3}{24(\lambda+2\mu)}\|\Lambda^{2+\delta}\partial_{\alpha\alpha}w\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{4\mu h^3}{24}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2}\|\Lambda^{2+\delta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}w\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}\right).
\tag{3.48}
$$

Inserting (3.46), (3.47), and (3.48) into (3.45), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Bigg(&h\|\Lambda^{2+\delta}w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{4\lambda\mu h^3}{24(\lambda+2\mu)}\|\Lambda^{2+\delta}\partial_{\alpha\alpha}w\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \\
&+ \frac{4\mu h^3}{24}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2}\|\Lambda^{2+\delta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}w\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}\Bigg) \\
&\leq (\Lambda^{2(2+\delta)}q, w_t) + P(\|w\|_{H^{4+\delta}(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^{2+\delta}(\Gamma_1)}),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is analogous to the equation (3.17) in [19]. Proceeding exactly as in [19] from this point on, we obtain

$$
\|v\|_{H^{2.5+\delta}} + \|w\|_{H^{4+\delta}(\Gamma_1)} + \|w_t\|_{H^{2+\delta}(\Gamma_1)} + X^{1/2} \lesssim M
$$

on $[0, T_0]$, where

$$
X = \int_{\Omega_0}|\Lambda_3^{1.5+\delta}\theta|^2
$$

and $\Omega_0 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

4. Estimates with normalized curvature tensor

In this section, we consider the normalized version of the tensor R that was defined in Section 2.3 and is given by

$$
R_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{|a_1(w) \times a_2(w)|}\partial_\alpha a_\beta(w) \cdot n(w) - \partial_\alpha a_\beta \cdot n,
\tag{4.1}
$$

and this in fact is the actual change in the second fundamental form.

We now state a priori estimates for the plate with the exact change in the curvature tensor.

Lemma 4.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem* 2.1 *and with $R_{\alpha\beta}$ defined in* (4.1)*, we have*

$$h\|D^3 w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{\lambda\mu h^3}{6(\lambda + 2\mu)}\|D^3 \partial_{\alpha\alpha} w\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{\mu h^3}{6}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2}\|D^3 \partial_{\alpha\beta} w\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}$$

$$+ \nu\|D^4 w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}$$

$$\lesssim \|D^3 w_t(0)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \|v\|^{2/7}_{L^2}\|v\|^{12/7}_{H^{3.5}} + \int_0^t P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}, \|q\|_{H^{2.5}}).$$

Proof. For our geometry,

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{|a_1(w) \times a_2(w)|}\partial_\alpha a_\beta(w) \cdot n(w) - \partial_\alpha a_\beta \cdot n = \frac{\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} w}{\sqrt{1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2}}.$$

The action of the Fréchet derivative of R is given by

$$(R'(w)\xi)_{\alpha\beta} = \left(\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{R(w + h\xi) - R(w)}{h}\right)_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$= -\frac{\partial_1 w \partial_1 \xi + \partial_2 w \partial_2 \xi}{(1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{3/2}}\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} w + \frac{\partial^2_{\alpha\beta}\xi}{\sqrt{1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Let $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ be such that $|\gamma_1| = 1$, $|\gamma_2| = 2$, and $|\gamma| = 3$, with $\partial^\gamma = \partial^{\gamma_1}\partial^{\gamma_2}$. This then leads to the following modifications to (3.8):

$$-\frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24}\int_{\Gamma_1} R_{\alpha\beta}(w)\big[R'(w)\partial^{2\gamma} w_t\big]_{\sigma\tau}$$

$$= \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24}\int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial_1 w \partial_1 \partial^{2\gamma} w_t + \partial_2 w \partial_2 \partial^{2\gamma} w_t}{(1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^2}\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} w$$

$$- \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24}\int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \partial^{2\gamma} w_t}{1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2}$$

$$= I_1 + I_2. \quad (4.3)$$

We now individually handle the two terms on the right-hand side of (4.3). For the first term on the right-hand side, we have

$$I_1 = \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24}\int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial_1 w \partial_1 \partial^{2\gamma} w_t + \partial_2 w \partial_2 \partial^{2\gamma} w_t}{(1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^2}\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} w$$

$$\leq \frac{h^3}{24}\|D^2 w\|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma_1)}\int_{\Gamma_1}(|D^4 \partial_1 w||D^2 \partial_1 w_t| + |D^4 \partial_2 w||D^2 \partial_2 w_t|)$$

$$\lesssim h^3\|D^5 w\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}\|D^3 w_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}, \quad (4.4)$$

while the second term on the right-hand side of (4.3) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 = {} & \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\gamma w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \partial^\gamma w_t}{1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2} \\
& + \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\gamma_2} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} D^3 w_t \partial^{\gamma_1} (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1} \\
& + \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\gamma_1} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \partial^\gamma w_t \partial^{\gamma_2} (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1} \\
& + \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \partial^\gamma w_t \partial^\gamma (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1} \\
= {} & I_{21} + I_{22} + I_{23} + I_{24}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.5}
$$

