

Longtime dynamics for the Landau Hamiltonian with a time dependent magnetic field

Dario Bambusi, Benoit Grébert, Alberto Maspero, Didier Robert, and Carlos Villegas-Blas

Abstract. We consider a modulated magnetic field, $B(t) = B_0 + \varepsilon f(\omega t)$, perpendicular to a fixed plane, where B_0 is constant, $\varepsilon > 0$ and f a periodic function on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$. Our aim is to study classical and quantum dynamics for the corresponding Landau Hamiltonian. It turns out that the results depend strongly on the chosen gauge. For the Landau gauge the position observable is unbounded for “almost all” non-resonant frequencies ω . On the contrary, for the symmetric gauge we obtain that, for “almost all” non-resonant frequencies ω , the Landau Hamiltonian is reducible to a two-dimensional harmonic oscillator and thus gives rise to bounded dynamics. The proofs use KAM algorithms for the classical dynamics. Quantum applications are given. In particular, the Floquet spectrum is absolutely continuous in the Landau gauge while it is discrete, of finite multiplicity, in symmetric gauge.

*Thank you, Thomas, for sharing your enthusiasm
 and your joy of playing with mathematics.*

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, we study the dynamics of time dependent perturbations of the Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \psi = H_{A^\#}(t)\psi + V(t)\psi, \quad (1.1)$$

where $H_{A^\#}(t)$ is the magnetic Schrödinger operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$:

$$H_{A^\#}(t) := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} (D_{x_j} - A_j^\#(t, x))^2, \quad D_x := i^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$

with $A^\#(t, x) = (A_1^\#(t, x), A_2^\#(t, x), A_3^\#(t, x))$ a time dependent vector potential, and finally $V(t, x)$ is a time dependent scalar potential. We recall that the electric field is given by $\vec{E}(t, x) = -\frac{\partial A^\#}{\partial t}(t, x) - \nabla_x V(t, x)$ and the magnetic field by $\vec{B}(t, x) = \nabla_x \wedge A^\#(t, x)$. We shall assume that the magnetic field has a fixed direction orthogonal to the plane $\{e_1, e_2\}$.

Choosing $A_3^\#(t, x) \equiv 0$, then $A_1^\#(t, x)$ and $A_2^\#(t, x)$ depend only on (t, x_1, x_2) and it is enough to consider the two-dimensional magnetic Hamiltonian, with a new simpler notation,

$$H_{A^\#}(t) = (D_{x_1} - A_1^\#(t, x))^2 + (D_{x_2} - A_2^\#(t, x))^2$$

as an operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. An important particular case is the constant (in position) magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(t) = (0, 0, -B(t))$, for which

$$B(t) = \partial_{x_1} A_2^\# - \partial_{x_2} A_1^\#.$$

This is usually studied using either the symmetric gauge or the Landau gauge, namely

the symmetric gauge: $A_1^\#(t, x) = (B(t)/2)x_2$, $A_2^\#(t, x) = (-B(t)/2)x_1$;

the Landau gauge: $A_1^\#(t, x) = B(t)x_2$, $A_2^\#(t, x) = 0$.

In this paper, we consider the case when B slightly fluctuates around a fix value $B_0 > 0$:

$$B(t) = B_0 + \varepsilon f(\omega t),$$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a frequency vector, f is a periodic function real analytic on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter.

Mathematically, the source of most of the interesting features of the Landau Hamiltonian rests in the fact that when $\varepsilon = 0$ the Hamiltonian is degenerate, in the sense that it is equivalent (unitary equivalent in the quantum case, canonically equivalent in the classical case) to the Hamiltonian of a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator. As a result the quantum spectrum of the system is composed just by essential spectrum and coincides with the set

$$\{\lambda_j = 2B_0(j + 1/2) : j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The case $\varepsilon \neq 0$ will be discussed in the two different gauges:

- (i) the Landau gauge $H_L(t) = (D_{x_1} - B(t)x_2)^2 + D_{x_2}^2$;
- (ii) the symmetric gauge $H_{sL}(t) = (D_{x_1} - B(t)x_2/2)^2 + (D_{x_2} + B(t)x_1/2)^2$.

Notice that, for $\varepsilon = 0$, $B(t) = B_0$ hence H_L and H_{sL} are gauge equivalent, but for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ this equivalence is broken.

It turns out that both the main part of the Hamiltonian and the time dependent perturbation are quadratic polynomials in the position and the momentum variables, and this allows to study the problems (both classical and quantum) using the ideas of [3], namely by using classical KAM theory to conjugate the Hamiltonian to a suitable normal form whose dynamics is easy to study. The results depend drastically of the choice of the gauge:

In case (i), provided ω is non-resonant, a condition which is fulfilled in a set of asymptotically full measure, we get that for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ the position observable is unbounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$ as well for the classical motion and the quantum motion. *It may be surprising that for a*

dynamical system a non-resonance condition generates an instability.¹ As a consequence, in the quantum side, we prove that the Floquet spectrum is absolutely continuous.

In case (ii), we prove that for ω in a set of asymptotically full measure, the dynamics is reducible to a harmonic oscillator with two degrees of freedom, hence with bounded dynamics. As a consequence, in the quantum side, we prove that the Floquet spectrum is discrete with finite multiplicity.

Notice that $H_L(t)$ and $H_{sL}(t)$ are gauge equivalent modulo a quadratic scalar potential (see Section 1.3). Therefore, the two models are not physically equivalent: in the two cases we have the same magnetic field but not the same electric field.

1.1. Main result in the Landau gauge

We consider first the Landau gauge, namely,

$$H_L(t) = (D_{x_1} - B(t)x_2)^2 + D_{x_2}^2, \quad B(t) > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

with $B(t) := B_0 + \varepsilon f(\omega t)$, f is real analytic on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$, $\hat{f}(0) = 0$, and $\omega \in [0, 2\pi)^n := \mathbb{D}$. Here and below, we denote by $\hat{f}(k)$ the k -th Fourier coefficients of f :

$$\hat{f}(k) := (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f(\theta) e^{-ik \cdot \theta} d\theta.$$

We decompose the Hamiltonian $H_L(t)$ in (1.2) as

$$H_L(t) = H_L + R_L(\omega t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_L &= (D_{x_1} - B_0 x_2)^2 + D_{x_2}^2, \\ R_L(\omega t) &= -2\varepsilon f(\omega t) x_2 (D_{x_1} - B_0 x_2) + \varepsilon^2 f(\omega t)^2 x_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $h_L(t)$ the corresponding classical Hamiltonian

$$h_L(t, x, p) = (p_1 - B(t)x_2)^2 + p_2^2 = h_L(x, p) + r_L(\omega t, x, p). \quad (1.3)$$

We introduce now complex coordinates in which the classical Hamiltonian h_L has the form of a degenerate two-dimensional Harmonic oscillator. First, introduce the symplectic variables

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \frac{-1}{B_0} (p_1 - B_0 x_2), & P_1 &= p_2, \\ Q_2 &= \frac{-1}{B_0} (p_2 - B_0 x_1), & P_2 &= p_1. \end{aligned}$$

¹But the phenomenon is similar to that encoded in [3, Theorem 3.3], in which the non-resonance condition is used to eliminate from the Hamiltonian as many terms as possible, so that one remains only with the terms actually generating the instability.

In these variables, we have

$$h_L = B_0^2 Q_1^2 + P_1^2.$$

Then we introduce the complex variables

$$z_1 = \frac{B_0 Q_1 + iP_1}{\sqrt{2B_0}}, \quad z_2 = \frac{B_0 Q_2 + iP_2}{\sqrt{2B_0}},$$

fulfilling $idz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i = dQ_i \wedge dP_i$, $i = 1, 2$. In these variables

$$h_L = 2B_0|z_1|^2.$$

The link with the initial coordinates $(x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ is given by $(z_1, z_2) = \tau_0(x, p)$, where τ_0 is the linear symplectic transformation $\tau_0: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= \frac{B_0}{\sqrt{2B_0}} \left(\frac{B_0 x_2 - p_1}{B_0} \right) + i \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{2B_0}}, \\ z_2 &= \frac{B_0}{\sqrt{2B_0}} \left(\frac{B_0 x_1 - p_2}{B_0} \right) + i \frac{p_1}{\sqrt{2B_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to state the first result, we need to define a constant c_ω , which is only defined for ω fulfilling a non-resonance condition. To this end, we preliminary restrict the set of the allowed frequencies.

Definition 1.1. The set $\mathbb{D}_0 \subset [0, 2\pi]^n$ is the set of the frequencies ω such that there exist positive γ, τ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega \cdot k + 2B_0| &\geq \frac{\gamma}{1 + |k|^\tau}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \\ |\omega \cdot k| &\geq \frac{\gamma}{|k|^\tau}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that such a set has full measure in $[0, 2\pi]^n$. We now introduce

$$c_\omega := \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} g_\omega(\theta)^2 d\theta, \tag{1.4}$$

where the function

$$g_\omega(\theta) := -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2B_0}} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{\omega \cdot k}{\omega \cdot k + 2B_0} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta},$$

is well defined for $\omega \in \mathbb{D}_0$ (recall that f is real analytic). Furthermore, in the following, we will say that two polynomials are $O(\varepsilon)$ close to each other, if their coefficients are $O(\varepsilon)$ close to each other.

Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_0$, there exist*

- *an asymptotically full measure set of frequencies $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{D}_0$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{meas}(\mathbb{D}_0 \setminus \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon) = 0;$$

- *a linear, symplectic change of variable τ , depending on ω, t, ε , which is close to the identity, namely $\tau = \mathbb{I} + O(\varepsilon)$ uniform in the other parameters,*

such that, for $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$, the time quasiperiodic Hamiltonian $h_L(t, x, p)$ in (1.3) is conjugated to the constant coefficient quadratic Hamiltonian

$$(h_L(t) \circ \tau_0^{-1}) \circ \tau = b(\varepsilon)|z_1|^2 + c(\varepsilon)(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)^2, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$b(\varepsilon) = 2B_0 + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad c(\varepsilon) = c_\omega \varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^4) \quad (1.6)$$

and c_ω is given by (1.4).

