Higher order S^p -differentiability: The unitary case

Arup Chattopadhyay, Clément Coine, Saikat Giri, and Chandan Pradhan

Abstract. Consider the set of unitary operators on a complex separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , denoted as $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$. Consider 1 . We establish that a function <math>f defined on the unit circle \mathbb{T} is n times continuously Fréchet \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable at every point in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. Take a function $U: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ such that the function $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto U(t) - U(0)$ takes values in \mathcal{S}^p and is n times continuously \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable on \mathbb{R} . Consequently, for $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$, we prove that f is n times continuously Gâteaux \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable at U(t). We provide explicit expressions for both types of derivatives of f in terms of multiple operator integrals. In the domain of unitary operators, these results closely follow the n-th order successes for self-adjoint operators achieved by the second author, Le Merdy, Skripka, and Sukochev. Furthermore, as for application, we derive a formula and \mathcal{S}^p -estimates for operator Taylor remainders for a broader class of functions. Our results extend those of Peller, Potapov, Skripka, Sukochev, and Tomskova.

1. Introduction

Beginning the journey into function theory, especially when delving into operator functions, the concept of differentiability takes on crucial significance. Early breakthroughs in comprehending the differentiability of operator functions were achieved in [12], subject to rigorous conditions imposed on both functions and operators. These initial insights underwent significant refinement and expansion in subsequent works, such as [3,5,7,13–15,18,20,22,26], responding to advancements in perturbation theory. Despite these advancements, certain challenges persisted. This paper addresses one of the open problems.

Throughout the article, we consider \mathcal{H} to be a complex separable Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ denote respectively the space of bounded linear operators, and the space of unitary operators on \mathcal{H} . For $p \in [1, \infty)$, the p-th Schatten–von Neumann class is

$$\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \|A\|_p := \operatorname{Tr}(|A|^p)^{1/p} < \infty\},\,$$

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 47B10 (primary); 46L52, 47A55, 47B49 (secondary).

Keywords: multiple operator integrals, differentiation of operator functions.

 $\mathcal{S}^p_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ is the subset of self-adjoint elements of $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$, and Tr is the canonical trace on the trace class ideal $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$. The unsuffixed norm $\|\cdot\|$ will always mean the operator norm.

For self-adjoint operators, Le Merdy and Skripka [16] established the n-th order Fréchet and Gâteaux S^p -differentiability $(1 of the operator function <math>t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A+tB) - f(A)$ for an n times continuously differentiable function f. Subsequently, in [8], the second author extended this class from n times continuously differentiable to n times differentiable functions concerning n-th order Gâteaux S^p -differentiability. The analogous problem of studying the differentiability of an operator function for unitary operators has also been investigated by various experts in this field.

Let U be a unitary operator, and A be a bounded self-adjoint operator acting on \mathcal{H} . Define

$$U(s) = e^{isA}U, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In [22], for any function f belonging to the Besov class $B_{\infty 1}^n(\mathbb{T})$ on the unit circle \mathbb{T} , where $n \geq 2$ is a natural number, Potapov, Skripka, and Sukochev gave the existence of the n-th order Gâteaux derivative of f at U, $\frac{d^n}{ds^n}\big|_{s=t}f(U(s))$, in the operator norm. Moreover, they expressed the value as a linear combination of multiple operator integrals with respect to divided differences $f^{[1]}, f^{[2]}, \ldots, f^{[n]}$ of the function f (see [22, Theorem 3.1]), correcting the formula earlier obtained in [20]. The case n=1 was addressed in [7, 19]. A recent proof in [23, Theorem 3.6] established that for a function $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$ and a finite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \ell_d^2$, the function is n-1 times Gâteaux \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable at U.

New results, novelty, and methodology. In this article, we answer several questions on higher order differentiability of operator functions in $\|\cdot\|_p$, 1 . The exponent <math>p in this paper is reserved for a number in the interval $p \in (1, \infty)$.

We prove (see Theorem 3.3) that f is n times continuously Fréchet \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable at every unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. Consequently, we prove (see Theorem 3.5) f is n times continuously Gâteaux \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable under the assumption $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$ by extending the relative results of [20,22], which specifically addressed the Gâteaux differentiability of $f \in B^n_{\infty 1}(\mathbb{T})$. Let $U: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be a function such that

$$\widetilde{U}: t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto U(t) - U(0) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$$

is an n times S^p -differentiable function on \mathbb{R} . Then, for $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$, we establish the n times S^p -differentiability of

$$\varphi: t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(U(t)) - f(U(0)) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}).$$

In addition, if $\tilde{U}^{(n)}$ is continuous then $\varphi^{(n)}$ is also continuous in $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ (see Theorem 3.5 (i)). It is crucially noted in the literature (see, e.g., [22–24]) that the higher order Gâteaux derivatives of an operator function play a fundamental role in examining the behavior of the higher order Taylor remainder of the operator function.

Consider the n-th order Taylor remainder

$$R_{n,f,U}(t) := f(U(t)) - f(U(0)) - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m!} \varphi^{(m)}(0).$$
 (1)

In particular, the following estimate of the above Taylor remainder (1) is already obtained in [23] for $1 < n < p < \infty$, $U(t) = e^{itA}U$ with $A \in \mathcal{S}_{sa}^p(\mathcal{H})$:

$$||R_{n,f,U}(1)||_{\frac{p}{n}} \le \tilde{c}_{p,n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} ||f^{(m)}||_{\infty} ||A||_{p}^{n}$$
(2)

for every $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$, where $\tilde{c}_{p,n}$ is a positive constant depending on p and n.

It should be noted that for $f \in \mathcal{G}_n(\mathbb{T}) \subsetneq C^n(\mathbb{T})$, an explicit formula for (1) with $U(t) = e^{itA}U$ is known in terms of the integration of certain multiple operator integrals (see [22, Theorem 4.1]). In [27] (detailed proof can be found in [23, Theorem 3.7]), the author established a simple formula for the aforementioned Taylor remainder that corresponds to $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$ as a linear combination of multiple operator integrals (without involving the integration of multiple operator integrals), with respect to divided differences $f^{[1]}, f^{[2]}, \ldots, f^{[n]}$, constrained to a finite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \ell_d^2$. Here, for a complex separable Hilbert space (not necessarily finite-dimensional), we establish the same formula for (1) for any $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$ (see, e.g., Theorem 3.5 (ii) and Corollary 3.6). Consequently, our results lead to a direct proof of (2) (see Corollary 3.6).

In conclusion, let us discuss the methodology employed in this paper. To achieve the results mentioned earlier, we will delve into establishing crucial properties of multiple operator integrals throughout the article. Our approach leans towards the construction of operator integrals outlined in [10], differing from those presented in [20,22,24]. Specifically, for $1 , <math>n \in \mathbb{N}$, and unitary operators U_1, \ldots, U_n acting on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we heavily use the \mathcal{S}^p -boundedness of operator integrals

$$\|\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]})\|_{\mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{S}^p)} \le c_{p,n} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty},$$

for $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$, extending the bound of operator integrals considered in [24, Theorem 3.3]. The importance of this construction compared to other constructions of multiple operator integrals [5, 6, 12, 20–22] are discussed in the next Section 2.

The article is organized as follows. Apart from the introduction, it consists of two sections. In Section 2, we will mainly concern ourselves with the properties

of operator integrals. First, in Section 2.1, we recall as well as establish the important properties of multiple operator integrals, and, in Section 2.2, we establish the higher-order perturbation formula for differences of multiple operator integrals. Section 3 addresses the differentiability of functions of unitary operators in $S^p(\mathcal{H})$ for 1 by listing the statements of the main results in Section 3.1, then some auxiliary lemmas in Section 3.2, and finally providing the proof of the main results in Section 3.3.

2. Multiple operator integration

In this section, we recall the definition of multiple operator integrals introduced in [17] and developed in [10], as well as derive its important properties that underline our main results.

2.1. Multiple operator integrals associated to unitary operators

Progress in investigating the operator smoothness and differentiability relies on methodologies known as "multiple operator integration," evolving since [12]. There exist two primary approaches to multiple operator integration on Schatten classes, as detailed in [25]. For our purposes, we adopt the definition of multiple operator integration developed in [10]. While alternative constructions of multiple operator integrals exist [1,2,5,6,12,20–22], they are tailored to smaller sets of symbols and thus do not align with the breadth of this paper's scope.