We now bound the last three terms on the right-hand side of (4.5). Let $\partial^{\gamma_2} = \partial^{\gamma_3} \partial^{\gamma_4}$, with $|\gamma_3| = |\gamma_4| = 1$. For the second term, we integrate by parts multiple times to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{22} = {} & \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\gamma_2} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} D^3 w_t \partial^{\gamma_1} (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1} \\
= {} & -\frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\gamma_3} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \partial^{\gamma_4} \partial^\gamma w_t \partial^{\gamma_1} (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1} \\
& - \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\gamma_3} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \partial^\gamma w_t \partial^{\gamma_4} \partial^{\gamma_1} (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1} \\
\lesssim {} & \|\partial^2 Dw\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_1)} \|\partial^2 Dw_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \|D^4 (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \\
\leq {} & P(\|D^5 w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}, \|D^3 w_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}).
\end{aligned}
$$

The third term on the right-hand side of (4.5) may be bounded using integrating by parts as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{23} = {} & \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\gamma_1} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \partial^\gamma w_t \partial^{\gamma_2} (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1} \\
\lesssim {} & \|\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} Dw\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_1)} \|\partial^2_{\sigma\tau} Dw_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \|D^4 (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \\
\leq {} & P(\|D^5 w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}, \|D^3 w_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Lastly, for the fourth term, integrating by parts again multiple times, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{24} = {} & \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \partial^\gamma w_t \partial^\gamma (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1} \\
= {} & -\frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \partial^{\gamma_1} \partial^\gamma w_t \partial^{\gamma_2} (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1} \\
& - \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\gamma_1} w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \partial^\gamma w_t \partial^{\gamma_2} (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1} \\
\lesssim {} & \|\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} D^3 w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \|\partial^2_{\sigma\tau} Dw_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \|D^2 (1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_1)} \\
\leq {} & P(\|D^5 w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}, \|D^3 w_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}).
\end{aligned}
$$

We rewrite the first term on the right-hand side of (4.5) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{21} &= \frac{h^3 a^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}}{24} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\gamma w \partial^2_{\sigma\tau} \partial^\gamma w_t}{1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2} \\
&= \frac{h^3 \lambda\mu}{6(\lambda + 2\mu)} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial^2_{\alpha\alpha} \partial^\gamma w \partial^2_{\sigma\sigma} \partial^\gamma w_t}{1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2} + \frac{\mu h^3}{6} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\gamma w \partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\gamma w_t}{1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2} \\
&= \frac{h^3 \lambda\mu}{12(\lambda + 2\mu)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{(\partial^2_{\alpha\alpha} \partial^\gamma w)^2}{1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2} + \frac{\mu h^3}{12} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{(\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\gamma w)^2}{1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{h^3 \lambda\mu}{6(\lambda + 2\mu)} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{(\partial^2_{\alpha\alpha} \partial^\gamma w)^2 (\partial_1 w \partial_1 w_t + \partial_2 w \partial_2 w_t)}{(1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{\mu h^3}{6} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{(\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\gamma w)^2 (\partial_1 w \partial_1 w_t + \partial_2 w \partial_2 w_t)}{(1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^2} \\
&= I_{211} + I_{212} + I_{213} + I_{214}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms I_{211} and I_{212} are coercive. The last two terms on the right-hand side are bounded as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{213} + I_{214} &= \frac{h^3 \lambda\mu}{6(\lambda + 2\mu)} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{(\partial^2_{\alpha\alpha} \partial^\gamma w)^2 (\partial_1 w \partial_1 w_t + \partial_2 w \partial_2 w_t)}{(1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{\mu h^3}{6} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{(\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\gamma w)^2 (\partial_1 w \partial_1 w_t + \partial_2 w \partial_2 w_t)}{(1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2)^2} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{h^3 \lambda\mu}{6(\lambda + 2\mu)} \|\partial^2_{\alpha\alpha} \partial^\gamma w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{\mu h^3}{6} \|\partial^2_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\gamma w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \|\partial_1 w \partial_1 w_t + \partial_2 w \partial_2 w_t\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_1)} \\
&\leq P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.6}
$$

We can now obtain a priori estimates for the plate by inserting (3.6), (3.7), (4.3), (4.4), (4.5)–(4.6) into (3.5), giving

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[h \|D^3 w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{\lambda\mu h^3}{6(\lambda + 2\mu)} \left\| \frac{D^3 \partial_{\alpha\alpha} w}{|n(w)|} \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{\mu h^3}{6} \left\| \frac{D^3 \partial_{\alpha\beta} w}{|n(w)|} \right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \right] \\
&\quad + \nu \|D^4 w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \\
&\leq -(D^6 q, w_t)_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.7}
$$

where $|n(w)| = \sqrt{1 + (\partial_1 w)^2 + (\partial_2 w)^2}$ is the Euclidean norm of the dynamic normal. Integrating (4.7) in time and repeating the steps in Section 3.1 to eliminate the higher-order

