Spectral gap and origami expanders

Goulnara Arzhantseva, Dawid Kielak, Tim de Laat, and Damian Sawicki

Abstract. We construct the first measure-preserving affine actions with spectral gap on surfaces of arbitrary genus g > 1. We achieve this by finding geometric representatives of multi-twists on origami surfaces. As a major application, we construct new expanders that are coarsely distinct from the classical expanders obtained via the Laplacian as Cayley graphs of finite quotients of a group. Our methods also show that the Margulis expander, and hence the Gabber–Galil expander, is coarsely distinct from the Selberg expander.

1. Introduction

Spectral gap is a fundamental property at the heart of many rigidity results in various areas of modern mathematics and computer science. In the setting of measurepreserving group actions, it plays a significant role in the solution of the Banach– Ruziewicz problem [24, 57, 74], in the study of random transformations [21, 29], and in orbit equivalence rigidity results [39, 40]. Moreover, it underlies various constructions of expanders, which will be explained in detail below.

Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite symmetric generating set S containing the identity element 1_G of G. Let (X, μ) be a probability space, $\rho: G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ a measure-preserving action, and $\pi_{\rho}: G \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(X, \mu))$ the associated Koopman representation, which is the unitary representation given by $(\pi_{\rho}(g)f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$. The space of constant functions on X is an invariant subspace of π_{ρ} . Its orthogonal complement is the subspace $L^2_0(X, \mu)$ of $L^2(X, \mu)$ consisting of the elements of $L^2(X, \mu)$ with mean zero. For convenience, we will denote $\pi_{\rho}(g)f$ by g.f.

Definition 1.1 (Spectral gap). A probability-measure-preserving action $\rho: G \cap (X, \mu)$ has *spectral gap* if there exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^2_0(X, \mu)$,

$$\sum_{s \in S} \|s.f - f\|_2 \ge \kappa \|f\|_2.$$

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 37E30 (primary); 05C48, 20F65, 37A30, 51F30, 57M60 (secondary).

Keywords: spectral gap, measure-expanding action, origami surface, expander, coarse embedding, quasi-isometry.

In this article, we construct the very first continuous actions with spectral gap of free groups on surfaces of arbitrary genus g > 1. Concretely, the surfaces we consider are origami surfaces, also called square-tiled translation surfaces. These surfaces are obtained by gluing together finitely many copies of the unit square along their edges in such a way that the resulting surface is a branched covering of the torus \mathbb{T}^2 ; see Definition 4.5. Given an arbitrary origami surface Σ of genus $g \ge 1$, we explicitly construct a measure-preserving action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \Sigma$ that is *expanding in measure*. The latter notion is a convenient characterisation of spectral gap for measure-preserving actions; see Definition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5. This concept was studied systematically in [81].

Theorem A. Let Σ be an arbitrary origami surface. Then the free group \mathbb{F}_2 admits a measure-preserving action by Lipschitz homeomorphisms on Σ that is expanding in measure. Equivalently, this action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \Sigma$ has spectral gap.

In fact, we prove more. For every Σ as in Theorem A and every $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, one can restrict the action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \Sigma$ to a certain infinite-index subgroup $H_m < \mathbb{F}_2$ while retaining the spectral gap. In detail, this can be achieved by replacing the matrices a_k, b_k in the construction of the action in Section 4.3 with a_{mk}, b_{mk} . Furthermore, if mdivides m' with $m \neq m'$, then $H_{m'}$ is an infinite-index subgroup of H_m . Each H_m is itself isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_2 , so for every fixed origami surface Σ , we obtain infinitely many actions with spectral gap of \mathbb{F}_2 on Σ .

Theorem A gives the first examples of continuous measure-preserving actions with spectral gap on surfaces of higher genus g, i.e., genus g > 1. The only prior examples of actions with spectral gap on surfaces were on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 [9, 24, 31] and on the torus \mathbb{T}^2 [30, 52, 65].

In order to prove Theorem A, we first establish a general result that allows us to lift measure expansion to extensions of dynamical systems; see Proposition 3.1.

Given an arbitrary translation surface Σ , let Aff⁺(Σ) denote the group of all affine orientation-preserving homeomorphisms (see [26] for the definitions and a modern treatment of these topics). The derivative of any $u \in Aff^+(\Sigma)$ is constant, so there is a well-defined homomorphism Aff⁺(Σ) \rightarrow SL₂(\mathbb{R}), whose image SL(Σ) is called the Veech group of Σ . The Veech group is a discrete and non-cocompact subgroup of SL₂(\mathbb{R}), and if the genus of Σ is larger than 1, the kernel $N(\Sigma)$ of Aff⁺(Σ) \rightarrow SL₂(\mathbb{R}) is finite [79, Section 2]. If the Veech group is finitely generated, as a non-cocompact Fuchsian group, it must be virtually free (this class includes virtually \mathbb{Z} and finite groups).

If Σ is, moreover, an origami surface, the Veech group is a lattice [36, Theorem 5.5]. Hence, Aff⁺(Σ) is finitely generated whenever Σ is an origami surface of genus larger than 1. (Clearly, Aff⁺(\mathbb{T}^2) contains \mathbb{T}^2 as a subgroup, so finite generation is not true in the genus 1 case.) Theorem A asserts that a certain subgroup of $Aff^+(\Sigma)$ acts with spectral gap. Hence, the defining action of $Aff^+(\Sigma)$ on any origami surface Σ of genus > 1 has spectral gap. Indeed, if a finitely generated subgroup of a finitely generated group acts with spectral gap, then the action of the overgroup has spectral gap as well.

Corollary B. For every origami surface Σ of genus > 1, the defining action of $\operatorname{Aff}^+(\Sigma)$ on Σ has spectral gap.

Our results suggest many directions for further study. One such line of research is about how special origami surfaces and the actions on them that we construct in the proof of Theorem A are. In fact, we expect spectral gap of affine actions on arbitrary translation surfaces.

Conjecture C. Let Σ be a translation surface such that $\operatorname{Aff}^+(\Sigma)$ is finitely generated and not virtually cyclic. Then the action of $\operatorname{Aff}^+(\Sigma)$ on Σ has spectral gap.

We exclude the virtually cyclic case, since such groups cannot act with spectral gap on a non-atomic measure space. It is in fact an open problem whether there is a translation surface whose Veech group is cyclic and generated by a hyperbolic element [38, Problem 6].

As mentioned before, actions with spectral gap can be used to construct expanders. Expanders are sequences of finite, highly connected, sparse graphs with an increasing number of vertices. They play a prominent role in various areas of mathematics and computer science. We refer to [37, 46, 54, 55, 76] for thorough surveys on expanders and their wide range of applications.

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a finite, connected, simple (i.e., without multiple edges or loops) graph. Given a subset $A \subseteq V$, let ∂A denote the edge boundary of A, i.e., the subset of E consisting of edges joining a vertex in A and a vertex in $V \setminus A$. The Cheeger constant $h(\Gamma)$, which is a measure of the connectivity of the graph Γ , is defined by

$$h(\Gamma) := \min\left\{\frac{|\partial A|}{|A|} \mid A \subseteq V, \ 1 \le |A| \le \frac{1}{2}|V|\right\}.$$

The degree deg(v) of a vertex $v \in V$ is the number of edges $e \in E$ that contain v.

Definition 1.2 (Expander). An *expander* is a sequence $(\Gamma_n)_n$ of finite graphs $\Gamma_n = (V_n, E_n)$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} |V_n| = \infty$ such that there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $D \ge 1$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $h(\Gamma_n) \ge \varepsilon$ and $\max_{v \in V_n} \deg(v) \le D$.

Initially, the existence of expanders was shown by probabilistic methods [45, 60], but over the years, various explicit constructions have been described.

The first explicit construction of expanders is due to Margulis [56]. His expander is a sequence $(M_n)_n$ of bipartite graphs, where the vertex set of M_n is given by $(\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n) \sqcup (\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$ (with the obvious bipartition and with $\mathbb{Z}_n := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$) and in which the degree of every vertex is 5. Margulis's proof of expansion relies on methods from representation theory. Based on this, other, in general non-bipartite, examples of expanders were obtained from finite quotients of groups with Kazhdan's Property (T) [2]. A modification of Margulis's construction, which gives a sequence quasi-isometric to Margulis's expander, was described in [30], where expansion was established by means of Fourier theory on the continuous torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. In turn, this construction was analysed further in [41], which relies on Fourier theory on the discrete torus $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$.

In [81], Vigolo described systematically how to obtain expanders from actions with spectral gap (under mild regularity assumptions) by means of graphs approximating the action. These approximating graphs are quasi-isometric to the level sets of a geometric object: the warped cone over the action; see Definition 5.9. The idea of measure expansion was already considered before Vigolo's work, e.g., in [14, 15, 32], and as mentioned above, already the original Margulis expander and several other related classical expanders come from suitable measure-preserving transformations with spectral gap. Since every sufficiently regular action with spectral gap gives rise to an expander, Theorem A provides a wide family of expanders.

Corollary D. For every origami surface Σ and every action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \Sigma$ as in Theorem A, there is an associated expander $(\Gamma_n)_n$ quasi-isometric to the warped cone $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma$ over the action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \Sigma$.

In the second part of the article, we analyse these expanders, which are examples of *origami expanders* as introduced in Definition 6.14. In particular, we study them from the point of view of coarse geometry. We investigate their coarse equivalence classes and we prove that our construction indeed yields a great diversity of new expanders.

Theorem E. Let Σ be an arbitrary origami surface and $(\Gamma_n)_n$ an expander as in Corollary D. Then $(\Gamma_n)_n$ does not admit a coarse embedding into any Selberg-type expander.

Roughly speaking, by a Selberg-type expander we mean an expander that is a sequence of graphs obtained as finite quotients of a finitely generated discrete subgroup of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ or of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$; see Definition 6.7. Therefore, Theorem E asserts that while both our origami expanders and Selberg-type expanders are intimately related to the hyperbolic plane, these two large families of expanders are completely different.

In fact, after relaxing the conclusion of Theorem E from the lack of a coarse embedding to the lack of a coarse equivalence (or equivalently, to the lack of a quasiisometry), we show that many of our origami expanders are even distinct from *any* box space; see Definition 6.2. **Theorem F.** Let g > 1, and let Z_g be the staircase of genus g as in Definition 4.9 equipped with the action of \mathbb{F}_2 from Theorem A. Let $(\Gamma_n)_n$ be an expander associated with this action as in Corollary D. The discrete fundamental groups $\pi_{1,r}(\Gamma_n)$ at scale r vanish for r and n sufficiently large. Consequently:

- (i) the expander $(\Gamma_n)_n$ is not coarsely equivalent to any box space;
- (ii) the expander $(\Gamma_n)_n$ is not coarsely equivalent to any warped cone $\mathcal{O}_H Y$, where *H* is an infinite group, *Y* is a manifold, and the action $H \curvearrowright Y$ is free.

Once we have proved that our origami expanders are distinct from the previously known expanders mentioned above, a natural problem is to distinguish origami expanders from each other, for different surfaces and actions. This problem is highly non-trivial. The strongest to date coarse rigidity results obtained for box spaces [23] and warped cones [28, 80] make use of discrete fundamental groups, which vanish for many origami expanders by Theorem F. Other standard coarse invariants, such as Property A or asymptotic dimension, are useless for expanders. Moreover, since all our origami expanders (as in Corollary D) come from warped cones over actions of a fixed group on manifolds of a fixed dimension, and the actions are essentially free, we expect their "local" properties around free orbits to be the same. Proposition 7.3 indeed provides an example of such a local property shared among our origami expanders.

Instead of distinguishing our origami expanders per se, we distinguish them together with the corresponding bundle. More precisely, our origami expander $(\Gamma_n)_n$ is quasi-isometric to the warped cone $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma$, and together with $(\Gamma_n)_n$, we consider the warped cone $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$, which is quasi-isometric to the original Margulis expander. Induced by the canonical branched covering $\rho: \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$, there is a sequence

$$P^{\rho}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$$

of coarse maps. We will study the sequence $(\Gamma_n)_n$ of graphs together with this sequence of maps. We call two such sequences

$$P^{\rho}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad P^{\rho'}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma' \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$$

coarsely equivalent if there are coarse equivalences $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma'$ and $\mathcal{O}_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$ such that the corresponding diagram commutes; see Section 9 for details.

Theorem G. For every origami surface Σ , the sequence $P^{\rho}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$ of maps from the origami expander from Corollary D to the original Margulis expander is not a coarse equivalence.

Furthermore, there is an explicit infinite set $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of genera such that for the corresponding staircases Z_g with $g \in I$, the sequences $P^{\rho}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}Z_g \to \mathcal{O}_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$ are pairwise not coarsely equivalent.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Ergodicity and strong ergodicity

Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite symmetric generating set S containing the identity element 1_G of G, which is often simply denoted by 1.

Definition 2.1 (Measure-preserving action). An action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$: $(g, x) \mapsto g.x$ of G on a measure space (X, μ) is called *measurable* if for every $g \in G$, the map $X \to X, x \mapsto g.x$ is measurable. A measurable action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is called *measure preserving* if for every measurable $U \subseteq X$ and every $g \in G$, we have $\mu(U) = \mu(g.U)$.

Definition 2.2 (Invariant / almost invariant subsets). Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a measurepreserving action. A measurable subset $U \subseteq X$ is *invariant* if g.U = U for every $g \in G$. (This implies $\mu(g.U \setminus U) = 0$.) A sequence $(U_n)_n$ of measurable subsets is *almost invariant* if for every $g \in G$, we have

$$\lim \mu(g.U_n \smallsetminus U_n) = 0.$$

Observe that if $g = s_1 \dots s_k$ with $s_i \in S$, then

$$g.U \smallsetminus U = s_1 \dots s_k.U \smallsetminus U$$

$$\subseteq s_1 \dots s_{k-1}.(s_k.U \smallsetminus U)$$

$$\cup s_1 \dots s_{k-2}.(s_{k-1}.U \smallsetminus U) \cup \dots \cup (s_1.U \smallsetminus U).$$
(2.1)

Therefore, it suffices to check invariance and almost invariance of subsets under the action by generators only, and these properties do not depend on the choice of the finite generating set.

Definition 2.3 (Ergodic / strongly ergodic action). A measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ on a measure space with $\mu(X) < \infty$ is *ergodic* if every invariant subset $U \subseteq X$ satisfies $\mu(U)(\mu(X) - \mu(U)) = 0$. A measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright X$ on a measure space with $\mu(X) < \infty$ is *strongly ergodic* if every almost invariant sequence $(U_n)_n$ satisfies

$$\lim_{n} \mu(U_n) \big(\mu(X) - \mu(U_n) \big) = 0.$$

2.2. Spectral gap and measure expansion

Spectral gap was defined in Definition 1.1. The definition of spectral gap is independent of the choice of the finite generating set S.

We now recall a characterisation of spectral gap for measure-preserving actions. This equivalent notion was defined explicitly in [81] under the name "measure expansion". It can be defined for measurable actions that are not necessarily measure preserving, but in this article, we focus on the measure-preserving case. Similar phenomena had been studied before in [14, 15, 32].

Definition 2.4 (Measure-expanding action). A measure-preserving action $G \cap (X, \mu)$ is *measure expanding* (or *expanding in measure*) if there exists a constant c > 0 such that for every measurable subset $U \subseteq X$ of finite measure satisfying $0 < \mu(U) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu(X)$, we have

$$\mu(SU) \ge (1+c)\mu(U),$$

where $SU := \bigcup_{s \in S} s.U$.

The inequality $\mu(SU) \ge (1 + c)\mu(U)$ is equivalent to $\mu(SU \setminus U) \ge c\mu(U)$, which is a strong form of non-invariance of the set U.

Using (2.1), one checks that measure expansion is independent of the choice of the finite generating set, though the expansion constant c might depend on it.

Proposition 2.5. A probability-measure-preserving action ρ : $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is measure expanding if and only if it has spectral gap.

The proof essentially follows from [72], which uses different terminology. Indeed, the "if" implication follows by a standard argument from Definition 1.1 applied to the function $\chi_U - \mu(U)$, where χ_U is the characteristic function of a measurable subset $U \subseteq X$ of finite measure satisfying $0 < \mu(U) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu(X)$. For the "only if" implication, the lack of spectral gap is exactly the assertion of [72, Proposition 2.3 (1)] for p = 2. Therefore, by [72, Proposition 2.3], this is equivalent to [72, Proposition 2.3 (3)], which coincides with [72, Lemma 2.1 (2)]. By a result of Rosenblatt in [65], [72, Lemma 2.1 (2)] is equivalent to [72, Lemma 2.1 (1)], which immediately implies the lack of measure expansion.

Complete proofs of Proposition 2.5 can be found in [32, Lemma 5.2] and [81, Proposition 7.3].

2.3. Ahlfors-regular measures

The following definition relates measures and metrics. We denote by B(x, r) the closed ball of radius *r* centred at *x*.