We fix the following notations and conventions to be used throughout the paper. Let $\mathcal{B}_n(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n, Y)$ denote the space of bounded n-linear operators mapping the Cartesian product $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ of Banach spaces X_1, \ldots, X_n to a Banach space Y, that is, the space of n-linear mappings $T: X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \to Y$ such that

$$||T||_{\mathcal{B}_n(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n, Y)} := \sup_{||e_i|| \le 1, \ 1 \le i \le n} ||T(e_1, \dots, e_n)|| < \infty.$$

In the case when $X_1 = \cdots = X_n = Y$, we will simply denote $\mathcal{B}_n(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n, Y)$ by $\mathcal{B}_n(Y)$. If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we use the notation

$$(T)^k = \underbrace{T, \dots, T}_{k}.$$

We denote the unit circle of \mathbb{C} by \mathbb{T} and the class of continuous functions on \mathbb{T} by $C(\mathbb{T})$. For $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$, by its derivative at $z_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, we understand the limit

$$f'(z_0) := \lim_{\mathbb{T}\ni z \to z_0} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0},\tag{3}$$

provided it exists. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $C^n(\mathbb{T})$ the space of n times continuously differentiable functions on \mathbb{T} in the sense of (3). Lip(\mathbb{T}) ($\subset C(\mathbb{T})$) denotes the space of all Lipschitz functions $f: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$. Finally, we let $D^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$ (respectively $C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$) be the space of n times differentiable (respectively continuously differentiable) functions $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ with derivatives denoted by $\varphi^{(j)}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let U be a unitary operator on \mathcal{H} . Denote its spectrum by $\sigma(U)$ and its spectral measure by E^U , defined on Borel subsets of $\sigma(U)$. Let λ_U be a scalar-valued spectral measure for U, which is a positive finite measure on the Borel subsets of $\sigma(U)$ such that E^U and λ_U have the same sets of measure zero. Such a measure exists, due to the separability assumption on \mathcal{H} . The reader is directed to [11, Section 15] and te [10, Section 2.1] for more details. The Borel functional calculus for U takes any bounded Borel function $f:\sigma(U)\to\mathbb{C}$ to the bounded operator

$$f(U) := \int_{\sigma(U)} f(z) dE^{U}(z).$$

This operator only depends on the class of f in $L^{\infty}(\lambda_U)$. Moreover, according to [11, Theorem 15.10], it induces a w^* -continuous *-representation

$$f \in L^{\infty}(\lambda_U) \mapsto f(U) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 2$, and let U_1, \ldots, U_n be unitary operators on \mathcal{H} with scalar-valued spectral measures $\lambda_{U_1}, \ldots, \lambda_{U_n}$.

Definition 2.1. Let

$$\Gamma: L^{\infty}(\lambda_{U_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L^{\infty}(\lambda_{U_n}) \to \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}))$$

be the unique linear map such that for any $f_i \in L^{\infty}(\lambda_{U_i})$, $1 \le i \le n$, and for any $K_1, \ldots, K_{n-1} \in S^2(\mathcal{H})$,

$$[\Gamma(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)](K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$$

= $f_1(U_1)K_1 f_2(U_2)K_2 \cdots f_{n-1}(U_{n-1})K_{n-1} f_n(U_n).$

According to [10, Proposition 3.4], Γ extends to a unique w^* -continuous and contractive map

$$\Gamma^{U_1,\ldots,U_n}:L^{\infty}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^n\lambda_{U_i}\Big)\to\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})).$$

For $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{U_i})$, the transformation $\Gamma^{U_1,...,U_n}(\varphi)$ is called *multiple operator* integral associated to $U_1,...,U_n$ and φ .

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded Borel function, we let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be the class of its restriction $\varphi|_{\sigma(U_1)\times \cdots \times \sigma(U_n)}$ in $L^{\infty}(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{U_i})$. Then the (n-1)-linear map $\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_n}(\tilde{\varphi})$ will be simply denoted by

$$\Gamma^{U_1,\ldots,U_n}(\varphi): \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$$

in the sequel.

The w^* -continuity of Γ^{U_1,\ldots,U_n} means that if a net $(\varphi_i)_{i\in I}$ in $L^\infty(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{U_i})$ converges to $\varphi\in L^\infty(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{U_i})$ in the w^* -topology, then, for any $K_1,\ldots,K_{n-1}\in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$, the net

$$([\Gamma^{U_1,...,U_n}(\varphi_i)](K_1,\ldots,K_{n-1}))_{i\in I}$$

converges to $[\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_n}(\varphi)](K_1,\dots,K_{n-1})$ weakly in $S^2(\mathcal{H})$.

Let $p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}, p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{U_i})$. We will write

$$\Gamma^{U_1,\ldots,U_n}(\varphi) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\mathcal{S}^{p_1}(\mathcal{H}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}^{p_{n-1}}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$$

if the multiple operator integral $\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_n}(\varphi)$ defines a bounded (n-1)-linear mapping

$$\Gamma^{U_1,\ldots,U_n}(\varphi): (S^2(\mathcal{H}) \cap S^{p_1}(\mathcal{H})) \times \cdots \times (S^2(\mathcal{H}) \cap S^{p_{n-1}}(\mathcal{H})) \to S^p(\mathcal{H}),$$

where $S^2(\mathcal{H}) \cap S^{p_i}(\mathcal{H})$ is equipped with the $\|\cdot\|_{p_i}$ -norm. By the density of $S^2(\mathcal{H}) \cap S^{p_i}(\mathcal{H})$ into $S^{p_i}(\mathcal{H})$, this mapping has an (necessarily unique) extension

$$\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_n}(\varphi): \mathcal{S}^{p_1}(\mathcal{H}) \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}^{p_{n-1}}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}). \tag{4}$$

In the case when $p_1 = \cdots = p_{n-1} = p$, we will simply write

$$\Gamma^{U_1,\ldots,U_n}(\varphi) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})).$$

The crucial point in the construction leading to Definition 2.1 is the w^* -continuity of $\Gamma^{U_1,...,U_n}$, which allows to reduce various computations to elementary tensor product manipulations, for which certain equations are straightforward to establish. See [8,9] for illustrations.

The following result is instrumental in demonstrating the S^p -boundedness of certain multiple operator integrals. It can be proven by following similar lines of argument as presented in the proof of [8, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2.2. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}, p \in (1, \infty)$, and $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Let U_1, \ldots, U_n be unitary operators on \mathcal{H} and $(\varphi_k)_{k\geq 1}, \varphi \in L^{\infty}(\lambda_{U_1} \times \cdots \times \lambda_{U_n})$. Assume that $(\varphi_k)_k$ is w^* -convergent to φ and that $(\Gamma^{U_1, \ldots, U_n}(\varphi_k))_{k\geq 1} \subset \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\mathcal{S}^{p_1}(\mathcal{H}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}^{p_{n-1}}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$ is bounded. Then

$$\Gamma^{U_1,\ldots,U_n}(\varphi) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\mathcal{S}^{p_1}(\mathcal{H}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}^{p_{n-1}}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$$

with

$$\|\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_n}(\varphi)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\mathcal{S}^{p_1}\times\dots\times\mathcal{S}^{p_{n-1}},\mathcal{S}^p)} \\ \leq \liminf_{k} \|\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_n}(\varphi_k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\mathcal{S}^{p_1}\times\dots\times\mathcal{S}^{p_{n-1}},\mathcal{S}^p)}$$

and, for any $K_i \in \mathcal{S}^{p_i}(\mathcal{H}), 1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$[\Gamma^{U_1,\ldots,U_n}(\varphi_k)](K_1,\ldots,K_{n-1}) \xrightarrow[k\to\infty]{} [\Gamma^{U_1,\ldots,U_n}(\varphi)](K_1,\ldots,K_{n-1})$$

weakly in $S^p(\mathcal{H})$.

2.2. Higher order perturbation formula

This section is devoted to obtaining an important result on boundedness of multiple operator integrals associated to divided differences $f^{[n]}$ in the case when $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$, which will justify that all the operators appearing in the sequel are well defined. We also prove a higher order perturbation formula for differences of multiple operator integrals.

We first recall the definition of the divided difference. The zeroth order divided difference of f is the function itself, that is, $f^{[0]} := f$. Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$. The divided difference of the first order $f^{[1]} : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$f^{[1]}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(\lambda_1) - f(\lambda_2)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} & \text{if } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2, \\ f'(\lambda_1) & \text{if } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2, \end{cases} \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{T}.$$

The function $f^{[1]}$ belongs to $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. If $n \geq 2$ and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$, the divided difference of n-th order $f^{[n]}: \mathbb{T}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined recursively by

$$f^{[n]}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})$$

$$:= \begin{cases} \frac{f^{[n-1]}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) - f^{[n-1]}(\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} & \text{if } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2, \\ \frac{\partial_1 f(\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})}{\partial_1 f(\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2, \end{cases}$$

for all $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1} \in \mathbb{T}$, where ∂_i stands for the partial derivative with respect to the i-th variable. Moreover, $f^{[n]} \in C(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$.