term containing pressure (details in [19, Lemma 3.2]), we get

$$h\|D^3 w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{\lambda\mu h^3}{6(\lambda + 2\mu)}\left\|\frac{D^3 \partial_{\alpha\alpha} w}{|n(w)|}\right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{\mu h^3}{6}\left\|\frac{D^3 \partial_{\alpha\beta} w}{|n(w)|}\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}$$

$$\lesssim \|w_t(0)\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} + \|v\|^{2/7}_{L^2}\|v\|^{12/7}_{H^{3.5}(\Gamma_1)} + \int_0^t P(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3}, \|q\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma_1)}).$$

$$(4.8)$$

Now, using (2.9), (2.4), and (3.1), we may write

$$|n(w)| \lesssim 1 + \|\nabla w\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_1)} \lesssim 1 + \|w\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} \lesssim 1 + \|\psi\|_{H^{3.5}} \lesssim 1. \qquad (4.9)$$

Combining (4.8) and (4.9), we obtain the statement of the lemma.   ∎

Remark 4.2. Note that the a priori plate estimates for the exact curvature tensor in (4.8) are exactly the same as the one obtained in (3.16). Hence, Theorem 2.1 holds for the exact curvature tensor case as well.

5. Construction of solutions

To construct solutions, we employ a fixed point technique to solve the Euler equation (2.12) with given variable coefficient matrix E and given non-homogeneous boundary data w_t in the boundary condition (2.14).

5.1. Euler equations with given coefficients and non-homogeneous boundary data

Let $T > 0$. Suppose $v_0 \in H^{3.5}(\Omega)$, and assume that $\tilde{w}$ is a given function on $[0, T] \times \Gamma_1$ such that the following assumptions hold.

Assumption 1. (1) The function $\tilde{w}$ and its time derivatives satisfy

$$\partial_t^j \tilde{w} \in L^\infty([0, T]; H^{5-2j}(\Gamma_1)), \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

(2) At the time $t = 0$, we have $\tilde{w} = 0$.

    (3) The time derivative $\tilde{w}_t$ satisfies the zero mean condition $\int_{\Gamma_1} \tilde{w}_t = 0$.

    (4) The divergence-free condition $\text{div } v_0 = 0$ holds.

    (5) The impermeability condition $(v_0)_3|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$ holds.

    (6) The compatibility condition $\tilde{w}_t(0, \cdot) = (v_0)_3|_{\Gamma_1}$ holds.

Next, let $\tilde{\psi}$ be the harmonic extension of $1 + \tilde{w}$ to the domain Ω, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\tilde{\psi} &= 0 && \text{on } \Omega, \\
\tilde{\psi}(x_1, x_2, 1, t) &= 1 + \tilde{w}(x_1, x_2, t) && \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times [0, T], \\
\tilde{\psi}(x_1, x_2, 0, t) &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times [0, T].
\end{aligned} \qquad (5.1)$$

By the above assumptions, it follows that

$$\psi(0, x) = x_3, \quad \tilde{E}(0) = I, \quad \nabla \partial_t \tilde{\psi} \in C^1([0, T]; C^1(\Omega)).$$

Furthermore, we assume the following.

Assumption 2. For all $t \in [0, T]$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\partial_3 \tilde{\psi} \geq c$.

The last assumption can be realized by choosing T sufficiently small given that $\partial_3 \tilde{\psi} = 1$ at $t = 0$.

We consider the system of equations

$$\partial_t v_i + v_1 \tilde{E}_{j1} \partial_j v_i + v_2 \tilde{E}_{j2} \partial_j v_i + (v_3 - \psi_t) \tilde{E}_{33} \partial_3 v_i + \tilde{E}_{ki} \partial_k q = 0,$$
$$\tilde{E}_{ki} \partial_k v_i = 0, \qquad (5.2)$$

with the boundary conditions described below.

Here, $\tilde{E}$ is defined as the inverse of the matrix $\nabla \tilde{\eta}$, where $\tilde{\eta} = (x_1, x_2, \tilde{\psi})$, and consequently,

$$\nabla \tilde{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{\partial_1 \tilde{\psi}}{\partial_3 \tilde{\psi}} & -\frac{\partial_2 \tilde{\psi}}{\partial_3 \tilde{\psi}} & \frac{1}{\partial_3 \tilde{\psi}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By our assumptions, it follows that the matrix $\nabla \tilde{\eta}$ is non-singular on $[0, T]$ with a well-defined inverse $\tilde{E}$, i.e., $\partial_3 \tilde{\psi} \neq 0$. Thus, the matrix $\tilde{E}(\tilde{w})$ depends on the given data $\tilde{w}$ and has the explicit expression

$$\tilde{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\partial_1 \tilde{\psi} & -\partial_2 \tilde{\psi} & \frac{1}{\partial_3 \tilde{\psi}} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (5.3)$$

We again denote by $\tilde{F}$ the corresponding cofactor matrix of $\tilde{E}$, or explicitly

$$\tilde{F} = (\partial_3 \tilde{\psi}) \tilde{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_3 \tilde{\psi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_3 \tilde{\psi} & 0 \\ -\partial_1 \tilde{\psi} & -\partial_2 \tilde{\psi} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which again satisfies the Piola identity (2.10). Moreover, we impose the following assumption.