Definition 2.6 (Ahlfors-regular measure). A measure μ on a metric space X is called *Ahlfors regular* if there exist m > 0 and $C \ge 1$ such that for every $x \in X$ and $r \le \text{diam } X$, we have

$$C^{-1}r^m \leq \mu(B(x,r)) \leq Cr^m.$$

For a finite measure μ on a bounded metric space X, it suffices to check the above condition for all $r < \varepsilon$ for a fixed $0 < \varepsilon \leq \text{diam } X$. The Lebesgue measure on the

plane \mathbb{R}^2 is Ahlfors regular with m = 2. Therefore, the measure on the torus \mathbb{T}^2 inherited from $[0, 1]^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is also Ahlfors regular with m = 2.

3. Lifting measure expansion to extensions

In this section, we prove a general result that allows to lift expansion in measure to extensions of dynamical systems. If $\Sigma' \to \Sigma$ is an *m*-sheeted branched covering of a surface Σ , then the normalised measures μ on Σ' and λ on Σ satisfy (3.1) below, so our result applies and we can lift measure expansion from Σ to Σ' . In Section 4.3, we will use this in the case $\Sigma = \mathbb{T}^2$.

Proposition 3.1. Let (X, μ) and (Y, λ) be probability spaces with measure-preserving actions of a group $G = \langle S \rangle$. Suppose that there is a *G*-equivariant measurable map $\rho: X \to Y$ and a constant $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every measurable $U \subseteq X$, its image $\rho(U) \subseteq Y$ is measurable and we have

$$\frac{1}{m}\lambda\big(\rho(U)\big) \leqslant \mu(U) \leqslant \lambda\big(\rho(U)\big). \tag{3.1}$$

If the action $G \curvearrowright Y$ is expanding in measure and the action $G \curvearrowright X$ is ergodic, then the action $G \curvearrowright X$ is expanding in measure.

Since $\mu(X) = \lambda(Y) = 1$, formula (3.1) implies that $\mu(\rho^{-1}(V)) = \lambda(V)$ for every measurable set $V \subseteq Y$; in other words, Y is a factor of X with respect to the action of G. Indeed, suppose that there exists a measurable set V contradicting this claim. Then $\mu(\rho^{-1}(V)) < \lambda(V)$, and hence $\mu(\rho^{-1}(Y \setminus V)) > \lambda(Y \setminus V)$, which violates (3.1).

As mentioned above, we will only apply Proposition 3.1 to *m*-sheeted branched coverings $\Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$. In fact, when X and Y are topological spaces, μ and λ have full supports, and the factor map ρ is open, one can check that (3.1) implies that all fibres have cardinality bounded by *m*.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We proceed in two steps. First, we show that the action $G \curvearrowright X$ is strongly ergodic. Suppose this is not the case. It follows from [72] (see [1, Lemma 2.1] for the explicit statement) that there exists a sequence $(U_n)_n$ of almost invariant subsets of X with $\mu(U_n) = \frac{1}{2m}$. Since ρ increases the measure by at most the factor m, it is immediate that the sequence $(\rho(U_n))_n$ is also almost invariant. Moreover, for all n, we have $\lambda(\rho(U_n)) \in [\frac{1}{2m}, \frac{1}{2}]$. This contradicts the definition of expansion in measure for the action $G \curvearrowright Y$. Hence, $G \curvearrowright X$ is strongly ergodic.

Now we show that $G \curvearrowright X$ is expanding in measure. Suppose this is not the case. Then there exists a sequence $(U_n)_n$ of measurable subsets of X with $0 < \mu(U_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$\lim_{n} \frac{\mu(SU_n \smallsetminus U_n)}{\mu(U_n)} = 0.$$

Strong ergodicity of $G \curvearrowright X$ tells us that $\lim_n \mu(U_n)(\mu(X) - \mu(U_n)) = 0$, and since $\mu(U_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we conclude $\lim_n \mu(U_n) = 0$.

Let *c* be the expansion constant associated with the measure expanding action $G \curvearrowright Y$ and the generating set *S*. For *n* sufficiently large, we have $\mu(U_n) \leq \frac{1}{2m}$, so $\lambda(\rho(U_n)) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and therefore

$$\lambda \big(S \rho(U_n) \smallsetminus \rho(U_n) \big) \ge c \lambda \big(\rho(U_n) \big). \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, since $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu(SU_n \setminus U_n)}{\mu(U_n)} = 0$, we may, without loss of generality, assume that for all *n* sufficiently large,

$$\mu(SU_n \setminus U_n) < \frac{c}{m}\mu(U_n).$$

Hence, for all n sufficiently large, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lambda \big(S\rho(U_n) \smallsetminus \rho(U_n) \big) &= \lambda \big(\rho(SU_n) \backsim \rho(U_n) \big) \\ &\leq \lambda \big(\rho(SU_n \lnot U_n) \big) \\ &\leq m \mu (SU_n \lnot U_n) \\ &< c \mu (U_n) \\ &\leq c \lambda \big(\rho(U_n) \big), \end{split}$$

contradicting (3.2). Hence, $G \curvearrowright X$ is expanding in measure.

4. Measure-expanding actions on origami surfaces

4.1. An action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the torus

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ be the 2-torus, which we identify, as a measure space, with $[0, 1)^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ equipped with Lebesgue measure. The group $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ naturally acts on \mathbb{R}^2 by left multiplication. This action descends to an action on \mathbb{T}^2 , which is explicitly given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} px + qy \\ rx + sy \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.1)

Definition 4.1 (Generic point). A point $\binom{x}{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is called *generic* if the set $\{1, x, y\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . A point $\binom{x}{y} \in \mathbb{T}^2$ is called *generic* if one (or equivalently, every one) of its preimages in \mathbb{R}^2 is generic.

The generic points form a set of measure 1.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{T}^2$ be a generic point. The orbit $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ in \mathbb{T}^2 is free and consists solely of generic points.

Proof. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and suppose that $\gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} px + qy \\ rx + sy \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$x = px + qy$$
 and $y = rx + sy$.

By the assumption of genericity of x and y, we conclude that

p = 1, q = 0 and r = 0, s = 1,

so γ is the identity matrix, showing that the orbit of $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ is free.

Now we prove the genericity of $\binom{px+qy}{rx+sy}$. Let μ and ν be rational numbers such that

$$\mu(px+qy) + \nu(rx+sy) = 0$$

in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Then we have $0 = \mu p + \nu r = \mu q + \nu s$ by the genericity of $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$, and hence, $(\mu \ \nu)\gamma = (0 \ 0)$. Since the matrix γ is invertible, this forces $\mu = \nu = 0$.

4.2. A measure-expanding action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2$

Consider the measure-preserving action $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2$ obtained by restricting the action $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2$ discussed in Section 4.1. We consider subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ generated by special elements. For an integer $k \ge 1$, consider the elementary matrices

$$a_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $b_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ k & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, (4.2)

with inverses

$$a_k^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $b_k^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -k & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem 4.3. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the measure-preserving action $\langle a_k, b_k \rangle \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2$ is expanding in measure. Equivalently, the action has spectral gap.

It is standard that for $k \ge 2$, the group $\langle a_k, b_k \rangle$ generated by a_k, b_k is a free group \mathbb{F}_2 . Thus, for $k \ge 2$, Theorem 4.3 gives measure-expanding actions $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2$.

Theorem 4.3 is well known. However, since the measure expansion of our actions on origami surfaces heavily relies on it, we present a proof, along the lines of Gabber–Galil [30]. They showed the result for k = 1, but their proof works for all $k \ge 1$. Other values of k and other generating sets were considered in [41, 48, 50]. The value of k will be important later.

First, note that the matrices a_k and b_k induce a measure-preserving action of $\langle a_k, b_k \rangle$ on $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, which we equip with the counting measure, denoted by $|\cdot|$.

Lemma 4.4. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and every finite subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, we have $|a_k A \cup a_k^{-1} A \cup b_k A \cup b_k^{-1} A| \geq 2|A|.$

In particular, the action of $\langle a_k, b_k \rangle$ on $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is expanding in measure.

For k = 1, a detailed proof can be found in [50]. We give the computation for arbitrary $k \ge 1$ for completeness.

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &:= \{ (p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid p > 0, q \ge 0 \}, \quad Q_2 &:= \{ (p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid p \le 0, q > 0 \}, \\ Q_3 &:= \{ (p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid p < 0, q \le 0 \}, \quad Q_4 &:= \{ (p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid p \ge 0, q < 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Together, the sets Q_1 , Q_2 , Q_3 and Q_4 form a partition of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ and satisfy the following inclusions, which are straightforward to verify:

$$a_k Q_1, \ b_k Q_1 \subseteq Q_1, \ a_k^{-1} Q_2, \ b_k^{-1} Q_2 \subseteq Q_2,$$

 $a_k Q_3, \ b_k Q_3 \subseteq Q_3, \ a_k^{-1} Q_4, \ b_k^{-1} Q_4 \subseteq Q_4.$

Also, the following identities hold:

$$a_k Q_1 \cap b_k Q_1 = \emptyset, \quad a_k^{-1} Q_2 \cap b_k^{-1} Q_2 = \emptyset,$$

 $a_k Q_3 \cap b_k Q_3 = \emptyset, \quad a_k^{-1} Q_4 \cap b_k^{-1} Q_4 = \emptyset.$

Now, let *A* be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, and set $A_i := A \cap Q_i$ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4, so that $A = A_1 \sqcup A_2 \sqcup A_3 \sqcup A_4$. Using the above identities and the fact that the action is measure preserving, the result now follows from a direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} |a_k A \cup a_k^{-1} A \cup b_k A \cup b_k^{-1} A| \\ &\ge |a_k A_1 \cup b_k A_1 \cup a_k^{-1} A_2 \cup b_k^{-1} A_2 \cup a_k A_3 \cup b_k A_3 \cup a_k^{-1} A_4 \cup b_k^{-1} A_4| \\ &= |a_k A_1| + |b_k A_1| + |a_k^{-1} A_2| + |b_k^{-1} A_2| \\ &+ |a_k A_3| + |b_k A_3| + |a_k^{-1} A_4| + |b_k^{-1} A_4| \\ &= 2(|A_1| + |A_2| + |A_3| + |A_4|) \\ &= 2|A|. \end{aligned}$$

We now use the Fourier transform to transfer the measure expansion from Lemma 4.4 to \mathbb{T}^2 . Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ denote the graph with $V := \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, where each vertex (x, y) is adjacent to the following vertices (some of them may coincide):

$$a_k \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + ky \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_k \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ kx + y \end{pmatrix},$$
$$a_k^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x - ky \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_k^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ -kx + y \end{pmatrix}.$$

We use a simplification of the argument of Gabber–Galil from [48]. The *Rayleigh* quotient of a non-zero square-summable function $f: V \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}(f) \coloneqq \frac{\sum_{\{v,w\} \in E} |f(v) - f(w)|^2}{\sum_{v \in V} |f(v)|^2}.$$

By a version of the discrete Cheeger inequality [48, Lemma 2.1], for every countable graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ with a uniform bound D on the degrees and every function $f \in \ell^2(V)$, there exists a finite subset $A \subseteq \text{Supp}(f) \subseteq V$ such that

$$\frac{|\partial A|}{|A|} \leqslant \sqrt{2D\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}(f)}.$$
(4.3)

By Lemma 4.4, it follows that the left-hand side of (4.3) is strictly larger than 0, uniformly over all finite subsets $A \subseteq V$.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Recall that we identify $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $[0, 1)^2$ with Lebesgue measure μ . For every $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function $\chi_{p,q} \colon \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\chi_{p,q}(x,y) := e^{2\pi i (px+qy)}$$

defines a character of \mathbb{T}^2 and every character is of this form. Thus, the character group can be identified with \mathbb{Z}^2 . Let $\mathcal{F}: L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2), f \mapsto \hat{f}$ denote the Fourier transform, which is given by

$$\widehat{f}(p,q) \coloneqq \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(x,y) e^{-2\pi i (px+qy)} d\mu(x,y).$$

The set $\{\chi_{p,q} \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ can be written as a linear combination

$$f = \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(p,q) \chi_{p,q},$$

where the coefficients $\hat{f}(p,q)$ are given by the Fourier transform. The action of $\langle a_k, b_k \rangle$ on \mathbb{T}^2 satisfies the following relations:

$$\chi_{p,q} \circ a_k = \chi_{p,kp+q},$$

$$\chi_{p,q} \circ b_k = \chi_{p+kq,q}.$$

It follows that

$$\widehat{f \circ a_k} = \widehat{f} \circ b_k^{-1}, \quad \widehat{f \circ b_k} = \widehat{f} \circ a_k^{-1}.$$

These identities were essentially already observed in [65]; see also [71]. We can now compute the spectral gap; cf. Definition 1.1:

$$\min\left\{\frac{\|f \circ a_k - f\|_2 + \|f \circ b_k - f\|_2 + \|f \circ a_k^{-1} - f\|_2 + \|f \circ b_k^{-1} - f\|_2}{\|f\|_2} \\ f \in L^2_0(\mathbb{T}^2), \ f \neq 0\right\}$$

$$= \min\left\{\frac{\sum_{T \in \{a_k, b_k, a_k^{-1}, b_k^{-1}\}} \|\widehat{f \circ T} - \widehat{f}\|_2}{\|\widehat{f}\|_2} \mid \widehat{f} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2), \ \widehat{f}(0, 0) = 0, \ \widehat{f} \neq 0\right\}$$
$$= \min\left\{\frac{\sum_{T \in \{a_k, b_k, a_k^{-1}, b_k^{-1}\}} \|\widehat{f} \circ T - \widehat{f}\|_2}{\|\widehat{f}\|_2} \mid \widehat{f} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2), \ \widehat{f}(0, 0) = 0, \ \widehat{f} \neq 0\right\}$$

$$\geq 2\min\left\{\sqrt{\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}(\hat{f})} \mid \hat{f} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}^{2}), \ \hat{f}(0,0) = 0, \ \hat{f} \neq 0\right\},\$$

where the factor of 2 comes from double-counting the edges. By Lemma 4.4 and the version of the discrete Cheeger inequality recalled above, the right-hand side is strictly larger than 0, showing that the action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2$ is measure expanding.

4.3. Measure-expanding actions of \mathbb{F}_2 on surfaces

We now use the action on the torus to construct a family of measure-expanding actions on origami surfaces of arbitrary genus $g \ge 1$.

We first recall the notion of an origami surface. Particular cases of origami surfaces were first studied in [77, 79]; the name origami was introduced in [51].

Definition 4.5 (Origami). An *origami datum* is a triple (m, σ, τ) , where *m* is a positive integer, and σ and τ are elements of the symmetric group of rank *m* such that the subgroup they generate acts transitively on $\{1, \ldots, m\}$.

An origami surface (or a square-tiled translation surface) associated with the origami datum (m, σ, τ) is a topological space Σ constructed as follows: Let $\{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$ be a set of copies of the square $[0, 1]^2$ together with homeomorphisms $\rho_i : P_i \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$. The square $[0, 1]^2$ has left, right, top, and bottom sides, defined in the obvious way, and every square P_i inherits such sides via ρ_i . The space Σ is obtained by gluing, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, the left side of P_i to the right side of $P_{\sigma(i)}$, in such a way that precomposing this gluing with ρ_i^{-1} and postcomposing with $\rho_{\sigma(i)}$ yields a map sending the left side of $[0, 1]^2$ to its right side by translation. We perform analogous gluings identifying the top side of P_i with the bottom side of $P_{\tau(i)}$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.

We endow Σ with the length metric induced from declaring the length of paths on the squares P_i to be the pull-back of the usual length on $[0, 1]^2$ via ρ_i .



Figure 1. Constructing an origami surface of genus 2.

The maps ρ_i combine to a single map $\rho: \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$, which is a branched covering of the torus \mathbb{T}^2 that branches over at most one point.

A quick Euler characteristic count using the observation that the valence of every vertex in an origami surface is a positive multiple of 4 shows that the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 is not an origami surface.

By definition, our origami surfaces are connected, since we assume that the group generated by the permutations σ and τ acts transitively on $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. In fact, topologically, Σ is always a connected, closed, orientable surface. It also has a measure μ of total measure m, inherited on each of the squares P_i from the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]^2$.

We depict origami surfaces geometrically by drawing *m* squares in the plane, glued according to the permutations σ and τ , and with opposite (outer) edges identified (according to σ and τ). An example is given in Figure 1.

Since the measures μ on Σ and λ on \mathbb{T}^2 are both induced by the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]^2$, we have $\lambda(\rho(U)) \leq \mu(U)$ for every measurable $U \subseteq \Sigma$. In the other direction, since Σ consists of *m* squares, we have $\lambda(\rho(U)) \geq \frac{1}{m}\mu(U)$. Hence, the map $\rho: \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ satisfies (3.1) after normalising μ by *m*.

The image of a ball B(y, r) in Σ is the ball $B(\rho(y), r)$ of the same radius in \mathbb{T}^2 . Hence, we have

$$\lambda(B(\rho(y),r)) \leq \mu(B(y,r)) \leq m\lambda(B(\rho(y),r)).$$

Therefore, the measure μ is Ahlfors-regular because λ is.

