

Common transversals for coset spaces of compact groups

Hiroshi Ando and Andreas Thom

Abstract. Let G be a Polish group and let $H \leq G$ be a compact subgroup. We prove that there exists a Borel set $T \subset G$ which is simultaneously a complete set of coset representatives of left and right cosets, provided that a certain index condition is satisfied. Moreover, we prove that this index condition holds provided that G is locally compact and G/G° is compact or H is a compact Lie group. This generalizes a result which is known for discrete groups under various finiteness assumptions, but is known to fail for general inclusions of infinite groups. As an application, we prove that Bohr closed subgroups of countable, discrete groups admit common transversals.

Dedicated to Slava on the occasion of his 70th birthday

1. Introduction

Let G be a group and H be a subgroup. A set $T \subset G$ is said to be a *left transversal* for H or a *complete set of left coset representatives* if $G = \bigsqcup_{t \in T} tH$. Similarly, $S \subset G$ is said to be a *right transversal* or a *complete set of right coset representatives* if $G = \bigsqcup_{s \in S} Hs$. It is well known that left transversals need not be right transversals unless H is a normal subgroup. Note, however, that if T is a left transversal, then T^{-1} is a right transversal and vice versa. It has been known for a long time that there exist subsets of G which are simultaneously left and right transversals under various finiteness assumptions, for example, when H is finite or $[G : H]$ is finite. We call a set which is simultaneously a left and right transversal a *common transversal* for H .

The problem of finding common transversals in groups has a long history dating back more than a hundred years (see [3] for more information). However, very little has been known regarding the regularity properties of common transversals for topological groups. One exception is the study by Appelgate–Onishi [1], where it is shown that a closed common transversal exists for pro-finite groups.

Various classical matrix decomposition theorems can be interpreted as results about the existence of a particularly nice common transversal of a compact subgroup. Indeed, for example, every matrix $g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ can be written uniquely as a product of a unitary matrix $u \in \mathrm{U}(n)$ and a positive definite matrix $p \in \mathrm{P}(n, \mathbb{C})$, that is, $g = up$. Similarly,

taking inverses, we obtain another decomposition $g = p'u'$. Thus, the set of positive definite matrices is a common transversal of $U(n)$ in $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. The Iwasawa decomposition (or QR-decomposition in the case of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$) provides a similar common transversal, provided by the set of upper triangular matrices whose diagonal entries are positive. These decompositions are particularly nice in the sense that the common transversal is closed and satisfies $T^{-1} = T$. This will not necessarily be true for the common transversals that we construct in this paper and cannot be expected in general (see Example 4.5 and Remark 4.6).

We prove a corresponding result for G Polish and H a compact subgroup, where the natural requirement on the common transversal also includes a certain regularity. In fact, we will prove that we can take T always to be a Borel subset of G . We say that a closed subgroup H of a topological group G satisfies the *index condition*, if

$$[H: H \cap xHx^{-1}] = [H: H \cap x^{-1}Hx], \quad \forall x \in G.$$

It was shown by Ore [11, Theorem 2.1] that a subgroup $H \leq G$ admits a common (set theoretic) transversal if and only if the index condition is satisfied. The index condition (in case of finite indices) comes up naturally as a criterion for relative unimodularity of Hecke pairs (G, H) or unimodularity of the corresponding Schlichting completion (see [6, 8] for more details).

The main results of this paper are the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a Polish group and H be a compact subgroup. Assume that the index condition is satisfied for $H \leq G$. Then there exists a Borel subset of G , which is a common transversal for cosets of H .*

The index condition is satisfied in a variety of situations. Our results on this question are summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $H \leq G$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Then, the index condition for $H \leq G$ holds whenever one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) G is an inverse limit of Lie groups, or
- (2) H is a compact Lie group.

Corollary 1.3. *The index condition for $H \leq G$ is satisfied for an arbitrary compact subgroup H , whenever G is a locally compact group and G/G° is compact. In particular, this holds when G is compact or when G is connected and locally compact.*

We record that the result is sharp in the sense that the results in Theorem 1.2 fail in general if G is locally compact, but not an inverse limit of Lie groups, or H is compact, but not a compact Lie group (see Examples 4.4 and 4.5).

Finally, in Section 4, we return to results about discrete groups. As a consequence of our results on topological groups, we conclude the existence of common transversals for

subgroups of countable, discrete groups as long as they are closed in the Bohr topology (see Theorem 4.2). In particular, this applies to pro-finitely closed subgroups.

2. The index condition

In this section, we show that the index condition is satisfied in the situations described by Theorem 1.2. Moreover, we explain how the index condition is used in order to find a common transversal of left and right cosets contained in a fixed double coset. This will give a motivation for the proof of the other main theorem.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a Polish group, which is also an inverse limit of Lie groups, and let H be a compact subgroup of G . Moreover, let $x \in G$ be arbitrary. Then, $[H : H \cap xHx^{-1}] = [H : H \cap x^{-1}Hx] \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{2^\omega\}$.*

Let $K \leq H$ be a closed subgroup. Note that since $[H : K]$ is the cardinality of the compact metrizable space H/K , it is either finite or continuum.

The following result is a consequence of the famous Gleason–Yamabe theorem [14] and [5, Lemma 4.5].

Theorem 2.2 (Gleason–Yamabe). *Every locally compact group G with G/G° compact is an inverse limit of Lie groups.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be an inverse limit of Lie groups $G = \lim_i G_i$, with projections $\pi_i : G \rightarrow G_i$, and $K \leq H$ be compact subgroups of G . The following formula holds:*

$$[H : K] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [\pi_i(H) : \pi_i(K)].$$

Proof. Let $c = [H : K]$, $c_i = [\pi_i(H) : \pi_i(K)]$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$). Since G_i is a quotient of G and of G_{i+1} , we have $c_i \leq c_{i+1} \leq c$. In particular, the limit $c' = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} c_i$ exists and satisfies $c' \leq c$.

Assume by contradiction that $c' < c$. Because each c_i is then an integer, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_i = c'$ ($i \geq j$). Then let $\{s_1, \dots, s_{c'}\} \subset H$ be such that its image in $\pi_j(H)$ is the representatives for the left cosets of $\pi_j(K)$ in $\pi_j(H)$. Then we have

$$\pi_i(H) = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{c'} \pi_i(s_k) \pi_i(K) \quad (i \geq j).$$

By $c' < c$, there exists $s_0 \in G$ such that $s_0 \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{c'} s_k K$. On the other hand, for each $i \geq j$, we have $\pi_i(s_0) \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{c'} \pi_i(s_k K)$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may find $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, c'\}$ and $k_i \in K$ such that $\pi_i(s_0) = \pi_i(s_{k_0} k_i)$ for all $i \geq j$, and by using the sequential compactness of K , we may further pass to a subsequence to assume that k_i converges to some $k \in K$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Then $s_0 = s_{k_0} k$, contradicting our choice of s_0 . Thus, $c' = c$ holds. ■

For a topological space, we denote by $\pi_0(X)$ the set of path connected components of X . If H is a topological group, we denote by H° the path connected component of the identity element and note that H° is a normal subgroup of H . If H is a compact Lie group, then H° is an open subgroup of H . The set $\pi_0(H)$ is naturally identified with H/H° . In particular, in this case $\pi_0(H)$ is a group in a natural way.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a Polish group, $K \leq H$ be a compact Lie subgroups of G . Then,*

- (1) *$[H : K]$ is finite if and only if $\dim(H) = \dim(K)$ holds.*
- (2) *If the latter condition holds, then $H^\circ = K^\circ$ and the equation*

$$[H : K] = [\pi_0(H) : \pi_0(K)]$$

is satisfied.