Assumption 3. We have

$$\|\tilde{E} - I\|_{L^\infty([0,T]; H^{4.5}(\Omega))} \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$\|\tilde{F} - I\|_{L^\infty([0,T]; H^{4.5}(\Omega))} \leq \varepsilon$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

On the bottom rigid boundary, we assume the usual impermeability condition

$$v_3 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0,$$
(5.4)

while on the top we prescribe

$$\widetilde{F}_{3i} v_i = \tilde{w}_t \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1.$$
(5.5)

Note that we have the estimate

$$\|\widetilde{E}\|_{L^\infty([0,T];H^{s-1/2}(\Omega))} \le \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^\infty([0,T];H^s(\Gamma_1))}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and $s > 1/2$.

We now invoke the following theorem pertaining to the above Euler system with given coefficients, the proof of which can be found in [19].

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $v_0 \in H^{3.5}$ and that $\tilde{w}$ is given satisfying Assumptions 1, and $\tilde{\psi}$ defined in (5.1), while the matrix E is defined by (5.3) and satisfying Assumptions 2 and 3 on an interval $[0, T]$. Then, there exists a local-in-time solution (v, q) to the system (5.2) with the boundary conditions (5.4) and (5.5) such that*

$$v \in L^\infty([0, T_0]; H^{3.5}(\Omega)),$$
$$v_t \in L^\infty([0, T_0]; H^{1.5}(\Omega)),$$
$$q \in L^\infty([0, T_0]; H^{2.5}(\Omega))$$

for a time $T_0 \in (0, T]$ depending on the initial data. The solution is unique up to an additive function of time for the pressure q. Moreover, the solution (v, q) satisfies the estimate

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^{3.5}} + \|\nabla q(t)\|_{H^{1.5}} \le \|v_0\|_{H^{3.5}} + \int_0^t P(\|\tilde{w}(s)\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|\tilde{w}_t(s)\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}) ds$$

for $t \in [0, T_0)$.

5.2. Local existence theorem for the plate equation

For a given forcing and with periodic boundary conditions on $\mathbb{R}^2$, we invoke the following existence theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $T > 0$ and $\nu > 0$. Given $w(0, \cdot) = 0$, $w_t(0, \cdot) \in H^3(\Gamma_1)$, and $q \in L^2([0, T]; H^2(\Gamma_1))$ such that $\int_{\Gamma_1} q = 0$, for the time T_0 such that*

$$T_0^{-1} = P\left(\|w_t(0, \cdot)\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}, \nu^{-1} \int_0^T \|q\|_{H^2(\Gamma_1)}^2 ds\right),$$
(5.6)

where P is a polynomial, there exists a unique solution (w, w_t) to the equation

$$h w_{tt} + L_K w - \nu \Delta w_t = q \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times [0, T_0],$$

with periodic boundary conditions, such that

$$(w, w_t) \in L^\infty([0, T_0]; H^5(\Gamma_1) \times H^3(\Gamma_1)),$$

and, for every $t \in [0, T_0]$,

$$\|w(t)\|^2_{H^5(\Gamma_1)} + \|w_t(t)\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} + \nu \int_0^t \|w_t(s)\|^2_{H^4(\Gamma_1)} \, ds \lesssim \|w_1\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}. \qquad (5.7)$$

Moreover, $\int_{\Gamma_1} w_t = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T_0]$.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. First, we apply a formal Grönwall argument, which we then justify using the Galerkin method. Applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and $\int_0^t w = \int_0^t w_t = 0$ on (3.9), we obtain

$$\frac{h}{C} \|w_t\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{\lambda \mu h^3}{C(\lambda + 2\mu)} \|w\|^2_{H^5(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{\mu h^3}{C} \|w\|^2_{H^5(\Gamma_1)} + \nu \int_0^t \|w_t\|^2_{H^4(\Gamma_1)}$$

$$\lesssim \|w_1\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^t \|D^2 q\|^2_{L^2} + P\big(\|w\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}\big) \qquad (5.8)$$

for all t, where P is twice the polynomial in (3.9). Recalling that $w(0) = 0$, we deduce

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|w\|^2_{H^5(\Gamma_1)} + \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|w_t\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} \lesssim \|w_1\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^T \|D^2 q\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}, \quad t \in [0, T], \qquad (5.9)$$

where $T = 1/P(\|w_1\|_{H^3})$ and P is a polynomial, which depends on the polynomial in (5.8).

The proof of the theorem uses the Galerkin method. Here, we outline the key steps of the proof. Moreover, we focus on the more challenging case of the operator L_K defined in Section 4; the proof for the simpler case of the operator L_K defined in Section 2.3 can be derived analogously.