Definition 4.6 (Arrangement maps). Let Σ be an origami surface, and let P_i be a square of Σ . The *horizontal arrangement map* associated with P_i is the unique map $h_i: \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \to \Sigma$ satisfying the following:

- for every *j* ∈ Z, postcomposing the restriction of *h_i* to [*j*, *j* + 1] × [0, 1] with ρ coincides with the composition of the translation by (−*j*, 0) with the natural quotient map [0, 1]² → T²;
- the square $[0, 1]^2$ is mapped to P_i .

The vertical arrangement map associated with P_i is the map $v_i: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \Sigma$ defined analogously.

Intuitively, the horizontal arrangement map h_i is an arrangement of the squares of Σ , where we start with P_i , and then glue to it its left and right neighbours in Σ , as specified by the permutations of the origami datum; we then continue, and glue further left and right neighbours. We end up with a cyclically repeated pattern, whose period is at most *m* and is a divisor of the order of σ .

Our goal is to construct a measure-expanding action of \mathbb{F}_2 on Σ . Let $k \ge 2$ be any multiple of the orders of σ and τ , and consider the matrices a_k and b_k from (4.2). A particular choice we can make for k is to set k := m! (unless m = 1), though later we will use the fact that we have flexibility in picking k. Recall that a_k and b_k generate a free group of rank 2 that acts on \mathbb{R}^2 and on \mathbb{T}^2 .

Observe that the matrices a_k and b_k , when acting on \mathbb{R}^2 , preserve the subsets $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ and $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$, respectively. We use this fact to define the action of a_k and b_k on horizontal and vertical arrangements, respectively, and thus on Σ .

Consider a square P_i of Σ , and let $h_i : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \to \Sigma$ denote the corresponding horizontal arrangement map. The action of a_k on P_i is obtained by first lifting P_i to $[0, 1]^2$ under h_i^{-1} , then applying the matrix a_k , and then projecting back to Σ using h_i . This gives a well-defined action of a_k on Σ : On horizontal edges this follows from the fact that k is a multiple of the order of σ , and hence a_k fixes the top and bottom sides of all squares pointwise; for vertical edges the claim follows from an easy computation. We define the action of b_k analogously, using the vertical arrangement map. It is clear that ρ is now an \mathbb{F}_2 -equivariant map, where the action of \mathbb{F}_2 on \mathbb{T}^2 is as in Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.7. The action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \Sigma$ we have just described is a measure-preserving and measure-expanding action by Lipschitz homeomorphisms.

Theorem 4.7 directly implies Theorem A.

Proof. The fact that the action is a measure-preserving action by Lipschitz homeomorphisms is clear from the construction. Observe that the map $\rho: \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.1 (up to rescaling the measure on Σ). Since the action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2$ is expanding in measure, we only need to verify that the action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \Sigma$ is ergodic.

To this end, let $U \subseteq \Sigma$ be an invariant measurable subset with $\mu(U) > 0$. Consider the set L_0 of all connected components of preimages under ρ of all (horizontal) loops of the form

$$[0,1) \times \{x\} \subset [0,1)^2 = \mathbb{T}^2$$

with $x \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Note that $\bigcup L_0$ is a measurable subset of Σ of full measure. Note also that every $l \in L_0$ is a horizontal loop of integral length carrying its own, usual Lebesgue measure.

Since the measure on Σ is obtained from product measures on the squares forming Σ , Fubini's theorem gives us a subset L_1 of L_0 such that $\bigcup L_1$ is again measurable and of full measure, and such that for every $l \in L_1$ the set $l \cap U$ is measurable in l.

The action of a_k on every loop l is by irrational rotation, and hence is ergodic. We conclude that for every $l \in L_1$, the subset $l \cap U$ is either null or of full measure, since l, and hence $l \cap U$, is a_k -invariant. We repeat the argument for vertical loops and arrive at analogous conclusions with sets L'_0 and L'_1 of vertical loops.

Let Q be a square of Σ with $\mu(Q \cap U) > 0$. Identifying $Q = [0, 1]^2$, we see that, up to measure zero,

$$U \cap Q = [0,1] \times R$$

for a measurable subset $R \subseteq [0, 1]$ of positive measure $\lambda(R)$. Recall that $\bigcup L'_1$ is of full measure in Σ , and hence, in particular L'_1 contains almost all vertical loops passing through Q. Every such loop intersects $[0, 1] \times R$ in a subset of measure at least $\lambda(R)$. This implies that

$$\mu(Q \cap U) = 1,$$

using the ergodicity of the action of b_k on the loops in L'_0 , as before. To summarise: if U intersects a square in a subset of positive measure, then the intersection is full in Q.

Since $\mu(U) > 0$, there is at least one square Q as above. But then the precise description of the action of \mathbb{F}_2 , together with the fact that Σ is connected, immediately allows us to conclude that U intersects every square fully, and hence

$$\mu(U) = \mu(\Sigma) = m.$$

This finishes the proof.

Remark 4.8. It is immediate from Lemma 4.2 that the orbits of points $v \in \Sigma$ such that $\rho(v)$ is generic are free.



Figure 2. The staircase of genus 4.

4.4. Staircases

Let us introduce a particular family of origamis that will be important later.

Definition 4.9 (Staircases). Given a positive integer g, the *staircase of genus* g, denoted Z_g , is the origami surface associated to the origami datum $(2g - 1, \sigma, \tau)$, where $\sigma = (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4) \cdots (2g - 3 \ 2g - 2)$ and $\tau = (2 \ 3)(4 \ 5) \cdots (2g - 2 \ 2g - 1)$. We endow the surface with the same action of \mathbb{F}_2 as before.

An example of a staircase is shown in Figure 2.

As indicated by the notation, a staircase of genus g gives rise to a surface of genus g. Observe that the orders of σ and τ are both 2, and we can take k = 2 in the action of Theorem 4.7. Crucially, this is independent of g, and hence every Z_g is a branched cover of \mathbb{T}^2 in an \mathbb{F}_2 -equivariant way, with respect to a single action of \mathbb{F}_2 on \mathbb{T}^2 , independent of g.

5. Large-scale geometry, warped cones, and expanders

5.1. Uniform quasi-isometries and coarse embeddings

We assume the reader is familiar with the following standard notions from largescale geometry: quasi-isometry, quasi-geodesic space, bi-Lipschitz equivalence, and the Rips complex $P_R(X)$ of a metric space X for $R \ge 0$. We refer to the books by Bridson–Haefliger [16], Roe [63], and Nowak–Yu [59], all of which are excellent sources on coarse geometry. **Definition 5.1** (Uniform quasi-isometry). Two families $(X_n)_n$ and $(Y_n)_n$ of metric spaces are *uniformly quasi-isometric* if there exist functions $f_n: X_n \to Y_n$ and constants $L \ge 1$ and $A \ge 0$ such that for all n and all $x, x' \in X_n$ and $y \in Y_n$, we have

- (i) $L^{-1}d_{X_n}(x,x') A \leq d_{Y_n}(f_n(x), f_n(x')) \leq Ld_{X_n}(x,x') + A;$
- (ii) $d_{Y_n}(y, f_n(X_n)) \leq A$.

Replacing the affine functions in Definition 5.1 (i) with arbitrary proper functions yields the following definition.

Definition 5.2 (Uniform coarse equivalence). Two families $(X_n)_n$ and $(Y_n)_n$ of metric spaces are *uniformly coarsely equivalent* if there exist functions $f_n: X_n \to Y_n$, two non-decreasing functions $\eta, \zeta: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{r\to\infty} \eta(r) = \infty$, and a constant $A \ge 0$ such that for all $x, x' \in X_n$ and $y \in Y_n$, we have

(i) $\eta \circ d_{X_n}(x, x') \leq d_{Y_n}(f_n(x), f_n(x')) \leq \zeta \circ d_{X_n}(x, x');$

(ii)
$$d_{Y_n}(y, f_n(X_n)) \leq A$$
.

These definitions apply to individual spaces, which can be treated as constant sequences. We thus recover the usual definitions that two spaces X and Y are quasi-isometric or that they are coarsely equivalent, respectively.

If condition (i) is satisfied, but not necessarily (ii), we talk about embeddings. That is, applied to individual spaces X and Y, Definition 5.1 (i) defines a *quasi-isometric embedding* $f: X \to Y$, and Definition 5.2 (i) defines a *coarse embedding* $f: X \to Y$; if only the second inequality of Definition 5.2 (i) is satisfied, it defines a *bornologous* map $f: X \to Y$.

Remark 5.3. If two families are uniformly quasi-isometric, then they are uniformly coarsely equivalent. Conversely, every coarse equivalence between quasi-geodesic metric spaces is a quasi-isometry [34, Section 0.2.D]; see, e.g., [59, Corollary 1.4.14] for a proof, which also holds for families.

Convention 5.4. Every map (in particular, every constant map) between bounded metric spaces is a quasi-isometry (for appropriate constants $L \ge 1$, $A \ge 0$), and in particular a coarse embedding. Accordingly, applied to families $(X_n)_n$ and $(Y_n)_n$ of bounded metric spaces, the specification "uniform" from Definitions 5.1 and 5.2 is often automatically assumed and omitted for brevity. This is the case in the formulations of our results in Section 1; see also Remark 6.4.

Definition 5.5 (Close maps). Let $f_1, f_2: X \to Y$ be maps between metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) . If there is C > 0 such that $d_Y(f_1(x), f_2(x)) \leq C$ for all $x \in X$, then f_1 and f_2 are called *C*-close.

A quasi-isometric (resp. coarse) embedding $f: X \to Y$ is a quasi-isometry (resp. coarse equivalence) if and only if there is a quasi-isometric (resp. coarse) embedding $f': Y \to X$ such that $f' \circ f$ and $f \circ f'$ are close to the identity maps on X and on Y, respectively; cf. [42].

Throughout the article, we consider quasi-geodesic metric spaces, and we study them up to quasi-isometry. The following known result allows us to focus on graphs. We add the proof for completeness.

Lemma 5.6. A metric space is quasi-geodesic if and only if it is quasi-isometric to a graph.

Proof. By definition, a metric space X is quasi-geodesic if there exist $L \ge 1$ and $A \ge 0$ such that for every $x, x' \in X$, there exists an (L, A)-quasi-isometric embedding

$$\gamma \colon [0, d(x, x')] \to X$$

such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(d(x, x')) = x'$. A straightforward adaptation of the proof of [22, Chapter 3, Lemma 2.8] to quasi-geodesic spaces yields that the canonical embedding

$$f: X \hookrightarrow P_{L+A}^{(1)}(X)$$

is an (L + A, 1)-quasi-isometric embedding, where $P_{L+A}^{(1)}(X)$ is the 1-skeleton of the Rips complex $P_{L+A}(X)$. Indeed, take $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k - 1 < d_X(x, x') \leq k$. By the quasi-geodesicity, there exist $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_k \in X$ such that $a_0 = x, a_k = x'$, and

$$d_X(a_i, a_{i+1}) \leq L((i+1) - i) + A = L + A.$$

Hence, $P_{L+A}^{(1)}(X)$ is connected and

$$d_{P_{L+A}^{(1)}(X)}(f(x), f(x')) \le k < d_X(x, x') + 1.$$

For the lower bound, suppose that $d_{P_{L+A}^{(1)}(X)}(f(x), f(x')) = l$. Then, by definition of the Rips complex, there exist $b_0, \ldots, b_l \in X$ such that $b_0 = x, b_l = x'$, and

$$d_X(b_i, b_{i+1}) \leq L + A,$$

whence

$$(L+A)^{-1}d_X(x,x') \leq d_{P_{L+A}^{(1)}(X)}(f(x),f(x')).$$

Since X is $\frac{1}{2}$ -dense in $P_{L+A}^{(1)}(X)$, the above inequalities show that $f: X \hookrightarrow P_{L+A}^{(1)}(X)$ is an (L + A, 1)-quasi-isometry, from which the "only if" implication of the lemma follows.

Conversely, let $f: V \to X$ be an (L, A)-quasi-isometry, where V is a graph. The image of a geodesic between any $v, v' \in V$ is an (L, A)-quasi-isometric embedding of $[0, d_V(v, v')]$. Since f(V) is A-dense in X, the "if" implication follows.

We encounter non-discrete metric spaces, for which we use the following general definition of bounded geometry.

Definition 5.7 (Bounded geometry). A metric space *X* has *bounded geometry* if there exists a constant $C \ge 0$ such that for every D > 0 there is $N_D \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every *C*-separated subset of *X* of diameter at most *D* has cardinality at most N_D .

To the knowledge of the authors, this notion of bounded geometry for metric spaces goes back to [42]; the special case of Riemannian manifolds was already covered in [33].

Lemma 5.8. A quasi-geodesic metric space has bounded geometry if and only if it is quasi-isometric to a graph with a uniform bound on the degrees of its vertices.

Proof. The proof is routine. For the "if" implication, note that a bounded-degree graph V has bounded geometry with C = 1. Let X be a metric space. If $f: X \to V$ is an (L, A)-quasi-isometry and $T \subseteq X$ is a C-separated subset of diameter D > 0, then $f(T) \subseteq V$ is a (C/L - A)-separated subset of diameter at most LD + A > 0. We take C > L(A + 1). We see that f is injective on T and $|T| = |f(T)| \leq N_{LD+A}$, where $R \mapsto N_R$ is the function accompanying C = 1 in Definition 5.7 for V. Hence, X has bounded geometry.

For the "only if" implication, let X be a quasi-geodesic space with bounded geometry. Let X' be a maximal C-separated subset of X for the constant C from Definition 5.7. Then X' is also quasi-geodesic. In the proof of Lemma 5.6, we have shown that the 1-skeleton $P_{L+A}^{(1)}(X')$ of the Rips complex of X' is quasi-isometric to X', and hence to X, where $L \ge 1$, $A \ge 0$ are the quasi-geodesicity constants of X'. The maximal degree of the vertices of $P_{L+A}^{(1)}(X')$ is bounded above by $N_{2(L+A)}(X)$, where N is the function from the definition of bounded geometry of X, since the ball of radius 1 is of diameter at most 2.

5.2. Warped cones

The following notion is useful for the understanding of the dynamics of group actions from the coarse geometry point of view. It was introduced by Roe in [62] for foliations and in [64] for group actions.

Definition 5.9 (Warped cone). Let (Y, d) be a compact metric space and $G \curvearrowright Y$ a group action by homeomorphisms. For every $t \in (0, \infty)$, let d_G be the largest metric on $\{t\} \times Y$ satisfying

$$d_G((t, y), (t, y')) \leq t \cdot d(y, y')$$
 and $d_G((t, y), (t, s.y)) \leq 1$

for every generator $s \in S$.

The sequence of metric spaces $\mathcal{O}_G Y := (\{t\} \times Y, d_G)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called the *warped* cone over the action $G \curvearrowright Y$.

In [64], the warped cone is defined as an appropriate union over $t \in (0, \infty)$ of the levels $\{t\} \times Y$. Since the diameter of these levels tends to zero as $t \to 0$ and tends to infinity as $t \to \infty$ (unless Y is a finite set with a transitive action), the resulting space indeed resembles a cone.

It easily follows from Definition 5.9 that $d_G((t, y), (t, y')) < 1$ if and only if $d(y, y') < \frac{1}{t}$, and then

$$d_G((t, y), (t, y')) = t \cdot d(y, y'),$$

so both metrics induce the same topology. Hence, $\mathcal{O}_G Y$ is not an interesting object from the topological perspective. However, it does exhibit interesting large-scale geometric properties. For example, it is not hard to check that if Y is a geodesic space (or bi-Lipschitz equivalent to such a space), then the family $\mathcal{O}_G Y$ is quasi-geodesic with the quasi-geodesicity constants $L \ge 1$ and $A \ge 0$ uniform over $t \in \mathbb{N}$. By [64], most naturally occurring warped cones have bounded geometry. In particular, this applies to warped cones over actions by Lipschitz homeomorphisms on surfaces, as considered in the present article. Hence, by Lemma 5.8, a typical warped cone $\mathcal{O}_G Y$ is uniformly quasi-isometric to a sequence of finite, connected graphs with a uniform bound on the degrees of their vertices. The relevance of this observation was noticed in [81].

Definition 5.10 (\mathcal{O} -graph). Every sequence $(\Gamma_n^{\mathcal{O}})_n$ of finite, connected graphs $\Gamma_n^{\mathcal{O}}$ with uniformly bounded degrees that is uniformly quasi-isometric to a warped cone $\mathcal{O}_G Y$ is called an \mathcal{O} -graph associated with $\mathcal{O}_G Y$.

The following is a slightly generalised version of [81, Theorem B].

Theorem 5.11. Let Y be a compact space equipped with a geodesic metric and an Ahlfors-regular measure, and let $G \curvearrowright Y$ be a measure-preserving action by Lipschitz homeomorphisms. The action $G \curvearrowright Y$ has spectral gap if and only if every \mathcal{O} -graph associated with the warped cone $\mathcal{O}_G Y$ is an expander.