In the new coordinates (z_1, z_2) the motion is easily computed:

$$\begin{aligned} z_1(t) &= e^{-ib(\varepsilon)t} z_1(0), \\ \Im z_2(t) &= \Im z_2(0), \quad \Re z_2(t) = -4c(\varepsilon)\Im z_2(0)t + \Re z_2(0). \end{aligned}$$

Let us come back to the original coordinates (x, p) . First we remark that the Hamiltonian on the right-hand side of (1.5) takes the form

$$\frac{b(\varepsilon)}{2B_0} h_L(x, p) + \alpha c(\varepsilon) p_1^2$$

with h_L the original Landau Hamiltonian and $\alpha \neq 0$ a numerical constant. As a consequence, the corresponding dynamics is just given by the standard circular motion of a particle in a magnetic field with a slightly different frequency and with superimposed a uniform motion in the direction of x_1 . This uniform motion is the new effect which gives rise to the growth of the solution. Actually this description holds in the system of coordinates introduced by the KAM procedure. In the true original coordinates this motion is slightly deformed, so that it has superimposed a small oscillation. Precisely the motion for the quadratic Hamiltonian $h_L(t)$ is a linear flow $\Phi_B(t)$, where we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ p(t) \end{pmatrix} = \Phi_B(t) \begin{pmatrix} x(0) \\ p(0) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Phi_B(t)$ is a real 4×4 symplectic matrix (it is the classical Hamiltonian flow of the classical Hamiltonian $h_L(t)$). Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *For $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) = & x_1(0) + \alpha c(\varepsilon) p_1(0) t + \frac{1}{B(t)} (p_2(t) - p_2(0)) \\ & + a_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t) \cdot x(0) + b_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t) \cdot p(0), \end{aligned}$$

where $|a_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t)| + |b_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t)| = O(1)$ for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, modulo an error term of the form $E_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}}(t) = \tilde{a}(t) \cdot x(0) + \tilde{b}(t) \cdot p(0)$, such that uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$, we have

$$|\tilde{a}(t)| + |\tilde{b}(t)| = O(\varepsilon),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(t) &= \sqrt{2B_0} \Im(z_1(t)), \quad z_1(t) = e^{-2ibt} z_1(0), \\ p_1(t) &= p_1(0), \quad x_2(t) = \frac{p_1(0)}{B(t)} + \frac{\sqrt{2}B_0^{3/2}}{B(t)^2} \Re z_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if both c_ω and $p_1(0)$ are not zero, then the classical flow is not bounded as soon as $\varepsilon \neq 0$ is small enough.

Remark 1.4. Clearly, in view of (1.4), $c_\omega \neq 0$ holds for ω in a set of asymptotically full measure and for f in a set of codimension 1 (e.g. in L^2). For instance, by a simple calculus one has that if $f(\theta) = \sin(\theta)$ (and thus $n = 1$) then $c_\omega \neq 0$ as soon as $\omega \neq 2B_0$.

From the result on the classical evolution of $x_1(t)$, we get a direct application to the large time evolution of the quantum position observable $\hat{x}_1(t)$. Let us explicit our notations: we denote by $\hat{x}_j$ and $\hat{p}_j$, $j = 1, 2$, the position and momentum operator

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_j \psi(x) &= x_j \psi(x), \quad \hat{p}_j \psi = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \psi, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{osc}}^1, \\ x &= (x_1, x_2), \quad p = (p_1, p_2). \end{aligned}$$

For $r \geq 0$, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{osc}}^r$ is the weighted Sobolev space associated with the harmonic oscillator $H_0 := \hat{p}^2 + \hat{x}^2$:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{osc}}^r = \{\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) : H_0^{r/2} \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\},$$

endowed with the norm $\|\psi\|_r = \|H_0^{r/2} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$. Recall that we are working here with polynomials classical Hamiltonians of degree at most 2, so the correspondence classical-quantum is exact. This means that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}(t) \\ \hat{p}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \Phi_B(t) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{p} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $(\hat{x}(t), \hat{p}(t))$ is the solution of the Heisenberg equation.

Our first quantum corollary regards the existence of solutions of the quantum Landau Hamiltonian undergoing unbounded growth of Sobolev norms. Computing $\Phi_B(t)$ and using Corollary 1.3, we get the following.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$. Then*

$$\hat{x}_1(t) = \hat{x}_1 + \alpha c_\omega \varepsilon^2 t \hat{p}_1 + (1 + \varepsilon^4 t)(A_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t) \cdot \hat{x} + B_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t) \cdot \hat{p}),$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$ and c_ω is given by (1.4), and $|A_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t)| + |B_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t)| = O(1)$. In particular, if $c_\omega \neq 0$, there exists $K \geq 0$ such that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{osc}}^1$, we have

$$\|\hat{x}_1(t)\psi\|_0 \geq \alpha c_\omega t \varepsilon^2 \|D_{x_1}\psi\|_0 - K(1 + \varepsilon^4 t)\|\psi\|_1.$$

In particular, if ε is sufficiently small, then $\|\hat{x}_1(t)\psi\|_0 \nearrow +\infty$ as $t \nearrow +\infty$. We also have a lower bound for the quantum average of the time evolution of the position observable, for $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{osc}}^{1/2}$:

$$|\langle \psi, \hat{x}_1(t)\psi \rangle| \geq \alpha c_\omega t \varepsilon^2 |\langle \psi, D_{x_1}\psi \rangle| - K(1 + \varepsilon^4 t)\|\psi\|_{1/2}.$$

Our second corollary regards the Floquet spectrum of the time quasiperiodic Hamiltonian $H_L(t)$.

Corollary 1.6. *The quantum dynamics $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t, 0)$ of $H_L(t)$ is conjugated to the quantum dynamics $e^{-it\tilde{H}_{L, \varepsilon, \infty}}$ of the stationary Hamiltonian*

$$\tilde{H}_{L, \varepsilon, \infty} := b(\varepsilon)(D_{\tilde{x}_1}^2 + \tilde{x}_1^2) + c(\varepsilon)D_{\tilde{x}_2}^2.$$

Moreover, as far as $b(\varepsilon) > 0$ and $c(\varepsilon) > 0$, the spectrum $\sigma(H_{L, \varepsilon, \infty})$ of $\tilde{H}_{L, \varepsilon, \infty}$ is absolutely continuous, with thresholds at the Landau levels,

$$\sigma(H_{L, \varepsilon, \infty}) = \bigcup_{j \geq 0} [b(\varepsilon)(j + 1/2), +\infty[.$$

Proof. Denote by $h_{L, \varepsilon, \infty} := b(\varepsilon)|z_1|^2 + c(\varepsilon)(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)^2$ the stationary classical Hamiltonian to which $h_L(t)$ is conjugated, see (1.5). In the real coordinates (x, p) , we have

$$h_{L, \varepsilon, \infty}(x, p) = \frac{b(\varepsilon)}{2}(p_2^2 + x_2)^2 + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{2}(x_1 - p_2)^2.$$

By the symplectic change of coordinates $\tilde{x}_1 = x_1 - p_2$, $\tilde{p}_1 = p_1$, $\tilde{x}_2 = x_2 - p_1$, $\tilde{p}_2 = p_2$, we have

$$\tilde{h}_{L, \varepsilon, \infty}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}) = b(\varepsilon)(\tilde{p}_2^2 + \tilde{x}_2)^2 + c(\varepsilon)\tilde{p}_1^2.$$

The first part of the corollary follows.

For the second part notice that we have the following family of generalized eigenfunctions:

$$\Psi_{j, \xi}(x_1, x_2) = \psi_j(x_1)e^{ix_2\xi}$$

such that we have

$$\tilde{H}_{L, \varepsilon, \infty}\Psi_{j, \xi} = (b(\varepsilon)(j + 1/2) + c(\varepsilon)\xi^2)\Psi_{j, \xi}.$$

Hence, we get a description of the spectrum of $\tilde{H}_{L, \varepsilon, \infty}$. ■

1.2. Main result in the symmetric gauge

We still consider a magnetic Schrödinger operator with a time-quasiperiodic magnetic field, i.e. $B(t) = B_0 + \varepsilon f(\omega t)$ with f real analytic on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ and $\hat{f}(0) = 0$, but now in the symmetric gauge, namely

$$H_{sL}(t) = \left(D_{x_1} - \frac{1}{2}B(t)x_2\right)^2 + \left(D_{x_2} + \frac{1}{2}B(t)x_1\right)^2, \quad (1.7)$$

and the frequency vector ω in the set of non-resonant frequencies $\mathbb{D}_0$ of Definition 1.1. We can write

$$H_{sL}(t) = H_{sL} + R_{sL}(\omega t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{sL} &= \left(D_{x_1} - \frac{1}{2}B_0x_2\right)^2 + \left(D_{x_2} + \frac{1}{2}B_0x_1\right)^2, \\ R_{sL}(\omega t) &= \varepsilon f(\omega t) \left(x_1 \left(D_{x_2} + \frac{B_0}{2}x_1 \right) - x_2 \left(D_{x_1} - \frac{B_0}{2}x_2 \right) \right) + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 f(\omega t)^2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $h_{sL}(t)$ the corresponding classical Hamiltonian

$$h_{sL}(t) = \left(p_1 - \frac{B(t)}{2}x_2\right)^2 + \left(p_2 + \frac{B(t)}{2}x_1\right)^2 = h_{sL} + r_{sL}(\omega t). \quad (1.8)$$

We introduce the symplectic variables

$$p'_1 = x_1, \quad x'_2 = x_2, \quad x'_1 = -p_1, \quad p'_2 = p_2,$$

and in these variables h_{sL} reads

$$h_{sL} = \left(x'_1 + \frac{B_0}{2}x'_2\right)^2 + \left(p'_2 + \frac{B_0}{2}p'_1\right)^2.$$

Then in the new symplectic variables $(y_1, y_2, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{B_0}}x'_1 + \frac{\sqrt{B_0}}{2}x'_2, & \eta_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{B_0}}{2}p'_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{B_0}}p'_2, \\ y_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{B_0}}x'_1 - \frac{\sqrt{B_0}}{2}x'_2, & \eta_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{B_0}}{2}p'_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{B_0}}p'_2, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that h_{sL} is the *degenerate* two-dimensional Harmonic oscillator

$$h_{sL} = B_0(y_1^2 + \eta_1^2) = 2B_0|z_1|^2,$$

where $z_1 = (y_1 + i\eta_1)/\sqrt{2}$, and similarly $z_2 = (y_2 + i\eta_2)/\sqrt{2}$. We denote by τ_0 the linear symplectic transformation from $\mathbb{R}^4$ to $\mathbb{C}^2$ defined by $(z_1, z_2) = \tau_0(x, p)$. We note that in the complex variables the symplectic form reads:

$$dy_1 \wedge d\eta_1 + dy_2 \wedge d\eta_2 = i(dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2).$$

In order to state the next result we introduce

$$d_\omega = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} h(\theta)^2 d\theta, \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$h(\theta) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2B_0}} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{\omega \cdot k + 2iB_0}{\omega \cdot k + 2B_0} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta}.$$

Remark 1.7. The number d_ω is well defined and real for $\omega \in \mathbb{D}_0$.