First, we apply the Galerkin approximation to construct the approximate solution w^n. By integrating (2.15) over Γ_1 and using (2.22), (2.19), and (4.2), we have

$$0 = \int_{\Gamma_1} w_t^n = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma_1} w^n.$$

Thus, the Poincaré inequality holds for the Galerkin approximations. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we establish that the inequality (5.8) holds for the Galerkin approximations. By applying Grönwall's inequality, as above, we deduce that there exists $T_0 > 0$ as in the statement such that estimate (5.7) holds uniformly for the Galerkin approximations w^n. Given that we have established higher-order estimates, we can invoke the Lions–Aubin lemma to obtain the appropriate strong convergence properties of the sequence w^n and deduce that the limit satisfies the plate equation and the estimate (5.9). ∎

5.3. Local existence of solutions to the coupled system via a fixed point

We now prove the following result on existence of a local-in-time solution to the regularized (damped system with $\nu > 0$) via a fixed point argument.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\nu > 0$. Given initial data $v_0 \in H^{3.5}(\Omega)$, $w_0 = 0$, and $w_1 \in H^3(\Gamma_1)$ satisfying Assumption* 1 *(4) and (6), and $\int_{\Gamma_1} w_1 = 0$, there exists a local-in-time solution (v, q, w, w_t) to the system* (2.12), (2.15), (2.13), *and* (2.14) *such that*

$$v \in L^\infty([0, T']; H^{3.5}(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T']; H^{1.5}(\Omega)),$$
$$v_t \in L^\infty([0, T']; H^{1.5}(\Omega)),$$
$$q \in L^\infty([0, T']; H^{2.5}(\Omega)),$$
$$(w, w_t) \in L^\infty([0, T']; H^5(\Gamma_1) \times H^3(\Gamma_1))$$

for some time $T' > 0$, depending on the initial data and ν.

Proof of Theorem. We construct a solution to the above system using the iteration scheme with $(v^{(n+1)}, q^{(n+1)})$ solving the system

$$\partial_t v_i^{(n+1)} + v_1^{(n+1)} E_{j1}^{(n)} \partial_j v_i^{(n+1)} + v_2^{(n+1)} E_{j2}^{(n)} \partial_j v_i^{(n+1)}$$
$$+ (v_3^{(n+1)} - \psi_t^{(n)}) E_{33}^{(n)} \partial_3 v_i^{(n+1)} + E_{ki}^{(n)} \partial_k q^{(n+1)} = 0,$$
$$E_{ki}^{(n)} \partial_k v_i^{(n+1)} = 0, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \tag{5.10}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$v^{(n+1)} = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_0,$$
$$\widetilde{F}_{3i}^{(n)} v_i^{(n+1)} = w_t^{(n)} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \ i = 1, 2, 3,$$

given $(w^{(n)}, w_t^{(n)})$; the matrix $E^{(n)}$ is determined from the harmonic extension $\psi^{(n)}$ of $1 + w^{(n)}$ satisfying

$$\Delta \psi^{(n)} = 0 \qquad\qquad \text{on } \Omega,$$
$$\psi^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, 1, t) = 1 + w^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1,$$
$$\psi^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, 0, t) = 0 \qquad\qquad \text{on } \Gamma_0,$$

by the expression

$$E^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{\partial_1 \psi^{(n)}}{\partial_3 \psi^{(n)}} & -\frac{\partial_2 \psi^{(n)}}{\partial_3 \psi^{(n)}} & \frac{1}{\partial_3 \psi^{(n)}} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $F^{(n)}$ is the corresponding cofactor matrix. On the other hand, given $q^{(n+1)}$, the iterate $w^{(n+1)}$ is determined from the plate equation

$$w_{tt}^{(n+1)} + \Delta^2 w^{(n+1)} - \nu \Delta_2 w_t^{(n+1)} = q^{(n+1)} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times [0, T]. \tag{5.11}$$

Now, assume that $w^{(n)} = \tilde{w}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2} \|\partial_t^j w^{(n)}\|_{H^{5-2j}} \lesssim M = 2C(\|w_1\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} + \|v_0\|_{H^{3.5}}),$$

along with Assumption 1, and suppose that the matrix $E^{(n)} = \tilde{E}$ is defined as in (3.30) under Assumptions 2 and 3. Then, by Theorem 5.1, there exists a solution $(v^{(n+1)}, q^{(n+1)})$ to the system (5.10), which satisfies the inequality

$$\|v^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{H^{3.5}} + \|\nabla q^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{H^{1.5}}$$

$$\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^{3.5}} + \int_0^t P(\|w^{(n)}(s)\|_{H^5(\Gamma_1)}, \|w_t^{(n)}(s)\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)})\, ds$$

$$\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^{3.5}} + \int_0^t P(M)ds \tag{5.12}$$

on a time interval $[0, T_1]$, where T_1 depends only on M, and with q determined up to a constant. We may then apply Theorem 5.2 to solve (5.11) given $q^{(n+1)}$ after adjusting it by a constant so that $\int_{\Gamma_1} q^{(n+1)} = 0$. We obtain the solution $(w^{(n+1)}, w_t^{(n+1)})$ satisfying the estimate