We are now ready to establish the bound of the multiple operator integral (4) associated with the symbol $\varphi = f^{[n]}$ for 1 < p, $p_j < \infty$, j = 1, ..., n, and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. This class extends earlier known function classes used to give bounds to the multiple operator integral considered in [24]. We also refer to [1,2] for a different kind of S^p -estimates in the case when the symbol is in the Haagerup tensor product of L^∞ -spaces. The result of Theorem 2.3 below is outlined in [25, Remark 4.3.20] without proof. For our purposes, we will state the theorem here and give a simple proof.

Theorem 2.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. Let $1 < p, p_j < \infty$, j = 1, ..., n be such that $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_n}$. Let $U_1, ..., U_{n+1}$ be unitary operators on \mathcal{H} . Then

$$\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]}) \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{S}^{p_1}(\mathcal{H}) \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}^{p_n}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$$

and there exists $c_{p,n} > 0$ depending only on p and n such that for any $K_i \in S^{p_i}(\mathcal{H})$, $1 \le i \le n$,

$$\|[\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]})](K_1,\dots,K_n)\|_p \le c_{p,n} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty} \|K_1\|_{p_1} \cdots \|K_n\|_{p_n}.$$
 (5)

In particular, $\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]}) \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$, with

$$\|\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]})\|_{\mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{S}^p)} \le c_{p,n} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty}. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. Define, for any $k \geq 1$, $\varphi_k := f * F_k$, where F_k is the Fejér kernel. By the definition of the Fejér kernel, the function φ_k a trigonometric polynomial. Then we have that

$$\|\varphi_k^{(m)} - f^{(m)}\|_{\infty} \to 0, \quad k \to \infty,$$

for $0 \le m \le n$, which, according to [24, Lemma 3.2], further implies

$$\|\varphi_k^{[m]} - f^{[m]}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^{m+1})} \to 0, \quad k \to \infty$$
 (7)

for all $0 \le m \le n$. By [24, Theorem 3.6], there exists a constant $c_{p,n} > 0$ such that, for every $k \ge 1$,

$$\|\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(\varphi_k^{[n]})\|_{\mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{S}^{p_1}\times\dots\times\mathcal{S}^{p_n},\mathcal{S}^p)} \le c_{p,n}\|\varphi_k^{(n)}\|_{\infty}.$$
 (8)

Since $\varphi_k^{(n)} = F_k * f^{(n)}$ and $||F_k||_1 \le 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, from Young's inequality it follows that

$$\|\varphi_k^{(n)}\|_{\infty} \le \|F_k\|_1 \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty} \le \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty}. \tag{9}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, (7), (8) and (9) we deduce that

$$\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]}) \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{S}^{p_1}(\mathcal{H}) \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}^{p_n}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$$

with

$$\|\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]})\|_{\mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{S}^{p_1}\times\dots\times\mathcal{S}^{p_n},\mathcal{S}^p)} \le c_{p,n}\|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty},$$

from which we deduce (5). Inequality (6) follows from the fact that $\|\cdot\|_{p_i} \leq \|\cdot\|_p$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Let 1 . Let <math>U and V be two unitary operators on \mathcal{H} and $U - V \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$. Then by [4, Theorem 2] for every $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$, $f(U) - f(V) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, we have the following formula

$$f(U) - f(V) = [\Gamma^{U,V}(f^{[1]})](U - V). \tag{10}$$

We will prove a higher order counterpart of this result, which will allow us to express differences of multiple operator integrals of the form

$$[\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{i-1},U,U_i,\dots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n-1]})](K_1,\dots,K_{n-1}) - [\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{i-1},V,U_i,\dots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n-1]})](K_1,\dots,K_{n-1})$$

as a multiple operator integral associated with the function $f^{[n]}$, when $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$ and $U - V \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$.

To that aim we need the following result, which establishes an approximation property of multiple operator integrals.

Proposition 2.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, let U_1, \ldots, U_n be unitary operators on \mathcal{H} and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $(U_i^j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of unitary operators on \mathcal{H} converging to U_i in the strong operator topology (SOT). Then for any $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and for any $K_1, \ldots, K_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \| [\Gamma^{U_1^j,\dots,U_n^j}(\varphi)](K_1,\dots,K_{n-1}) - [\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_n}(\varphi)](K_1,\dots,K_{n-1}) \|_2 = 0.$$

Proof. Let $g \in C(\mathbb{T})$. Notice that, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $g(U_i^j) \xrightarrow{\text{SOT}} g(U_i)$. Indeed, by approximation and by linearity, it is enough to prove it when g is a monomial, in which case it simply follows from the fact that $U_i^j \xrightarrow{\text{SOT}} U_i$.

It is worth noting that proving the proposition suffices when K_1, \ldots, K_{n-1} are rank one operators, and since for any $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$, there is a sequence of trigonometric polynomials (in n variables) uniformly converging to φ , it is enough to assume that $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \cdots \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. The rest of the proof follows from the same computations done in [9, Proposition 3.1].

In the next proposition we establish a standard perturbation formula for multiple operator integrals with respect to auxiliary unitary operators when $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$.

It extends the function class of [22, Lemma 2.4], where such representation was obtained for $f \in B^n_{\infty 1}(\mathbb{T})$ and generalizes [23, Lemma 3.3 (ii)] from $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2_d$ to an arbitrary complex separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Proposition 2.5. Let $1 and <math>n \ge 2$ be an integer. Let $U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1}, U, V$ be unitary operators on \mathcal{H} and assume that $U - V \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$. Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. Then, for all $K_1, \ldots, K_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ and for any $1 \le i \le n$, we have

$$[\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{i-1},U,U_i,\dots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n-1]}) - \Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{i-1},V,U_i,\dots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n-1]})](K_1,\dots,K_{n-1})$$

$$= [\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{i-1},U,V,U_i,\dots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n]})](K_1,\dots,K_{i-1},U-V,K_i,\dots,K_{n-1}).$$

Proof. Let us start with the case p = 2. As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we define $\varphi_k := f * F_k$ where F_k is the Fejér kernel. Since

$$(\varphi_k)^{[n-1]} \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} f^{[n-1]}$$
 and $(\varphi_k)^{[n]} \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} f^{[n]}$

uniformly on \mathbb{T}^n and \mathbb{T}^{n+1} respectively, it is enough to prove the formula for φ_k instead of f. Hence, we can assume that f is a trigonometric polynomial and, by linearity, we only have to treat the case when $f(z) = z^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. From now on, the proof simply consists in algebraic identities.

If $m \in \mathbb{N}$, it is straightforward to check that $f^{[n-1]}$ can be written as a finite sum $f^{[n-1]} = \sum a_k \psi_{\tilde{k}}$, where $\psi_{\tilde{k}} := \psi_{k_1,\dots,k_n} : (u_1,\dots,u_n) \mapsto u_1^{k_1} u_2^{k_2} \cdots u_n^{k_n}, k_j \ge 0$, see for instance [24, Lemma 2.1]. In such case, we have, for 1 < i < n,

$$f^{[n]}(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}) = \sum a_k u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_{i-1}^{k_{i-1}} \frac{u_i^{k_i} - u_{i+1}^{k_i}}{u_i - u_{i+1}} u_{i+2}^{k_{i+1}} \cdots u_{n+1}^{k_n}$$

$$= \sum a_k \sum_{p=0}^{k_i - 1} u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_{i-1}^{k_{i-1}} u_i^p u_{i+1}^{k_i - 1 - p} u_{i+2}^{k_{i+1}} \cdots u_{n+1}^{k_n}.$$

Hence, by definition of multiple operator integrals, we have

$$\begin{split} & [\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{i-1},U,V,U_i,\dots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n]})](K_1,\dots,K_{i-1},U-V,K_i,\dots,K_{n-1}) \\ & = \sum a_k U_1^{k_1} K_1 \cdots K_{i-2} U_{i-1}^{k_{i-1}} K_{i-1} \Big(\sum_{p=0}^{k_i-1} U^p (U-V) V^{k_i-1-p} \Big) \\ & \times K_i U_i^{k_i+1} \cdots K_{n-1} U_{n-1}^{k_n} \\ & = \sum a_k U_1^{k_1} K_1 \cdots K_{i-2} U_{i-1}^{k_{i-1}} K_{i-1} (U^{k_i} - V^{k_i}) K_i U_i^{k_{i+1}} K_{i+1} \cdots K_{n-1} U_{n-1}^{k_n}, \end{split}$$

which in turn is equal to

$$[\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{i-1},U,U_i,\dots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n-1]})](K_1,\dots,K_{n-1}) - [\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{i-1},V,U_i,\dots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n-1]})](K_1,\dots,K_{n-1}).$$

If $m \le 0$, then $f^{[n-1]}$ can be written as a linear combination of functions of the form $(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \mapsto u_1^{-k_1} u_2^{-k_2} \cdots u_n^{-k_n}$, where $k_j \ge 0$, so that $f^{[n]}$ can be written as the linear combination of the functions

$$(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}) \mapsto -u_1^{-k_1} \cdots u_{i-1}^{-k_{i-1}} \left(\sum_{p=0}^{k_i-1} u_i^{-1-p} u_{i+1}^{-k_i+p} \right) u_{i+2}^{-k_{i+1}} \cdots u_{n+1}^{-k_n}$$

(see [24, Lemma 2.1]). We conclude the result with similar computations as in the previous case. Hence, we proved the formula when p = 2.