Our next result shows that, in the symmetric gauge, the perturbed classical Hamiltonian $h_{sL}(t)$ in (1.8) is conjugated to a two-dimensional Harmonic oscillator which is *non-degenerate* provided the constant $d_\omega \neq 0$.

Theorem 1.8. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_0$, there exist*

- *an asymptotically full measure set of frequencies $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{D}_0$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{meas}(\mathbb{D}_0 \setminus \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon) = 0;$$

- *a linear, symplectic change of variable τ , depending on ω, t, ε , which is close to the identity, namely $\tau = \mathbb{I} + O(\varepsilon)$ uniform in the other parameters,*

such that, for $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$, and provided d_ω in (1.9) does not vanish,

$$(h_{sL}(t) \circ \tau_0^{-1}) \circ \tau = b(\varepsilon)|z_1|^2 + d(\varepsilon)|z_2|^2 \quad (1.10)$$

with

$$b(\varepsilon) = 2B_0 + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad d(\varepsilon) = d_\omega \varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^4),$$

and d_ω is given by (1.9) and does not vanish for $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$.

In the new coordinates, the motion of (1.10) is easily computed:

$$z_1(t) = e^{-ib(\varepsilon)t} z_1(0), \quad z_2(t) = e^{-id(\varepsilon)t} z_2(0).$$

In particular, in the symmetric gauge, provided the constant d_ω in (1.9) does not vanish, all the trajectories are bounded, contrary to what happens in the Landau gauge.

Also in this case we are able to describe the quantum flow, which in this case is uniformly bounded in any Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{osc}}^r$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t, s)$ be the quantum propagator defined by the Hamiltonian $H_{sL}(t)$ in (1.7). So we have

$$i\partial_t \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t, s) = H_{sL}(t) \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t, s), \quad \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \omega}(s, s) = \mathbb{I}.$$

Corollary 1.9. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0$, for any $r > 0$, there exist $0 < c_r \leq C_r$ such that if $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$, for any $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{osc}}^r$, we have*

$$c_r \|\psi_0\|_r \leq \|\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \omega}(t, 0) \psi_0\|_r \leq C_r \|\psi_0\|_r \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.11)$$

Proof. We follow the proof given in [3, Corollary 1.3]. We shall give here only the main steps. For simpler notation, we assume that $B_0 = 1$. Let

$$H_{sL,\varepsilon,\infty} = \frac{b(\varepsilon)}{2}((\hat{p}_1 - \hat{x}_2)^2 + \hat{p}_2^2) + \frac{d(\varepsilon)}{2}((\hat{p}_2 - \hat{x}_1)^2 + \hat{p}_1^2),$$

and

$$Z_1 = (\hat{p}_1 - \hat{x}_2)^2 + \hat{p}_2^2, \quad Z_2 = (\hat{p}_2 - \hat{x}_1)^2 + \hat{p}_1^2.$$

Notice that $Z_j = \hat{z}_j^* \hat{z}_j$. Moreover, $[Z_1, Z_2] = 0$, so $[H_{sL,\varepsilon,\infty}, Z_1 + Z_2] = 0$. But $Z_1 + Z_2$ is a non-degenerate harmonic oscillator, hence $e^{-itH_{sL,\varepsilon,\infty}}\psi_0$ satisfies the estimates (1.11). Then as in [3], from the classical KAM construction there exists

$$\chi_{\varepsilon,\omega}(t, x, p) = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix} \cdot S_{\varepsilon,\omega}(t) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix},$$

where $S_{\varepsilon,\omega}(t)$ is a symmetric matrix with uniformly bounded entries.

Let $U_{\varepsilon,\omega}(t) = e^{i\varepsilon\chi_{\varepsilon,\omega}(t)}$, we have

$$\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon,\omega}(t, 0) = U_{\varepsilon,\omega}^*(t) e^{-itH_{\varepsilon,\infty}} U_{\varepsilon,\omega}(t).$$

Using [3, Theorem 2.7], uniformly in (t, ε, ω) , we have

$$\tilde{C}_r \|\psi\|_r \leq \|U_{\varepsilon,\omega}^*(t)\psi\|_r \leq \tilde{C}_r \|\psi\|_r,$$

and we get (1.11). ■

Corollary 1.10. *The symmetric Landau Hamiltonian $H_{sL}(t)$ is reducible to a stationary Hamiltonian $H_{sL,\varepsilon,\infty}$ with a discrete spectrum with all eigenvalues of finite multiplicities as far $b(\varepsilon) > 0, d(\varepsilon) \neq 0$. Moreover, up to a linear symplectic transformation, $H_{sL,\varepsilon,\infty}$ is a combination of two one-dimensional harmonic oscillators*

$$H_{sL,\varepsilon,\infty} = b(\varepsilon)(D_{x_1}^2 + x_1^2) + d(\varepsilon)(D_{x_2}^2 + x_2^2).$$

Proof. Keeping the notations of the proof of Corollary 1.9, since $Z_1 + Z_2$ has compact inverse, it has pure point spectrum and there exists a basis of eigenfunctions, which since $[Z_1, Z_2] = 0$, can be chosen in such a way that they are also eigenfunctions of both Z_1 and Z_2 . Thus, if one denotes by

$$\lambda_{i,j} = j + \frac{1}{2}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

the eigenvalues of Z_i , one has that $H_{sL,\varepsilon,\infty}$ is diagonal in the same basis, with eigenvalues

$$\mu_{j_1,j_2}(\varepsilon) = b(\varepsilon)\left(j_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) + d(\varepsilon)\left(j_2 + \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

So the symmetric Landau Hamiltonian $H_{sL}(t)$ is reducible to a stationary Hamiltonian $H_{sL,\varepsilon,\infty}$ with a discrete spectrum with all eigenvalues of finite multiplicities as far as $b(\varepsilon) > 0, d(\varepsilon) \neq 0$. ■

1.3. About the change of gauge

The fact that one has a completely different behavior in the case of the Landau gauge and in the case of the symmetric gauge could seem surprising at first sight, however we remark that they correspond to different physical situations. Indeed, the electric and the magnetic field are given by

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla_x \times A(x, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(x, t) - \nabla_x V(x, t).$$

Thus, in the time dependent case, they differ for the case of the Landau gauge and the case of the symmetric gauge. As is well known, there exists a gauge transformation which allows to pass from one gauge to the other by keeping the same electromagnetic fields. For example, the electric and magnetic fields can be chosen as

$$V(x, t) = 0, \quad A(x, t) = B(t)(x_2, 0, 0),$$

or as

$$V(x, t) = -\frac{B'(t)}{2}x_1x_2, \quad A(x, t) := \frac{B(t)}{2}(x_2, -x_1, 0).$$

The first corresponds to the Landau gauge, while the second corresponds to the symmetric gauge plus a scalar potential.

1.4. Related literature

Most of the literature about the Landau Hamiltonian regards the asymptotic behavior of the perturbed spectrum under time *independent* perturbations, for example scalar potentials in different classes. These works ensure conditions on the perturbation so that the perturbed spectrum is asymptotically localized around the Landau levels $\{2B_0(j + 1/2)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, a fact which is not trivial due to the infinite multiplicity of these. We mention, for example, the works [15, 20, 21, 29–31] and references therein.

The case of time *dependent* perturbations, such as (1.1), is less studied. We mention [8, 34, 35], which prove the existence of the quantum flow, and [4, 22, 26] giving time upper bounds on the dynamics. The present paper aims to prove finer properties about the quantum dynamics, and in particular investigates the dichotomy of “existence of solutions with unbounded trajectories” vs “all trajectories are bounded”. This question has received, in the last decade, a lot of attention.

In case of linear, time dependent Schrödinger equations, such as (1.1), the first result about existence of solutions with unbounded paths is due to Bourgain [6] on the torus. Recently, several works have considered non-degenerate Harmonic oscillators on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and constructed time dependent perturbations in the form of pseudodifferential operators [9, 23], polynomial functions [3, 17–19], or classical potentials [11, 32], that create solutions with unbounded trajectories. We also cite the recent results [24, 25] which prove that generic, time periodic, pseudodifferential perturbations provoke instability phenomena.

On the opposite side, many works prove that, when the perturbation is small in size and quasiperiodic in time with a non-resonant frequency ω , all trajectories are bounded in Sobolev spaces. These results are based on KAM reducibility methods ensuring that the linear propagator has operatorial norm (in Sobolev spaces) bounded uniformly in time, in the same spirit of our Corollary 1.9. This is the case, in great generality, for systems in one-spatial dimensions: limiting ourselves to results considering perturbations of the Harmonic oscillator on $\mathbb{R}$, we cite [1, 2, 7, 14, 33]. In a higher-dimensional setting, such as the one of equation (1.1), there are few KAM reducibility results. We cite [10, 28] for the Schrödinger on $\mathbb{T}^d$, [3, 13] for the Harmonic oscillators on $\mathbb{R}^d$, [27] for the wave on $\mathbb{T}^d$, and [5, 12] for transport equations on $\mathbb{T}^d$.

2. Proofs of main theorems

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We prefer to work in the extended phase space in which we add the angles $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^n$ as new variables and their conjugated momenta $I \in \mathbb{R}^n$. So our phase space is now

$$\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^4 \ni (\theta, I, z_1, z_2),$$

with $\mathbb{C}^2$ considered to be a real vector space. The symplectic form is $dI \wedge d\theta + idz \wedge d\bar{z}$ and the Hamiltonian equations of a Hamiltonian function $h(\theta, I, z_1, z_2)$ are

$$\dot{I} = -\frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta}, \quad \dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial I}, \quad \dot{z}_1 = -i\frac{\partial h}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \quad \dot{z}_2 = -i\frac{\partial h}{\partial \bar{z}_2}. \quad (2.1)$$

In this framework, the Hamiltonian equation associated with the classical time-dependent Hamiltonian function h_L in (1.3) is equivalent to the autonomous Hamiltonian system in (2.1) with

$$h = h_0 + r_1 + r_2$$

and

$$h_0 = \omega \cdot I + 2B_0|z_1|^2, \quad (2.2)$$

$$r_1 = \varepsilon(z_1 + \bar{z}_1)(z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - i(z_2 - \bar{z}_2))f(\theta), \quad (2.3)$$

$$r_2 = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2B_0}(z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - i(z_2 - \bar{z}_2))^2 f(\theta)^2. \quad (2.4)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 follows a KAM strategy: we want to eliminate the angles in h by canonical changes of variables. This canonical change of variables will be constructed as time-1 flows, Φ_χ^1 , of some Hamiltonian χ . We begin by computing explicitly the first two KAM steps and then we will be in position to apply a KAM theorem with symmetry, namely Theorem 3.4. First, we construct the first change of variables and we begin by solving a so-called homological equation.