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2} \|\partial_t^j w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{H^{5-2j}(\Gamma_1)} + \nu \left(\int_0^t \|w_t^{(n+1)}(s)\|_{H^4(\Gamma_1)}^2\, ds \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \|w_1\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)}$$

$$\tag{5.13}$$

on $[0, T_2]$. Here, T_2 depends on M, ν, and the pressure. Next, using the Poincaré inequality, followed by the trace inequality, we see that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \|q^{(n+1)}\|_{H^2(\Gamma_1)}^2\, ds \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_0^{T_0} \|\partial_{x_i} q^{(n+1)}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_1)}^2\, ds \lesssim \int_0^{T_0} \|\nabla q^{(n+1)}\|_{H^{1.5}}^2\, ds.$$

$$\tag{5.14}$$

Combining (5.6), (5.12), and (5.14), we see that T_2 depends only on ν and M. Let

$$T_0 = \min\{T_1, T_2\}.$$

Adding (5.13) to (5.12), we obtain for $t \in [0, T_0]$

$$\|v^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{H^{3.5}} + \|\nabla q^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{H^{1.5}} + \sum_{j=0}^{2} \|\partial_t^j w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{H^{5-j}(\Gamma_1)}$$

$$\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^{3.5}} + \|w_1\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} + T_0 P(M).$$

Readjusting T_0 to T' so that

$$T' = \min \left\{ \frac{M}{2CP(M)}, T_0 \right\},$$

we conclude

$$\|v^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{H^{3.5}} + \|q^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{H^{2.5}} + \sum_{j=0}^{2} \|\partial_t^j w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{H^{5-j}(\Gamma_1)}$$

$$\lesssim \|w_1\|_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} + \|v_0\|_{H^{3.5}} + T' P(M) \lesssim M$$

for $t \in [0, T']$. This ensures that the solution at every step of the iteration belongs to a ball of fixed radius M and that the iterates are well defined on a uniform interval $[0, T']$ with T' depending on M and ν only. In other words, the solution map from the nth element of the sequence to the next, and the mapping takes the ball of radius M in the space $L^\infty([0, T']; H^{3.5}(\Omega) \times H^{2.5}(\Omega))$ into itself.

To apply the Banach fixed point theorem, we also need to establish that the solution map is contractive. This is obtained by considering the difference of two consecutive solutions which we define by

$$(V^{(n+1)}, Q^{(n+1)}, Z^{(n+1)}, \Theta^{(n+1)}, \Psi^{n+1}, W^{(n+1)}, G^{(n+1)})$$

$$= \left(v^{(n+1)} - v^{(n)}, q^{(n+1)} - q^{(n)}, \zeta^{(n+1)} - \zeta^{(n)}, \right.$$

$$\left. \theta^{(n+1)} - \theta^{(n)}, \psi^{(n+1)} - \psi^{(n)}, w^{(n+1)} - w^{(n)}, E^{(n+1)} - E^{(n)} \right).$$

Observe that the difference of vorticities satisfies the equation obtained by subtracting the two vorticity equations of the form (3.32) satisfied by $\theta^{(n+1)}$ and $\theta^{(n)}$ and which reads

$$\partial_t \Theta_i^{(n+1)} + V_k^{(n+1)} E_{jk}^{(n)} \partial_j \theta_i^{(n+1)} + v_k^{(n)} G_{jk}^{(n)} \partial_j \theta_i^{(n+1)} + v_k^{(n)} E_{jk}^{(n-1)} \partial_j \Theta_i^{(n+1)}$$

$$- \Psi_t^{(n)} E_{33}^{(n)} \partial_3 \theta_i^{(n+1)} - \psi_t^{(n-1)} G_{33}^{(n)} \partial_3 \theta_i^{(n+1)} - \psi_t^{(n-1)} E_{33}^{(n-1)} \partial_3 \Theta_i^{(n+1)}$$

$$- \Theta_k^{(n+1)} E_{jk}^{(n)} \partial_j v_i^{(n+1)} - \theta_k^{(n)} G_{jk}^{(n)} \partial_j v_i^{(n+1)} - \theta_k^{(n)} E_{jk}^{(n-1)} \partial_j V_i^{(n+1)} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

The estimates are performed in the lower topology on solutions to this system, and we obtain the inequality

$$\|V^{(n+1)}\|_{H^{2.5}} \leq P(M)\left(\|W^{(n)}\|_{H^4(\Gamma_1)} + \|W_t^{(n)}\|_{H^2(\Gamma_1)}\right). \tag{5.15}$$

For the pressure term $Q^{(n+1)}$, we utilize that it solves an elliptic boundary value problem with the Neumann type boundary conditions, and we have the elliptic estimate

$$\|\nabla Q^{(n+1)}\|_{H^{1.5}} \lesssim \|V^{(n+1)}\|_{H^{2.5}} + \|W_{tt}^{(n)}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \|W_t^{(n)}\|_{H^2(\Gamma_1)} + \|W^{(n)}\|_{H^4(\Gamma_1)}, \tag{5.16}$$

where the constant depends on M. The full norm of Q can then be recovered after an adjustment by an appropriate constant via a Poincaré type inequality

$$\|Q^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla Q^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}$$

so that $\|\nabla Q^{(n+1)}\|_{H^{1.5}}$ can be replaced by $\|Q^{(n+1)}\|_{H^{2.5}}$ in (5.16).