Assume now that $1 . Since <math>S^p(\mathcal{H}) \subset S^2(\mathcal{H})$, the formula holds true as well.

Finally, assume that $2 . Recall that <math>S^2(\mathcal{H})$ is a dense subspace of $S^p(\mathcal{H})$ and that $\|\cdot\|_p \le \|\cdot\|_2$. Since $U - V \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$, there exists an operator $A \in S^p_{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $V = e^{iA}U$. Let $(A_j)_j \subset S^2_{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ be a sequence of self-adjoint elements converging to A in $\|\cdot\|_p$ and denote $V_j = e^{iA_j}U$. For every j, $V_j - U \in S^2(\mathcal{H})$ so that, by the case p = 2, for every $K_1, \ldots, K_{n-1} \in S^2(\mathcal{H})$,

$$[\Gamma^{U_{1},\dots,U_{i-1},U,U_{i},\dots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n-1]}) - \Gamma^{U_{1},\dots,U_{i-1},V_{j},U_{i},\dots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n-1]})](K_{1},\dots,K_{n-1}) = [\Gamma^{U_{1},\dots,U_{i-1},U,V_{j},U_{i},\dots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n]})](K_{1},\dots,K_{i-1},U-V_{j},K_{i},\dots,K_{n-1}).$$
(11)

Note that $V_j - V = (e^{iA_j} - e^{iA})U$ so that $V_j - V \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ and by Duhamel's formula (see, e.g., [5, Lemma 5.2]) we have

$$||V_j - V||_p \le ||A_j - A||_p$$

which goes to 0 as $j \to \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let N be large enough so that

$$||V_j - V||_p < \varepsilon$$
, for all $j \ge N$, (12)

and let $B \in S^2(\mathcal{H})$ be such that

$$||U - V - B||_p < \varepsilon. \tag{13}$$

By Proposition 2.4, the term

$$[\Gamma^{U_1,\ldots,U_{i-1},V_j,U_i,\ldots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n-1]})](K_1,\ldots,K_{n-1})$$

converges in $S^2(\mathcal{H})$ to

$$[\Gamma^{U_1,\ldots,U_{i-1},V,U_i,\ldots,U_{n-1}}(f^{[n-1]})](K_1,\ldots,K_{n-1}).$$

To deal with the convergence of the right-hand side of (11), let us denote, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Gamma_{j}: X \in \mathcal{S}^{p}(\mathcal{H})$$

$$\mapsto [\Gamma^{U_{1}, \dots, U_{i-1}, U, V_{j}, U_{i}, \dots, U_{n-1}}(f^{[n]})](K_{1}, \dots, K_{i-1}, X, K_{i}, \dots, K_{n-1})$$

and

$$\Gamma: X \in \mathcal{S}^{p}(\mathcal{H}) \\ \mapsto [\Gamma^{U_{1}, \dots, U_{i-1}, U, V, U_{i}, \dots, U_{n-1}}(f^{[n]})](K_{1}, \dots, K_{i-1}, X, K_{i}, \dots, K_{n-1}).$$

We have the following identity in $S^p(\mathcal{H})$: for every $j \geq N$,

$$\Gamma_j(U - V_j) - \Gamma(U - V)$$

$$= \Gamma_j(V - V_j) + \Gamma_j(U - V - B) + (\Gamma_j(B) - \Gamma(B)) + \Gamma(B - (U - V)).$$

By Proposition 2.4, there exists $N' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\Gamma_j(B) - \Gamma(B)\|_p \le \|\Gamma_j(B) - \Gamma(B)\|_2 < \varepsilon$$
 for all $j \ge N'$.

Next, by Theorem 2.3, $\{\Gamma_j \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\Gamma\} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$ is uniformly bounded. Hence, there exists a constant C such that, using (12) and (13),

$$\|\Gamma_i(V - V_i)\|_p \le C\|V - V_i\|_p < C\varepsilon, \quad \|\Gamma_i(U - V - B)\|_p < C\varepsilon$$

and

$$\|\Gamma(B-(U-V))\|_p < C\varepsilon.$$

Hence, for every $j \ge \max\{N, N'\}$,

$$\|\Gamma_j(U-V_j)-\Gamma(U-V)\|_p \le (3C+1)\varepsilon.$$

This concludes the proof when $K_1, \ldots, K_{n-1} \in S^2(\mathcal{H})$ and $U - V \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$, p > 2. By approximation and boundedness of operator integrals on $S^p(\mathcal{H})$ given by Theorem 2.3, we obtain the general case. This completes the proof in full generality.

This section concludes with the following lemma, which is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 2.5.

Lemma 2.6. Let $1 and <math>n \ge 2$ be an integer. Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. Let U, V be two unitary operators with $U - V \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$, and let $K_1, \ldots, K_{n-1} \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$. Then, for $1 \le k \le n$,

$$[\Gamma^{(U)^k,(V)^{n-k}}(f^{[n-1]}) - \Gamma^{(V)^n}(f^{[n-1]})](K_1,\ldots,K_{n-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k [\Gamma^{(U)^i,(V)^{n-i+1}}(f^{[n]})](K_1,\ldots,K_{i-1},U-V,K_i,\ldots,K_{n-1}).$$

Proof. We have

$$[\Gamma^{(U)^{k},(V)^{n-k}}(f^{[n-1]}) - \Gamma^{(V)^{n}}(f^{[n-1]})](K_{1},\ldots,K_{n-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} [\Gamma^{(U)^{i},(V)^{n-i}}(f^{[n-1]}) - \Gamma^{(U)^{i-1},(V)^{n-i+1}}(f^{[n-1]})](K_{1},\ldots,K_{n-1}).$$
(14)

Now, applying Proposition 2.5 to (14) we complete the proof.

3. Differentiability in $S^p(\mathcal{H})$, 1

3.1. Statements of the main results

In this section, we state our main results on differentiability of functions of unitary operators in S^p -norms, for 1 .

We start by defining the Gâteaux and Fréchet differentiability of operator functions. In this paper, we explore the concept of higher order Fréchet and Gâteaux differentiability of $f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})$ at $U(t) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ as discussed below.

Let $U: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that the function $\widetilde{U}: t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto U(t) - U(0) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$. Let $f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})$, 1 . By [4, Theorem 2], the function

$$\varphi_{U,f,p}: t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(U(t)) - f(U(0)) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$$

is well defined.

Definition 3.1 (Gâteaux derivative). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\widetilde{U} \in D^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$. A function $f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})$ is said to be *n times Gâteaux* \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable at U(t) if the function $\varphi_{U,f,p}$ is n-1 times \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable in a neighborhood of t and

$$\varphi_{U,f,p}^{(n-1)}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$$

is S^p -differentiable at t. The expression $\varphi_{U,f,p}^{(n)}(t)$ denotes the n-th Gâteaux S^p -derivative of f at U(t).

Let $U, V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$. By "V in the S^p -neighborhood of U," we mean that

$$V \in \{X \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) : X - U \in \mathcal{W}\},\$$

where W is a S^p -neighborhood of 0. A function $f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})$ is said to be *Fréchet* S^p -differentiable at $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ if there exists a bounded operator

$$D^1_{F,p}f(U) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$$

satisfying

$$||f(V) - f(U) - D_{F,p}^1 f(U)(V - U)||_p = o(||V - U||_p),$$

for V in a S^p -neighborhood of U. Note that V being in such neighborhood means that $V = e^{iA}U$, where $A \in S^p_{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\|e^{iA} - I\|_p$ is small enough.

Definition 3.2 (Fréchet derivative). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $f \in Lip(\mathbb{T})$ is n times Fréchet \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable at $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ if it is n-1 times Fréchet \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable in a \mathcal{S}^p -neighborhood of U and there is a n-linear bounded operator

$$D_{F,p}^n f(U) \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$$

satisfying, for every $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$,

$$||(D_{F,p}^{n-1} f(V) - D_{F,p}^{n-1} f(U))(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})| - D_{F,p}^n f(U)(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, V - U)||_p$$

= $o(||V - U||_p)||X_1||_p \cdots ||X_{n-1}||_p$,

as $||V - U||_p \to 0$, for V in a S^p -neighborhood of U.