Lemma 2.1. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 := i\varepsilon \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} & \left(\frac{z_1^2}{\omega \cdot k + 4B_0} + \frac{\bar{z}_1^2}{\omega \cdot k - 4B_0} + 2 \frac{z_1 \bar{z}_1}{\omega \cdot k} \right) \\ & + \varepsilon(z_2 - \bar{z}_2) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{z_1}{\omega \cdot k + 2B_0} + \frac{\bar{z}_1}{\omega \cdot k - 2B_0} \right), \end{aligned}$$

then χ_1 solves the following homological equation:

$$\{\chi_1, h_0\} + r_1 = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. First recall that

$$\{F, G\} := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial G}{\partial I_j} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial I_j} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_j} + i \sum_{j=1,2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \bar{z}_j} - \frac{\partial G}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}_j}.$$

Then we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} N(\theta, z_1) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{z_1}{\omega \cdot k + 2B_0} + \frac{\bar{z}_1}{\omega \cdot k - 2B_0} \right), \\ M(\theta, z_1) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{z_1^2}{\omega \cdot k + 4B_0} + \frac{\bar{z}_1^2}{\omega \cdot k - 4B_0} + 2 \frac{z_1 \bar{z}_1}{\omega \cdot k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

in such a way, we have

$$\chi_1 = i\varepsilon M + \varepsilon(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)N.$$

So, since h_0 in (2.2) does not depend on z_2 , we get

$$\{\chi_1, h_0\} = i\varepsilon \{M, h_0\} + \varepsilon(z_2 - \bar{z}_2) \{N, h_0\}.$$

Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \{N, h_0\} &= i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} (k \cdot \omega) \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{z_1}{\omega \cdot k + 2B_0} + \frac{\bar{z}_1}{\omega \cdot k - 2B_0} \right) \\ &\quad + i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{2B_0 z_1}{\omega \cdot k + 2B_0} + \frac{-2B_0 \bar{z}_1}{\omega \cdot k - 2B_0} \right) \\ &= i(z_1 + \bar{z}_1) f(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \{M, h_0\} &= i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} (k \cdot \omega) \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{z_1^2}{\omega \cdot k + 4B_0} + \frac{\bar{z}_1^2}{\omega \cdot k - 4B_0} + 2 \frac{z_1 \bar{z}_1}{\omega \cdot k} \right) \\ &\quad + i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{4B_0 z_1^2}{\omega \cdot k + 4B_0} + \frac{-4B_0 \bar{z}_1^2}{\omega \cdot k - 4B_0} \right) \\ &= i(z_1 + \bar{z}_1)^2 f(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

from which (2.5) follows. ■

Then since

$$\{\chi_1, h_0\} + r_1 = 0, \quad \{\chi_1, \{\chi_1, h_0\}\} = -\{\chi_1, r_1\},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} h \circ \Phi_{\chi_1}^1 &= h + \{\chi_1, h\} + \frac{1}{2}\{\chi_1, \{\chi_1, h_0\}\} + O(\varepsilon^3) \\ &= h_0 + \frac{1}{2}\{\chi_1, r_1\} + r_2 + O(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Next we wish to compute explicitly $\{\chi_1, r_1\}$. In view of the expressions of χ_1 and r_1 , we first compute

$$\begin{aligned} \{M, (z_1 + \bar{z}_1)^2\} &= 2(z_1 + \bar{z}_1)\{M, z_1 + \bar{z}_1\}, \\ \{M, (z_1 + \bar{z}_1)(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)\} &= (z_2 - \bar{z}_2)\{M, z_1 + \bar{z}_1\}, \\ \{M, z_1 + \bar{z}_1\} &= 2i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{z_1}{\omega \cdot k + 4B_0} - \frac{\bar{z}_1}{\omega \cdot k - 4B_0} + \frac{\bar{z}_1 - z_1}{\omega \cdot k} \right) \\ &= -8iB_0 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{z_1}{\omega \cdot k(\omega \cdot k + 4B_0)} + \frac{\bar{z}_1}{\omega \cdot k(\omega \cdot k - 4B_0)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \{N, (z_1 + \bar{z}_1)^2\} &= 2(z_1 + \bar{z}_1)\{N, z_1 + \bar{z}_1\}, \\ \{N, (z_1 + \bar{z}_1)(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)\} &= (z_2 - \bar{z}_2)\{N, z_1 + \bar{z}_1\}, \\ \{N, z_1 + \bar{z}_1\} &= -4iB_0 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta}}{(\omega \cdot k)^2 - 4B_0^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\chi_1, r_1\} &= \{i\varepsilon M + \varepsilon(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)N, \varepsilon(z_1 + \bar{z}_1)(z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - i(z_2 - \bar{z}_2))f(\theta)\} \\ &= 2i\varepsilon^2 f(\theta)(z_1 + \bar{z}_1)\{M, (z_1 + \bar{z}_1)\} + \varepsilon^2(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)f(\theta)\{M, (z_1 + \bar{z}_1)\} \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon^2 f(\theta)(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)(z_1 + \bar{z}_1)\{N, z_1 + \bar{z}_1\} - i\varepsilon^2(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)^2 f(\theta)\{N, z_1 + \bar{z}_1\} \\ &= \varepsilon^2 f(\theta)(2i(z_1 + \bar{z}_1) + (z_2 - \bar{z}_2))\{M, (z_1 + \bar{z}_1)\} \\ &\quad - i\varepsilon^2 f(\theta)(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)(2i(z_1 + \bar{z}_1) + (z_2 - \bar{z}_2))\{N, z_1 + \bar{z}_1\} \\ &= \varepsilon^2 f(\theta)(2i(z_1 + \bar{z}_1) + (z_2 - \bar{z}_2))(\{M, (z_1 + \bar{z}_1)\} - i(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)\{N, z_1 + \bar{z}_1\}) \\ &= -4i\varepsilon^2 B_0 f(\theta)(2i(z_1 + \bar{z}_1) + (z_2 - \bar{z}_2)) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{2z_1}{\omega \cdot k(\omega \cdot k + 4B_0)} + \frac{2\bar{z}_1}{\omega \cdot k(\omega \cdot k - 4B_0)} - i \frac{z_2 - \bar{z}_2}{(\omega \cdot k)^2 - 4B_0^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

At the next KAM step we remove from $\frac{1}{2}\{\chi_1, r_1\} + r_2$ all the ε^2 terms except resonant monomials, i.e. in our case, $|z_1|^2$ and $(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)^2$. In view of the expressions (2.7), (2.4), we thus obtain

$$h_2 = h \circ \Phi_{\chi_1}^1 \circ \Phi_{\chi_2}^1 = h_0 + a_\omega \varepsilon^2 |z_1|^2 + c_\omega \varepsilon^2 (z_2 - \bar{z}_2)^2 + O(\varepsilon^3), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_\omega &= - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) \hat{f}(-k) \left(\frac{2B_0}{(\omega \cdot k)^2 - 4B_0^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2B_0} \langle f^2 \rangle \\ &= - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) \hat{f}(-k) \left(\frac{2B_0}{(\omega \cdot k)^2 - 4B_0^2} + \frac{1}{2B_0} \right) \\ &= - \frac{1}{2B_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) \hat{f}(-k) \frac{(\omega \cdot k)^2}{(\omega \cdot k)^2 - 4B_0^2}, \end{aligned}$$

as stated in (1.4), while

$$\begin{aligned} a_\omega &= 8B_0 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) \hat{f}(-k) \left(\frac{1}{(\omega \cdot k)((\omega \cdot k) + 4B_0)} + \frac{1}{(\omega \cdot k)((\omega \cdot k) - 4B_0)} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{B_0} \langle f^2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) \hat{f}(-k) \left(\frac{16B_0}{(\omega \cdot k)^2 - 16B_0^2} + \frac{1}{B_0} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{B_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \hat{f}(k) \hat{f}(-k) \frac{(\omega \cdot k)^2}{(\omega \cdot k)^2 - 16B_0^2}. \end{aligned}$$

To end the proof of Theorem 1.2, we just have to iterate this KAM step and to prove the convergence of such a process. In particular, we will check that we only remain with resonant monomials, i.e. in our case, $|z_1|^2$ and $(z_2 - \bar{z}_2)^2$.

Concretely, Theorem 1.2 is obtained by applying Theorem 3.4 to the Hamiltonian (2.8) taking $v_1 := 2B_0 + a_\omega \varepsilon^2$, $c_0 := c_\omega \varepsilon^2$, and $\varepsilon_0 := \varepsilon^3$. We stress that the difference between the estimate (3.6) in Theorem 3.4 and the estimate (1.6) in Theorem 1.2 is due to the specific form of r in (2.3) and the fact that $\hat{f}(0) = 0$.

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.8

We still work in the same framework as in Section 2.1, i.e. in the extended phase space in which we add the angles $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^n$ as new variables and their conjugated momenta $I \in \mathbb{R}^n$. So our phase space is still $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^2 \ni (\theta, I, z_1, z_2)$ (see (2.1)).