Proof. Note that $\dim(H) = \dim(K)$ if and only if the Lie algebras of H and K agree – and this is equivalent to $H^\circ = K^\circ$, since the path connected components are generated via the exponential map from the Lie algebra. This implies that H/K is in bijection with $(H/K^\circ)/(K/K^\circ) = \pi_0(H)/\pi_0(K)$ proving that $[H : K]$ is finite and equal to the index of $\pi_0(K)$ in $\pi_0(H)$ in this case. Conversely, if the index of K in H is finite, then clearly $\dim(H) = \dim(K)$. ■

Proof of Proposition 2.1. By Lemma 2.3 applied to $K = H \cap xHx^{-1}$ and $K = H \cap x^{-1}Hx$, we may assume that G is a Lie group.

Note that the groups $H \cap xHx^{-1}$ and $H \cap x^{-1}Hx$ are topologically isomorphic since they are conjugate by $x \in G$. In particular, their dimensions agree, and Lemma 2.4 applied to $K = H \cap xHx^{-1}$ and $K = H \cap x^{-1}Hx$ implies that the indices of these groups in H are either both finite or both infinite. In the first case, by the second part of Lemma 2.4, the indices are identical with $[\pi_0(H) : \pi_0(H \cap xHx^{-1})]$ and $[\pi_0(H) : \pi_0(H \cap x^{-1}Hx)]$, respectively. However, π_0 of a compact Lie group is finite and $\pi_0(H \cap xHx^{-1})$ is isomorphic to $\pi_0(H \cap x^{-1}Hx)$. Thus, the indices agree and are equal to $\sharp\pi_0(H)/\sharp\pi_0(H \cap x^{-1}Hx)$. This completes the proof. ■

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a Polish group and H be a closed subgroup of G which is a compact Lie group. Then the inclusion $H \leq G$ satisfies the index condition: for every $x \in G$, the equality $[H : H \cap xHx^{-1}] = [H : H \cap x^{-1}Hx]$ holds.*

Proof. In this case, we can apply Lemma 2.4 directly to $K = H \cap xHx^{-1} \leq H$ and $x^{-1}Kx = H \cap x^{-1}Hx \leq H$ as subgroups of G . ■

Note that a left coset and a right coset of H in G have a common representative if and only if they lie in the same double coset. Indeed, we recall the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $x, x' \in G$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) The cosets xH and Hx' intersect.
- (2) The cosets xH and Hx' have a common representative, that is, there exists $x'' \in G$ such that $xH = x''H$ and $Hx' = Hx''$.
- (3) The cosets xH and Hx' lie in one double coset, that is, there exists $x'' \in H$ such that $xH \cup Hx' \subset Hx''H$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Take $x'' \in xH \cap Hx'$. (2) \Rightarrow (3): The x'' that worked for (2) also works for (3). (3) \Rightarrow (1): There exists h_1, h_2, h'_1, h'_2 such that $h_1x''h_2 = x$ and $h'_1x''h'_2 = x'$. This implies that $h_1^{-1}xh_2^{-1} = x'' = h'^{-1}_1x'h'^{-1}_2$ and hence $xh_2^{-1}h'_2 = h_1h'^{-1}_1x'$. Thus, xH and Hx' intersect. ■

Let us illustrate the use of the index condition by constructing a common Borel transversal for a single double coset. This is done in the following lemma. The index condition ensures that the number of left and right cosets contained in one double coset coincides. The proof of the main theorem will deal with the problem of doing the same construction in a Borel way for all double cosets at the same time. The argument below modulo the measurability issue is essentially contained in Ore's work [11].

Lemma 2.7. *Let G be a Polish group and H be a compact subgroup satisfying the index condition. For each $x \in G$, there exists a Borel set $Q \subset HxH$ which is simultaneously a set of left and right coset representatives with respect to H , that is,*

$$HxH = \bigsqcup_{a \in Q} Ha = \bigsqcup_{a \in Q} aH.$$

Proof. Let $x \in G$ be fixed and consider the double coset $HxH \subset G$. Note that each left coset $t'H$ contained in HxH is of the form txH for some $t \in H$. Similarly, right cosets contained in HxH are of the form Hxs for $s \in H$.

Two cosets txH and xH coincide with $t \in H$ if and only if $t \in H \cap xHx^{-1}$. Let $T \subset H$ be a Borel section of the quotient map $H \rightarrow H/(H \cap xHx^{-1})$. This yields a decomposition $H = \bigsqcup_{t \in T} t(H \cap xHx^{-1})$. It follows that $T \subset H$ also yields a decomposition of HxH in the sense that we have $HxH = \bigsqcup_{t \in T} txH$.

Similarly, two cosets Hxs and Hx coincide if and only if $s \in H \cap x^{-1}Hx$. We take S a Borel section of the map $H \rightarrow (H \cap x^{-1}Hx) \backslash H$ and observe that $HxH = \bigsqcup_{s \in S} Hxs$.

Note that both S and T are standard Borel spaces, that is, they are either finite or Borel isomorphic to $[0, 1]$. By Proposition 2.1, $[H: H \cap xHx^{-1}] = [H: H \cap x^{-1}Hx] \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{2^\omega\}$ and hence the cardinalities of S and T agree. Thus, we may pick a Borel isomorphism $\varphi: T \rightarrow S$ and set $Q := \{tx\varphi(t) \mid t \in T\} \subset HxH$. It is straightforward to check that Q is a Borel subset of HxH which is simultaneously a set of left and right coset representatives, that is, we have

$$HxH = \bigsqcup_{a \in Q} Ha = \bigsqcup_{a \in Q} aH.$$

This finishes the proof. ■

Remark 2.8. Sometimes, the index condition is automatically true provided both indices are known to be finite. Consider, for example, $H \leq G$, when H is a free group. Then, the Nielsen–Schreier formula implies that

$$[H : K] = \frac{\text{rk}(K) - 1}{\text{rk}(H) - 1},$$

provided the index is finite. In particular, the index does only depend on K up to isomorphism and does not change, when K is replaced by an isomorphic finite index subgroup of H . A similar phenomenon occurs, when the group H has a finite and non-zero ℓ^2 -Betti number, since

$$[H : K] = \frac{\beta_k^{(2)}(K)}{\beta_k^{(2)}(H)}.$$

This applies, for example, to the inclusion $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \leq \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$. Since $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ commensurates $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, all inclusions $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cap g \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) g^{-1} \leq \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ for $g \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ are of finite index. Since $\beta_1^{(2)}(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})) = \frac{1}{12}$, the index condition is satisfied by the previous observation.