Next, we say that f is n times continuously Fréchet S^p -differentiable at $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ if it is n times Fréchet S^p -differentiable in a S^p -neighborhood of U and for every $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$ and V in a S^p -neighborhood of U,

$$||D_{F,p}^n f(V)(X_1,\ldots,X_n) - D_{F,p}^n f(U)(X_1,\ldots,X_n)|| = o(1)||X_1||_p \cdots ||X_n||_p,$$

as
$$||V - U||_p \to 0$$
.

We are now ready to state our first main result. Prior to that, we denote by Sym_k the group of permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $1 and <math>n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. Then f is n times continuously Fréchet S^p -differentiable at every $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ and for every $1 \le k \le n$, $X_1, \ldots, X_k \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$,

$$D_{F,p}^{k} f(U)(X_{1},...,X_{k}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k}} [\Gamma^{(U)^{k+1}}(f^{[k]})](X_{\sigma(1)},...,X_{\sigma(k)}).$$
 (15)

Remark 3.4. The converse of the above Theorem 3.3 holds true, namely, if f is n times continuously Fréchet \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable at every $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, then $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. This follows from similar computations as in [16, Proposition 3.9(ii)].

We now establish the *n*-th order Gâteaux derivative of $f: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$. The subsequent result shows that, for $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$, the operator function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto f(U(t)) - f(U(0)) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ is *n* times differentiable (resp. continuously differentiable) in

 $S^p(\mathcal{H})$ if $U(t) - U(0) \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to $D^n(\mathbb{R}, S^p(\mathcal{H}))$ (resp. $C^n(\mathbb{R}, S^p(\mathcal{H}))$). Since we are working on the path $t \mapsto U(t)$ (which may not always be linear), the expression of the n-th order Gâteaux derivative of f will be bizarre to obtain from the definition of the Fréchet derivative directly unlike in the linear path. Here, we provide an easy-to-get expression of the Gâteaux derivative using Lemma 3.9. Furthermore, we express the n-th order operator Taylor remainder corresponding to the unitary operator U(t) as multiple operator integrals when $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $1 and <math>n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $U : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that the function $\widetilde{U} : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto U(t) - U(0) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to $D^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$. Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. We consider the function

$$\varphi: t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(U(t)) - f(U(0)) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}).$$

Then the following assertions hold.

(i) $\varphi \in D^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$ and, for every integer $1 \le k \le n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi^{(k)}(t)$ $k! = e^{-(U(t))^{m+1}} e^{-(mk)} e^{-2(kt)} e^{-2kt}$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_m \ge 1 \\ l_1+\dots+l_m=k}} \frac{k!}{l_1!\dots l_m!} [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})] (\tilde{U}^{(l_1)}(t),\dots,\tilde{U}^{(l_m)}(t)).$$
(16)

In addition, if $\widetilde{U} \in C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$, then $\varphi \in C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$.

(ii) The operator Taylor remainder defined by

$$R_{n,f,U}(t) := f(U(t)) - f(U(0)) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \varphi^{(k)}(0)$$
 (17)

satisfies, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$R_{n,f,U}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{l_{1},\dots,l_{m}\geq 1\\l_{1}+\dots+l_{m}=n}} [\Gamma^{U(t),(U(0))^{m}}(f^{[m]})] \Big(R_{l_{1},U}(t), \frac{\tilde{U}^{(l_{2})}(0)}{l_{2}!},\dots,\frac{\tilde{U}^{(l_{m})}(0)}{l_{m}!} \Big),$$

$$(18)$$

where

$$R_{1,U}(t) := \tilde{U}(t)$$

and, for any $l_1 \geq 1$,

$$R_{l_1,U}(t) := \tilde{U}(t) - \sum_{k=1}^{l_1-1} \frac{1}{k!} \tilde{U}^{(k)}(0).$$

Finally, the differentiability result stated below is the S^p -analogue of [22, Theorem 3.1]. Note that [22, Theorem 3.1] establishes the existence of the n-th order Gâteaux derivative (in operator norm) of f under the assumption of $f \in B^n_{\infty 1}(\mathbb{T})$. We prove here that f is actually n times continuously Gâteaux differentiable (in Schatten p-norm) under the assumption that $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. In addition, we also express the n-th order Taylor remainder as a sum of multiple operator integrals and deduce an $S^{p/n}$ -estimate in the case when $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$ for $1 < n < p < \infty$. As discussed in the introduction, this result is already established in [23, Theorem 4.2] under the same assumption, here we give a simple proof of it without using the approximation of f or the integral representation for the remainder of the Taylor approximation, as found in the aforementioned paper.

Corollary 3.6. Let $1 and <math>n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $A \in \mathcal{S}^p_{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. Let $U(t) = e^{itA}U$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the function

$$\varphi: t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(e^{itA}U) - f(U) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}).$$

(i) The function $\varphi \in C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$ and for every integer $1 \le k \le n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi^{(k)}(t)$

$$=i^{k}\sum_{m=1}^{k}\sum_{\substack{l_{1},\dots,l_{m}\geq 1\\l_{1}+\dots+l_{m}=k}}\frac{k!}{l_{1}!\dots l_{m}!}[\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](A^{l_{1}}U(t),\dots,A^{l_{m}}U(t)).$$

$$\tag{19}$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_{p,n} > 0$ such that

$$\|\varphi^{(n)}(t)\|_p \le \tilde{c}_{p,n} \sum_{m=1}^n \|f^{(m)}\|_{\infty} \|A\|_p^n.$$

(ii) The operator Taylor remainder defined by

$$R_{n,f}(A,U) := f(e^{iA}U) - f(U) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \varphi^{(k)}(0)$$
 (20)

satisfies

$$R_{n,f}(A,U) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{l_{1},\dots,l_{m}\geq 1\\l_{1}+\dots+l_{m}=n}} \left[\Gamma^{e^{iA}U,(U)^{m}}(f^{[m]})\right] \left(\sum_{k=l_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{(iA)^{k}}{k!}U, \frac{(iA)^{l_{2}}}{l_{2}!}U,\dots, \frac{(iA)^{l_{m}}}{l_{m}!}U\right). (21)$$

Moreover, if $1 < n < p < \infty$, then there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_{p,n} > 0$ such that

$$||R_{n,f}(A,U)||_{\frac{p}{n}} \le \tilde{c}_{p,n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} ||f^{(m)}||_{\infty} ||A||_{p}^{n}.$$

3.2. Some auxiliary lemmas

In this section, we will provide some technical results that will be used to prove our main results in the next section.

Lemma 3.7. Let $1 and <math>n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $U_1, \ldots, U_{n+1}, V_1, \ldots, V_{n+1} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \mathcal{S}^{np}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that, if $\|V_i - U_i\| \le \delta$ for every $1 \le i \le n+1$, then

$$\|[\Gamma^{V_1,\dots,V_{n+1}}(f^{[n]}) - \Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]})](X_1,\dots,X_n)\|_p \le \varepsilon \|X_1\|_{np} \cdots \|X_n\|_{np}.$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We first prove the lemma when $f^{[n]} = Q$ is a trigonometric polynomial. By linearity, it suffices to consider the case $Q(z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1}) = z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}}$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \le i \le n+1$. Since

$$\begin{split} & [\Gamma^{V_1,\dots,V_{n+1}}(Q) - \Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(Q)](X_1,\dots,X_n) \\ & = V_1^{m_1} X_1 V_2^{m_2} \cdots V_n^{m_n} X_n V_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}} - U_1^{m_1} X_1 U_2^{m_2} \cdots U_n^{m_n} X_n U_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}} \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (V_1^{m_1} \cdots V_{k-1}^{m_{k-1}} X_{k-1} V_k^{m_k} X_k U_{k+1}^{m_{k+1}} \cdots U_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}} \\ & - V_1^{m_1} \cdots V_{k-1}^{m_{k-1}} X_{k-1} U_k^{m_k} \cdots U_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}}) \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} V_1^{m_1} \cdots V_{k-1}^{m_{k-1}} X_{k-1} (V_k^{m_k} - U_k^{m_k}) X_k U_{k+1}^{m_{k+1}} \cdots U_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}} \end{split}$$

and U_i , V_i are unitaries, by Theorem 2.3 we get

$$\|[\Gamma^{V_1,\dots,V_{n+1}}(f^{[n]}) - \Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]})](X_1,\dots,X_n)\|_p$$

$$\leq (n+1) \max_{1 \leq k \leq n+1} \|V_k^{m_k} - U_k^{m_k}\| \|X_1\|_{np} \cdots \|X_n\|_{np}.$$

By simple algebraic manipulations, we obtain that, for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$||V^r - U^r|| \le |r| \cdot ||V - U||.$$

It follows that there exists a constant K > 0 (depending on n and m_1, \ldots, m_{n+1} , that is, only on Q) such that

$$\|[\Gamma^{V_1,\dots,V_{n+1}}(f^{[n]}) - \Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]})](X_1,\dots,X_n)\|$$

$$\leq K \max_{1\leq k\leq n+1} \|V_k - U_k\| \|X_1\|_{np} \cdots \|X_n\|_{np}.$$

Choosing $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{K}$, we conclude the proof for the particular case of a trigonometric polynomial.