In this framework the Hamiltonian equation associated with the classical time dependent Hamiltonian function (1.8) $h_{sL}(t)$ is equivalent to the autonomous Hamiltonian system (2.1) with $h = h_0 + r$, where

$$h_0 = \omega \cdot I + 2B_0 |z_1|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad r = r_{sL}(\theta).$$

To compute explicitly this term, in the coordinates introduced in Section 1.2, recall that

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= x'_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B_0}}(y_1 - y_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B_0}}(z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - (z_2 + \bar{z}_2)), \\ x_1 &= p'_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B_0}}(\eta_1 + \eta_2) = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2B_0}}(z_1 - \bar{z}_1 + (z_2 - \bar{z}_2)), \\ p_1 - \frac{B_0}{2}x_2 &= -x'_1 - \frac{B_0}{2}x'_2 = -\sqrt{B_0}y_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{B_0}}{\sqrt{2}}(z_1 + \bar{z}_1), \\ p_2 + \frac{B_0}{2}x_1 &= p_2 - \frac{B_0}{2}\xi_1 = \sqrt{B_0}\eta_1 = \frac{\sqrt{B_0}}{i\sqrt{2}}(z_1 - \bar{z}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $r(\theta)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} r(\theta) &= \varepsilon f(\theta)(y_1(y_1 - y_2) + \eta_1(\eta_1 + \eta_2)) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4B_0} f(\theta)^2((y_1 - y_2)^2 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^2) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} f(\theta)((z_1 + \bar{z}_1)(z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - (z_2 + \bar{z}_2)) - (z_1 - \bar{z}_1)(z_1 - \bar{z}_1 + (z_2 - \bar{z}_2))) \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8B_0} f(\theta)^2((z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - (z_2 + \bar{z}_2))^2 - (z_1 - \bar{z}_1 + (z_2 - \bar{z}_2))^2) \\ &= \varepsilon r_1 + \varepsilon^2 r_2. \end{aligned}$$

We follow the same strategy than in the previous section and we are interested by the quadratic terms in $z_2, \bar{z}_2$ after the second KAM step. At the first step (at order ε), we do not have such terms (in r_1), and thus we eliminate all the terms of order ε by a symplectic change of variables $\Phi_{\chi_1}^1$, where χ_1 is the solution to the homological equation

$$\{\chi_1, h_{sL}\} = -r_1.$$

Since

$$r_1 = -\varepsilon f(\theta)(z_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) + \text{quadratic terms in } z_1, \bar{z}_1,$$

we take (with $\nu = 2B_0$)

$$\chi_1 = -i\varepsilon \sum_{k \neq 0} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{z_2 z_1}{k \cdot \omega + \nu} + \frac{\bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_1}{k \cdot \omega - \nu} \right) + \text{quadratic terms in } z_1, \bar{z}_1.$$

Then, using (2.6), the quadratic terms in $z_2, \bar{z}_2$ after the second KAM step come from the quadratic terms in $z_2, \bar{z}_2$ in $\frac{1}{2}\{\chi_1, r_1\}$ and in r_2 . From r_2 , we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2 f(\theta)^2}{4B_0} z_2 \bar{z}_2,$$

and from $\frac{1}{2}\{\chi_1, r_1\}$, we get

$$-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 f(\theta) \sum_{k \neq 0} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{\bar{z}_2 z_2}{k \cdot \omega + \nu} - \frac{z_2 \bar{z}_2}{k \cdot \omega - \nu} \right).$$

Now the second KAM step will eliminate all $e^{ik \cdot \theta} z_2 \bar{z}_2$ for $k \neq 0$, and therefore, like in (2.8), we obtain

$$h_2 = h \circ \Phi_{\chi_1}^1 \circ \Phi_{\chi_2}^1 = \omega \cdot I + (2B_0 + a\varepsilon^2)|z_1|^2 + d_\omega \varepsilon^2 |z_2|^2 + O(\varepsilon^3), \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_\omega &= \frac{1}{4B_0} \sum_{\ell} \hat{f}(\ell) \hat{f}(-\ell) + \sum_{\ell \neq 0} \hat{f}(\ell) \hat{f}(-\ell) \frac{2B_0}{(\ell \cdot \omega)^2 - 4B_0^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4B_0} \sum_{\ell \neq 0} \hat{f}(\ell) \hat{f}(-\ell) \frac{(\ell \cdot \omega)^2 + 4B_0^2}{(\ell \cdot \omega)^2 - 4B_0^2}, \end{aligned}$$

as stated in (1.9). Then, if $d_\omega \neq 0$, h_2 appears as a perturbation of the non-degenerate Hamiltonian $(2B_0 + a\varepsilon^2)|z_1|^2 + d_\omega \varepsilon^2 |z_2|^2$, and we can apply Theorem 3.5.

3. Proofs of the two reducibility theorems

In this section, we prove the two reducibility theorems that we need to conclude the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.8.

First, it is useful to change the notation in order to make clear that the variable $\bar{z}$ is not necessarily the complex conjugate of z : we only have to define the concept of real submanifold of the phase space and it depends on the variables we use (see Definition 3.1 and Remark 3.3 below for more details).

So, first of all we define

$$\xi_j := z_j, \quad \eta_j := \bar{z}_j, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.1)$$

Definition 3.1. For $(\xi, \eta) \in C^4$, define the involution

$$(\xi, \eta) \mapsto I(\xi, \eta) = (\bar{\eta}, \bar{\xi}),$$

the states (ξ, η) such that $(\xi, \eta) = I(\xi, \eta)$ will be said to be *real*.

Remark 3.2. The Hamiltonians we are dealing with are real when (ξ, η) is real.

In order to deal with the Hamiltonian (2.8) whose expansion up to order ε^2 only depends on z_1 , $\bar{z}_1$ and $z_2 - \bar{z}_2$, it is useful to introduce the following canonical change of variables

$$\xi'_2 := \xi_2 - \eta_2, \quad \eta'_2 := \eta_2. \quad (3.2)$$

In these variables (and omitting primes) (2.8) reads

$$h_2 = \omega \cdot I + (2B_0 + a_\omega \varepsilon^2) \xi_1 \eta_1 + c_\omega \varepsilon^2 \xi_2^2 + O(\varepsilon^3).$$

Remark 3.3. In these variables, the involution I takes the form (omitting the primes)

$$(\xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_2, \eta_2) \mapsto I(\xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_2, \eta_2) \equiv (\bar{\eta}_1, \bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2, \overline{\xi_2 - \eta_2}) \quad (3.3)$$

and the real submanifold reads: $\eta_1 = \bar{\xi}_1$, ξ_2 is real and $2\Re(\eta_2) = \xi_2$.

In all the situations we will encounter the Hamiltonian is independent of η_2 , therefore the fact that the reality condition becomes more complicate will be completely irrelevant. Of course, in the following a Hamiltonian expressed in the variables (3.2) will be said to be real if it takes real values for real (ξ, η) , i.e. for (ξ, η) which are fixed points with respect to the involution (3.3).

The first theorem we will prove concerns quasiperiodic in time Hamiltonians of the form

$$h_{\varepsilon_0}(t, \xi, \eta) = v_1 \xi_1 \eta_1 + c_0 \xi_2^2 + \varepsilon_0 q(\omega t, \xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_2), \quad (3.4)$$

where q is a polynomial in (ξ_1, η_1, ξ_2) homogeneous of degree 2 with coefficients that depend quasiperiodically on time. The important point is that q does not depend on η_2 .

In the following, for $\sigma > 0$, we denote $\mathbb{T}_\sigma^n := \{x + iy : x \in \mathbb{T}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, |y| \leq \sigma\}$.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $v_1 > 0$ and that $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{C}^4 \ni (\theta, \xi) \mapsto q(\theta, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial in (ξ_1, η_1, ξ_2) homogeneous of degree 2, independent of η_2 , with coefficients real analytic in $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_\sigma^n$ for some $\sigma > 0$ (i.e. real when (ξ, η) is real and $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^n$). Then there exists $\varepsilon_* > 0$ and $C > 0$, such that for $|\varepsilon_0| < \varepsilon_*$,

- there exists a set $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_0} \subset (0, 2\pi]^n$ with $\text{meas}((0, 2\pi]^n \setminus \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_0}) \leq C \varepsilon_0^{1/9}$;
- for any $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon_0}$, there exists an analytic map $\theta \mapsto A_\omega(\theta) \in \text{sp}(2)$, such that the change of coordinates

$$(\xi', \eta') = e^{A_\omega(\omega t)}(\xi, \eta) \quad (3.5)$$

conjugates the Hamiltonian equations of (3.4) to the Hamiltonian equations of a homogeneous polynomial

$$h_\infty(\xi, \eta) = v_1(\varepsilon_0) \xi_1 \eta_1 + c(\varepsilon_0) \xi_2^2$$

with

$$|v_1(\varepsilon_0) - v_1| \leq C \varepsilon_0, \quad |c(\varepsilon_0) - c_0| \leq \varepsilon_0. \quad (3.6)$$

Finally, A_ω is ε_0 -close to zero and $e^{A_\omega(\omega t)}$ leaves invariant the space of real states.

The second reducibility theorem deals with Hamiltonians of the form (in the original variables (3.1))

$$h_\varepsilon(t, \xi, \eta) = v_1 \xi_1 \eta_1 + v_2 \xi_2 \eta_2 + \varepsilon^3 q(\omega t, \xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_2, \eta_2) \quad (3.7)$$

in which one has that the frequencies depend on $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$ and ε and fulfill

$$c \leq |v_1(\omega)| \leq C, \quad c \varepsilon^2 \leq |v_2(\omega)| \leq C \varepsilon^2, \quad |\partial_\omega v_1|, |\partial_\omega v_2| \leq C \varepsilon^2, \quad (3.8)$$

with some positive c, C . So it is designed to deal with Hamiltonian (2.9). The difference with the standard KAM context is that the second frequency is of order ε^2 while the perturbation is of order ε^3 . The following theorem says that the standard conclusion still holds true, i.e. that the inhomogeneous Hamiltonian system associated with (3.7) is reducible for almost all values of ω :

Theorem 3.5. *Assume (3.8) and that $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{C}^4 \ni (\theta, \xi, \eta) \mapsto q(\theta, \xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial in $(\xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_2, \eta_2)$ homogeneous of degree 2, with coefficients analytic in $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_\sigma^n$ for some $\sigma > 0$ and taking real values when $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and η is the complex conjugate of ξ . Then there exists $\varepsilon_* > 0$ and $C > 0$, such that for $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_*$,*

- *there exists a set $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon \subset (0, 2\pi]^n$ with $\text{meas}((0, 2\pi]^n \setminus \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{1/9}$;*
- *for any $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$, there exists an analytic map $\theta \mapsto A_\omega(\theta) \in \text{sp}(2)$ such that the change of coordinates*

$$(\xi', \eta') = e^{A_\omega(\omega t)}(\xi, \eta)$$

conjugates the Hamiltonian equations of (3.4) to the Hamiltonian equations of a homogeneous polynomial

$$h_\infty(\xi, \eta) = \tilde{v}_1(\varepsilon)\xi_1\eta_1 + \tilde{v}_2(\varepsilon)\xi_2\eta_2$$

with

$$|\tilde{v}_j(\varepsilon) - v_j| \leq C\varepsilon^3, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Finally, A_ω is ε -close to zero.

In the remainder of this section, we give the details of the proof of Theorem 3.4 while we only point out the small changes needed to prove Theorem 3.5.

In fact, the proof of Theorem 3.4 is very standard, but since we are dealing with a degenerate Hamiltonian h_0 , we have to be a little careful. The fact that the perturbation q is independent of η_2 is crucial here. If not, the Poisson bracket $\{h, \chi\}$ could generate new quadratic terms in (ξ_2, η_2) and the iteration could diverge.

3.1. General strategy

The canonical change of variables is constructed by applying a KAM strategy to the following Hamiltonian:

$$h(y, \theta, \xi, \eta) = \omega \cdot y + v_0\xi_1\eta_1 + \varepsilon q(\omega t, \xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_2)$$

in the extended phase space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{C}^2$ endowed with the standard symplectic form $dy \wedge d\theta + i d\xi \wedge d\eta$. We will say that the Hamiltonian h is in *normal form* if it reads

$$h(y, \theta, \xi, \eta) = \omega \cdot y + a\xi_1\eta_1 + c\xi_2^2 = \omega \cdot y + N(\xi), \quad (3.9)$$

where a and c are real constants (independent of θ).