Proof. If *Y* is a Riemannian manifold, the result follows from [81, Corollary 7.4], since every Lipschitz homeomorphism whose inverse is also Lipschitz is a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism. In general, the result is a special case, for $X = \mathbb{R}$, of a more general result [69, Theorem 1.1].

Consequently, the \mathcal{O} -graphs associated with these warped cones are a source of expanders, which is in fact very rich, as exemplified by the results of [28, 47, 69, 81]. In particular, Corollary D follows immediately from Theorem A by applying Theorem 5.11.

Remark 5.12. Being an expander is a quasi-isometry invariant: If $(\Gamma_n)_n$ and $(\Gamma'_n)_n$ are two sequences of uniformly bounded degree graphs that are uniformly quasiisometric, then $(\Gamma_n)_n$ is an expander if and only if $(\Gamma'_n)_n$ is. A proof of this folklore fact can be obtained by a straightforward adaptation of the proof of [42, Lemma 4.2]. Hence, the word "every" in Theorem 5.11 can, equivalently, be replaced with "some".

6. Selberg-type expanders and Margulis-type expanders

6.1. Coarse disjoint unions and box spaces

Definition 6.1 (Coarse disjoint union). The disjoint union $\bigsqcup_n X_n$ of bounded metric spaces (X_n, d_n) is called a *coarse disjoint union* if it is equipped with a metric d such that

- *d* restricts to the metric d_n on each X_n ;
- $d(X_n, X_m) \to \infty$ as $n + m \to \infty$ for all $n \neq m$.

Any two such metrics yield coarsely equivalent metric spaces with underlying set $\bigsqcup_n X_n$, or, in other words, the same coarse structure on $\bigsqcup_n X_n$ (see [63]). Accordingly, one typically talks about *the* coarse disjoint union.

Let *G* be a group generated by a finite set *S*. We denote by $|g|_S$ the word length of an element $g \in G$ defined by *S* and by $d_S(g,h) := |g^{-1}h|_S$ the distance between elements $g, h \in G$ induced by this word length; $B(1_G, R)$ denotes the closed ball of radius $R \ge 0$ centred at the identity: $B(1_G, R) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_S \le R\}$.

Definition 6.2 (Box space). Let $(G_n)_n$ be a sequence of finite-index normal subgroups of *G*. We equip each G/G_n with the quotient metric induced by the map $G \rightarrow G/G_n$ (equivalently, with the word-length metric defined by the image S_n of *S* under this quotient map). We assume that

$$\forall R \in \mathbb{N}, \ \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } B(1_G, R) \cap \bigcup_{n \ge n_0} G_n = \{1_G\}.$$
(6.1)

Then the coarse disjoint union $\bigsqcup_n \operatorname{Cay}(G/G_n, S_n)$ is called a *box space of G defined* by the sequence $(\operatorname{Cay}(G/G_n, S_n))_n$.

Remark 6.3. Definition 6.2 is unusual and more general than the usual definition. If $(G_n)_n$ is nested and $\bigcap_n G_n = \{1_G\}$ (as in the standard definition of a box space often used in the literature), then $\bigsqcup_n \operatorname{Cay}(G/G_n, S_n)$ is a box space in the sense of Definition 6.2. In fact, when $(G_n)_n$ is nested, then the condition $\bigcap_n G_n = \{1_G\}$ is equivalent to condition (6.1). However, if we only assume $\bigcap_n G_n = \{1_G\}$, then $\bigsqcup_n \operatorname{Cay}(G/G_n, S_n)$ is not necessarily a box space according to our definition. Indeed, let $G = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, let $S \subseteq G$ be the standard generating set, let $G_1 = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \{0\}$, and let $G_n = n\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ for $n \ge 2$. Then $\bigcap_{n\ge 1} G_n = \{1_G\}$, but for every $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, the union $\bigcup_{n\ge n_0} G_n$ contains \mathbb{Z}_2 , so for every $R \ge 2$ it intersects the ball $B(1_G, R)$ nontrivially.

The choice of this general definition of box space is inspired by Definition 6.5 and Lemma 6.6 below.

Remark 6.4. Despite the fact that an expander is defined as a *sequence of graphs* and a box space is defined as a *single metric space* consisting of such graphs, we often disregard the difference; cf. [3, p. 318]. There are historical reasons why these two points of view are used, and we choose to keep both languages.

The following is a variation of the definition of asymptotically faithful sequence of graphs from [82, Definition 2.2].

Definition 6.5 (Asymptotically faithful sequence of maps). Let $q_n: X_n \to Y_n$ be a sequence of surjective 1-Lipschitz maps between metric spaces. The sequence $(q_n)_n$ is called *asymptotically faithful* if for every R > 0, there exists $N_R \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \ge N_R$, every $y \in Y_n$ and every $x \in q_n^{-1}(y)$, the map q_n restricted to the map on the balls $B(x, R) \to B(y, R)$ is an isometry.

Lemma 6.6. Let G be a group generated by a finite set S, and let $(G_n)_n$ be a sequence of finite-index normal subgroups. The sequence of quotient maps

$$Cay(G, S) \rightarrow Cay(G/G_n, S_n)$$

is asymptotically faithful if and only if $\bigsqcup_n \operatorname{Cay}(G/G_n, S_n)$ is a box space.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let R_n be the maximal integer such that $B(1_G, R_n) \cap G_n = \{1_G\}$. Clearly, $\bigsqcup_n \operatorname{Cay}(G/G_n, S_n)$ is a box space if and only if $\lim_{n \to \infty} R_n = \infty$.

The quotient map q_n : Cay $(G, S) \rightarrow$ Cay $(G/G_n, S_n)$ is isometric at scale $r_n := R_n/4$, i.e., q_n is an isometry when restricted to the ball $B(1_G, r_n)$. Indeed, suppose for contradiction that there are $g, h \in B(1_G, r_n)$ such that $d_S(g, h) > d_{S_n}(q_n(g), q_n(h))$. This means that

$$|g^{-1}h|_{S} > |q_n(g^{-1}h)|_{S_n}.$$

Then there exists $k \in G$ such that $q_n(k) = q_n(g^{-1}h)$ and $|k|_S = |q_n(g^{-1}h)|_{S_n}$. Hence, $k^{-1}g^{-1}h$ is a non-trivial element of ker $q_n = G_n$. However,

$$|k^{-1}g^{-1}h|_{S} \leq |k^{-1}|_{S} + |g^{-1}h|_{S} < 2|g^{-1}h|_{S} \leq 2(|g^{-1}|_{S} + |h|_{S}) \leq 4r_{n} = R_{n},$$

contradicting the assumption on the choice of R_n .

On the other hand, q_n is not an isometry at scale $r'_n := \lceil (R_n + 1)/2 \rceil$. Indeed, by the maximality in the definition of R_n , there is $k \in G_n$ of length $R_n + 1$. Then there

are $g \in B(1_G, \lceil (R_n + 1)/2 \rceil)$ and $h \in B(1_G, \lfloor (R_n + 1)/2 \rfloor)$ such that $g^{-1}h = k$. Thus, $q_n(g) = q_n(h)$, and hence q_n is not only non-isometric, but even non-injective on $B(1_G, r'_n)$.

Summing up, the largest scale t_n at which q_n is isometric belongs to the interval

$$[r_n, r'_n] = [R_n/4, \lceil (R_n + 1)/2 \rceil),$$

so t_n converges to infinity if and only if R_n does, which finishes the proof.

6.2. Selberg-type expanders

One of the goals of this article is to distinguish several classes of expanders from the point of view of coarse geometry. First, we introduce the following new class.

Definition 6.7 (Selberg-type expanders). An expander is called a *Selberg-type expander* if it is the sequence of graphs defining a box space of a finitely generated discrete subgroup of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ or of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

There are various known examples of Selberg-type expanders:

(1) If $G \leq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a finite-index subgroup generated by a finite set *S* and G/G_n are congruence quotients for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(Cay(G/G_n, S_n))_n$ is an expander by Selberg's celebrated 3/16 theorem on the spectral gap of the Laplacian on the hyperbolic surfaces \mathbb{H}^2/G_n of finite volume [73] combined with the comparison principle of Brooks [17] and Burger [18] that ensures the equivalence between continuous and discrete spectral gaps.

(2) Let $G \leq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup generated by a finite set *S*, and let G/G_p be the congruence quotients for primes *p*. Then $(Cay(G/G_p, S_p))_p$ is an expander if and only if *G* is non-elementary [7, 10].

(3) Let $G \leq SL_d(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup generated by a finite set *S* that is Zariski dense in SL_d , and let G/G_n be the congruence quotients for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(Cay(G/G_n, S_n))_n$ is an expander [13]. For d = 2, this result provides a great diversity of Selberg-type expanders. Note that (2) is a special case of this general result because a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is Zariski dense in SL_2 if and only if it is non-elementary. We refer to [8, 12, 78] for different approaches to further generalisations of (2) which are special cases of (3).

(4) Let $G \leq SL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ be a subgroup generated by a finite set S. Since there are only finitely many denominators involved in the entries of the elements of S, the congruence quotients G/G_q are defined for all q avoiding finitely many primes as divisors. It follows from the main result of [66] that as $q \to \infty$ ranges over such square-free integers, the sequence $(Cay(G/G_q, S_q))_q$ is an expander, provided that the connected component of the identity in the Zariski closure of G is perfect. This last condition is satisfied by non-amenable subgroups G as above, since it follows from [61, Theorem 4.29] that the Zariski closure of such a G in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the whole of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 6.8. Selberg's celebrated result [73] on the congruence quotients of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ naturally invites to generalisations. One way to do this is to consider congruence quotients of other groups, not only of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ or of its subgroups. This leads to the following definition due to Lubotzky: An arithmetic lattice is said to have the *Selberg property* if it has Property (τ) with respect to appropriately defined congruence subgroups [53].

An alternative way of generalising Selberg's result is to allow a wider family of finite quotients, not only congruence quotients. Despite the fact that the Selberg-type expanders previously considered in the literature are often made of congruence quotients, it is this alternative way we pursue in Definition 6.7. Therefore, it is all the more striking that the new expanders provided by Corollary D are all coarsely distinct, by Theorem E, from all expanders of Selberg type, even under our very broad interpretations of Selberg-type behaviour and of box spaces as given in Definitions 6.7 and 6.2, respectively.

6.3. Margulis-type expanders

Let $G = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$, where the semi-direct product is defined by the left multiplication action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{Z}^2 . The following four elements generate *G*:

$$T_{1} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad T_{2} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$
$$T_{3} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad T_{4} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

A natural action of *G* on the discrete torus $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ is defined by:

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} ax + by \\ cx + dy \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}.$$
(6.2)

Definition 6.9 (The original Margulis expander). Let $V_n := \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ and let V'_n be a disjoint copy of V_n . The *original* Margulis expander [56, Section 3.2] is the sequence $(M_n)_n$ of 5-regular bipartite graphs M_n with vertex set $V_n \sqcup V'_n$ and edge set defined by the transformations 1_G , T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 according to the action defined by (6.2): A vertex $\binom{x}{y} \in V_n$ is adjacent to $\binom{x}{y}$, $\binom{x+1}{y}$, $\binom{x+y}{y}$, $\binom{-y}{x} \in V'_n$. There are multi-edges and the 5-regularity counts edges with multiplicity. See Figure 3.



Figure 3. A local picture of the original Margulis expander M_n (left) and the expander M_n° (right).

Margulis shows that $(M_n)_n$ is a *left* expander graph (in the natural bipartite sense of Definition 1.2, where we require $|\partial A| \ge (1 + \varepsilon)|A|$ for every subset $A \subseteq V_n$ with $1 \le |A| \le |V_n|/2$; Definition 1.2 also extends to graphs with loops and multiple edges). His proof also works to show the *right* expansion of $(M_n)_n$ and the expansion of his other sequence $(\overline{M}_n)_n$ of 5-regular bipartite graphs [56, Section 2.2], defined as above but using the transformations 1_G , T_1 , T_2 , \overline{T}_3 , T_4 with $\overline{T}_3 = T_4 T_3^{-1} T_4^{-1}$. The Gabber–Galil expander [30] is an analogous sequence $(L_n)_n$ of 5-regular bipartite graphs, defined by the transformations 1_G , T_3 , \overline{T}_3 , T_1T_3 , T_2T_3 . The next result is immediate from the definitions of these graphs and the fact that each of the sets $\{T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\}$, $\{T_1, T_2, \overline{T}_3, T_4\}$, and $\{T_3, \overline{T}_3, T_1T_3, T_2T_3\}$ generates the entire semidirect product $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Lemma 6.10. The Margulis expanders $(M_n)_n$ and $(\overline{M}_n)_n$ and the Gabber–Galil expander $(L_n)_n$ are uniformly quasi-isometric.

By Remark 5.12, this says in particular that the expansion of the original Margulis expander implies the expansion of the Gabber–Galil expander, and vice versa.

After choosing an arbitrary perfect matching P_n in each graph M_n and identifying V_n with V'_n along this perfect matching, we obtain a sequence $(M_n^{\bullet})_n$ of 10-regular graphs $M_n^{\bullet} = M_n^{\bullet}(P_n)$ that is also an expander, as the boundaries of subsets in M_n and in M_n^{\bullet} are comparable: For a subset $A \subseteq V_n$ of the vertex set of M_n^{\bullet} with $1 \leq |A| \leq |V_n|/2$, we have $|\partial A| \geq \varepsilon |A|$ whenever $|\partial X_A| \geq (1 + \varepsilon)|X_A|$, where $X_A \subseteq V_n$ is a copy of A in the vertex set of M_n . (If we removed the loops coming from 1_G from the graphs $(M_n^{\bullet})_n$, or equivalently, if we collapsed the edges of the perfect matching chosen above, we would obtain a sequence of 8-regular graphs.) Alternatively, the Schreier graph for the action $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ defined by (6.2), with respect to the generators 1_G , T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 , yields yet another sequence $(M_n^\circ)_n$ of 10-regular graphs that is an expander by Margulis's proof of relative property (T) for the pair ($SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^2$) [56, Lemma 3.7].

If I_n is the "identity" matching in M_n , i.e., a matching between each vertex $\binom{x}{y} \in V_n$ and its copy $\binom{x}{y} \in V'_n$, with a single edge in I_n for each such multi-edge in M_n , then $M_n^{\bullet}(I_n)$ coincides with M_n° . See Figure 3.

Lemma 6.11. The original Margulis expander $(M_n)_n$ is uniformly quasi-isometric to the expander $(M_n^\circ)_n$ and the expanders $(M_n^\bullet(P_n))_n$ for arbitrary P_n .

Proof. Since $M_n^{\bullet}(I_n)$ coincides with M_n° , it suffices to show that $(M_n)_n$ is uniformly quasi-isometric with $(M_n^{\bullet}(P_n))_n$ for an arbitrary sequence of perfect matchings $(P_n)_n$. This follows immediately from the following claim.

Claim. Let Γ be a graph, $F \subseteq E(\Gamma)$ a matching, and Γ' the quotient graph obtained by identifying vertices belonging to edges in *F*. Then the quotient map

$$q: (V(\Gamma), d_{\Gamma}) \to (V(\Gamma'), d_{\Gamma'}),$$

where d_{Γ} and $d_{\Gamma'}$ denote the edge-length distances, is a (2, 1/2)-quasi-isometry.

To prove the claim, first note that the quotient map q is surjective. Since it is a graph homomorphism, we have $d_{\Gamma'}(q(v), q(w)) \leq d_{\Gamma}(v, w)$ for every $v, w \in V(\Gamma)$.

To show the opposite estimate, let $v, w \in V(\Gamma)$ and let $q(v) = x'_0, \ldots, x'_n = q(w)$ be a path in Γ' realising the distance between q(v) and q(w), i.e.,

$$d_{\Gamma'}(q(v), q(w)) = n.$$

Since q induces a surjective map between sets of edges, for every i < n, there are $x_i^2 \in q^{-1}(x_i')$ and $x_{i+1}^1 \in q^{-1}(x_{i+1}')$ such that x_i^2 and x_{i+1}^1 form an edge in Γ . Denote $x_0^1 := v, x_n^2 := w$, and $p_0 := (x_0^1, x_0^2, x_1^1, x_1^2, x_2^1, \dots, x_n^1, x_n^2)$. By definition, $x_i^1, x_i^2 \in q^{-1}(x_i')$ for every $i \le n$, so x_i^1 equals x_i^2 or they form an edge belonging to $F \subseteq E(\Gamma)$. Consider the sequence p obtained from p_0 by omitting all vertices x_i^2 such that $x_i^1 = x_i^2$. Then p is a path in Γ . Since its length as a sequence is at most 2n + 2, we get

$$d_{\Gamma}(v, w) \leq 2n + 1 = 2d_{\Gamma'}(q(v), q(w)) + 1.$$

This finishes the proof of the claim, and hence of Lemma 6.11.

The left multiplication action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{R}^2 descends naturally to an action by Lipschitz homeomorphisms on the continuous 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$; cf. (4.1). Let us consider the warped cone $\mathcal{O}_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$ over this action. The next result, together with the two preceding lemmata, shows that each of the expanders $(M_n)_n$, $(\overline{M}_n)_n$, $(L_n)_n$, $(M_n^{\bullet}(P_n))_n$, and $(M_n^{\circ})_n$ captures the dynamics of this action.