In the general case, note that, as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, one can find a trigonometric polynomial P such that

$$||f^{(n)} - P^{(n)}||_{\infty} \le C\varepsilon,$$

where C > 0 is a constant that will be defined later. By Theorem 2.3, there exists a constant $c_{p,n}$ such that

$$\|[\Gamma^{V_1,\dots,V_{n+1}}(f^{[n]}-P^{[n]})](X_1,\dots,X_n)\|_p \le c_{p,n} C \varepsilon \|X_1\|_{np} \cdots \|X_n\|_{np}$$
 (22)

and

$$\|[\Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(P^{[n]}-f^{[n]})](X_1,\dots,X_n)\|_p \le c_{p,n} C \varepsilon \|X_1\|_{np} \cdots \|X_n\|_{np}.$$
 (23)

Next, the first part of the proof yields the existence of a constant $\delta > 0$ such that, if $||V_i - U_i|| \le \delta$ for every $1 \le i \le n + 1$, then

$$\|[\Gamma^{V_1,\dots,V_{n+1}}(P^{[n]}) - \Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(P^{[n]})](X_1,\dots,X_n)\|_p \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \|X_1\|_{np} \cdots \|X_n\|_{np}.$$
(24)

Finally, the equality

$$\Gamma^{V_1,\dots,V_{n+1}}(f^{[n]}) - \Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]})$$

$$= \Gamma^{V_1,\dots,V_{n+1}}(f^{[n]} - P^{[n]}) + (\Gamma^{V_1,\dots,V_{n+1}}(P^{[n]}) - \Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(P^{[n]}))$$

$$+ \Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(P^{[n]} - f^{[n]}),$$

together with (22)-(24) yield

$$\|[\Gamma^{V_1,\dots,V_{n+1}}(f^{[n]}) - \Gamma^{U_1,\dots,U_{n+1}}(f^{[n]})](X_1,\dots,X_n)\|_p$$

$$\leq \left\{2c_{p,n}C\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right\} \|X_1\|_{np} \cdots \|X_n\|_{np},$$

and setting $C := \frac{1}{3c_{p,n}}$ concludes the proof of the lemma.

The following corollary is a simple consequence of the above Lemma 3.7.

Corollary 3.8. Let $1 , <math>n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 2$ and $1 \le j \le n$. Let $U: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that the function $\widetilde{U}: t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto U(t) - U(0) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$, and let $K_1, \ldots, K_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$. Let $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathbb{T})$. Define

$$\psi$$
: $s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$

by

$$\psi(s) = \left[\Gamma^{(U(s))^{j}, (U(t))^{n-j}}(f^{[n-1]})\right](K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}).$$

Then ψ is continuous at $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In addition, if $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$ we have for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\psi(s) - \psi(t)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{j} [\Gamma^{(U(s))^{i}, (U(t))^{n-i+1}}(f^{[n]})](K_{1}, \dots, K_{i-1}, U(s) - U(t), K_{i}, \dots, K_{n-1}).$$

To proceed further we need the following lemma, which will help us to prove the differentiability result in Theorem 3.5.

Lemma 3.9. Let $1 and <math>U: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that the function $\widetilde{U}: t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto U(t) - U(0) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ is differentiable. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $V_1, \ldots, V_m: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ be differentiable functions and let $f \in C^{m+1}(\mathbb{T})$. We consider the function

$$\psi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$$

defined by

$$\psi(t) = [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](V_1(t), \dots, V_m(t)).$$

Then ψ belongs to $D^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$ and its derivative is given, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by

$$\psi'(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](V_1(t), \dots, V_{k-1}(t), V'_k(t), V_{k+1}(t), \dots, V_m(t))$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+2}}(f^{[m+1]})](V_1(t), \dots, V_{k-1}(t), \tilde{U}'(t), V_k(t), \dots, V_m(t)).$$

If we further assume that $\tilde{U}, V_1, \dots, V_m$ are continuously differentiable, then so is ψ . Proof. For any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, write

$$\psi(t) - \psi(s) = \psi_1(s, t) + \psi_2(s, t),$$

where

$$\psi_1(s,t) = [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](V_1(t), \dots, V_m(t)) - [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](V_1(s), \dots, V_m(s))$$

and

$$\psi_2(s,t)$$
= $[\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](V_1(s), \dots, V_m(s))$
- $[\Gamma^{(U(s))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](V_1(s), \dots, V_m(s)).$

We have

$$\psi_1(s,t)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} ([\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](V_1(s), \dots, V_{k-1}(s), V_k(t), \dots, V_m(t)) \\ - [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](V_1(s), \dots, V_k(s), V_{k+1}(t), \dots, V_m(t)))$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](V_1(s), \dots, V_{k-1}(s), V_k(t) - V_k(s), V_{k+1}(t), \dots, V_m(t)).$$

By differentiability (and hence continuity) of V_k , k = 1, ..., m, we have

$$\lim_{s \to t} V_k(s) = V_k(t) \text{ and } \lim_{s \to t} \frac{V_k(t) - V_k(s)}{t - s} = V'_k(t)$$

in $S^p(\mathcal{H})$. Since $\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]}) \in \mathcal{B}_m(S^p(\mathcal{H}))$, this implies that

$$\frac{\psi_1(s,t)}{t-s} = \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})\right] \left(V_1(s), \dots, V_{k-1}(s), \frac{V_k(t) - V_k(s)}{t-s}, V_{k+1}(t), \dots, V_m(t)\right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{s \to t} \sum_{k=1}^{m} [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](V_1(t), \dots, V_{k-1}(t), V'_k(t), V_{k+1}(t), \dots, V_m(t))$$

in $S^p(\mathcal{H})$. For ψ_2 , by Lemma 2.6 we have

$$\frac{\psi_2(s,t)}{t-s} = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \left[\Gamma^{(U(t))^k,(U(s))^{m+2-k}}(f^{[m+1]})\right] \left(V_1(s),\ldots,V_{k-1}(s),\frac{\tilde{U}(t)-\tilde{U}(s)}{t-s},V_k(t),\ldots,V_m(t)\right).$$

For $1 \le k \le m + 1$ and any $s \ne t$, let

$$\Gamma_k(s) = \left[\Gamma^{(U(t))^k, (U(s))^{m+2-k}} (f^{[m+1]})\right] \left(V_1(s), \dots, V_{k-1}(s), \frac{\tilde{U}(t) - \tilde{U}(s)}{t - s}, V_k(t), \dots, V_m(t)\right).$$

Since $(\tilde{U}(t) - \tilde{U}(s))/(t-s)$ goes to $\tilde{U}'(t)$ in $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ as s goes to t, by the uniform boundedness of $[\Gamma^{(U(t))^k,(U(s))^{m+2-k}}(f^{[m+1]})] \in \mathcal{B}_{m+1}(\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{s\to t}\Gamma_k(s)$$

exists if and only if

$$\lim_{s \to t} [\Gamma^{(U(t))^k, (U(s))^{m+2-k}}(f^{[m+1]})](V_1(t), \dots, V_{k-1}(t), \tilde{U}'(t), V_k(t), \dots, V_m(t)),$$

exists, and, in that case, both limits are equal. By Corollary 3.8, the latter limit exists and it further reduces to

$$\lim_{s \to t} \Gamma_k(s) = [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+2}}(f^{[m+1]})](V_1(t), \dots, V_{k-1}(t), \tilde{U}'(t), V_k(t), \dots, V_m(t))$$

in $S^p(\mathcal{H})$. This proves the first claim.

In the case when $\tilde{U}, V_1, \ldots, V_m$ are continuously differentiable, a similar line of argument as in the proof of differentiability of ψ and an application of Corollary 3.8 to ψ' shows that ψ' is continuous. The details are left to the reader. This completes the proof.

3.3. Proofs of the main results

We now turn to the proofs of the main results of this article, which were outlined in Section 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. In the proof, we fix $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, V will be an element of a S^p -neighborhood of U, and X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1} will denote elements of $S^p(\mathcal{H})$.