Let $q \equiv q_\omega$ be a polynomial Hamiltonian homogeneous of degree 2. We write

$$q(\theta, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} q_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) \xi^\alpha \eta^\beta, \quad (3.10)$$

where the coefficients $q_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta)$ are analytic functions of $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_\sigma^n$, we used the standard notation

$$\xi^\alpha \eta^\beta := \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_2} \eta_1^{\beta_1} \eta_2^{\beta_2},$$

and according to the independence on η_2 and the fact that this must be a quadratic polynomial, one has the restrictions

$$\beta_2 = 0, \quad |\alpha| + |\beta| = 2. \quad (3.11)$$

The size of such polynomial function depending analytically on $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_\sigma^n$ and C^1 on $\omega \in \mathbb{D} \subseteq (0, 2\pi]^n$ will be controlled by the norm

$$[q]_{\sigma, \mathbb{D}} := \sup_{\substack{|\Im \theta| < \sigma, \omega \in \mathbb{D} \\ \alpha, \beta, j=0,1}} |\partial_\omega^j q_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta)|,$$

and we denote by $\mathcal{Q}(\sigma, \mathbb{D})$ the corresponding class of Hamiltonians of the form (3.10)–(3.11), whose norm $[\cdot]_{\sigma, \mathbb{D}}$ is finite.

Let us assume that $[q]_{\sigma, \mathbb{D}} = O(\varepsilon)$. We search for $\chi \equiv \chi_\omega \in \mathcal{Q}(\sigma, \mathbb{D})$ with $\chi = O(\varepsilon)$ such that its time-one flow $\Phi_\chi \equiv \Phi_\chi^{t=1}$ transforms the Hamiltonian $h + q$ into

$$(h + q(\theta)) \circ \Phi_\chi = h_+ + q_+(\theta) \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{D}_+,$$

where $h_+ = \omega \cdot y + N_+(\xi)$ is a new normal form, ε -close to h , and the new perturbation $q_+ \in \mathcal{Q}(\sigma_+, \mathbb{D}_+)$ is of size² $O(\varepsilon^{3/2})$, and $\mathbb{D}_+ \subset \mathbb{D}$ is an open set ε^α -close to $\mathbb{D}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. As a consequence of the Hamiltonian structure we have that

$$(h + q(\theta)) \circ \Phi_\chi = h + \{h, \chi\} + q(\theta) + O(\varepsilon^{3/2}).$$

So to achieve the goal above we should solve the *homological equation*:

$$\{h, \chi\} = h_+ - h - q(\theta) + O(\varepsilon^{3/2}), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{D}_+.$$

Repeating iteratively the same procedure with h_+ instead of h , we will construct a change of variable Φ such that

$$(h + q(\theta)) \circ \Phi = h_\infty, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{D}_\infty$$

with $h_\infty = \omega \cdot y + N(\xi, \eta)$ in normal form and $\mathbb{D}_\infty$ a ε^α -close subset of $\mathbb{D}$. Note that we will be forced to solve the homological equation, not only for the original normal

²Formally we could expect q_+ to be of size $O(\varepsilon^2)$ but the small divisors and the change of analyticity domain will lead to $O(\varepsilon^{3/2})$.

form $h_0 = \omega \cdot y + \nu \xi_1 \eta_1$, but for more general normal form Hamiltonians (3.9) with $N(\xi) = a\xi_1\eta_1 + c\xi_2^2$ close to $N_0 = \nu\xi_1\eta_1$. To control this closeness we define a norm on $N = a\xi_1\eta_1 + c\xi_2^2$ as follows:

$$\|N\| := \max(|a|, |c|).$$

The key remark is that $\forall q \in \mathcal{Q}(\sigma, \mathbb{D})$, one has $\{\xi_2; q\} \equiv 0$.

3.2. Homological equation

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{D}_0$. Let $\mathbb{D} \ni \omega \mapsto N(\omega)$ be a C^1 mapping that verifies*

$$\|\partial_\omega^j(N(\omega) - N_0)\| \leq \frac{\min(1, \nu_0)}{4} \quad (3.12)$$

for $j = 0, 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $h = \omega \cdot y + N(\xi)$, $q \in \mathcal{Q}(\sigma, \mathbb{D})$, $\kappa > 0$ and $K \geq 1$. Then there exists an open subset $\mathbb{D}' = \mathbb{D}'(\kappa, K) \subset \mathbb{D}$, satisfying

$$\text{meas}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}') \leq 4d^2 K^{2n} \kappa, \quad (3.13)$$

and there exist $\chi, r \in \bigcap_{0 \leq \sigma' < \sigma} \mathcal{Q}(\sigma', \mathbb{D}')$ and $\tilde{N}$ in normal form such that for all $\omega \in \mathbb{D}'$,

$$\{h, \chi\} + q = \tilde{N} + r.$$

Furthermore, for all $0 \leq \sigma' < \sigma$,

$$[r]_{\sigma', \mathbb{D}'} \leq C \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma - \sigma')K}}{(\sigma - \sigma')^n} [q]_{\sigma, \mathbb{D}}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$[\chi]_{\sigma', \mathbb{D}'} \leq \frac{C}{\kappa^2(\sigma - \sigma')^n} [q]_{\sigma, \mathbb{D}}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\|\partial_\omega^j \tilde{N}(\omega)\| \leq [q]_{\sigma, \mathbb{D}} \quad j = 0, 1, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (3.16)$$

The constant C depends on n .

Proof. As usual we consider the “homological operator” $\mathcal{L} := \{h; \cdot\}$ and decompose the space $\mathcal{Q}(\sigma, \mathbb{D})$ on the basis of its eigenfunctions. Such a basis is given by the monomials

$$\xi^\alpha \eta^\beta e^{ik\theta},$$

where α and β are subject to the restrictions (3.11). The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$i(\nu_1(\alpha_1 - \beta_1) + \omega \cdot k), \quad (3.17)$$

while $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{span}\{\xi_2^2, \xi_1\eta_1\}$. So, decomposing q as in (3.10), and expand the coefficients in Fourier series:

$$q_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{q}_{\alpha, \beta}(k) e^{ik\theta},$$

then one is led to define

$$\chi_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta) = \sum_{|k| \leq K} \frac{\hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}(k)}{i(v_1(\alpha_1 - \beta_1) + \omega \cdot k)} e^{ik\theta},$$

where, for $\alpha = (1, 0)$, $\beta = (1, 0)$ and for $\alpha = (0, 0)$, $\beta = (2, 0)$ the sum is restricted to $k \neq 0$. We also define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N} &:= \hat{q}_{1,0,1,0}(0) \xi_1 \eta_1 + \hat{q}_{0,2,0,0}(0) \xi_2^2, \\ r(\xi, \eta, \theta) &:= \sum_{|k| > K, \alpha, \beta} \hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}(k) \xi^\alpha \eta^\beta e^{ik\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the homological equation is satisfied. Still it remains to prove the estimates of the various terms. We give explicitly the estimate of χ . To this end, we have to control the small denominators (3.17) under the restriction

$$|\alpha_1 - \beta_1| + |k| \neq 0, \quad |k| \leq K, \quad \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \leq 2.$$

We define $\mathbb{D}'$ to be the set for which the above small denominators are bigger than κ . In order to estimate its measure we recall the following classical lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $f: [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 -map satisfying $|f'(x)| \geq \delta$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and let $\kappa > 0$. Then*

$$\text{meas}\{x \in [0, 1] : |f(x)| \leq \kappa\} \leq \frac{\kappa}{\delta}.$$

Since $|\partial_\omega(k \cdot \omega)(k/|k|)| = |k| \geq 1$, using condition (3.12), we get

$$\left| \partial_\omega(k \cdot \omega + v_1(\alpha_1 - \beta_1)) \left(\frac{k}{|k|} \right) \right| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.18)$$

Using (3.18) and Lemma 3.7, for any fixed k , we conclude that

$$|k \cdot \omega + v_1(\alpha_1 - \beta_1)| > \kappa,$$

outside a set $F_{k,\alpha,\beta}$ of measure $\leq 2d^2\kappa$ (the case $k = 0$ being evident), so that if F is the union of $F_{k,\alpha,\beta}$ for $|k| < K$ and as α and β vary, we have

$$\text{meas}(F) \leq 4K^{2n} d^2 \kappa.$$

Thus, defining $\mathbb{D}' \equiv \mathbb{D}'(\kappa, K) = \mathbb{D} \setminus F$, for all $\omega \in \mathbb{D}'$, $0 \leq \sigma' < \sigma$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma'}^n$, we get

$$|\chi_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \omega)| \leq \frac{C}{\kappa(\sigma - \sigma')^n} \sup_{|\Im \theta| < \sigma} |q_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)|.$$

The estimates for the derivatives with respect to ω are obtained by differentiating the definition of χ (for more details see, for instance, [16]). ■

3.3. Iterative lemma

Theorem 3.4 is proved by an iterative KAM procedure. We begin with the initial Hamiltonian $h_0 + q_0$, where

$$h_0(y, \theta, \xi, \eta) = \omega \cdot y + \nu_0 \xi_1 \eta_1 + c_0 \xi_2^2,$$

and $q_0 = \varepsilon_0 q \in \mathcal{Q}(\sigma_0, \mathbb{D}_0)$, $\mathbb{D}_0 = [\varepsilon, 2\pi]^n$. Then we construct iteratively the change of variables Φ_{χ_m} , the normal form $h_m = \omega \cdot y + \nu_m \xi_1 \eta_1 + c_m \xi_2^2$ and the perturbation $q_m \in \mathcal{Q}(\sigma_m, \mathbb{D}_m)$ as follows: Assume that the construction is done up to step $m \geq 0$, then

- (i) using Proposition 3.6 we construct $\chi_{m+1}(\omega, \theta)$, $\tilde{N}_m(\omega)$, $r_{m+1}(\omega, \theta)$ and $\mathbb{D}_{m+1} \subset \mathbb{D}_m$ such that

$$\{h, \chi_{m+1}\} = \tilde{N}_m - q_m + r_{m+1}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{D}_{m+1}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma_{m+1}}^n,$$

where $0 < \sigma_{m+1} < \sigma_m$ has to be chosen later;

- (ii) we define $h_{m+1} = \omega \cdot y + N_{m+1}$ by

$$N_{m+1} = N_m + \tilde{N}_m,$$

and

$$q_{m+1} = r_{m+1} + \int_0^1 \{(1-t)(h_{m+1} - h_m + r_{m+1}) + tq_m, \chi_{m+1}\} \circ \Phi_{\chi_{m+1}}^t dt. \quad (3.19)$$

For any regular Hamiltonian f , using the Taylor expansion of $g(t) = f \circ \Phi_{\chi_{m+1}}^t$ between $t = 0$ and $t = 1$, we have

$$f \circ \Phi_{\chi_{m+1}} = f + \{f, \chi_{m+1}\} + \int_0^1 (1-t) \{\{f, \chi_{m+1}\}, \chi_{m+1}\} \circ \Phi_{\chi_{m+1}}^t dt.$$

Therefore, for $\omega \in \mathbb{D}_{m+1}$, we get

$$(h_m + q_m) \circ \Phi_{\chi_{m+1}} = h_{m+1} + q_{m+1}.$$

Following the general scheme above, for all $m \geq 0$, we have

$$(h_0 + q_0) \circ \Phi_{\chi_1}^1 \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{\chi_m}^1 = h_m + q_m.$$

At step m the Fourier series are truncated at order K_m and the small divisors are controlled by κ_m . Now we specify the choice of all the parameters for $m \geq 0$ in term of ε_m which will control $[q_m]_{\mathbb{D}_m, \sigma_m}$.