The energy estimate for the plate equation yields

$$\|W^{(n+1)}(t)\|^2_{H^4(\Gamma_1)} + \|W_t^{(n+1)}(t)\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma_1)} + \|W_{tt}^{(n+1)}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}$$

$$+ \nu \int_0^t \|W_t^{(n+1)}(s)\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma_1)} \, ds \lesssim \int_0^t \|Q^{(n+1)}(s)\|^2_{H^1(\Gamma_1)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \int_0^t \|Q^{(n+1)}(s)\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Omega)} \, ds \lesssim T' \|Q^{(n+1)}\|^2_{L^\infty([0,T];H^{1.5}(\Omega))} \tag{5.17}$$

for $t \in [0, T']$. Using (5.15) in (5.16) and then (5.16) in (5.17), we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^2 \|\partial_t^j W^{(n+1)}(t)\|^2_{L^\infty H^{4-2j}((0,T')\times\Gamma_1)} + \nu \|W_t^{(n+1)}\|^2_{L^2 H^3((0,T')\times\Gamma_1)}$$

$$\lesssim T' P(M) \sum_{j=0}^2 \|\partial_t^j W^{(n)}(t)\|^2_{L^\infty H^{4-2j}((0,T')\times\Gamma_1)}.$$

Letting T' be sufficiently small, we obtain the contractive property for W^n. Similarly, multiplying (5.16) by a small constant and adding to (5.15), we obtain an analogous estimate for V^{n+1} and $Q^{(n+1)}$ from which the contraction property is established once again by taking T' sufficiently small. By the Banach fixed point theorem, there exists a solution $(v, q, w) \in L^\infty([0, T']; H^{3.5}(\Omega) \times H^{2.5}(\Omega)) \times L^\infty([0, T'); H^5(\Gamma_1))$ to the system on a time interval $T' > 0$ depending on M and $\nu > 0$ and the solution is unique in the ball of radius M. ∎

5.4. Passage to the limit as $\nu \to 0$

To obtain solutions for the system without damping ($\nu = 0$), we utilize the a priori estimates which are independent of the parameter $\nu > 0$. In particular, applying the a priori estimates to the constructed solution $(v^{(m)}, q^{(m)}, w^{(m)})$ given $\nu_m = \frac{1}{m}$, we can derive uniform bounds on the solution, under which we can then obtain appropriate weakly and weakly-* convergent sequences allowing us to pass to the limit as $m \to \infty$ in the system and then finally obtain solutions of the system for $\nu = 0$. We omit further details since they involve standard methods, which are similar to the scheme in [19]. We can therefore extend the local existence Theorem 5.3 to the system when $\nu = 0$.

References

[1] A. Balakrishna, I. Lasiecka, and J. T. Webster, Elastic stabilization of an intrinsically unstable hyperbolic flow-structure interaction on the 3D half-space. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **33** (2023), no. 3, 505–545 Zbl 1517.74046 MR 4566218

[2] B. Benešová, M. Kampschulte, and S. Schwarzacher, A variational approach to hyperbolic evolutions and fluid-structure interactions. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **26** (2024), no. 12, 4615–4697 Zbl 07927741 MR 4780491

[3] I. Bihari, A generalization of a lemma of Bellman and its application to uniqueness problems of differential equations. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **7** (1956), 81–94 Zbl 0070.08201 MR 0079154

[4] J. P. Bourguignon and H. Brezis, Remarks on the Euler equation. *J. Functional Analysis* **15** (1974), 341–363 Zbl 0279.58005 MR 0344713

[5] A. Chambolle, B. Desjardins, M. J. Esteban, and C. Grandmont, Existence of weak solutions for the unsteady interaction of a viscous fluid with an elastic plate. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **7** (2005), no. 3, 368–404 Zbl 1080.74024 MR 2166981

[6] C. H. A. Cheng and S. Shkoller, The interaction of the 3D Navier–Stokes equations with a moving nonlinear Koiter elastic shell. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **42** (2010), no. 3, 1094–1155 Zbl 1420.74004 MR 2644917

[7] I. Chueshov, I. Lasiecka, and J. Webster, Flow-plate interactions: Well-posedness and long-time behavior. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **7** (2014), no. 5, 925–965 Zbl 1304.35114 MR 3252887

[8] I. Chueshov, I. Lasiecka, and J. T. Webster, Evolution semigroups in supersonic flow-plate interactions. *J. Differential Equations* **254** (2013), no. 4, 1741–1773 Zbl 1326.35025 MR 3003291

[9] P. G. Ciarlet, *Mathematical elasticity. Vol. I. Three-dimensional elasticity*. Stud. Math. Appl. 20, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988 Zbl 0648.73014 MR 936420

[10] P. G. Ciarlet and A. Roquefort, Justification of a two-dimensional nonlinear shell model of Koiter's type. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **22** (2001), no. 2, 129–144 Zbl 0980.35159 MR 1835394

[11] B. Desjardins, M. J. Esteban, C. Grandmont, and P. Le Tallec, Weak solutions for a fluid-elastic structure interaction model. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **14** (2001), no. 2, 523–538 Zbl 1007.35055 MR 1871311