Note, however, that this observation does not in general prevent the existence of isomorphic subgroup of infinite index.

Remark 2.9. The index condition is satisfied if G is a locally compact, unimodular, totally disconnected group and $H \leq G$ is a compact open subgroup. Indeed,

$$[H : H \cap gHg^{-1}] = \frac{\mu(H)}{\mu(H \cap gHg^{-1})}$$

in this case. Now, since G is unimodular, the measure of $H \cap gHg^{-1}$ agrees with the measure of $H \cap g^{-1}Hg$, since these groups are conjugate. In particular, this applies to $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We are now heading towards the proof of Theorem 1.1. As a first step, we need to find a Borel set of representatives of double cosets of H in G .

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a Polish group, H be a compact subgroup of G . Then there exists a Borel subset $A \subset G$ which is a set of representatives for the double H -cosets: $G = \bigsqcup_{a \in A} HaH$.*

Proof. Let $\pi: G \rightarrow H \backslash G / H$ be the quotient map. Since G is a Polish group and H is compact, the space $H \backslash G / H$ is also Polish. For each $g \in G$, we may view $\pi(g) = HgH$ as a closed subset of G , whence we may view $H \backslash G / H \subset \mathcal{F}^*(G)$. Note that the inclusion map $j: H \backslash G / H \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(G)$ is Borel. To see this, recall that $A \subset H \backslash G / H$ is

Borel if and only if $\pi^{-1}(A)$ is a Borel subset of G . This follows from the fact that $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \{A \subset H \backslash G / H \mid \pi^{-1}(A) \text{ is Borel}\}$ is a σ -algebra containing all open subsets of $H \backslash G / H$, thus containing all of its Borel subsets. Then if U is any open subset of G and $V = \{F \in \mathcal{F}(G) \mid F \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$, then $\pi^{-1}(j^{-1}(A)) = \{g \in G \mid HgH \cap U \neq \emptyset\} = HUH$ is open in G , whence it is Borel. The above remark then shows that $j^{-1}(V)$ is Borel. Thus, j is a Borel map. By Theorem A.2, there exists a Borel map $\sigma: \mathcal{F}^*(G) \rightarrow G$ such that $\sigma(F) \in F$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}^*(G)$. Define $s = \sigma \circ j \circ \pi: G \rightarrow G$ and $A = \{g \in G \mid s(g) = g\}$, which is a Borel subset of G . Note that $s(g) = \sigma(HgH)$ for every $g \in G$, and because $\sigma(HgH) \in HgH$, we have $\pi(s(g)) = \pi(\sigma(HgH)) = HgH$ and $s(s(g)) = s(g)$. In particular, $s(g) \in A$ for every $g \in G$. Thus, $G = \bigcup_{a \in A} HaH$ holds. If $a, a' \in A$, satisfying $HaH \cap Ha'H \neq \emptyset$, then $\pi(a) = HaH = Ha'H = \pi(a')$, and thus

$$a' = s(a') = \sigma \circ j \circ \pi(a') = \sigma \circ j \circ \pi(a) = s(a) = a.$$

Thus, $G = \bigsqcup_{a \in A} HaH$ is the decomposition for the double H -cosets. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.2. *Let X, Y be Polish spaces, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous open surjection. Then the map $\iota_f: Y \ni y \mapsto f^{-1}(\{y\}) \in \mathcal{F}^*(X)$ is Borel.*

Proof. Since f is a continuous surjection, $f^{-1}(\{y\}) \in \mathcal{F}^*(X)$ holds for every $y \in Y$.

It suffices to show that for each open set U in X , the set $\iota_f^{-1}(B)$ is Borel in Y , where $B = \{F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X) \mid F \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$. But

$$\iota_f^{-1}(B) = \{y \in Y \mid f^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap U \neq \emptyset\} = f(U),$$

which is open because f is an open mapping. Therefore, ι_f is Borel. \blacksquare

Remark 3.3. It is unclear if ι_f can be continuous for an open continuous surjection $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Note that if f is not assumed to be open, then ι_f is often discontinuous.

For example, take $X = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$ and $Y = [0, 2]$. Define a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by $f(t) = t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $f(t) = t - 1$ for $t \in [2, 3]$. Let $y_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $y = 1$. Then $y_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} y$, but $\iota_f(y_n) = \{1 - \frac{1}{n}\}$, $\iota_f(y) = \{1, 2\}$. Since the set $\mathcal{F}_{\leq 1}^*(X)$ is closed, $\iota_f(y_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \iota_f(y)$. Actually, we obtain $\iota_f(y_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \{1\} \subsetneq \iota_f(y)$. Thus, $\iota_f(Y)$ is in general not closed in $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$, and the set $\{y \in Y \mid \#f^{-1}(\{y\}) \leq n\}$ is not closed either.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a Polish space, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then with respect to the Wijsman topology, the set $\mathcal{F}_{\leq n}^*(X) = \{F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X) \mid \#F \leq n\}$ is closed. In particular, $\mathcal{F}_n^*(X) = \{F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X) \mid \#F = n\}$ is Borel.*

Proof. Let $(F_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{F}_{\leq n}^*(X)$ converging to $F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X)$. Write $F_k = \{x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}\}$ (we do not assume that $x_i^{(k)}$'s are different for different i). Assume by contradiction that there exist $n + 1$ distinct points $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in F$. By definition, we have $d(x_i, F_k) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_i, F) = 0$. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

there exists $j(k, i) \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $d(x_i, x_{j(k,i)}^{(k)}) = d(x_i, F_k)$. Thus, there exists $j(i) \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $j(k, i) = j(i)$ for infinitely many k . By passing to a subsequence up to $n + 1$ times, we may assume that $j(k, i) = j(i)$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_i, x_{j(i)}^{(k)}) = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$. There exist at least two elements $i, i' \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$, $i < i'$, such that $j(i) = j(i')$. Then the sequence $(x_{j(i)}^{(k)})_{k=1}^\infty$ converges to two points $x_i, x_{i'}$, a contradiction. Therefore $\sharp F \leq n$. ■

Remark 3.5. The set $\mathcal{F}_n^*(X) = \{F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X) \mid \sharp F = n\}$ is not closed. For example, consider $X = [-1, 1]$ with the Euclidean metric d , and set $F_n = \{\pm \frac{1}{n}\}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), $F = \{0\}$. Then for each $t \in [-1, 1]$, we have

$$d(t, F_n) = \min\{|t - \frac{1}{n}|, |t + \frac{1}{n}|\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} |t| = d(t, F).$$