First we define $\sigma_0 = \sigma$, and for $m \geq 1$ we choose

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{m-1} - \sigma_m &= C_* \sigma_0 m^{-2}, \\ K_m &= 2(\sigma_{m-1} - \sigma_m)^{-1} \ln \varepsilon_{m-1}^{-1}, \\ \kappa_m &= \varepsilon_{m-1}^{1/8}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(C_*)^{-1} = 2 \sum_{j \geq 1} 1/j^2$.

Lemma 3.8. *There exists $\varepsilon_* > 0$ depending on d, n such that, for*

$$|\varepsilon_0| \leq \varepsilon_* \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_m = \varepsilon_0^{(3/2)^m}, \quad m \geq 0,$$

we have the following: For all $m \geq 1$, there exist an open set $\mathbb{D}_m \subset \mathbb{D}_{m-1}$, functions $\chi_m, q_m \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{D}_m, \sigma_m)$ and N_m in normal form such that

(i) *the mapping*

$$\Phi_m(\cdot, \omega, \theta) = \Phi_{\chi_m}^1 : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{D}_m, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma_m}$$

is a linear isomorphism, C^1 in $\omega \in \mathbb{D}_m$, analytic in $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma_m}^n$, linking the Hamiltonian at step $m-1$ and the Hamiltonian at step m , i.e.

$$(h_{m-1} + q_{m-1}) \circ \Phi_m = h_m + q_m, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{D}_m;$$

(ii) *we have the estimates*

$$\text{meas}(\mathbb{D}_{m-1} \setminus \mathbb{D}_m) \leq \varepsilon_{m-1}^{1/9}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\|\partial_\omega^j (N_m(\omega) - N_{m-1}(\omega))\| \leq \varepsilon_{m-1}, \quad j = 0, 1, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{D}_m, \quad (3.21)$$

$$[q_m]_{\sigma_m, \mathbb{D}_m} \leq \varepsilon_m, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\|\Phi_m(\cdot, \omega, \theta) - \text{Id}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \varepsilon_{m-1}^{1/2}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma_m}^n, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{D}_m. \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. At step 1, $h_0 = \omega \cdot y + v_0 \xi_1 \eta_1$ and thus hypothesis (3.12) is trivially satisfied and we can apply Proposition 3.6 to construct χ_1, N_1, r_1 and $\mathbb{D}_1$ such that for $\omega \in \mathbb{D}_1$,

$$\{h_0, \chi_1\} + q_0 = N_1 - N_0 + r_1.$$

Then, using (3.13), we have

$$\text{meas}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1) \leq CK_1^{2n} \kappa_1 \leq \varepsilon_0^{1/9}$$

for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$ small enough. Using (3.15), for ε_0 small enough, we have

$$[\chi_1]_{\sigma_1, \mathbb{D}_1} \leq C \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2 (\sigma_0 - \sigma_1)^n} \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon_0^{1/2}.$$

Similarly, using (3.14) and (3.16), we have

$$\|N_1 - N_0\| \leq \varepsilon_0,$$

and

$$[r_1]_{\sigma_1, \mathbb{D}_1} \leq C \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{(\sigma_0 - \sigma_1)^n} \leq \varepsilon_0^{3/2}$$

for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$ small enough. In particular, we deduce $\|\Phi_1(\cdot, \omega, \theta) - \text{Id}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \varepsilon_0^{1/2}$. Thus using (3.19), for ε_0 small enough, we get

$$[q_1]_{\sigma_1, \mathbb{D}_1} \leq \varepsilon_0^{3/2} = \varepsilon_1.$$

Now assume that we have verified Lemma 3.8 up to step m . We want to perform the step $m + 1$. We have $h_m = \omega \cdot y + N_m$, and since

$$\|N_m - N_0\| \leq \|N_m - N_{m-1}\| + \cdots + \|N_1 - N_0\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \varepsilon_j \leq 2\varepsilon_0,$$

hypothesis (3.12) is satisfied and we can apply Proposition 3.6 to construct $\mathbb{D}_{m+1}$, χ_{m+1} and q_{m+1} . Estimates (3.20)–(3.23) at step $m + 1$ are proved as we have proved the corresponding estimates at step 1. $\blacksquare$

3.4. Transition to the limit and proof of Theorem 3.4

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon := \bigcap_{m \geq 0} \mathbb{D}_m.$$

In view of (3.20), this is a Borel set satisfying

$$\text{meas}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon) \leq \sum_{m \geq 0} \varepsilon_m^{1/9} \leq 2\varepsilon_0^{1/9}.$$

Let us denote $\Psi_N(\cdot, \omega, \theta) = \Phi_1(\cdot, \omega, \theta) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_N(\cdot, \omega, \theta)$. Due to (3.23), for $M \leq N$ and for $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma/2}^n$, it satisfies

$$\|\Psi_N(\cdot, \omega, \theta) - \Psi_M(\cdot, \omega, \theta)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \sum_{m=M}^N \varepsilon_m^{1/2} \leq 2\varepsilon_M^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, $(\Psi_N(\cdot, \omega, \theta))_N$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Thus when $N \rightarrow \infty$ the maps $\Psi_N(\cdot, \omega, \theta)$ converge to a limit mapping $\Psi_\infty(\cdot, \omega, \theta) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Furthermore, since the convergence is uniform on $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma/2}^n$, $(\omega, \theta) \rightarrow \Psi_\infty^1(\cdot, \omega, \theta)$ is analytic in θ and lipschitzian in ω . Moreover,

$$\|\Psi_\infty(\cdot, \omega, \theta) - \text{Id}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \varepsilon_0^{1/2}.$$

By construction, the map $\Psi_m(\cdot, \omega, \omega t)$ transforms the original Hamiltonian

$$h_\varepsilon(t, \xi, \eta) = N_0(\xi, \eta) + \varepsilon q(\omega t, \xi, \eta), \quad N_0(\xi, \eta) = \nu_0 \xi_1 \eta_1$$

into

$$H_m(t, \xi, \eta) = N_m(\xi, \eta) + q_m(\omega t, \xi, \eta).$$

When $m \rightarrow \infty$, by (3.22) we get $q_m \rightarrow 0$, and by (3.21) we get $N_m \rightarrow N$, where

$$N \equiv N(\omega) = N_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \tilde{N}_k =: \nu(\omega, \varepsilon) \xi_1 \eta_1 + c(\omega, \varepsilon)(\xi_2 - \eta_2).$$

Further for all $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$, using (3.21), we have

$$\|N(\omega) - N_0\| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^m \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Let us denote $\Psi_\infty(\theta) = \Psi_\infty^1(\cdot, \omega, \theta)$. Denoting the limiting Hamiltonian $h_\infty(\xi, \eta) = N_\infty(\xi, \eta)$, we have

$$h_\varepsilon(\theta, \Psi_\infty(\theta)(\xi, \eta)) = h_\infty(\xi, \eta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{T}, (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}^{2d}, \omega \in \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon.$$

Finally, we show that the linear symplectomorphism Ψ_∞ can be written as (3.5). To begin with, write each Hamiltonian χ_m constructed in the KAM iteration as

$$\chi_m(\theta, \xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \cdot E_c B_m(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_c := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $B_m(\theta)$ is a skew-adjoint matrix of dimension 4×4 of size ε_m . Then Ψ_m has the form

$$\Psi_m(\theta, \xi, \eta) = e^{B_m(\theta)}(\xi, \eta).$$

The following lemma is proved analogously to [3, Lemma 3.5].

Lemma 3.9. *There exists a sequence of Hamiltonian matrices $A_l(\theta)$ such that*

$$\Psi_1 \circ \dots \circ \Psi_l(\theta, \xi, \eta) = e^{A_l(\theta)}(\xi, \eta) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Furthermore, there exists a Hamiltonian matrix $A_\omega(\theta)$ such that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} e^{A_l(\theta)} = e^{A_\omega(\theta)}, \quad \sup_{|\operatorname{Im} \theta| \leq \sigma/2} \|A_\omega(\theta)\| \leq C\varepsilon,$$

and for each $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^n$,

$$\Psi(\theta, \xi, \eta) = e^{A_\omega(\theta)}(\xi, \eta) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.4. ■

3.5. Changes for proving Theorem 3.5.

The main change needed for the proof of Theorem 3.5 rests in Proposition 3.6. Indeed one has to assume the right-hand side of (3.12) to be smaller than a small constant times ε^2 and the conclusion changes in the fact that at the denominator of the right-hand side of (3.15) instead of κ^2 , one gets

$$\min\{\varepsilon^2, \kappa^2\}.$$

In the next lines we are going to prove this version of Proposition 3.6.

Indeed, the proof of Proposition 3.6 goes exactly in the same way, except that now the eigenvalue (3.17) are substituted by

$$i(v_1(\alpha_1 - \beta_1) + v_2(\alpha_2 - \beta_2) + \omega \cdot k)$$

with the only selection rule

$$|\alpha - \beta| + |k| \neq 0, \quad |k| \leq K.$$

The estimates of the small divisors are obtained exactly in the same way as far as

$$|\alpha_1 - \beta_1| + |k| \neq 0,$$

however when such a quantity vanishes the modulus of the small divisor becomes

$$|2v_2| \geq c\varepsilon^2$$

(remark that in this case the normal form contains $\text{span}\{\xi_1 \eta_1\}$). Concerning contribution of the terms with this denominator to the derivative with respect to ω , remark that the involved terms are

$$\frac{\xi_2^2}{2iv_2}, \quad -\frac{\eta_2^2}{2iv_2}$$

(multiplied by a constant). Thus the derivative with respect to, say ω_i of the coefficient of one of these terms gives, by (3.8),

$$\left| -\frac{1}{2v_2^2} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial \omega_i} \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{-2},$$

which proves the claimed statement.