Proposition 6.12. The expander $(M_n^{\circ})_n$ is uniformly quasi-isometric to the warped cone $\mathcal{O}_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$.

Proof. The *n*th graph M_n° can be compared with the *n*th level $\{n\} \times \mathbb{T}^2$ via the obvious inclusion

$$(x, y) \mapsto (n, \exp(2\pi i x/n), \exp(2\pi i y/n)).$$

Then the result follows, for example, from [67, Corollary 2.3].

A variant of the above was also observed by other authors; see [80, Example 69], for instance.

Thus, even the oldest classical explicit constructions of expanders by Margulis and by Gabber–Galil are special cases of applying the warped cone construction. On a technical level, Margulis [56] studies the action $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}^2$ and proves relative property (T), while to conclude that the warped cone $\mathcal{O}_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$ is uniformly quasi-isometric to an expander one needs that the action $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2$ has spectral gap, which is indeed the approach of Gabber–Galil [30].

Inspired by this coarse geometric perspective, we introduce another new class of expanders.

Definition 6.13 (Margulis-type expanders). An expander is called a *Margulis-type* expander if it is uniformly quasi-isometric to the warped cone $\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$ over a measurepreserving action with a free orbit by Lipschitz homeomorphisms of a group G on a closed surface Σ of genus $g \ge 0$.

In fact, the actions we consider in what follows are, moreover, essentially free.

In genus g = 0, examples of actions with spectral gap defining a Margulis-type expander are given by the action of the group $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 , where *a* and *b* are two independent rotations represented by matrices in SO(3) with algebraic entries [9, 24, 31].

A Margulis-type expander in genus g = 1 is called a *classical Margulis-type* expander. This class encompasses both the original Margulis expander [56] and the Gabber–Galil expander [30]. Moreover, this is potentially a huge class of expanders, since the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of the torus is an extremely rich object, studied intensively at least since the 1970s; see [4, Chapter 5].

For genus g > 1, we use the terminology *higher-genus Margulis-type expander*.

Definition 6.14 (Origami expander). An *origami expander* is an expander that is uniformly quasi-isometric to the warped cone $\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$ over an affine action with a free orbit of a group *G* on an origami surface Σ .

Margulis-type expanders and origami expanders are both \mathcal{O} -graphs associated with warped cones over actions on surfaces. Definition 6.13 requires the group to act by Lipschitz and measure-preserving homeomorphisms and Definition 6.14 additionally requires the homeomorphisms to respect the affine structure of an origami surface. Hence, all origami expanders are Margulis-type expanders, and in particular the origami expanders from Corollary D are of Margulis type.

7. Margulis-type expanders do not embed coarsely into Selberg-type expanders

In this section, we show that no Margulis-type expander is coarsely equivalent to any Selberg-type expander. In fact, the former do not even admit coarse embeddings into the latter. We prove the following result, which immediately implies Theorem E.

Theorem 7.1. There does not exist a coarse embedding from any Margulis-type expander into any Selberg-type expander.

That the above statement holds for the original Margulis expander, one can argue as follows: From [70, Example 3.4], it follows that the original Margulis expander [56] (see Definition 6.9) does not admit a fibred coarse embedding into a Hilbert space. We refer to [20] for the definition of fibred coarse embedding. In contrast, the Selberg expander $(Cay(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}), S_n))_n$ admits such an embedding by [19, Theorem 2.3] and Lemma 6.6 above, as it can be turned into a box space in the sense of Definition 6.2 of a group with the Haagerup property. In the same way, since countable subgroups of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy the Haagerup property [35], all Selbergtype expanders admit a fibred coarse embedding into a Hilbert space. Therefore, the original Margulis expander does not admit a coarse embedding into any Selberg-type expander.

To prove Theorem 7.1 for arbitrary Margulis-type expanders, we apply a different strategy. We show that Margulis-type expanders have piecewise asymptotic dimension at least 2 (see Definition 7.2), while the Selberg expander $(Cay(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}), S_n))_n$, and similarly, all expanders from items (1), (2), and (3) in Section 6.2 have piecewise asymptotic dimension 1 [68, Lemmata 6.5 and 6.6]. This is not true for some other Selberg-type expanders, in particular those obtained from the construction in item (4) of Section 6.2 applied to surface groups of genus larger than 1 embedded into $SL_2(\mathbb{Q})$, as produced by Takeuchi [75]. To deal with examples of this kind, we observed in Lemma 6.6 that such expanders locally resemble their mother group, i.e., the surface group. Since every Margulis-type expander coarsely contains arbitrarily large Euclidean 2-balls, Theorem 7.1 follows from the fact that the Euclidean plane

does not coarsely embed into the hyperbolic plane (see Theorem 7.6), even though both have asymptotic dimension 2.

We now recall the notion of piecewise asymptotic dimension, which is an instance of piecewise versions of metric properties introduced in [68] in the case of asymptotic dimension; see, e.g., [59, 63] for the definition of asymptotic dimension. A condition related to Definition 7.2 for m = 1 is implicit in [83].

Definition 7.2 (Piecewise asymptotic dimension). A sequence $(X_t)_t$ of metric spaces has *piecewise asymptotic dimension at most* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ if for every $R \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $F(R) < \infty$ such that the family $\bigcup_R X_{F(R)+}^R$ of metric spaces has asymptotic dimension at most m, where for S, F > 0, we denote

$$X_{F+}^{S} := \{A : A \subseteq X_t, t > F, \text{ and } \operatorname{diam} A \leq S\}.$$

We say that the *piecewise asymptotic dimension of* $(X_t)_t$ *is infinite* if no such *m* exists, and otherwise the smallest such integer *m* is called the *piecewise asymptotic dimension of* $(X_t)_t$.

Proposition 7.3. Let $\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$ be the warped cone over an action by Lipschitz homeomorphisms of a group G on a Riemannian surface Σ with finitely many singularities, and assume that Σ contains a free orbit disjoint from the singular set. Then there exist two non-decreasing functions $\eta, \rho: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{r\to\infty} \eta(r) = \infty$ such that for every $R < \infty$ and for t sufficiently large (depending on R), there is a (η, ρ) -coarse embedding of the ball $B(0, R) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ into $\{t\} \times \Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$.

Proof. Let $x \in \Sigma$ be a point in the free orbit, and let *r* be the injectivity radius at *x*. Fix 0 < r' < r. Then there is L > 1 such that the exponential map

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \supseteq B(0, r') \to B(x, r') \subseteq \Sigma$$

and its inverse are Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant L.

Let $R < \infty$. Let $U \subseteq B(x, r')$ be a compact neighbourhood of x such that

$$U \cap gU = \emptyset$$

for every $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ with $|g| \leq 2R$. The existence of such a neighbourhood follows from the Hausdorff property. Indeed, for every $g \neq 1$ with $|g| \leq 2R$, there are disjoint neighbourhoods V_g of x and W_g of gx, so $\tilde{V}_g := V_g \cap g^{-1}W_g$ satisfies

$$\widetilde{V}_g \cap g \widetilde{V}_g = \emptyset.$$

By intersecting the neighbourhoods \tilde{V}_g over g, we obtain a neighbourhood with the desired property, and we can further shrink it to guarantee that it is compact and contained in B(x, r').

Denote $c := \min_{0 \le |g| \le 2R} d(U, gU) > 0$. Let t > 0 be sufficiently large such that

- the set U contains the ball B(x, R/t), and
- ct > 2R.

Let $U_t = \{t\} \times B(x, R/t)$ and consider the function $D_G: U_t \times U_t \to [0, \infty)$ given by

$$D_G(y, y') = \inf_{g \in G} (|g| + d(gy, y')),$$
(7.1)

where d denotes the metric on Σ scaled by t. We claim that D_G equals the metric d. Indeed, first note that by considering $g = 1_G$ in (7.1), we obtain

$$D_G(y, y') \leq |1_G| + d(1_G y, y') = d(y, y') \leq 2R.$$

Hence, $g \in G$ with |g| > 2R can be neglected in (7.1). For the opposite inequality, note that if $|g| \leq 2R$, then

$$|g| + d(gy, y') \ge |g| + ct > 2R > d(y, y')$$

unless $g = 1_G$.

It follows from [67, Proposition 2.1] that, if one extends D_G to the metric on $\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$ given by the same formula (7.1), then the identity map $(\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma, d_G) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma, D_G)$ is a coarse equivalence with control functions depending only on the Lipschitz constants of the generators of G. Since $(U_t, D_G) = (U_t, d)$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the R-ball in \mathbb{R}^2 (again with the constants independent of R and F), this finishes the proof.

For an isometric action $G \curvearrowright \Sigma$, a more refined version of Proposition 7.3 was established in [47, Lemma 18]. For a free action, see [70, Proposition 3.10].

Corollary 7.4. Let G and Σ be as in Proposition 7.3. Then, every unbounded family of levels of $\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$ has piecewise asymptotic dimension ≥ 2 . In particular, it does not admit a coarse embedding into any box space of a virtually free group.

Proof. It is clear that k = 2 is the smallest value of k such that the family of disks $\{B(0, r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 has asymptotic dimension at most k uniformly. Indeed, the upper bound is obvious and the lower bound follows from a limit argument; see, e.g., [68, Proposition 6.8].

It follows from Proposition 7.3 that for any choice of $F(R) < \infty$, the family

$$\bigcup_{R} X_{F(R)+}^{R}$$

from Definition 7.2 for $X = \mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$ contains the family of disks $\{B(0,r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ coarsely, and hence the piecewise asymptotic dimension of $\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$ is at least the asymptotic dimension of $\{B(0,r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$, namely 2.

On the other hand, every infinite virtually free group H has asymptotic dimension 1. Hence, by [68, Theorem 6.9], every box space of H has piecewise asymptotic dimension 1. As piecewise asymptotic dimension is non-decreasing under coarse embeddings [68, Lemma 6.3], the result follows.

Corollary 7.5. Let $(\Lambda_n)_n$ be an arbitrary sequence of graphs with girth $\Lambda_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Let G and Σ be as in Proposition 7.3. Then there does not exist a coarse embedding of any unbounded family of levels of $\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$ into $(\Lambda_n)_n$. In particular, an \mathcal{O} -graph associated with $\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$ is never a large-girth expander.

Proof. By [83, Lemma 3.2], every sequence of a large-girth graph has piecewise asymptotic dimension 1, since balls of a fixed radius are eventually trees. The conclusion then follows by Corollary 7.4.

Corollary 7.4 proves Theorem 7.1 for those Selberg-type expanders that come from virtually free discrete subgroups of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. As mentioned before, using the following theorem, we can prove the same result for all Selberg-type expanders.

Theorem 7.6. The Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 does not admit a coarse embedding into the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 .

The key step in proving the theorem lies in showing that a putative coarse embedding $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2$ would be coarsely surjective; this is sufficient, since for geodesic spaces coarsely surjective coarse embeddings are quasi-isometries. To prove coarse surjectivity one can adapt the proof of [27, Lemma 8.2] (where the underlying idea is credited to Mess) or the proof of [43, Theorem 3.8]. Theorem 7.6 is also implied by [25, Theorem 9.69 and Corollary 9.70] and [49, Corollary 4.49].

Corollary 7.7. Let G and Σ be as in Proposition 7.3, and $\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$ be the corresponding warped cone. An unbounded family of levels of $\mathcal{O}_G \Sigma$ does not admit a coarse embedding into any box space of a finitely generated discrete subgroup of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Assume that a sequence of levels $(\{t_i\} \times \Sigma)_i$ admits a coarse embedding into a box space $\bigsqcup_i H/H_i$ for some finitely generated group H. By Proposition 7.3, we have the following objects: a sequence $R_i \ge 0$ with $\lim_{i\to\infty} R_i = \infty$; functions η and ρ as in Definition 5.2; (η, ρ) -coarse embeddings $\iota_i^j : B(0, R_i) \to \{t_j\} \times \Sigma$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \ge i$, where $B(0, R_i)$ denotes the disk of radius R_i .

Now, we can use the following standard argument from [44, Proposition 16] to obtain a coarse embedding $\mathbb{R}^2 \to H$: Since the sequence of maps $H \to H/H_i$ is

asymptotically faithful (Lemma 6.6), for every i we can pick j sufficiently large for the image of the composition

$$B(0, R_i) \xrightarrow{\iota_i^j} \{t_j\} \times \Sigma \to H/H_j$$

to be contained in a ball of a sufficiently small radius r such that $H \to H/H_j$ is isometric at the scale r. We then compose the map further with the inverse of a restriction of the quotient map $H \to H/H_j$. This yields a coarse embedding $\iota_{R_i}: B(0, R_i) \to H$, and may easily arrange to have $\iota_{R_i}(0) = 1_H$. By taking a limit along an ultrafilter, we get a coarse embedding $\iota: \mathbb{R}^2 \to H$.

Now, suppose that H is a discrete subgroup of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, H admits a coarse embedding into \mathbb{H}^2 obtained by choosing an arbitrary point b in \mathbb{H}^2 and mapping $h \mapsto h.b$, where the action is inherited from the isometric action of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{H}^2 . Consequently, there can be no coarse embedding of a sequence of levels $(\{t_i\} \times \Sigma)_i$ into $(H/H_i)_i$ as it would yield a coarse embedding $\mathbb{R}^2 \to H \to \mathbb{H}^2$, contradicting Theorem 7.6.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. By definition, every Selberg-type expander can be turned into a box space of a finitely generated discrete subgroup of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, the claim follows from Corollary 7.7 because the actions that we consider satisfy its assumptions by Remark 4.8.

8. Staircase expanders are not coarsely equivalent to box spaces

In Section 7, we have shown that Margulis-type expanders do not admit a coarse embedding into Selberg-type expanders. In particular, a Margulis-type expander cannot be coarsely equivalent (equivalently, quasi-isometric) to a Selberg-type expander. In this section, we strengthen this latter statement from Selberg-type expanders to arbitrary box spaces in the case of staircases. We do so by proving that the *discrete fun-damental groups* of levels of the associated warped cones are trivial. For box spaces (also according to our more general Definition 6.2), discrete fundamental groups are infinite [23], which excludes the existence of a quasi-isometry between our warped cones and any box space of any infinite group.

Furthermore, a rich source of actions with spectral gap are translation actions of subgroups of compact Lie groups on the ambient compact Lie group. The spectral gap may simply be a consequence of Property (T) of the subgroup, but it can also occur rather generically for free subgroups [6,9,11]. For subgroup actions, the discrete fundamental group of a level of the warped cone is infinite, as it contains the acting group or a group that surjects onto it [28, 80]. Hence, our warped cones are also not coarsely equivalent to any warped cone over an action from this large class.

The only examples of expanders with trivial discrete fundamental groups that were known before come from spectral-gap actions by rotations on even-dimensional spheres [80]. A novel feature of our examples is that the triviality of the discrete fundamental group occurs for warped cones over actions on manifolds whose fundamental group is infinite and even non-amenable.

We now recall the definition of the discrete fundamental group from [5]; see also [23]. For r > 0, a sequence (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) of points in a metric space (X, d) is called an r-path if $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq r$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. Fix a basepoint $x_* \in X$. An r-path (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) with $x_* = x_0 = x_n$ is called an r-loop based at x_* . We identify an r-loop (x_0, \ldots, x_n) based at x_* with its trivial extensions (x_*, x_0, \ldots, x_n) and (x_0, \ldots, x_n, x_*) . Furthermore, we identify two r-loops (x_0, \ldots, x_n) and (y_0, \ldots, y_n) (of the same length) based at x_* if they are r-close, namely $d(x_i, y_i) \leq r$ for all i = $1, \ldots, n - 1$. The resulting equivalence relation on r-loops based at x_* is called rhomotopy. Two r-loops $x = (x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_0, \ldots, y_m)$ based at x_* can be concatenated, yielding the r-loop $(x_0, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m)$.

Definition 8.1 (Discrete fundamental group). The set of equivalence classes of *r*-loops based at x_* under *r*-homotopy becomes a group under concatenation, which is called the *discrete fundamental group* of *X* at scale *r* based at x_* and denoted by $\pi_{1,r}(X, x_*)$.

A metric space X is called 1-*geodesic* if for every $x, y \in X$, there is a 1-path (x_0, \ldots, x_n) with $x_0 = x$ and $x_n = y$ such that

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}).$$

The usual metric on a connected graph is obviously 1-geodesic, and similarly, the warped metric on a level of a warped cone over a geodesic space is 1-geodesic by [64, Proposition 1.6]. For r > 1, the map

$$\pi_{1,1}(X, x_*) \to \pi_{1,r}(X, x_*)$$

induced by the identity map on X is surjective whenever X is 1-geodesic, and hence, $\pi_{1,r}(X, x_*) \rightarrow \pi_{1,r'}(X, x_*)$ is surjective whenever $1 \leq r < r'$. Thus, increasing the scale r amounts to adding new relations rather than new generators to the discrete fundamental group. A similar surjectivity result holds for maps of discrete fundamental groups induced by quasi-isometries.