Thus, $F_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} F$, $\sharp F_n = 2$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\sharp F = 1$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let H be a compact metrizable group acting continuously on a Polish space X . Let $f: X \rightarrow Y = H \backslash X$ be the quotient map. Consider the map $\iota_f: Y \ni y \mapsto f^{-1}(\{y\}) \in \mathcal{F}^*(X)$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *For each $y \in Y$, $\iota_f(y)$ is either finite or perfect.*
- (ii) *ι_f is continuous. Consequently, the set $\{y \in Y \mid \sharp \iota_f(y) \leq n\}$ is closed for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, each $Y_n = \{y \in Y \mid \sharp \iota_f(y) = n\}$ is Borel and the subspace $\{y \in Y \mid \sharp \iota_f(y) = \infty\}$ is G_δ , whence Polish.*

We will use the following well-known result. Suppose G is a compact metrizable group acting on a Polish space X . Let $d g$ be the normalized Haar measure on G . Let d_0 be a compatible complete metric on X with diameter 1. Define $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$d(x, y) := \int_G d_0(gx, gy) \, d g, \quad x, y \in X.$$

Then by standard arguments, one can show that d is a compatible complete metric on X which is G -invariant. We summarize this observation.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\alpha: G \curvearrowright X$ be a continuous action of a compact Polish group G on a Polish space X . Then there exists a complete metric d on X compatible with the topology which is G -invariant, that is, $d(gx, gy) = d(x, y)$ holds for every $x, y \in X$ and $g \in G$.*

Proof of Proposition 3.6. (i) Take $y \in Y$. $\iota_f(y)$ is compact, hence a Polish space. Assume first that $\iota_f(y)$ is countable. Then, by the Baire category theorem, there is an isolated point x in $\iota_f(y)$. On the other hand, H acts transitively on $\iota_f(y)$, which implies that each point in $\iota_f(y)$ is isolated, that is, $\iota_f(y)$ is discrete. Since $\iota_f(y)$ is compact, this shows that $\iota_f(y)$ is finite. Assume next that $\iota_f(y)$ is uncountable. If there is an isolated point in $\iota_f(y)$, then by the same argument as before $\iota_f(y)$ is discrete, which contradicts the separability of the Polish space $\iota_f(y)$. Thus, $\iota_f(y)$ is perfect.

(ii) Let d be a metric on X compatible with the topology which is H -invariant (Lemma 3.7). Let $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in Y converging to $y \in Y$. Then there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in X converging to $x \in X$ such that $f(x_n) = y_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $f(x) = y$. Indeed, take any $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$. Since X is metrizable, there exists a neighborhood basis $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of x satisfying $U_k \supset U_{k+1}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). Since $f(U_k)$ is an open neighborhood of y , we may find an increasing sequence $N_1 < N_2 < \dots$ of natural numbers such that $y_n \in f(U_k)$ for every $n \geq N_k$. Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n \in X$ such that $f(x_n) = y_n$ and $x_n \in U_k$ hold for every $n \geq N_k$. It is then clear that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. We show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iota_f(y_n) = \iota_f(y)$ in the Wijsman topology. Since $\iota_f(y_n) = Hx_n$ and $\iota_f(y) = Hx$, this amounts to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x', Hx_n) = d(x', Hx)$ for every $x' \in X$. Fix $x' \in X$. Since d is H -invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x', Hx_n) &= \min_{h \in H} d(x', hx_n) = \min_{h \in H} d(h^{-1}x', x_n) \\ &= d(x_n, Hx') \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, Hx') = d(x', Hx), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that the map $d(\cdot, Hx')$ is continuous on X . This shows that ι_f is continuous. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{y \in Y \mid \sharp \iota_f(y) \leq n\}$ is the inverse image of the set $\mathcal{F}_{\leq n}^*(X)$ (which is closed by Lemma 3.4) by the continuous map ι_f , whence it is also closed. Therefore, $\{y \in Y \mid \sharp \iota_f(y) < \infty\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{y \in Y \mid \sharp \iota_f(y) \leq n\}$ is F_σ and its complement $\{y \in Y \mid \sharp \iota_f(y) = \infty\}$ is a G_δ subset of Y , whence Polish. ■

We will use Mauldin's Borel parametrization theorem [9, Theorem A].

Definition 3.8. Let X, Y be Polish spaces, B be a Borel subset of $X \times Y$. A *Borel parametrization* of B is a Borel isomorphism $g: X \times E \rightarrow B$ such that $g(x, \cdot)$ is a Borel isomorphism of E onto B_x , where E is a Borel subset of Y .

If all B_x are uncountable, then because any uncountable standard Borel spaces are Borel isomorphic, we may replace E by 2^ω in the definition of the Borel parametrization.

Theorem 3.9 (Mauldin [9]). *Let X, Y be Polish spaces, B be a Borel subset of $X \times Y$ such that B_x is uncountable for every $x \in X$. Then B admits a Borel parametrization if and only if there exists a Borel subset M of B such that for every $x \in X$, M_x is a non-empty compact perfect set.*

Proposition 3.10. *Let H be a compact metrizable group acting continuously on a Polish space X . Denote by $f: X \rightarrow Y = H \backslash X$ the quotient map. Define Borel sets $X_n = f^{-1}(Y_n)$, $X_\infty = f^{-1}(Y_\infty)$, where $Y_n = \{y \in Y \mid \sharp \iota_f(y) = n\}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $Y_\infty = \{y \in Y \mid \sharp \iota_f(y) = \infty\}$. Then there exist Borel isomorphisms $g_n: Y_n \times \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow X_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $g_\infty: Y_\infty \times 2^\omega \rightarrow X_\infty$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in Y_n$, $g_n(y, \cdot)$ is a Borel isomorphism of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ onto $f^{-1}(\{y\})$, and for each $y \in Y_\infty$, $g_\infty(y, \cdot)$ is a Borel isomorphism of 2^ω onto $f^{-1}(\{y\})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.6, the sets X_n, Y_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are Borel, X_∞ (resp. Y_∞) are G_δ hence a Polish subspace of X (resp. Y). We may assume that all $X_n, Y_n, X_\infty, Y_\infty$ are all non-empty. First, we construct a Borel isomorphism $g_n: Y_n \times \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow X_n$. For $n = 1$, $g_1(y, 1)$ is the unique point in $f^{-1}(\{y\})$, and it is Borel because the map $f|_{X_1}$ is a Borel isomorphism with inverse $g_1(\cdot, 1)$. Assume $n \geq 2$. Choose a Polish topology on Y_n whose Borel structure coincides with the subspace Borel structure on Y_n . By [7, Theorem 13.11], there exists a Polish topology on X_n with the same Borel structure as its subspace Borel structure, such that $f_n = f|_{X_n}: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is continuous. By Theorem A.2, there exists a Borel map $\sigma_n: \mathcal{F}^*(X_n) \rightarrow X_n$ such that $\sigma_n(F) \in F$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X_n)$. Since f_n is continuous and countable-to-1, ι_{f_n} is Borel. Indeed, this is a standard corollary of the Lusin–Novikov uniformization theorem (see [7, Theorem 18.10]).