[12] C. Grandmont, Existence of weak solutions for the unsteady interaction of a viscous fluid with an elastic plate. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **40** (2008), no. 2, 716–737 Zbl 1158.74016 MR 2438783

[13] C. Grandmont and M. Hillairet, Existence of global strong solutions to a beam-fluid interaction system. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **220** (2016), no. 3, 1283–1333 Zbl 1334.35232 MR 3466847

[14] C. Grandmont, M. Hillairet, and J. Lequeurre, Existence of local strong solutions to fluid-beam and fluid-rod interaction systems. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* **36** (2019), no. 4, 1105–1149 Zbl 1428.35291 MR 3955112

[15] A. Hundertmark-Zaušková, M. Lukáčová-Medviďová, and Š. Nečasová, On the existence of weak solution to the coupled fluid-structure interaction problem for non-Newtonian shear-dependent fluid. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **68** (2016), no. 1, 193–243 Zbl 1334.76032 MR 3454559

[16] M. Ignatova, I. Kukavica, I. Lasiecka, and A. Tuffaha, On well-posedness and small data global existence for an interface damped free boundary fluid-structure model. *Nonlinearity* **27** (2014), no. 3, 467–499 Zbl 1286.35272 MR 3168261

[17] M. Ignatova, I. Kukavica, I. Lasiecka, and A. Tuffaha, Small data global existence for a fluid-structure model. *Nonlinearity* **30** (2017), no. 2, 848–898 Zbl 1357.35299 MR 3604365

[18] I. Kukavica and A. Tuffaha, An inviscid free boundary fluid-wave model. *J. Evol. Equ.* **23** (2023), no. 2, article no. 41 Zbl 1530.35199 MR 4596023

[19] I. Kukavica and A. Tuffaha, A free boundary inviscid model of flow-structure interaction. *J. Differential Equations* **413** (2024), 851–912 Zbl 07941404 MR 4795678

[20] I. Kukavica, Š. Nečasová, and A. Tuffaha, On the interaction between compressible inviscid flow and an elastic plate. 2023, arXiv:2311.08731v1

[21] D. Lengeler, Weak solutions for an incompressible, generalized Newtonian fluid interacting with a linearly elastic Koiter type shell. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **46** (2014), no. 4, 2614–2649 Zbl 1307.35197 MR 3233099

[22] D. Lengeler and M. Růžička, Weak solutions for an incompressible Newtonian fluid interacting with a Koiter type shell. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **211** (2014), no. 1, 205–255 Zbl 1293.35211 MR 3147436

[23] D. Maity, J.-P. Raymond, and A. Roy, Maximal-in-time existence and uniqueness of strong solution of a 3D fluid-structure interaction model. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **52** (2020), no. 6, 6338–6378 Zbl 1456.35165 MR 4189724

[24] B. Muha and S. Canić, Existence of a weak solution to a nonlinear fluid-structure interaction problem modeling the flow of an incompressible, viscous fluid in a cylinder with deformable walls. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **207** (2013), no. 3, 919–968 Zbl 1260.35148 MR 3017292

[25] B. Muha and S. Čanić, Existence of a solution to a fluid-multi-layered-structure interaction problem. *J. Differential Equations* **256** (2014), no. 2, 658–706 Zbl 1326.35282 MR 3121710

[26] B. Muha and S. Čanić, Fluid-structure interaction between an incompressible, viscous 3D fluid and an elastic shell with nonlinear Koiter membrane energy. *Interfaces Free Bound.* **17** (2015), no. 4, 465–495 Zbl 1333.35207 MR 3450736

[27] B. Muha and S. Čanić, Existence of a weak solution to a fluid-elastic structure interaction problem with the Navier slip boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations* **260** (2016), no. 12, 8550–8589 Zbl 1341.35103 MR 3482692

[28] B. Muha and S. Schwarzacher, Existence and regularity of weak solutions for a fluid interacting with a non-linear shell in three dimensions. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* **39** (2022), no. 6, 1369–1412 Zbl 1512.74027 MR 4540753

[29] S. a. Trifunović and Y.-G. Wang, Existence of a weak solution to the fluid-structure interaction problem in 3D. *J. Differential Equations* **268** (2020), no. 4, 1495–1531 Zbl 1431.35111 MR 4042350

[30] J. T. Webster, Weak and strong solutions of a nonlinear subsonic flow-structure interaction: Semigroup approach. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2011), no. 10, 3123–3136 Zbl 1230.35107 MR 2793551

Abhishek Balakrishna
Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, 3620 S. Vermont Ave, KAP 104, Los Angeles, CA 90089-2532, USA; ab45315@usc.edu

Igor Kukavica
Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, 3620 S. Vermont Ave, KAP 104, Los Angeles, CA 90089-2532, USA; kukavica@usc.edu

Boris Muha
Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Bijenicka cesta 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia;
borism@math.hr

Amjad Tuffaha
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, American University of Sharjah, University City,
26666 Sharjah, United Arab Emirates; atufaha@aus.edu