Lemma 8.2 ([80, Lemma 21.(ii)]). Let X, Y be 1-geodesic spaces and $x_* \in X$. If $f: X \to Y$ is a quasi-isometry, then there exist constants $L, A \ge 0$ such that the induced map $f_*: \pi_{1,r}(X, x_*) \to \pi_{1,r'}(Y, f(x_*))$ is surjective whenever $r \ge L + A$ and $r' \ge Lr + A$.

Given r > 0 and a continuous loop $\omega: \mathbb{S}^1 \to X$ based at $x_* \in X$, uniform continuity provides $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 1]$ with $|\theta - \theta'| \leq \frac{1}{n}$, we have

$$d(\omega(\theta), \omega(\theta')) \leq r.$$

Thus, the sequence $(\omega(\frac{i}{n}))_{i=0}^n$ is an *r*-loop based at x_* . It is straightforward to verify that the map $\omega \mapsto (\omega(\frac{i}{n}))_{i=0}^n$ induces a homomorphism from the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x_*)$ to $\pi_{1,r}(X, x_*)$; cf. [5].

Lemma 8.3. Let $G \curvearrowright Y$ be an action of a finitely generated group G by homeomorphisms on a geodesic compact metric space (Y, d), and let $r \ge 1$. If the action has a global fixed point y, then the homomorphism $p: \pi_1(X, x_*) \to \pi_{1,r}(X, x_*)$ is surjective, where $X = (\{1\} \times Y, d_G)$ (corresponding to t = 1 in Definition 5.9).

Lemma 8.3 can be deduced from [80, Theorem 42], but we provide a direct proof which does not require the entire framework of [80].

Proof of Lemma 8.3. Consider an *r*-loop $\omega = (x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ based at x_* . By [64, Proposition 1.6], for every $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, there is a sequence $(x_i^j)_{j=0}^{n_i}$ with $x_i^0 = x_i$ and $x_i^{n_i} = x_{i+1}$ such that

$$d_G(x_i, x_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_i - 1} d_G(x_i^j, x_i^{j+1}),$$
(8.1)

and furthermore, for every j, the distance $d_G(x_i^j, x_i^{j+1})$ equals $d(x_i^j, x_i^{j+1})$ or there is $g_i^j \in G$ such that

$$d_G(x_i^j, x_i^{j+1}) = |g_i^j|$$
 and $x_i^{j+1} = g_i^j x_i^j$.

By induction, the *r*-loop ω is *r*-homotopic to the sequence consisting of the same points x_i , but where each x_i is repeated n_i times. The supremum distance between the latter sequence and the sequence

$$\omega' = \left(x_0^0, \dots, x_0^{n_0-1}, x_1^0, \dots, x_1^{n_1-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}^0, \dots, x_{n-1}^{n_{n-1}-1}, x_n\right)$$

is bounded by r by (8.1), and hence ω and ω' are r-homotopic. We re-index ω' as (y_0, \ldots, y_m) . By construction, for $k = 0, \ldots, m - 1$, we have that $d_G(y_k, y_{k+1})$ equals $d(y_k, y_{k+1})$ or there is $g_k \in G$ such that

$$d_G(y_k, y_{k+1}) = |g_k|$$
 and $y_{k+1} = g_k y_k$

If $d_G(y_k, y_{k+1}) = d(y_k, y_{k+1})$ for all k = 0, ..., m-1, the statement of the lemma follows, since then ω' is an *r*-loop with respect to the metric *d*.

Otherwise, fix k such that $d_G(y_k, y_{k+1}) = |g_k|$ and $y_{k+1} = g_k y_k$. Since (Y, d) is geodesic, there is an r-path $(y_k^0, \ldots, y_k^{m_k})$ (with respect to the metric d) with $y_k^0 = y_k$ and $y_k^{m_k} = y$ (where y is the global fixed point), and we can further require that $(g_k y_k^0, \ldots, g_k y_k^{m_k})$ is also an r-path with respect to d. Note that the sequence consisting of y_k repeated m_k times followed by y_{k+1} repeated m_k times is within the supremum distance r from the sequence consisting of $y_k = y_k^0$, followed by y_k^1 repeated $m_k - 1$ times, followed by $g_k y_k^1$ repeated $m_k - 1$ times, and followed by $y_{k+1} = g_k y_k^0$. Inductively, we can reach the r-path

$$(y_k^0,\ldots,y_k^{m_k},g_ky_k^{m_k},\ldots,g_ky_k^0).$$

This path has the property that every two consecutive entries are within distance r with respect to d; this uses the fact that $y_k^{m_k} = g_k y_k^{m_k}$.

By considering all k as above, we see that ω' is r-homotopic to a sequence $\omega'' = (z_l)_{l=0}^N$ that is an r-loop with respect to the metric d. Since Y is geodesic, there is a 1-Lipschitz map $\alpha: [0, Nr] \to Y$ such that $\alpha(lr) = z_l$ for l = 0, ..., N. Hence, the loop $\beta: \mathbb{S}^1 \to X$ given by $\beta(\theta) = \alpha(\theta Nr)$ has the property that $p([\beta]) = [\omega''] = [\omega]$, which finishes the proof.

Remark 8.4. It is clear from the above proof that instead of a global fixed point, it suffices to assume that every element of G of length at most r has a fixed point, and by replacing it with a sequence of generators, one sees that it suffices that every generator has a fixed point. We formulated Lemma 8.3 in the more restrictive setting because all our actions have a global fixed point.

Let $v \in \rho^{-1}((0,0))$ for $\rho: Z_g \to \mathbb{T}^2$. This point is actually unique.

Theorem 8.5. Let Z_g be an arbitrary staircase; see Definition 4.9. For every $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \ge 1$, the discrete fundamental group $\pi_{1,r}((\{t\} \times Z_g, d_{\mathbb{F}_2}), v)$ is trivial. In particular:

- (i) an \mathcal{O} -graph associated with the warped cone $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2} Z_g$ is coarsely non-equivalent to any box space of a finitely generated group;
- (ii) such an \mathcal{O} -graph is not coarsely equivalent to any \mathcal{O} -graph associated with any warped cone $\mathcal{O}_G M$ over a free action of an infinite group G on a manifold M.

This result immediately implies Theorem F.

Theorem 8.5 relies on two rather opposite properties of the action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright Z_g$: the existence of fixed points and non-triviality at the homotopy level. More precisely, in Lemma 8.3 we used the fact that the action has a sufficient amount of fixed points (cf. Remark 8.4) to show that the discrete fundamental group is an image of the fundamental group $\pi_1(Z_g, v)$. On the other hand, in the proof of Theorem 8.5 we use the
fact that the induced action of \mathbb{F}_2 on $\pi_1(Z_g, v)$ is sufficiently "mixing" for this image to be finite (actually trivial).

Proof of Theorem 8.5. It is straightforward to see that the staircase Z_g can be viewed as a CW-complex with a single 0-cell v, 1-cells $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{g-1}$, and a number of 2-cells; see Figure 4. We can identify the 1-cells with generators of $\pi_1(Z_g, v)$.

For notational convenience, let $a = a_2$ and $b = b_2$. Recall that $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$.

First, note that $b_*(\alpha_1) = \beta_1 * \beta_0 * \alpha_1$; see Figure 4. If we fix a loop ω representing α_1 , then the supremum distance between ω and $b \circ \omega$ is at most 1 (as the metric is warped), and hence

$$p(\alpha_1) = p([\omega]) = p([b \circ \omega]) = p(b_*(\alpha_1)) = p(\beta_1 * \beta_0 * \alpha_1).$$

Therefore,

$$p(\beta_1 * \beta_0) = 1$$
; that is, $p(\beta_1) = p(\beta_0)^{-1}$. (8.2)

Define $\zeta_1 = \beta_1 * \beta_0$, i.e., (8.2) shows that $p(\zeta_1) = 1$. For i = 2, ..., g - 1, we define

$$\zeta_i := \beta_i * \alpha_{i-1}^{-1} * \beta_{i-1} * \alpha_{i-2}^{-1} * \cdots$$
$$\cdots * \beta_2 * \alpha_1^{-1} * \beta_1 * \beta_0 * \alpha_1 * \beta_0^{-1} * \alpha_2 * \beta_1^{-1} * \cdots * \alpha_{i-1} * \beta_{i-2}^{-1}.$$

Observe that

$$\zeta_i = \beta_i * \alpha_{i-1}^{-1} * \zeta_{i-1} * \alpha_{i-1} * \beta_{i-2}^{-1}.$$
(8.3)

For i = 2, ..., g - 1, we have $b_*(\alpha_i) = \zeta_i * \alpha_i$ (see Figure 4), so $p(\alpha_i) = p(\zeta_i * \alpha_i)$, and hence, similarly to (8.2), we get $p(\zeta_i) = 1$. Thus, by the triviality of $p(\zeta_{i-1})$ and by (8.3), we obtain

$$p(\beta_i) = p(\beta_{i-2}).$$

Hence, we have proved that $p(\beta_i)$ equals either $p(\beta_1)$ or its inverse (depending on the parity) for each i = 0, ..., g - 1.

By symmetry (replacing the action of *b* with the action of *a* and β_i with α_{g-i}), we get that $p(\alpha_i)$ equals either $p(\alpha_{g-1})$ or its inverse, depending on the parity.

By a similar argument, the action of \mathbb{F}_2 on $\pi_1(Z_g, v)$ can be used to show that the generators $p(\alpha_{g-1})$ and $p(\beta_1)$ commute and are of order at most 2, and hence $\pi_{1,r}(\{t\} \times Z_g, v)$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. We omit the details as the following argument (using the action on the fundamental groupoid instead) proves already that the generators are trivial. Consider the path $\beta_{1/2}$: $[0, \frac{1}{2}] \to Z_g$ given by "the first half" of the edge β_0 . Obviously, $\beta_{1/2} * \beta_{1/2}^{-1}$: $[0, 1] \to Z_g$ is homotopically trivial. Since the midpoint of the edge β_0 is preserved by a, the function $\beta_{1/2} * (a \circ \beta_{1/2}^{-1})$ is still a continuous loop at v. Observe that $\beta_{1/2} * (a \circ \beta_{1/2}^{-1})$ belongs to the homotopy



Figure 4. Staircase of genus 4 with labelled edges.

class α_1^{-1} . As before, we note that the supremum distance between $\beta_{1/2} * \beta_{1/2}^{-1}$ and $\beta_{1/2} * (a \circ \beta_{1/2}^{-1})$ is at most 1, and hence

$$1 = p(\beta_{1/2} * \beta_{1/2}^{-1}) = p(\beta_{1/2} * (a \circ \beta_{1/2}^{-1})) = p(\alpha_1^{-1}),$$

so $p(\alpha_1^{-1})$, and hence $p(\alpha_{g-1})$, is trivial. By symmetry, $p(\beta_1)$ is trivial as well, and hence the whole group $\pi_{1,r}(\{t\} \times Z_g, d_{\mathbb{F}_2}), v)$ generated by $p(\alpha_{g-1})$ and $p(\beta_1)$ is trivial.

We now prove (i). It is known that whenever $r \ge 1$, the group H is an infinite finitely generated group, and $(\operatorname{Cay}(H/H_n, T_n))_n$ defines a box space of H, then $\pi_{1,r}(\operatorname{Cay}(H/H_n, T_n))$ is infinite for sufficiently large n. Indeed, [23, Lemma 3.4] gives a description of the discrete fundamental groups in the case of finitely presented groups, which was generalised to finitely generated groups in [80, Theorem 58]. Note that these results are formulated for a single Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(H/H_n, T_n)$ under the assumption that for a certain R = R(r) the intersection $B_H(1_H, R) \cap H_n$ is trivial, so they still apply with our general definition of a box space, Definition 6.2. Consequently, by Lemma 8.2, it is not possible for $(\operatorname{Cay}(H/H_n, T_n))_n$ and an \mathcal{O} -graph associated with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(Z_g)$ to be quasi-isometric with uniform constants. The same holds if one replaces "quasi-isometric with uniform constants" by "coarsely equivalent with uniform control functions", because both $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(Z_g)$ and $(\operatorname{Cay}(H/H_n, T_n))_n$ are quasi-geodesic.

We now prove (ii). It again follows from Lemma 8.2 because we claim that the discrete fundamental groups $\pi_{1,r}((\{t\} \times M, d_G), m_*)$ are infinite, where m_* is a basepoint in $\{t\} \times M$. Indeed, if the acting group G is finitely presented and the action $G \curvearrowright M$ is isometric, free, and minimal, then $\pi_{1,r}((\{t\} \times M, d_G), m_*)$ is a semi-direct

product $\pi_1(M) \rtimes G$ by [28, Section 3]. In particular, it is infinite. More generally, if the action is free, then [80, Theorem 7.1] asserts that $\pi_{1,r}((\{t\} \times M, d_G), m_*)$ is the quotient of a semi-direct product $\pi_1(M) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_S$ (where S is the generating set of G) by the normal closure of a certain set K_r satisfying

$$K_r \subseteq \{(\omega, g) \in \pi_1(M) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_S \mid |g| \leq 4r \text{ and } q(g) = 1_G\},\$$

where $q: F_S \to G$ is the quotient map. In particular, $K_r \subseteq \pi_1(M) \rtimes \ker q$, and hence

$$\pi_{1,r}((\lbrace t \rbrace \times M, d_G), m_*) \cong \pi_1(M) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_S / \langle \langle K_r \rangle \rangle$$

surjects onto $G \cong \pi_1(M) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_S/(\pi_1(M) \rtimes \ker q)$, where $\langle\!\langle K_r \rangle\!\rangle$ denotes the normal closure of K_r .

Remark 8.6. The main application of the theory developed in [80] is the proof that, in our terminology, the \mathcal{O} -graphs associated to certain actions are not coarsely equivalent to any box space. The first main class of such actions (see [80, Corollaries 5 and 43]) are actions by rotations on odd-dimensional spheres. The second main class (see [80, Theorem 10 and Corollary 67]) consists of free isometric actions $G \curvearrowright M$ on a Riemannian manifold with finite fundamental group, under certain assumptions on *G*; an explicit example going back to [57] is SO($d, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]) \curvearrowright$ SO(d, \mathbb{R}) with $d \ge 5$.

In both cases, the space acted upon has finite fundamental group and the action is isometric, and in the latter case, it is also free. One of the novelties of Theorem 8.5 is that we show an analogous statement for a class of actions such that neither the space acted upon has finite fundamental group nor the action is isometric or free. Interestingly, Theorem 8.5 shows not only coarse non-equivalence with box spaces, but also with warped cones over actions from the latter class from [80].

9. Coarse non-equivalence of origami expanders as bundles over the original Margulis expander

Convention 9.1. Since we often deal with sequences of spaces, we use the brief category-theoretic notation $f: X \to Y$ to denote a sequence $(f_n: X_n \to Y_n)_n$ of maps between sequences of spaces $X = (X_n)_n$ and $Y = (Y_n)_n$.

In this section, we study the problem of distinguishing our origami expanders from each other. Instead of distinguishing them per se, we show that the sequence of maps $P^{\rho}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$ induced by the branched covering $\rho: \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ is never a coarse equivalence. Furthermore, given another branched covering $\rho': \Sigma' \to \mathbb{T}^2$ of an origami surface, we define the corresponding sequences P^{ρ} and $P^{\rho'}$ to be *coarsely* equivalent if there are coarse equivalences

$$l: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \mathbb{T}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad u: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \Sigma'$$

such that the appropriate square commutes, namely the maps $l_t \circ P_t^{\rho}$ and $P_t^{\rho'} \circ u_t$ are close, uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that there are infinitely many maps P^{ρ} that are pairwise not coarsely equivalent.

Theorem 9.2. For any origami Σ equipped with the action from Theorem A, the sequence $P^{\rho}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$ is not a coarse equivalence.

Proof. We will identify the free group \mathbb{F}_2 acting on Σ , with the subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ generated by the matrices a_k and b_k . Since ρ is equivariant with respect to the action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \Sigma$ and the restriction of the action $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2$ to \mathbb{F}_2 , we get

$$\rho(\mathbb{F}_2 x) = \mathbb{F}_2 \rho(x) \tag{9.1}$$

for every $x \in \Sigma$.

Let $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, and let $y \in \mathbb{T}^2$ be generic. Since by Lemma 4.2 the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the orbit of y is free, the points y and γy lie in different \mathbb{F}_2 -orbits. It follows from (9.1) that for any $x \in \rho^{-1}(y)$ and $x' \in \rho^{-1}(\gamma y)$, the points x and x' lie in different orbits. By [67, Remark 3.1], we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} d_{\mathbb{F}_2}\big((t, x), (t, x')\big) = \infty.$$

However, we have

$$d_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\left(P_t^{\rho}(t,x), P_t^{\rho}(t,x')\right) = d_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\left((t,y), \gamma(t,y)\right) \leq |\gamma|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})},$$

so the distance of the images under P_t^{ρ} of the points (t, x) and (t, x') remains bounded as t goes to infinity, showing that $P^{\rho} = (P_t^{\rho})_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not a coarse equivalence.