We prove the result by induction on n. The argument for the base case n = 1 is the same as for a general integer n, hence we assume that this case has been proven.

Assume now that the result holds true for functions in $C^{n-1}(\mathbb{T})$, $n \geq 2$, and let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. In particular, $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathbb{T})$ so f is n-1 times continuously Fréchet \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable at U and formula (15) holds true for every $k=1,\ldots,n-1$. We now show that f is n times continuously Fréchet \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable at U and that (15) holds true for k=n. First, let us denote

$$T_1 = (D_{F,p}^{n-1} f(V) - D_{F,p}^{n-1} f(U))(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}).$$

Note that, by assumption and by Lemma 2.6,

$$T_{1} = \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n-1}} [\Gamma^{(V)^{n}}(f^{[n-1]}) - \Gamma^{(U)^{n}}(f^{[n-1]})](X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n-1)})$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\Gamma^{(V)^{i}, (U)^{n-i+1}}(f^{[n]})](X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(i-1)}, V - U, X_{\sigma(i)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n-1)}).$$

Next, we let $X_n := V - U \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$. Notice that

$$T_{2} := \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}} [\Gamma^{(U)^{n+1}}(f^{[n]})](X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n)})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n-1}} [\Gamma^{(U)^{n+1}}(f^{[n]})](X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(i-1)}, V - U, X_{\sigma(i)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n-1)}),$$

so that

$$||T_1 - T_2||_p$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{n-1}} ||[(\Gamma^{(V)^i,(U)^{n-i+1}} - \Gamma^{(U)^{n+1}})(f^{[n]})](X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(i-1)}, V - U, X_{\sigma(i)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n-1)})||_p.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $1 \le i \le n$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n-1}$. By Lemma 3.7, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, if

$$(\|V - U\| \le) \|V - U\|_p \le \delta,$$

then

$$\|[(\Gamma^{(V)^{i},(U)^{n-i+1}} - \Gamma^{(U)^{n+1}})(f^{[n]})](X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(i-1)}, V - U, X_{\sigma(i)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n-1)})\|_{p}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \|V - U\|_{np} \|X_{1}\|_{np} \dots \|X_{n-1}\|_{np}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \|V - U\|_{p} \|X_{1}\|_{p} \dots \|X_{n-1}\|_{p}.$$

By linearity, this concludes the proof of the Fréchet \mathcal{S}^p -differentiability. The fact that f is continuously Fréchet \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable now follows easily from (15), linearity, and Lemma 3.7.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. The assumption on f ensures that f is n times continuously Fréchet S^p -differentiable at every $U(t) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$. (i) We prove the first claim by induction on k, $1 \le k \le n$. Let $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. The n-th order Fréchet S^p -differentiability of f at U(t) implies that it is n-1 times Fréchet S^p -differentiable in a S^p -neighborhood of U(t) and there is a n-linear bounded operator

$$D_{F,n}^n f(U(t)) \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$$

such that, for every $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(D_{F,p}^{n-1}f(U(s)) - D_{F,p}^{n-1}f(U(t)))(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &- D_{F,p}^n f(U(t))(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, U(s) - U(t))\|_p \\ &= o(\|U(s) - U(t)\|_p) \|X_1\|_p \cdots \|X_{n-1}\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

as $||U(s) - U(t)||_p \to 0$. For n = 1, there exists a bounded linear operator

$$D^1_{F,p}f(U(t)) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$$

such that

$$\|f(U(s)) - f(U(t)) - D_{F,p}^1 f(U(t)) (U(s) - U(t))\|_p = o(\|U(s) - U(t)\|_p)$$
as $\|U(s) - U(t)\|_p \to 0$.

Again, note that

$$||f(U(s)) - f(U(t)) - (s - t)D_{F,p}^{1} f(U(t))(\tilde{U}'(t))||_{p}$$

$$\leq ||f(U(s)) - f(U(t)) - D_{F,p}^{1} f(U(t))(U(s) - U(t))||_{p}$$

$$+ ||(s - t) \left[D_{F,p}^{1} f(U(t)) \left(\frac{U(s) - U(t)}{s - t} \right) - D_{F,p}^{1} f(U(t))(\tilde{U}'(t)) \right] ||_{p}. \quad (25)$$

Now, the fact that $\widetilde{U} \in D^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$ together with inequality (25) imply that f is Gâteaux \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable at U(t) along with its Gâteaux derivative

$$\varphi'(t) = \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=t} f(U(s)) = D^1_{F,p} f(U(t))(\tilde{U}'(t)),$$

where

$$D^1_{F,p}f(U(t))(\tilde{U}'(t)) = [\Gamma^{(U(t))^2}(f^{[1]})](\tilde{U}'(t)).$$

This proves the base of the induction.

Now, assume that for $1 \le k \le n-1$, f is k times Gâteaux S^p -differentiable, that is $\varphi \in D^k(\mathbb{R}, S^p(\mathcal{H}))$, and

$$\varphi^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_m \ge 1 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_m = k}} \frac{k!}{l_1! \cdots l_m!} [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})] (\tilde{U}^{(l_1)}(t), \dots, \tilde{U}^{(l_m)}(t))$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_m \ge 1 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_m = k}} \frac{k!}{l_1! \cdots l_m!} [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})] (\tilde{U}^{(l_1)}(t), \dots, \tilde{U}^{(l_m)}(t))$$

$$+ D_{F,p}^k f(U(t)) ((\tilde{U}'(t))^k)$$

$$:= [A] + [B].$$

To check the (k+1)-th order Gâteaux differentiability of f it is enough to check the Gâteaux differentiability of [A] and [B]. Note that, the n-th order Fréchet \mathcal{S}^p -differentiability of f and $\tilde{U} \in D^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$ ensures that [B] is Gâteaux \mathcal{S}^p -differentiable, and

$$\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=t} D_{F,p}^{k} f(U(s))((\tilde{U}'(s))^{k})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} D_{F,p}^{k} f(U(t))(\tilde{U}'(t), \dots, \tilde{U}'(t), \underbrace{\tilde{U}''(t)}_{i}, \tilde{U}'(t), \dots, \tilde{U}'(t))$$

$$+ D_{F,p}^{k+1} f(U(t))((\tilde{U}'(t))^{k+1}).$$
(26)

On the other hand, the Gâteaux S^p -differentiability of [A] is justified by Lemma 3.9. Moreover, applying Lemma 3.9 in [A], together with (26) give $\varphi^{(k)} \in D^1(\mathbb{R}, S^p(\mathcal{H}))$, that is, f is (k+1) times Gâteaux S^p -differentiable, and

$$\varphi^{(k+1)}(t) = k! \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_m \ge 1 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_m = k}} \frac{1}{l_1! \dots l_m!} \sum_{p=1}^{m} [\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})] (\tilde{U}_t^{(l_1)}, \dots, \tilde{U}_t^{(l_{p-1})}, \tilde{U}_t^{(l_p+1)}, \dots, \tilde{U}$$

where $\tilde{U}_t^{(l)}$ stands for $\tilde{U}^{(l)}(t)$. Now, by employing a similar combinatorial reasoning as demonstrated in the proof of [25, Theorem 5.3.4], we conclude the induction on k.

This proves formula (16) and $\varphi \in D^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$. Furthermore, if we assume that $\tilde{U} \in C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$, then an application of Corollary 3.8 shows that $\varphi^{(n)} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$.

(ii) We obtain the representation (18) for the Taylor reminder $R_{k,f,U}(t)$ by induction on k. The base case k=1 follows from (10). Notice that the assumption on f ensures, by Theorem 3.5 (i), that $\varphi \in D^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$. Further, the inductive step is proved along the lines of the one in [25, Theorem 5.4.1 (ii)]. Details are left to the reader. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Finally, we now turn to the proof of Corollary 3.6.

Proof of Corollary 3.6. Let $U(t) = e^{itA}U$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $A \in \mathcal{S}_{sa}^{p}(\mathcal{H})$ and define

$$\widetilde{U}(t) := e^{itA}U - U, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(i) A routine calculation provides that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\widetilde{U} \in C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$, and

$$\widetilde{U}^{(n)}(t) = (iA)^n U(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{27}$$

Now, using Theorem 3.5 (i), we prove (19) along with $\varphi \in C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))$.

By Theorem 2.3, there exists $c_{p,m} > 0$ such that

$$\|[\Gamma^{(U(t))^{m+1}}(f^{[m]})](A^{l_1}U(t),\dots,A^{l_m}U(t))\|_p \le c_{p,m}\|f^{(m)}\|_{\infty}\|A\|_p^n$$
 (28)

for every $1 \le m \le n$. Hence, in view of (19) and (28), we have the existence of a constant $\tilde{c}_{p,n} > 0$ such that, for 1 ,

$$\|\varphi^{(n)}(t)\|_p \le \tilde{c}_{p,n} \sum_{m=1}^n \|f^{(m)}\|_{\infty} \|A\|_p^n.$$

(ii) Note that $R_{n,f}(A,U) = R_{n,f,U}(1)$ (see for instance (17) and (20)). Therefore, (18) along with (27) yield (21).