Then also the iterative lemma changes. Actually, only few first steps change, for which one has at the first step

$$\min\{\varepsilon^2, \kappa_m^2\} = \varepsilon^2;$$

it is easy to see that with the choices just before the statement of Lemma 3.8, after a finite number of steps one has $\min\{\varepsilon^2, \kappa_m^2\} = \kappa_m^2$, and therefore after this step the iteration can be repeated exactly in the same way as in the previous case. ■

Funding. D. Bambusi and A. Maspero are supported by PRIN 2020 (2020XB3EFL001) “Hamiltonian and dispersive PDEs”, PRIN 2022 (2022HSSYPN) “TESEO - Turbulent Effects vs Stability in Equations from Oceanography”. D. Bambusi was also supported by GNFM. A. Maspero is supported by the European Union ERC CONSOLIDATOR GRANT 2023 GUnDHam, Project Number: 101124921 and by GNAMPA. D. Robert and B. Grébert benefited from the support of the Centre Henri Lebesgue ANR-11-LABX-0020-0 and B. Grébert was partially supported by the ANR project KEN ANR-22-CE40-0016. C. Villegas-Blas partially supported by projects CONACYT Ciencia Básica CB-2016-283531-F-0363 and PAPIIT-UNAM IN116323.

References

- [1] D. Bambusi, [Reducibility of 1-d Schrödinger equation with time quasiperiodic unbounded perturbations. II.](#) *Comm. Math. Phys.* **353** (2017), no. 1, 353–378 Zbl 1367.35149 MR 3638317
- [2] D. Bambusi, [Reducibility of 1-d Schrödinger equation with time quasiperiodic unbounded perturbations. I.](#) *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **370** (2018), no. 3, 1823–1865 Zbl 1386.35058 MR 3739193
- [3] D. Bambusi, B. Grébert, A. Maspero, and D. Robert, [Reducibility of the quantum harmonic oscillator in \$d\$ -dimensions with polynomial time-dependent perturbation.](#) *Anal. PDE* **11** (2018), no. 3, 775–799 Zbl 1386.35059 MR 3738262
- [4] D. Bambusi, B. Grébert, A. Maspero, and D. Robert, [Growth of Sobolev norms for abstract linear Schrödinger equations.](#) *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **23** (2021), no. 2, 557–583 Zbl 1460.35307 MR 4195741
- [5] D. Bambusi, B. Langella, and R. Montalto, [Reducibility of non-resonant transport equation on \$\mathbb{T}^d\$ with unbounded perturbations.](#) *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **20** (2019), no. 6, 1893–1929 Zbl 1434.82077 MR 3956164
- [6] J. Bourgain, [On growth of Sobolev norms in linear Schrödinger equations with smooth time dependent potential.](#) *J. Anal. Math.* **77** (1999), 315–348 Zbl 0938.35026 MR 1753490
- [7] M. Combes, [The quantum stability problem for time-periodic perturbations of the harmonic oscillator.](#) *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **47** (1987), no. 1, 63–83 Zbl 0628.70017 MR 0912757
- [8] P. D’Ancona and L. Fanelli, [Smoothing estimates for the Schrödinger equation with unbounded potentials.](#) *J. Differential Equations* **246** (2009), no. 12, 4552–4567 Zbl 1173.35031 MR 2523293
- [9] J.-M. Delort, [Growth of Sobolev norms for solutions of time dependent Schrödinger operators with harmonic oscillator potential.](#) *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **39** (2014), no. 1, 1–33 Zbl 1291.35254 MR 3169778
- [10] H. L. Eliasson and S. B. Kuksin, [On reducibility of Schrödinger equations with quasiperiodic in time potentials.](#) *Comm. Math. Phys.* **286** (2009), no. 1, 125–135 Zbl 1176.35141 MR 2470926
- [11] E. Faou and P. Raphaël, [On weakly turbulent solutions to the perturbed linear harmonic oscillator.](#) *Amer. J. Math.* **145** (2023), no. 5, 1465–1507 Zbl 1527.35327 MR 4647651
- [12] R. Feola, F. Giuliani, R. Montalto, and M. Procesi, [Reducibility of first order linear operators on tori via Moser’s theorem.](#) *J. Funct. Anal.* **276** (2019), no. 3, 932–970 Zbl 1446.35014 MR 3906296
- [13] B. Grébert and E. Paturel, [On reducibility of quantum harmonic oscillator on \$\mathbb{R}^d\$ with quasiperiodic in time potential.](#) *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)* **28** (2019), no. 5, 977–1014 Zbl 1439.81039 MR 4093983
- [14] B. Grébert and L. Thomann, [KAM for the quantum harmonic oscillator.](#) *Comm. Math. Phys.* **307** (2011), no. 2, 383–427 Zbl 1250.81033 MR 2837120
- [15] G. Hernandez-Duenas, S. Pérez-Esteva, A. Uribe, and C. Villegas-Blas, [Perturbations of the Landau Hamiltonian: Asymptotics of eigenvalue clusters.](#) *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **23** (2022), no. 2, 361–391 Zbl 1491.35359 MR 4386440
- [16] S. B. Kuksin, [Nearly integrable infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems.](#) Lecture Notes in Math. 1556, Springer, Berlin, 1993 Zbl 0784.58028 MR 1290785

- [17] Z. Liang, J. Luo, and Z. Zhao, Symplectic normal form and growth of Sobolev norm. [v1] 2023, [v5] 2024, arXiv:2312.16492v5
- [18] Z. Liang, Z. Zhao, and Q. Zhou, 1-d quantum harmonic oscillator with time quasi-periodic quadratic perturbation: reducibility and growth of Sobolev norms. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **146** (2021), 158–182 Zbl 1456.35175 MR 4197284
- [19] Z. Liang, Z. Zhao, and Q. Zhou, Almost reducibility and oscillatory growth of Sobolev norms. *Adv. Math.* **436** (2024), article no. 109417 Zbl 1529.35422 MR 4669333
- [20] T. Lungenstrass and G. Raikov, A trace formula for long-range perturbations of the Landau Hamiltonian. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **15** (2014), no. 8, 1523–1548 Zbl 1295.81067 MR 3232579
- [21] T. Lungenstrass and G. Raikov, Local spectral asymptotics for metric perturbations of the Landau Hamiltonian. *Anal. PDE* **8** (2015), no. 5, 1237–1262 Zbl 1327.35279 MR 3393678
- [22] J. Luo, Z. Liang, and Z. Zhao, Growth of Sobolev norms in 1-d quantum harmonic oscillator with polynomial time quasi-periodic perturbation. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **392** (2022), no. 1, 1–23 Zbl 1506.35186 MR 4410055
- [23] A. Maspero, Lower bounds on the growth of Sobolev norms in some linear time dependent Schrödinger equations. *Math. Res. Lett.* **26** (2019), no. 4, 1197–1215 Zbl 1435.35139 MR 4028118
- [24] A. Maspero, Growth of Sobolev norms in linear Schrödinger equations as a dispersive phenomenon. *Adv. Math.* **411** (2022), Part A, article no. 108800 Zbl 1504.35424 MR 4515736
- [25] A. Maspero, Generic transporters for the linear time-dependent quantum harmonic oscillator on $\mathbb{R}$. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2023), no. 14, 12088–12118 Zbl 1520.35044 MR 4615226
- [26] A. Maspero and D. Robert, On time dependent Schrödinger equations: Global well-posedness and growth of Sobolev norms. *J. Funct. Anal.* **273** (2017), no. 2, 721–781 Zbl 1366.35153 MR 3648866
- [27] R. Montalto, A reducibility result for a class of linear wave equations on $\mathbb{T}^d$. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2019), no. 6, 1788–1862 Zbl 1421.35013 MR 3932596
- [28] C. Procesi and M. Procesi, A KAM algorithm for the resonant non-linear Schrödinger equation. *Adv. Math.* **272** (2015), 399–470 Zbl 1312.37047 MR 3303238
- [29] A. Pushnitski, G. Raikov, and C. Villegas-Blas, Asymptotic density of eigenvalue clusters for the perturbed Landau Hamiltonian. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **320** (2013), no. 2, 425–453 Zbl 1277.47059 MR 3053767
- [30] G. D. Raikov, Eigenvalue asymptotics for the Schrödinger operator in strong constant magnetic fields. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **23** (1998), no. 9-10, 1583–1619 Zbl 0919.35097 MR 1641780
- [31] M. A. Tagmouti, Sur le spectre de l'opérateur de Schrödinger avec un champ magnétique constant plus un potentiel radial décroissant. *J. Funct. Anal.* **156** (1998), no. 1, 57–74 Zbl 0916.35076 MR 1632964
- [32] L. Thomann, Growth of Sobolev norms for linear Schrödinger operators. *Ann. H. Lebesgue* **4** (2021), 1595–1618 Zbl 1491.35377 MR 4353972
- [33] W.-M. Wang, Pure point spectrum of the Floquet Hamiltonian for the quantum harmonic oscillator under time quasi-periodic perturbations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **277** (2008), no. 2, 459–496 Zbl 1144.81018 MR 2358292
- [34] K. Yajima, Schrödinger evolution equations with magnetic fields. *J. Analyse Math.* **56** (1991), 29–76 Zbl 0739.35083 MR 1243098

- [35] K. Yajima, [Schrödinger equations with time-dependent unbounded singular potentials](#). *Rev. Math. Phys.* **23** (2011), no. 8, 823–838 Zbl [1229.35226](#) MR [2836569](#)

Received 1 February 2024; revised 30 December 2024.

Dario Bambusi

Dipartimento di Matematica Federigo Enriques, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Saldini 50, 20133 Milan, Italy; dario.bambusi@unimi.it

Benoit Grébert

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray, Université de Nantes, 2 rue de la Houssinière, 44322 Nantes, France; benoit.grebert@univ-nantes.fr

Alberto Maspero

Mathematics Area, SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy; amaspero@sissa.it

Didier Robert

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray, Université de Nantes, 2 rue de la Houssinière, 44322 Nantes, France; didier.robert@univ-nantes.fr

Carlos Villegas-Blas

Instituto de Matemáticas, Unidad Cuernavaca, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, 04510 Ciudad de México, Mexico; villegas@matcuer.unam.mx