Note that the composition $\sigma_n \circ \iota_{f_n}: Y_n \rightarrow X_n$ is an injective Borel map. Indeed, if $y, y' \in Y_n$ satisfies $\sigma_n(\iota_{f_n}(y)) = \sigma_n(\iota_{f_n}(y'))$, then this element belongs to $f^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap f^{-1}(\{y'\})$, which implies that $y = y'$. Therefore, $\sigma_n(\iota_{f_n}(Y_n))$ is Borel in X_n . Then the map $Y_n \ni y \mapsto \sigma_n(\iota_{f_n}(y)) \in X_{n,n} = \sigma_n(\iota_{f_n}(Y_n))$ is a Borel isomorphism, which we call $g_n(\cdot, n)$. Set $X'_n = X_n \setminus X_{n,n}$ and $f'_n = f_n|_{X'_n}: X'_n \rightarrow Y_n$. Then f'_n is a Borel surjection with $\sharp \iota_{f'_n}(y) = n - 1$ for every $y \in Y_n$. By applying the same argument repeatedly $n - 1$ times, we obtain a Borel partition $X_{n,1} \sqcup X_{n,2} \sqcup \dots \sqcup X_{n,n-1} = X'_n$ and Borel isomorphisms $g_n(\cdot, k): Y_n \rightarrow X_{n,k}$ ($k = 1, \dots, n - 1$). Thus, we may define a Borel isomorphism $g_n: Y_n \times \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow X_n$ such that $g_n(y, \cdot): \{1, \dots, n\}$ is a Borel isomorphism of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ onto $f^{-1}(\{y\})$.

Next, we view X_∞ and Y_∞ as Polish in their subspace topologies. Let $B_\infty = \{(f(x), x) \mid x \in X_\infty\}$, which is the image of the injective Borel map $X_\infty \ni x \mapsto (f(x), x) \in Y_\infty \times X_\infty$, whence a Borel subset of $Y_\infty \times X_\infty$. Let $y \in Y_\infty$. By Proposition 3.6 (i), $(B_\infty)_y = f^{-1}(\{y\}) \subset X_\infty$ is perfect and compact in X , whence it is perfect and compact in X_∞ as well. By Theorem 3.9, there exists a Borel isomorphism $g_\infty: Y_\infty \times 2^\omega \rightarrow B_\infty$ such that $g_\infty(y, \cdot)$ is a Borel isomorphism of 2^ω onto $(B_\infty)_y = f^{-1}(\{y\})$ for every $y \in Y_\infty$. ■

Now we are ready to prove that a common Borel transversal for $H \leq G$ exists.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 3.1, there exists a Borel subset A of G which is a set of representatives for the double H -cosets. Thus, the restriction of the quotient map $\pi: G \ni x \mapsto HxH \in H \backslash G / H$ to A is a Borel isomorphism. Let $X = G/H, Y = H \backslash G$ and $Z = H \backslash G / H$, which are Polish because so is G and H is compact. Let $f: X \rightarrow Z$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ be the quotient maps. By the index condition for $H \leq G$, we have $\sharp f^{-1}(\{z\}) = \sharp g^{-1}(\{z\})$ for each $z \in Z$. Thus, as in Proposition 3.10, We define $Z_n = \{z \in Z \mid \sharp \iota_f(z) = n\}$, $X_n = f^{-1}(Z_n)$, $Y_n = g^{-1}(Z_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We also define $Z_\infty = \{z \in Z \mid \sharp \iota_f(z) = \infty\}$, $X_\infty = f^{-1}(Z_\infty)$ and $Y_\infty = g^{-1}(Z_\infty)$. Let $x \in A$. We also define $A_n = A \cap \pi^{-1}(Z_n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $A_\infty = A \cap \pi^{-1}(Z_\infty)$. All of these sets are Borel. First, we consider the case $x \in A_\infty$. By Proposition 3.10, there exists a Borel isomorphism $\varphi_\infty: Z_\infty \times 2^\omega \rightarrow X_\infty$ (resp. $\psi_\infty: Z_\infty \times 2^\omega \rightarrow Y_\infty$) such that $\varphi_\infty(z, \cdot): 2^\omega \rightarrow$

$f^{-1}(\{z\})$ (resp. $\psi_\infty(z, \cdot): 2^\omega \rightarrow g^{-1}(\{z\})$) is a Borel isomorphism for every $z \in Z_\infty$. Choose $\tilde{h}(x, \alpha) \in H$ (resp. $\tilde{k}(x, \alpha) \in H$) such that $\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha) = \tilde{h}(x, \alpha)xH$ (resp. $\psi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha) = Hx\tilde{k}(x, \alpha)$). Observe that because there are $\sharp(H \cap xHx^{-1})$ (resp. $\sharp(H \cap x^{-1}Hx)$) many choices for such $\tilde{h}(x, \alpha)$ (resp. $\tilde{k}(x, \alpha)$), it is unclear whether the maps $\tilde{h}, \tilde{k}: A_\infty \times 2^\omega \rightarrow H$ are Borel. We resolve this issue as follows. For each $x \in A_\infty$, let $\hat{h}(x, \alpha)$ be the image of $\tilde{h}(x, \alpha)$ in the quotient space $H/(H \cap xHx^{-1})$. Then $\hat{h}(x, \alpha)$ is independent of the choice of $\tilde{h}(x, \alpha)$. Since each point in $H/(H \cap xHx^{-1})$ is a closed subset of H , we view

$$\hat{h}(x, \alpha) = \tilde{h}(x, \alpha)(H \cap xHx^{-1}) \in \mathcal{F}^*(H).$$

Then we show that the map $\hat{h}: A_\infty \times 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(H)$ is Borel. Let U be a non-empty open subset of H and let $\tilde{U} = \{F \in \mathcal{F}^*(H) \mid F \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$. Then $\hat{h}^{-1}(\tilde{U}) = \{(x, \alpha) \in A_\infty \times 2^\omega \mid \hat{h}(x, \alpha) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(x, \alpha) \cap U \neq \emptyset &\iff \tilde{h}(x, \alpha)(H \cap xHx^{-1}) \cap U \neq \emptyset \\ &\iff \tilde{h}(x, \alpha) \in U(H \cap xHx^{-1}) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\iff} \varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha) \in U[xH] := \{uxH \mid u \in U\} \subset \mathcal{F}^*(G). \end{aligned}$$

To see $(*)$, suppose $\tilde{h}(x, \alpha) \in U(H \cap xHx^{-1})$. Then $\tilde{h}(x, \alpha) = u(x, \alpha)s(x, \alpha)$ for some $u(x, \alpha) \in U$ and $s(x, \alpha) \in H \cap xHx^{-1}$. Thus, $\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha) = \tilde{h}(x, \alpha)xH = u(x, \alpha)s(x, \alpha)xH = u(x, \alpha)xH \in U[xH]$ because

$$(u(x, \alpha)s(x, \alpha))^{-1}u(x, \alpha) = s(x, \alpha)^{-1} \in H \cap xHx^{-1}.$$

Conversely, given that $\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha) \in U[xH]$, then there exists $u(x, \alpha) \in U$ such that $\tilde{h}(x, \alpha)xH = u(x, \alpha)xH$, which implies that $u(x, \alpha)^{-1}\tilde{h}(x, \alpha) \in H \cap xHx^{-1}$, or equivalently $\tilde{h}(x, \alpha) \in U(H \cap xHx^{-1})$. Thus, $\hat{h}^{-1}(\tilde{U}) = \{(x, \alpha) \in A_\infty \times 2^\omega \mid \varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha) \in U[xH]\}$, and we are going to show that it is Borel.