For completeness, let us also note the following.

Proposition 9.3. For any origami Σ equipped with the action from Theorem A, the sequence $P^{\rho}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$ is bornologous.

Proof. Up to coarse equivalence (in fact, up to bi-Lipschitz equivalence), the warped cone over an action does not depend on the choice of the generating set of the acting group [64, Proposition 1.7]. Therefore, we assume that the matrices a_k and b_k belong to the generating set of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

By [67, Proposition 2.1], for every $R < \infty$ there exists $R' < \infty$ such that for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x, x' \in \{t\} \times \Sigma \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \Sigma$ with $d_{\mathbb{F}_2}(x, x') \leq R$ there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $|\gamma| + d(\gamma x, x') \leq R'$. We claim P^{ρ} is bornologous with a control function ρ given by $R \mapsto R'$.

Indeed, let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, x' \in \{t\} \times \Sigma$, and denote $R := d_{\mathbb{F}_2}(x, x')$. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$ be such that $|\gamma| + d(\gamma x, x') \leq R'$. We obtain

$$d_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})}(P_{t}^{\rho}(x), P_{t}^{\rho}(x')) \leq |\gamma|_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})} + d(\gamma P_{t}^{\rho}(x), P_{t}^{\rho}(x'))$$
$$\leq |\gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} + d(P^{\rho}(\gamma x), P^{\rho}(x'))$$
$$\leq |\gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} + d(\gamma x, x') \leq R'.$$

Definition 9.4 (Coarsely *n*-to-1 maps (see [58])). A bornologous sequence of maps $f_t: X_t \to Y_t$ is called *coarsely n-to-1* for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if for every $R < \infty$ there exists $S < \infty$ such that for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B \subseteq Y_t$ of diameter at most R its inverse image can be decomposed as $f_t^{-1}(B) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ with $A_i \subseteq X$ of diameter at most S.

It is not hard to see that we may replace the sequence $(X_t)_t$ by a coarsely equivalent one, and the composition of the equivalences with the functions f_t will still be coarsely *n*-to-1. Similarly, we may replace the sequence $(Y_t)_t$ by a coarsely equivalent one.

We record the following easy observation.

Lemma 9.5 ([58, Proposition 3.3]). If f and g are coarsely n-to-1 and coarsely m-to-1 respectively, then the composition $g \circ f$ (if it makes sense) is coarsely nm-to-1.

In [58], Definition 9.4 and Lemma 9.5 are formulated for a single map $f: X \to Y$, but Lemma 9.5 holds mutatis mutandis in the case of sequences as in our Definition 9.4.

Let us prove opposite estimates.

Lemma 9.6. If $f: X \to Y$ is not coarsely n-to-1 for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then for any bornologous $g: Y \to Z$ the composition $g \circ f$ is not coarsely n-to-1.

Proof. By the assumption, there exists $R < \infty$ such that for every $S < \infty$ there exists $t_S \in \mathbb{N}$ and a set $B_S \subseteq Y_{t_S}$ of diameter at most R such that $f_{t_S}^{-1}(B_S)$ cannot be covered by n subsets of X_{t_S} of diameter at most S.

Since g is bornologous, there exists $R' < \infty$ such that for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$ the image under g_t of any set $B \subseteq Y_t$ of diameter at most R has diameter at most R'. Then, for R' there is no S' such that for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$ the inverse image via $g_t \circ f_t$ of every subset $C \subseteq Z_t$ of diameter at most R' can be covered by n subsets of X_t of diameter at most S'. Indeed, for every $S' < \infty$ if we take $C = g_{t_{S'}}(B_{S'})$, then the inverse image $(g_{t_{S'}} \circ f_{t_{S'}})^{-1}(C)$ contains $f_{t_{S'}}^{-1}(B_{S'})$, and hence cannot be covered by n subsets of X of diameter at most S'.

Lemma 9.7. Let $e: W \to X$ be bornologous and surjective, and let $f: X \to Y$ be bornologous. If the composition $f \circ e$ is coarsely n-to-1 for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then so is f.

The surjectivity assumption in Lemma 9.7 can be relaxed to coarse surjectivity, but we will not need it.

Proof of Lemma 9.7. Since e is bornologous, there is a non-decreasing function ρ such that diam $e_t(U) \leq \rho(\operatorname{diam} U)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U \subseteq W_t$ of finite diameter. By the assumption, for every $R < \infty$ there is $S < \infty$ such that for every $B \subseteq Y_t$ of diameter at most R the inverse image $(f_t \circ e_t)^{-1}(B)$ can be covered by n subsets A_1, \ldots, A_n of W_t of diameter at most S. Then, $f_t^{-1}(B) = e_t((f_t \circ e_t)^{-1}(B))$ can be covered by the sets $e_t(A_1), \ldots, e_t(A_n)$, each of which has diameter at most $\rho(S)$.

Let us provisionally call the smallest integer n such that a given sequence f of maps is coarsely n-to-1 the *coarse degree* of f (we say that the coarse degree is infinite if no such n exists). Lemma 9.6 shows that the coarse degree is non-decreasing under post-composition with bornologous maps. Similarly, Lemma 9.7 shows that the coarse degree is non-decreasing under pre-composition with bornologous surjective maps.

Lemma 9.8. Let $H \leq G$ be finitely generated groups and $G \curvearrowright Y$ be an action by Lipschitz homeomorphisms on a compact metric space Y containing a free orbit. Then, the coarse degree of the sequence $P^{id_Y} : \mathcal{O}_H Y \to \mathcal{O}_G Y$ equals the index [G : H], where every function forming the sequence P^{id_Y} is the identity map of the underlying set.

Proof. If we assume, as we may, that the generating set of H is contained in the generating set of G, then clearly the warped metrics satisfy $d_G \leq d_H$, hence P^{id_Y} is bornologous.

Let us denote $n := [G : H] \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Since subgroup inclusion is always a coarse embedding, there exists a non-decreasing function *c* such that $|\gamma|_H \leq c(|\gamma|_G)$ for all $\gamma \in H$. Pick representatives $(\gamma_i)_{i=1}^n \in G^n$ of the right cosets $H \setminus G$.

First, we will show that the coarse degree of P^{id_Y} is at most *n*. For $n = \infty$ there is nothing to prove, so assume $n < \infty$ and let *L* be the maximal length of γ_i . Let $R < \infty$. By [67, Proposition 2.1], there exists $R' < \infty$ such that for every $x, x' \in \{t\} \times Y \in \mathcal{O}_G Y$ with $d_G(x, x') \leq R$ there exists $\gamma \in G$ such that

$$|\gamma|_G + d(\gamma x, x') \leq R'.$$

Now, let $1 \le i \le n$ be such that γ_i belongs to the same coset as γ . Then $\gamma \gamma_i^{-1}$ has length at most R' + L with respect to the generating set of *G*, and hence at most R'' := c(R' + L) with respect to the generating set of *H*. We put $S_0 = R' + R''$.

Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B \subseteq \{t\} \times Y \in \mathcal{O}_G Y$ have diameter at most R, and pick $x \in B$. By the above, for every $x' \in B$, there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\gamma \in G$ such that $\gamma \gamma_i^{-1} \in H$,

$$|\gamma\gamma_i^{-1}|_H \leq R''$$
, and $d(\gamma\gamma_i^{-1}x_i, x') = d(\gamma x, x') \leq R'$ for $x_i = \gamma_i x$. It follows that
 $d_H(x_i, x') \leq |\gamma\gamma_i^{-1}|_H + d(\gamma\gamma_i^{-1}x_i, x') \leq S_0.$

It follows that the set *B* is contained in the union of the balls around x_i of radius S_0 with respect to the metric d_H , so taking $S = 2S_0$ finishes the proof that P^{id_Y} is coarsely *n*-to-1.

It remains to show that the coarse degree of P^{id_Y} is at least *n*, that is, that P^{id_Y} is not coarsely *m*-to-1 for any m < n. This is similar to the proof of Theorem 9.2. Let L'be the maximal length of γ_i for $i \le m + 1$, and let $y \in Y$ be a point whose orbit is free. Note that for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $B_t = \{(t, \gamma_i y) : 1 \le i \le m + 1\} \subseteq \{t\} \times Y \in \mathcal{O}_G Y$ is contained in the ball of radius L' around (t, y) so it has diameter at most 2L'. On the other hand, since the action of *G* on the orbit of *y* is free and γ_i belong to distinct cosets of *H*, it follows that $d_H((t, \gamma_i y), (t, \gamma_j y))$ goes to infinity with *t* for $i \ne j$. Hence, for every $S < \infty$ there exists $t < \infty$ such that any non-singleton subset of B_t has diameter larger than *S*, and hence the only way to cover

$$(P_t^{\operatorname{id} Y})^{-1}(B_t) = B_t \subseteq \{t\} \times Y \in \mathcal{O}_H Y$$

with (non-empty) sets of diameter at most S is to cover it by m + 1 singletons.

Corollary 9.9. Let $k \ge 2$ and let Σ be the torus equipped with the action of \mathbb{F}_2 given by the matrices a_k and b_k . The coarse degree of $P^{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{T}^2}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$ equals $[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \langle a_k, b_k \rangle].$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, the action $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2$ has many free orbits, and hence the claim follows immediately from Lemma 9.8.

Denote the sequence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\mathbb{T}^2$ of maps induced by the branched covering $\rho: \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ by Q^{ρ} (so that $P^{\rho} = P^{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{T}^2}} \circ Q^{\rho}$). For a permutation σ of a finite set X, let $c(\sigma)$ denote the number of cycles in the cycle decomposition of σ (in other words, it is the number of orbits of the action of $\langle \sigma \rangle$ on X).

Lemma 9.10. Let Σ be an origami with origami datum (m, σ, τ) equipped with the action from Theorem A, and let $\rho: \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ denote the corresponding branched covering map. Then the coarse index ι of Q^{ρ} satisfies

$$\max(c(\sigma), c(\tau)) \leq \iota \leq m.$$

Proof. The proof that Q^{ρ} is bornologous is identical to the proof of Proposition 9.3, and thus we omit it.

We will first show that $\iota \leq m$. Let $R < \infty$. As before, there exists $R' < \infty$ such that for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $y, y' \in \{t\} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathbb{T}^2$ with $d_{\mathbb{F}_2}(y, y') \leq R$, there

exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $|\gamma| + d(\gamma y, y') \leq R'$. Then, since the maps Q_t^{ρ} are clearly equivariant, we have

$$\gamma(Q_t^{\rho})^{-1}(y) = (Q_t^{\rho})^{-1}(\gamma y).$$

Now, for every $x' \in (Q_t^{\rho})^{-1}(y')$ there exists $\tilde{x} \in (Q_t^{\rho})^{-1}(\gamma y)$ such that $d(\tilde{x}, x') = d(\gamma y, y')$ (\tilde{x} need not be unique). Denote $x := \gamma^{-1} \tilde{x} \in \gamma^{-1} (Q_t^{\rho})^{-1}(\gamma y) = (Q_t^{\rho})^{-1}(y)$. Summarising, for every $x' \in (Q_t^{\rho})^{-1}(y')$ there exists $x \in (Q_t^{\rho})^{-1}(y)$ such that

$$d_{\mathbb{F}_2}(x, x') \leq |\gamma| + d(\gamma x, x')$$
$$= |\gamma| + d(\gamma y, y') \leq R'.$$

It follows that for every $B \subseteq \{t\} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathbb{T}^2$ of diameter at most R, we can pick $y \in B$, and then $(Q_t^{\rho})^{-1}(B)$ is contained in the union of the balls of radius R' around the elements of the fibre $(Q_t^{\rho})^{-1}(y)$, whose cardinality is clearly at most m.

It remains to show that $\max(c(\sigma), c(\tau)) \leq \iota$, and by symmetry it suffices to show that $c(\tau) \leq \iota$. Enumerate the orbits of τ by the numbers $1, \ldots, c(\tau)$, and for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, c(\tau)\}$ pick a representative $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ of the *j* th orbit, and let $x_j :=$ $(1/k, 0) \in P_i$ be a point of the bottom edge of the *i*th square P_i . Note that every point x_j is fixed by $a_k \in \mathbb{F}_2$, and the element $b_k \in \mathbb{F}_2$ permutes the finite set

$$\{(1/k, 0) \in P_{\tau^n(i)} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\},\$$

which is in fact the orbit of x_j (if we replace 1/k by some multiple l/k for $l \in \mathbb{N}_+$, the corresponding set may be a union of many orbits, which would even improve our lower bound on ι). It follows that for $j \neq j'$, both from $\{1, \ldots, c(\tau)\}$, the points x_j and $x_{j'}$ belong to different orbits, and hence the distance $d_{\mathbb{F}_2}((t, x_j), (t, x_{j'}))$ goes to infinity with *t* despite the fact that they have the same image (t, (1/k, 0)) under Q_t^{ρ} . Now, as in the proof of Lemma 9.8, it follows that the coarse degree of Q_t^{ρ} is at least the cardinality of the set $\{x_j : j \in \{1, \ldots, c(\tau)\}\}$, namely $c(\tau)$.

Under certain assumptions, [68, Theorem 4.1] proves that when a coarse equivalence $\mathcal{O}_G X \to \mathcal{O}_H Y$ is induced by a surjective map $\rho: X \to Y$, then H is a quotient of G by a finite kernel and ρ is equivariant. Hence, maps of warped cones induced by equivariant maps of surfaces are promising candidates for coarse equivalences, even though [68, Theorem 4.1] does not directly apply to our actions on origami surfaces. However, the following result shows that the converse to [68, Theorem 4.1] does not hold, as in this case the acting groups coincide and ρ is equivariant.

The statement is analogous to Theorem 9.2.

Theorem 9.11. Let Σ be an origami with origami datum (m, σ, τ) equipped with the action from Theorem A, and let $\rho: \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ denote the corresponding branched covering map. Suppose that at least one of σ and τ is not the full cycle on $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then $Q^{\rho}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\mathbb{T}^2$ is not a coarse equivalence. *Proof.* The assumption that at least one of σ and τ is not the full cycle is equivalent to the inequality $\max(c(\sigma), c(\tau)) > 1$, so Lemma 9.10 shows that Q^{ρ} is not coarsely 1-to-1. However, every coarse embedding is coarsely 1-to-1 (in fact, also the converse holds).

Proposition 9.12. Let Σ be an origami with origami datum (m, σ, τ) equipped with the action from Theorem A, and let $\rho: \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ denote the corresponding branched covering map. Then the coarse index ι of P^{ρ} satisfies

$$\max(c(\sigma), c(\tau), [\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \langle a_k, b_k \rangle]) \leq \iota \leq m \cdot [\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \langle a_k, b_k \rangle].$$

Proof. Note that $P^{\rho} = P^{\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{T}^2}} \circ Q^{\rho}$. Hence, the inequality $\max(c(\sigma), c(\tau)) \leq \iota$ follows from the first inequality of Lemma 9.10 together with Lemma 9.6 (in the light of Proposition 9.3). The inequality $[\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \langle a_k, b_k \rangle] \leq \iota$ follows from Corollary 9.9 by Lemma 9.7.

The second inequality follows from the second inequality of Lemma 9.10 and from Corollary 9.9 by Lemma 9.5.

Remark 9.13. In particular, the coarse degree of P^{ρ} is finite if and only if k = 2 because otherwise $[SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \langle a_k, b_k \rangle] = \infty$.

Example 9.14. For $\Sigma = Z_g$ (see Definition 4.9), Proposition 9.12 gives

$$\max(g, 12) \leq \iota \leq 12(2g-1).$$

Suppose that f is bornologous and e, e' are coarse equivalences such that the composition $e' \circ f \circ e$ makes sense. Then, as remarked above, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the map f is coarsely n-to-1 if and only if $e' \circ f \circ e$ is coarsely n-to-1. It is also easy to verify that if $f, f': X \to Y$ are close, then f is coarsely n-to-1 if and only if f' is such. Hence, if two sequences P^{ρ} and $P^{\rho'}$ of maps are coarsely equivalent as defined at the beginning of Section 9, then their coarse degrees must coincide.

Theorem 9.15. There are infinitely many pairwise non-coarsely-equivalent sequences $P^{\rho}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$ induced by the branched coverings $\rho: \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}^2$ for different origamis Σ .

Proof. By the discussion above, the coarse degree of a sequence

$$P^{\rho}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$$

is preserved under coarse equivalence. The claim thus follows immediately from the fact that by Example 9.14 the set of the possible finite values of the coarse degree of sequences P^{ρ} is infinite. In fact, for $I = \{24^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and any distinct $g, g' \in I$, the coarse degrees of the corresponding sequences of maps $P^{\rho}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma_g \to \mathcal{O}_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$

and $P^{\rho'}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\Sigma_{g'} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{T}^2$ are distinct, so all such sequences are not coarsely equivalent.

Theorems 9.2 and 9.15 imply Theorem G.