Now, assume that $1 < n < p < \infty$ and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$. By [22, (4.2)], we have an integral representation for the remainder of the Taylor approximation

$$\widetilde{U}(1) - \sum_{k=1}^{l_1 - 1} \frac{1}{k!} \widetilde{U}^{(k)}(0) = \frac{1}{(l_1 - 1)!} \int_0^1 (1 - t)^{l_1 - 1} \widetilde{U}^{(l_1)}(t) dt,$$

which along with (27) further implies the following estimate:

$$\left\| \sum_{k=l_1}^{\infty} \frac{(iA)^k}{k!} U \right\|_{\frac{p}{l_1}} = \left\| \tilde{U}(1) - \sum_{k=1}^{l_1-1} \frac{1}{k!} \tilde{U}^{(k)}(0) \right\|_{\frac{p}{l_1}} \le \frac{1}{l_1!} \|A\|_p^{l_1}. \tag{29}$$

Therefore, using (29) and Theorem 2.3 in (21), we have the existence of a constant $\tilde{c}_{p,n} > 0$ such that

$$||R_{n,f}(A,U)||_{\frac{p}{n}} \leq \tilde{c}_{p,n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} ||f^{(m)}||_{\infty} ||A||_{p}^{n}.$$

This completes the proof of the corollary.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to heartily thank the anonymous referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions.

Funding. A. Chattopadhyay is supported by the Core Research Grant (CRG), File No. CRG/2023/004826, of SERB. S. Giri acknowledges the support by the Prime Minister's Research Fellowship (PMRF), Government of India. C. Pradhan acknowledges support from the IoE post-doctoral fellowship at IISc Bangalore.

References

[1] A. B. Aleksandrov, F. L. Nazarov, and V. V. Peller, Functions of noncommuting self-adjoint operators under perturbation and estimates of triple operator integrals. *Adv. Math.* **295** (2016), 1–52 Zbl 1359.47012 MR 3488031

- [2] A. B. Aleksandrov and V. V. Peller, Multiple operator integrals, Haagerup and Haagerup-like tensor products, and operator ideals. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 49 (2017), no. 3, 463–479 Zbl 1441.47022 MR 3723631
- [3] J. Arazy, T. J. Barton, and Y. Friedman, Operator differentiable functions. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **13** (1990), no. 4, 462–487 Zbl 0731.46033 MR 1058083
- [4] P. J. Ayre, M. G. Cowling, and F. A. Sukochev, Operator Lipschitz estimates in the unitary setting. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144 (2016), no. 3, 1053–1057 Zbl 1337.47017 MR 3447659
- [5] N. A. Azamov, A. L. Carey, P. G. Dodds, and F. A. Sukochev, Operator integrals, spectral shift, and spectral flow. *Canad. J. Math.* 61 (2009), no. 2, 241–263 Zbl 1163.47008 MR 2504014
- [6] M. Š. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak, Double Stieltjes operator integrals (in Russian). In Problems of mathematical physics, No. I: Spectral theory and wave processes, pp. 33–67, Izdat. Leningrad. Univ., Leningrad, 1966 Zbl 0161.34602 MR 0209872. English translation: Problems of mathematical physics, No. I: Spectral theory and wave processes, pp. 25–54, Consultants Bureau, New York, NY, 1967
- [7] M. Š. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak, Double Stieltjes operator integrals. III (in Russian). *Probl. Mat. Fiz.* **6** (1973), 27–53 Zbl 0281.47013 MR 0348494
- [8] C. Coine, Perturbation theory and higher order § P-differentiability of operator functions. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **38** (2022), no. 1, 189–221 Zbl 1530.47051 MR 4382469
- [9] C. Coine, C. Le Merdy, A. Skripka, and F. Sukochev, Higher order §²-differentiability and application to Koplienko trace formula. *J. Funct. Anal.* 276 (2019), no. 10, 3170–3204 Zbl 07040991 MR 3944290
- [10] C. Coine, C. Le Merdy, and F. Sukochev, When do triple operator integrals take value in the trace class? *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 71 (2021), no. 4, 1393–1448 Zbl 07492542 MR 4398239
- [11] J. B. Conway, A course in operator theory. Grad. Stud. Math. 21, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000 Zbl 0936.47001 MR 1721402
- [12] Y. L. Daleckiĭ and S. G. Kreĭn, Integration and differentiation of functions of Hermitian operators and applications to the theory of perturbations (in Russian). *Voronež. Gos. Univ. Trudy Sem. Funkcional. Anal.* English translation: 1956 (1956), no. 1, 81–105.
 MR 0084745 Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 47 (1965), 1–30 Zbl 0158.14603
- [13] B. de Pagter and F. A. Sukochev, Differentiation of operator functions in non-commutative L_p -spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **212** (2004), no. 1, 28–75 Zbl 1075.46054 MR 2065237
- [14] E. Kissin, D. Potapov, V. Shulman, and F. Sukochev, Operator smoothness in Schatten norms for functions of several variables: Lipschitz conditions, differentiability and unbounded derivations. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 105 (2012), no. 4, 661–702 Zbl 1258.47022 MR 2989800
- [15] E. Kissin and V. S. Shulman, Classes of operator-smooth functions. II. Operator-differentiable functions. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 49 (2004), no. 2, 165–210 Zbl 1061.47013 MR 2060371

- [16] C. Le Merdy and A. Skripka, Higher order differentiability of operator functions in Schatten norms. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 19 (2020), no. 6, 1993–2016 Zbl 07286300 MR 4167000
- [17] B. S. Pavlov, Multidimensional operator integrals (in Russian). In *Probl. Mat. Anal* 2 (1969), 99–122 Zbl 0211.17201 MR 0415371
- [18] G. K. Pedersen, Operator differentiable functions. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 36 (2000), no. 1, 139–157 Zbl 0969.47010 MR 1749015
- V. V. Peller, Hankel operators in the theory of perturbations of unitary and selfadjoint operators (in Russian). *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 19 (1985), no. 2, 37–51, 96
 MR 0800919. English translation: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* (1985) 19 (1985), 111–123
 Zbl 0587.47016
- [20] V. V. Peller, Multiple operator integrals and higher operator derivatives. *J. Funct. Anal.*233 (2006), no. 2, 515–544 Zbl 1102.46024 MR 2214586
- [21] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, and F. Sukochev, Spectral shift function of higher order. *Invent. Math.* 193 (2013), no. 3, 501–538 Zbl 1282,47012 MR 3091975
- [22] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, and F. Sukochev, Functions of unitary operators: derivatives and trace formulas. J. Funct. Anal. 270 (2016), no. 6, 2048–2072 Zbl 1336.47014 MR 3460234
- [23] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, F. Sukochev, and A. Tomskova, Multilinear Schur multipliers and Schatten properties of operator Taylor remainders. *Adv. Math.* 320 (2017), 1063–1098 Zbl 06794787 MR 3709129
- [24] A. Skripka, Estimates and trace formulas for unitary and resolvent comparable perturbations, *Adv. Math.* **311** (2017), 481–509 Zbl 06766547 MR 3628221
- [25] A. Skripka and A. Tomskova, *Multilinear operator integrals*. Lecture Notes in Math. 2250, Springer, Cham, 2019 Zbl 1458.47003 MR 3971571
- [26] V. V. Sten'kin, Multiple operator integrals. *Izv. Vysš (in Russian). Učebn. Zaved. Matematika* (1977), no. 4(179), 102–115. English translation: *Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ)* **21** (1977), no. 4, 88–99 MR 0460588
- [27] A. Tomskova, Representation of operator Taylor remainders via multilinear Schur multipliers, preprint

Received 21 April 2024; revised 3 October 2024.

Arup Chattopadhyay

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Amingaon Post Office, Kamrup District, North Guwahati, Guwahati 781039, India; arupchatt@iitg.ac.in, 2003arupchattopadhyay@gmail.com

Clément Coine

CNRS – Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme, Université Caen Normandie, 6 Bd Maréchal Juin, 14000 Caen, France; clement.coine@unicaen.fr

Saikat Giri

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Amingaon Post Office, Kamrup District, North Guwahati, Guwahati 781039, India; saikat.giri@iitg.ac.in, saikat.giri90@gmail.com

Chandan Pradhan

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Bangalore, CV Raman Road, Bengaluru, Bangalore 560012, India; chandan.pradhan2108@gmail.com