Fix a complete metric d on G compatible with the topology, with respect to which we consider the Hausdorff metric δ on the space $\mathcal{K}^*(G) \subset \mathcal{F}^*(G)$. By Lemma A.3, the metric topology of δ is compatible with the restriction of the Effros Borel structure on $\mathcal{F}^*(G)$ to $\mathcal{K}^*(G)$.

Note that $\hat{h}^{-1}(\tilde{U})$ coincides with

$$\hat{U} := \{(x, \alpha) \in A_\infty \times 2^\omega \mid H \in x^{-1}U^{-1}[\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)]\},$$

where $x^{-1}U^{-1}[\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)] := \{x^{-1}u^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)\} \subset \mathcal{F}^*(G)$. Since U is a non-empty open set in a metrizable space H , it is F_σ , thus $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty F_i$ for some $F_1, F_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}^*(H)$. Then $\hat{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \hat{F}_i$, whence it suffices to show that $\hat{h}^{-1}(F)$ is Borel for every closed set F . Fix $F \in \mathcal{F}^*(H)$. Then F is separable, so we may choose a countable dense subset $\{k_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of F . To show that $\hat{h}^{-1}(F)$ is Borel, we first observe that

$$H \in x^{-1}F^{-1}[\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)] \iff \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \delta(H, x^{-1}k_n^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)) = 0.$$

Indeed, if $H = x^{-1}k^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)$ for some $k \in F$, there exists a sequence $(k_{n_i})_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $d(k_{n_i}, k) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Then $\delta(H, x^{-1}k_{n_i}^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha))$ is equal to the maximum of

$$\max_{g \in \varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)} d(x^{-1}k^{-1}g, x^{-1}k_{n_i}^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha))$$

and

$$\max_{g \in \varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)} d(x^{-1}k_{n_i}^{-1}g, x^{-1}k^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)).$$

The first part can be estimated from above by

$$\max_{g \in \varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)} d(x^{-1}k^{-1}g, x^{-1}k_{n_i}^{-1}g) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

because $\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)$ is compact, whence $x^{-1}k_{n_i}^{-1}g$ converges to $x^{-1}k^{-1}g$ uniformly on $g \in \varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)$.

Likewise,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{g \in \varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)} d(x^{-1}k_{n_i}^{-1}g, x^{-1}k^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \delta(H, x^{-1}k_n^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)) = 0$ holds.

Conversely, assume $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \delta(H, x^{-1}k_n^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)) = 0$. Then there exists $(k_{n_i})_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \delta(H, x^{-1}k_{n_i}^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)) = 0$. Since F is compact, by passing to a subsequence we may assume that the limit $k = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} k_{n_i} \in F$ exists. Then by the same argument as above, the sequence

$$(x^{-1}k_{n_i}^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha))_{i=1}^\infty$$

in $\mathcal{K}^*(G)$ is δ -convergent to both H and $x^{-1}k^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)$, whence by the Hausdorff property, we obtain $H = x^{-1}k^{-1}\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha) \in x^{-1}F^{-1}[\varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha)]$ holds. Since $\varphi_\infty(\pi(\cdot), \cdot): A_\infty \times 2^\omega \rightarrow X_\infty \subset \mathcal{K}^*(G) \subset \mathcal{F}^*(G)$ is Borel and $\delta(H, \cdot): \mathcal{K}^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Borel, it follows that $\hat{h}^{-1}(F)$ is Borel.

This shows that $\hat{h}: A_\infty \times 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(H)$ is Borel. By Theorem A.2, there exists a Borel map $\sigma_H: \mathcal{F}^*(H) \rightarrow H$ such that $\sigma_H(F) \in F$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}^*(H)$. Then define $h = \sigma_H \circ \hat{h}: A_\infty \times 2^\omega \rightarrow H$, which is Borel. Then by construction, for each $(x, \alpha) \in A_\infty \times 2^\omega$, the class of $h(x, \alpha)$ in $H/(H \cap xHx^{-1})$ is $\hat{h}(x, \alpha)$, which is also the class of $\tilde{h}(x, \alpha)$ by definition. Therefore, it follows that

$$h(x, \alpha)xH = \tilde{h}(x, \alpha)xH = \varphi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha).$$

By the same argument, we may find a Borel map $k: A_\infty \times 2^\omega \rightarrow H$ such that

$$xHk(x, \alpha) = \psi_\infty(\pi(x), \alpha).$$

Then $T_x = \{h(x, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in 2^\omega\}$ (resp. $S_x = \{k(x, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in 2^\omega\}$) is a transversal for $H \rightarrow H/(H \cap xHx^{-1})$ (resp. $H \rightarrow (H \cap x^{-1}Hx) \backslash H$). Thus, the map $A_\infty \times 2^\omega \ni$

$(x, \alpha) \mapsto h(x, \alpha)xk(x, \alpha) \in G$ is an injective Borel map, whence $T_\infty = \{h(x, \alpha)xk(x, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in 2^\omega, x \in A_\infty\}$ is a Borel subset of G . Moreover, it is straightforward to see that

$$\pi^{-1}(Z_\infty) = \bigsqcup_{g \in T_\infty} Hg = \bigsqcup_{g \in T_\infty} gH.$$