Remark 9.16. Theorem 9.15 immediately implies an analogous statement for \mathcal{O} -graphs, namely: there are infinitely many pairwise non-coarsely-equivalent sequences of maps from \mathcal{O} -graphs to the original Margulis expander, where the \mathcal{O} -graphs are associated with affine actions on origamis.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Marc Burger, Łukasz Grabowski, and Tadeusz Januszkiewicz for valuable discussions and suggestions at an early stage of the project. They also thank Benson Farb, David Hume, and Alessandro Sisto for pointing out [27], [49], and [43], respectively. The project got momentum when G. A., D. K. and T. dL. participated in the workshop *Geometric Structures in Group Theory* at the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach (MFO) in 2020. The three authors thank the organisers for the invitation and the MFO for the excellent working conditions. The authors would also like to thank the referee for multiple valuable comments.

Funding. G. A. was partially supported by the ERC grant ANALYTIC no. 259527. D. K. was supported by the ERC grant FIBRING no. 850930. T. dL. was supported by the DFG – Project-ID 427320536 – SFB 1442, and under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2044 390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure. D. S. was supported by the FWO research project G090420N of the Research Foundation Flanders.

References

- M. Abért and G. Elek, Dynamical properties of profinite actions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam.* Systems 32 (2012), no. 6, 1805–1835 Zbl 1297.37004 MR 2995875
- [2] N. Alon and V. D. Milman, λ₁, isoperimetric inequalities for graphs, and superconcentrators. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 38 (1985), no. 1, 73–88 Zbl 0549.05051 MR 0782626
- [3] G. Arzhantseva and R. Tessera, Relative expanders. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 25 (2015), no. 2, 317–341 Zbl 1325.46022 MR 3334230
- [4] A. Banyaga, *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*. Math. Appl., Dordr. 400, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1997 Zbl 0874.58005 MR 1445290
- [5] H. Barcelo, V. Capraro, and J. A. White, Discrete homology theory for metric spaces. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 46 (2014), no. 5, 889–905 Zbl 1308.55004 MR 3262192
- [6] Y. Benoist and N. de Saxcé, A spectral gap theorem in simple Lie groups. *Invent. Math.* 205 (2016), no. 2, 337–361 Zbl 1357.22003 MR 3529116

- [7] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, New results on expanders. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 342 (2006), no. 10, 717–721 Zbl 1089.05031 MR 2227746
- [8] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, Expansion and random walks in $SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$. I. J. Eur. *Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **10** (2008), no. 4, 987–1011 Zbl 1193.20059 MR 2443926
- [9] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, On the spectral gap for finitely-generated subgroups of SU(2). Invent. Math. 171 (2008), no. 1, 83–121 Zbl 1135.22010 MR 2358056
- [10] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, Uniform expansion bounds for Cayley graphs of $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Ann. of Math. (2) **167** (2008), no. 2, 625–642 Zbl 1216.20042 MR 2415383
- [11] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, A spectral gap theorem in SU(d). J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 14 (2012), no. 5, 1455–1511 Zbl 1254.43010 MR 2966656
- [12] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd, and P. Sarnak, Affine linear sieve, expanders, and sum-product. *Invent. Math.* **179** (2010), no. 3, 559–644 Zbl 1239.11103 MR 2587341
- [13] J. Bourgain and P. P. Varjú, Expansion in $SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$, *q* arbitrary. *Invent. Math.* 188 (2012), no. 1, 151–173 Zbl 1247.20052 MR 2897695
- [14] J. Bourgain and A. Yehudayoff, Expansion in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and monotone expanders. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **23** (2013), no. 1, 1–41 Zbl 1268.05103 MR 3037896
- [15] R. Boutonnet, A. Ioana, and A. Salehi Golsefidy, Local spectral gap in simple Lie groups and applications. *Invent. Math.* 208 (2017), no. 3, 715–802 Zbl 1366.22004 MR 3648974
- [16] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 319, Springer, Berlin, 1999 Zbl 0988.53001 MR 1744486
- [17] R. Brooks, The spectral geometry of a tower of coverings. J. Differential Geom. 23 (1986), no. 1, 97–107 Zbl 0576.58033 MR 0840402
- [18] M. Burger, Petites valeurs propres du laplacien et topologie de Fell. PhD thesis, Université de Lausanne, 1986
- [19] X. Chen, Q. Wang, and X. Wang, Characterization of the Haagerup property by fibred coarse embedding into Hilbert space. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 45 (2013), no. 5, 1091–1099 Zbl 1347.20043 MR 3105001
- [20] X. Chen, Q. Wang, and G. Yu, The maximal coarse Baum–Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a fibred coarse embedding into Hilbert space. *Adv. Math.* 249 (2013), 88–130 Zbl 1292.46014 MR 3116568
- [21] J.-P. Conze and Y. Guivarc'h, Ergodicity of group actions and spectral gap, applications to random walks and Markov shifts. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 33 (2013), no. 9, 4239–4269 Zbl 1329.37004 MR 3038061
- [22] M. Coornaert, T. Delzant, and A. Papadopoulos, Géométrie et théorie des groupes. Lecture Notes in Math. 1441, Springer, Berlin, 1990 Zbl 0727.20018 MR 1075994
- [23] T. Delabie and A. Khukhro, Coarse fundamental groups and box spaces. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 150 (2020), no. 3, 1139–1154 Zbl 1481.20149 MR 4091056
- [24] V. G. Drinfel'd, Finitely-additive measures on S² and S³, invariant with respect to rotations (in Russian). *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 18 (1984), no. 3, 77. English translation: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 18 (1984), 245–246 Zbl 0576.28019 MR 0757256
- [25] C. Druţu and M. Kapovich, *Geometric group theory*. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 63, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018 Zbl 1447.20001 MR 3753580

- [26] B. Edwards, S. Sanderson, and T. A. Schmidt, Canonical translation surfaces for computing Veech groups. *Geom. Dedicata* 216 (2022), no. 5, article no. 60 Zbl 1511.37042 MR 4470199
- [27] A. Eskin and B. Farb, Quasi-flats and rigidity in higher rank symmetric spaces. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1997), no. 3, 653–692 Zbl 0893.22004 MR 1434399
- [28] D. Fisher, T. Nguyen, and W. van Limbeek, Rigidity of warped cones and coarse geometry of expanders. Adv. Math. 346 (2019), 665–718 Zbl 1440.53047 MR 3912479
- [29] A. Furman and Y. Shalom, Sharp ergodic theorems for group actions and strong ergodicity. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 19 (1999), no. 4, 1037–1061 Zbl 0947.37002 MR 1709429
- [30] O. Gabber and Z. Galil, Explicit constructions of linear-sized superconcentrators. J. Comput. System Sci. 22 (1981), no. 3, 407–420 Zbl 0487.05045 MR 0633542
- [31] A. Gamburd, D. Jakobson, and P. Sarnak, Spectra of elements in the group ring of SU(2).
 J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 1 (1999), no. 1, 51–85 Zbl 0916.22009 MR 1677685
- [32] Ł. Grabowski, A. Máthé, and O. Pikhurko, Measurable equidecompositions for group actions with an expansion property. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 24 (2022), no. 12, 4277–4326 Zbl 07619418 MR 4493625
- [33] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic manifolds, groups and actions. In Riemann surfaces and related topics: Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference (State Univ. New York, Stony Brook, NY, 1978), pp. 183–213, Ann. of Math. Stud 97, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1981 Zbl 0447.00006 MR 0624814
- [34] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. In *Geometric group theory*, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991), pp. 1–295, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 182, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993 Zbl 0841.20039 MR 1253544
- [35] E. Guentner, N. Higson, and S. Weinberger, The Novikov conjecture for linear groups. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* (2005), no. 101, 243–268 Zbl 1073.19003 MR 2217050
- [36] E. Gutkin and C. Judge, Affine mappings of translation surfaces: Geometry and arithmetic. *Duke Math. J.* 103 (2000), no. 2, 191–213 Zbl 0965.30019 MR 1760625
- [37] S. Hoory, N. Linial, and A. Wigderson, Expander graphs and their applications. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 43 (2006), no. 4, 439–561 Zbl 1147.68608 MR 2247919
- [38] P. Hubert, H. Masur, T. Schmidt, and A. Zorich, Problems on billiards, flat surfaces and translation surfaces. In *Problems on mapping class groups and related topics*, pp. 233–243, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 74, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006 Zbl 1307.37019 MR 2264543
- [39] A. Ioana, Orbit equivalence and Borel reducibility rigidity for profinite actions with spectral gap. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 18 (2016), no. 12, 2733–2784 Zbl 1375.37010 MR 3576536
- [40] A. Ioana, Strong ergodicity, property (T), and orbit equivalence rigidity for translation actions. J. Reine Angew. Math. 733 (2017), 203–250 Zbl 1379.37004 MR 3731329
- [41] S. Jimbo and A. Maruoka, Expanders obtained from affine transformations. *Combinator-ica* 7 (1987), no. 4, 343–355 Zbl 0637.05017 MR 0931192

- [42] M. Kanai, Rough isometries, and combinatorial approximations of geometries of noncompact Riemannian manifolds. J. Math. Soc. Japan 37 (1985), no. 3, 391–413 Zbl 0554.53030 MR 0792983
- [43] I. Kapovich and A. Lukyanenko, Quasi-isometric co-Hopficity of non-uniform lattices in rank-one semi-simple Lie groups. *Conform. Geom. Dyn.* 16 (2012), 269–282 Zbl 1277.20047 MR 2983835
- [44] A. Khukhro and A. Valette, Expanders and box spaces. Adv. Math. 314 (2017), 806–834
 Zbl 1426.20015 MR 3658731
- [45] A. N. Kolmogorov and Ya. M. Barzdin, On the realization of networks in three-dimensional space. In Selected works of A. N. Kolmogorov. Vol. III. Math. Appl. (Soviet Ser.) 27, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1993 Zbl 0785.01030 MR 1228446
- [46] E. Kowalski, An introduction to expander graphs. Cours Spec. 26, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2019 Zbl 1421.05002 MR 3931316
- [47] T. de Laat and F. Vigolo, Superexpanders from group actions on compact manifolds. *Geom. Dedicata* 200 (2019), 287–302 Zbl 1539.46015 MR 3956196
- [48] J. R. Lee, On expanders from the action of GL(2, Z). [v1] 2013, [v4] 2024, arXiv:1301.6296v4
- [49] X. Li, Dynamic characterizations of quasi-isometry and applications to cohomology. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 18 (2018), no. 6, 3477–3535 Zbl 1503.20008 MR 3868227
- [50] N. Linial and E. London, On the expansion rate of Margulis expanders. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 96 (2006), no. 3, 436–442 Zbl 1089.05071 MR 2220670
- [51] P. Lochak, On arithmetic curves in the moduli spaces of curves. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 4 (2005), no. 3, 443–508 Zbl 1094.14018 MR 2197065
- [52] V. Losert and H. Rindler, Almost invariant sets. Bull. London Math. Soc. 13 (1981), no. 2, 145–148 Zbl 0462.43002 MR 0608100
- [53] A. Lubotzky, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian, the first Betti number and the congruence subgroup problem. Ann. of Math. (2) 144 (1996), no. 2, 441–452 Zbl 0885.11037 MR 1418904
- [54] A. Lubotzky, *Discrete groups, expanding graphs and invariant measures*. Mod. Birkhauser Class., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2010 Zbl 1183.22001 MR 2569682
- [55] A. Lubotzky, Expander graphs in pure and applied mathematics. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 49 (2012), no. 1, 113–162 Zbl 1232.05194 MR 2869010
- [56] G. A. Margulis, Explicit constructions of expanders (in Russian). Problemy Peredači Informacii 9 (1973), no. 4, 71–80. English translation: Explicit constructions of concentrators. Probl. Inf. Transm. 9 (1975), no. 4, 325–332 Zbl 0312.22011 MR 0484767
- [57] G. A. Margulis, Some remarks on invariant means. *Monatsh. Math.* 90 (1980), no. 3, 233–235 Zbl 0425.43001 MR 0596890
- [58] T. Miyata and Ž. Virk, Dimension-raising maps in a large scale. Fund. Math. 223 (2013), no. 1, 83–97 Zbl 1288.54025 MR 3125134
- [59] P. W. Nowak and G. Yu, *Large scale geometry*. EMS Textbk. Math., European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2012 Zbl 1264.53051 MR 2986138
- [60] M. Pinsker, On the complexity of a concentrator. In Proceedings of the 7th International Teletraffic Conference, pp. 318/1–318/4, Stockholm, 1973

- [61] M. van der Put and M. F. Singer, *Galois theory of linear differential equations*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 328, Springer, Berlin, 2003 Zbl 1036.12008 MR 1960772
- [62] J. Roe, From foliations to coarse geometry and back. In Analysis and geometry in foliated manifolds (Santiago de Compostela, 1994), pp. 195–205, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1995 Zbl 0992.58501 MR 1414204
- [63] J. Roe, *Lectures on coarse geometry*. Univ. Lecture Ser. 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003 Zbl 1042.53027 MR 2007488
- [64] J. Roe, Warped cones and property A. Geom. Topol. 9 (2005), 163–178 Zbl 1082.53036 MR 2115671
- [65] J. Rosenblatt, Uniqueness of invariant means for measure-preserving transformations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 265 (1981), no. 2, 623–636 Zbl 0464.28008 MR 0610970
- [66] A. Salehi Golsefidy and P. P. Varjú, Expansion in perfect groups. Geom. Funct. Anal. 22 (2012), no. 6, 1832–1891 Zbl 1284.20044 MR 3000503
- [67] D. Sawicki, Warped cones over profinite completions. J. Topol. Anal. 10 (2018), no. 3, 563–584 Zbl 1404.57047 MR 3850104
- [68] D. Sawicki, Warped cones, (non-)rigidity, and piecewise properties. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 118 (2019), no. 4, 753–786 Zbl 1417.51011 MR 3938711
- [69] D. Sawicki, Super-expanders and warped cones. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 70 (2020), no. 4, 1753–1774 Zbl 1477.46023 MR 4245587
- [70] D. Sawicki and J. Wu, Straightening warped cones. J. Topol. Anal. 13 (2021), no. 4, 933–957 Zbl 1494.46016 MR 4368653
- [71] K. Schmidt, Asymptotically invariant sequences and an action of SL(2, Z) on the 2-sphere. *Israel J. Math.* 37 (1980), no. 3, 193–208 Zbl 0485.28018 MR 0599454
- [72] K. Schmidt, Amenability, Kazhdan's property T, strong ergodicity and invariant means for ergodic group-actions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 1 (1981), no. 2, 223–236 Zbl 0485.28019 MR 0661821
- [73] A. Selberg, On the estimation of Fourier coefficients of modular forms. In Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. VIII, pp. 1–15, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1965 Zbl 0142.33903 MR 0182610
- [74] D. Sullivan, For n > 3 there is only one finitely additive rotationally invariant measure on the *n*-sphere defined on all Lebesgue measurable subsets. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (*N.S.*) **4** (1981), no. 1, 121–123 Zbl 0459.28009 MR 0590825
- [75] K. Takeuchi, Fuchsian groups contained in SL₂ (Q). J. Math. Soc. Japan 23 (1971), 82–94
 Zbl 0204.39801 MR 0271342
- [76] T. Tao, *Expansion in finite simple groups of Lie type*. Grad. Stud. Math. 164, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015 Zbl 1336.20015 MR 3309986
- [77] W. P. Thurston, On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 19 (1988), no. 2, 417–431 Zbl 0674.57008 MR 0956596
- [78] P. P. Varjú, Expansion in $SL_d(\mathcal{O}_K/I)$, *I* square-free. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **14** (2012), no. 1, 273–305 Zbl 1269.20044 MR 2862040
- [79] W. A. Veech, Teichmüller curves in moduli space, Eisenstein series and an application to triangular billiards. *Invent. Math.* 97 (1989), no. 3, 553–583 Zbl 0676.32006 MR 1005006

- [80] F. Vigolo, Discrete fundamental groups of warped cones and expanders. *Math. Ann.* 373 (2019), no. 1-2, 355–396 Zbl 1417.51012 MR 3968875
- [81] F. Vigolo, Measure expanding actions, expanders and warped cones. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 371 (2019), no. 3, 1951–1979 Zbl 1402.05205 MR 3894040
- [82] R. Willett and G. Yu, Higher index theory for certain expanders and Gromov monster groups, I. Adv. Math. 229 (2012), no. 3, 1380–1416 Zbl 1243.46060 MR 2871145
- [83] T. Yamauchi, Hereditarily infinite-dimensional property for asymptotic dimension and graphs with large girth. *Fund. Math.* 236 (2017), no. 2, 187–192 Zbl 1365.54027 MR 3591277

Received 23 March 2023.

Goulnara Arzhantseva

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Wien, Austria; goulnara.arzhantseva@univie.ac.at

Dawid Kielak

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Andrew Wiles Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK; kielak@maths.ox.ac.uk

Tim de Laat

Department of Mathematics, Kiel University, Heinrich-Hecht-Platz 6, 24118 Kiel, Germany; delaat@math.uni-kiel.de

Damian Sawicki

Department of Mathematics, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200b – Box 2400, 3001 Leuven, Belgium; dsawicki@impan.pl