Next, we consider the case $x \in A_n$. Since the arguments are essentially identical to the A_∞ case, we describe the argument briefly. By Proposition 3.10, there exists a Borel isomorphism $\varphi_n: Z_n \times \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow X_n$ (resp. $\psi_n: Z_n \times \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow Y_n$) such that $\varphi_n(z, \cdot): \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow f^{-1}(\{z\})$ (resp. $\psi_n(z, \cdot): \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow g^{-1}(\{z\})$) is a Borel isomorphism for every $z \in Z_n$. Then, arguing as in the A_∞ case, we may find Borel maps $h_i: A_n \rightarrow H$ (resp. $k_i: A_n \rightarrow H$), $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$\varphi_n(\pi(x), i) = h_i(x)xH, \quad \psi_n(\pi(x), i) = Hxk_i(x), \quad x \in A_n, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then $T_x = \{h_1(x), \dots, h_n(x)\}$ (resp. $S_x = \{k_1(x), \dots, k_n(x)\}$) is a transversal for $H \rightarrow H/(H \cap xHx^{-1})$ (resp. $H \rightarrow (H \cap x^{-1}Hx) \backslash H$). Thus, the map $A_n \times \{1, \dots, n\} \ni (x, i) \mapsto h_i(x)xk_i(x) \in G$ is an injective Borel map, whence $T_n = \{h_i(x)xk_i(x) \mid i = 1, \dots, n, x \in A_n\}$ is a Borel subset of G . Moreover, it is straightforward to see that

$$\pi^{-1}(Z_n) = \bigsqcup_{g \in T_n} Hg = \bigsqcup_{g \in T_n} gH.$$

Therefore, $T = T_\infty \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ is a Borel set satisfying $G = \bigsqcup_{g \in T} Hg = \bigsqcup_{g \in T} gH$. ■

4. Examples and applications

Let now G be a countable, discrete group. We say that a group is maximally almost periodic (MAP) if the natural homomorphism from G to its Bohr compactification bG is injective, that is, if and only if we can embed G into a compact group. A subgroup $H \leq G$ is said to be Bohr closed if $\bar{H} \cap G = H$, where \bar{H} denotes the closure of H in bG . Note that there is a continuous homomorphism from bG to the pro-finite completion of G . In particular, every pro-finitely closed subgroup is also Bohr closed. Moreover, it is obvious that the intersection of Bohr closed subgroups is again Bohr closed. Note that the Bohr compactification is typically not metrizable. However, for the purposes of our arguments in this section, we always need to separate only countably many elements at a time, so that we may pass to a metrizable quotient of bG and apply our previous arguments there.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a countable, discrete group and let $K \leq H$ be Bohr closed subgroups. Then, $[H : K] = [\bar{H} : \bar{K}]$ if $[H : K]$ is finite and $[H : K] = \omega$ if and only if $[\bar{H} : \bar{K}]$ is infinite (necessarily uncountable).*

Proof. We write $H = \bigsqcup_{t \in T} tK$. If $tK \neq t'K$, then $t\bar{K} \neq t'\bar{K}$, since otherwise $t^{-1}t' \in \bar{K} \cap G = K$ as K is closed. We conclude that $\bar{H} \supset \bigsqcup_{t \in T} t\bar{K}$ with equality if T is finite

since the right-hand side is already closed. This proves the first part of the claim. The second part follows, since the value $[\bar{H} : \bar{K}]$ is always uncountable whenever it is infinite. This finishes the proof. ■

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a countable, discrete group and let $H \leq G$ be a Bohr closed subgroup. Then there exists a common transversal for H .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that G is MAP. Indeed, we may pass to the largest Bohr quotient of G , since any Bohr closed subgroup is pulled back from that quotient. Since G is countable, thanks to its MAP property and the Bohr closedness of H , we may find a compact Polish group \hat{G} and a dense embedding $j: G \rightarrow \hat{G}$ such that $\bar{H} \cap G = H$, where the closure is taken inside \hat{G} .

Now, for $x \in G$, it follows that $H \cap xHx^{-1}$ and $H \cap x^{-1}Hx$ are also Bohr closed. By Lemma 4.1, the index of the inclusion of $H \cap xHx^{-1}$ in H does not change after taking the closure if it is finite and it is ω if and only if it is 2^ω after taking the closure since the closure is taken inside the metrizable compact group \hat{G} . Now, Proposition 2.1 implies that the crucial equality $[H : H \cap xHx^{-1}] = [H : H \cap x^{-1}Hx]$ is always satisfied. Hence, there exists a common transversal for H in G using the decomposition $G = \bigsqcup_a HaH$ and the identifications $H \setminus HaH = H / (H \cap aHa^{-1})$ and $HaH / H = (H \cap a^{-1}Ha) \setminus H$ as before. ■

Remark 4.3. In the arguments above, it was sufficient to consider metrizable quotients of bG . Let us emphasize that not all problems can be reduced to metrizable quotients so easily. Note that if G is MAP, then G is embedded as a dense subgroup in bG . This means there is always a net of elements in G converging to the identity in bG . It is a surprisingly subtle question to decide, when there exists a *sequence* of non-trivial elements in G that converges to the identity in bG (see [13]).

We end this section with a few examples and remarks.

Example 4.4. Consider the Baumslag–Solitar group $BS(1, 2) = \langle a, b \mid bab^{-1} = a^2 \rangle$. It is well known that $BS(1, 2) = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \rtimes \langle b \rangle$, where the element b acts on $(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}], +)$ by multiplication with 2. Here, the element a generates the standard copy of \mathbb{Z} in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. Now, it is easy to see that $b\mathbb{Z}b^{-1} = 2\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ and $b^{-1}\mathbb{Z}b = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. In particular, we get $[\mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z}b^{-1}] = 2$, whereas $[\mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{Z} \cap b^{-1}\mathbb{Z}b] = 1$. This implies that the number of left cosets and the number of right cosets contained in the double coset $\mathbb{Z}b\mathbb{Z}$ do not agree – and hence there cannot be a common transversal for \mathbb{Z} in $BS(1, 2)$.

In view of the previous theorem, this is compatible with the fact that even though $BS(1, 2)$ is residually finite (and hence MAP) (see, e.g., [10, Lemma 2.4]), the subgroup \mathbb{Z} is well known not to be closed in the pro-finite topology. Indeed, \mathbb{Z} is pro-finitely dense in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. On the other side, \mathbb{Z} is Bohr closed as a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, since $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]/\mathbb{Z}$ is a subgroup of S^1 in a natural way. Now, the theorem above shows as a corollary

that it is not Bohr closed in $BS(1, 2)$. This can also be shown by direct analysis of the finite-dimensional unitary representations of $BS(1, 2)$.

Example 4.5. Consider the 2-solenoid S_2 , this can be defined as the Pontryagin dual of the discrete group $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, and its subgroup \mathbb{Z}_2 , the Pontryagin dual of $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]/\mathbb{Z}$. Note that \mathbb{Z}_2 is just the group of 2-adic integers. Now, there is a crossed product $S_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, where the generator of \mathbb{Z} acts by multiplication with 2. Indeed, this action can be defined on $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ and thus has a continuous extension to its Pontryagin dual. This crossed product is a Polish group, whose connected component of the identity is just S_2 ; in fact, it is locally compact. Now, something similar happens as for the Baumslag–Solitar group (see Example 4.4). Indeed, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \cap b\mathbb{Z}_2b^{-1}$ has index 2 in \mathbb{Z}_2 and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \cap b^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_2b = \mathbb{Z}_2$, so again, the numbers of left and right cosets of \mathbb{Z}_2 in the double coset $\mathbb{Z}_2b\mathbb{Z}_2$ do not agree. Hence, there is no common transversal. And this happens even though \mathbb{Z}_2 is compact.

In view of our main result, we note that even though S_2 is compact and an inverse limit of compact Lie groups, neither is the locally compact group $S_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ an inverse limit of Lie groups nor is \mathbb{Z}_2 a compact Lie group. Whence, our main result does not apply.

Remark 4.6. According to the results in [1], there exist closed common transversals for inclusions of pro-finite groups. However, note that this is not possible for general compact groups. Consider $S^1 \subset SU(2)$ with homogeneous space $SU(2)/S^1 = S^2$. A closed common transversal would be homeomorphic to S^2 and hence $SU(2)$ homeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$, which is not the case. Hence, there cannot be a closed common transversal – it is not hard to see that a locally closed transversal exists in this case. It remains an intriguing open problem to decide if in the context of Theorem 1.1 a common transversal can be found with a specific rank in the Borel hierarchy, maybe even as a locally closed subset.

A. The space of closed subsets of a Polish space

In this appendix, we summarize results on the hyperspace of closed (compact) subsets of a Polish space. Details can be found, for example, in [7, 12].

A.1. The Effros Borel space $\mathcal{F}(X)$

Definition A.1. Let X be a Polish space and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the space of all closed subsets of X . The Effros Borel structure on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is the σ -algebra generated by sets of the form

$$\{F \in \mathcal{F}(X) \mid F \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$$

for an open set $U \subset X$. It is known that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ with the Effros Borel structure is a standard Borel space. We write $\mathcal{F}^*(X) = \mathcal{F}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with the relative Borel structure.

The proof of the next theorem can be found, for example, in [7, Theorem 12.13].

Theorem A.2 (Kuratowski–Ryll–Nardzewski). *Let X be a Polish space. There exists a sequence of Borel maps $\sigma_n: \mathcal{F}^*(X) \rightarrow X$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $\{\sigma_n(F)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is dense in F for every $F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X)$.*

A.2. Space of compact subsets $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and the Vietoris topology

Let X be a topological space, $\mathcal{K}(X)$ be the space of all compact subsets of X with the Vietoris topology, that is, the one generated by the sets of the form

$$\{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) \mid K \subset U\}, \quad \{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) \mid K \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$$

for U open in X . A basis for the Vietoris topology then consists of the sets

$$\{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) \mid K \subset U_0, K \cap U_i \neq \emptyset (i = 1, \dots, n)\}$$

for U_0, \dots, U_n open in X . If X is metrizable with a compatible metric d , then the Hausdorff metric δ on $\mathcal{K}(X)$ with respect to d is defined by

$$\delta(K, L) = \begin{cases} 0 & (K = L = \emptyset), \\ 1 & (\text{exactly one of } K, L \text{ is } \emptyset), \\ \max\{\max_{x \in K} d(x, L), \max_{y \in L} d(y, K)\} & (K \neq \emptyset \neq L). \end{cases}$$

In this case, it can be shown that the Vietoris topology coincides with the metric topology given by the Hausdorff metric δ [12, Proposition 2.4.14]. If X is a compact metrizable space, then so is $\mathcal{K}(X)$ [12, Proposition 2.4.17]. Since $\{\emptyset\}$ is isolated in $\mathcal{K}(X)$ (cf. [7, Exercise 4.20]), in this case the set $\mathcal{K}^*(X) = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) \mid K \neq \emptyset\}$ is also compact.

A.3. Wijsman topology on $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$

Now if X is a (not necessarily compact) Polish space with a complete compatible metric d , a Wijsman topology on $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$ is the weak topology generated by the maps $\mathcal{F}^*(X) \ni F \mapsto d(x, F) \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X$. The Wijsman topology is a Polish topology compatible with the Effros Borel structure [2, Section 4].

A.4. $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$ as a Borel subspace of $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$

We will use the fact that $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$ is a Borel subspace of \mathcal{F}^* for the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since we were unable to find a proper reference, we record the proof here.

Lemma A.3. *Let (X, d) be a Polish metric space. Then the inclusion map $j: \mathcal{K}^*(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(X)$ is Vietoris–Wijsman continuous. In particular, the restriction of the Effros Borel structure of $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$ to $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$ agrees with the Borel structure induced by the Vietoris topology. If in addition X is compact, then on $\mathcal{K}^*(X) = \mathcal{F}^*(X)$ the Vietoris topology and the Wijsman topology agree.*

Proof. We show that the identity map $\text{id}: \mathcal{K}^*(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(X)$ is sequentially continuous. Suppose $(K_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$ which δ -converges to some $K \in \mathcal{K}^*(X)$. Let $x \in X$. Assume by contradiction that $(d(x, K_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ does not converge to $d(x, K)$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that at least one of the sets $I_+ = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid d(x, K_n) \geq d(x, K) + \varepsilon\}$ or $I_- = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid d(x, K) \geq d(x, K_n) + \varepsilon\}$ is infinite. Assume that I_+ is infinite. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $d(x, K_n) \geq d(x, K) + \varepsilon$ for all n . Let $y \in K$. Then $d(y, K_n) \leq \max_{y' \in K} d(y', K_n) \leq \delta(K, K_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. By compactness, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $y_n \in K_n$ such that $d(y, K_n) = d(y, y_n)$. Then

$$d(y, y_n) \geq d(x, y_n) - d(x, y) \geq d(x, K_n) - d(x, y) \geq d(x, K) + \varepsilon - d(x, y).$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we obtain

$$d(x, y) \geq d(x, K) + \varepsilon.$$

Since $y \in K$ is arbitrary, it implies that

$$d(x, K) \geq d(x, K) + \varepsilon,$$

which is a contradiction. Similarly, it follows that it is impossible for I_- to be infinite, whence $d(x, K_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, K)$. Since x is arbitrary, this shows that $K_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} K$ in $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$. Therefore, the inclusion map $j: \mathcal{K}^*(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(X)$ is continuous. In particular, j is an injective Borel map. Therefore, it defines a Borel isomorphism of $\mathcal{K}^*(G)$ onto its image. If moreover X is compact, then j is a bijective continuous map from a compact space $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$ to a Hausdorff space $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$, whence it is a homeomorphism. ■

Acknowledgments. A.T. thanks Henry Bradford for interesting discussions on the subject and Arno Fehm for the reference to [4, Lemma 1.2.7].

Funding. H.A. is supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Sciences KAKENHI 20K03647.

References

- [1] H. Appelgate and H. Onishi, [Coincident pairs of continuous sections in profinite groups](#). *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1977), no. 2, 217–220 Zbl [0366.20025](#) MR [0442099](#)
- [2] G. Beer, [A Polish topology for the closed subsets of a Polish space](#). *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **113** (1991), no. 4, 1123–1133 Zbl [0776.54011](#) MR [1065940](#)
- [3] J. Button, M. Chiodo, and M. Zeron-Medina Laris, [Coset intersection graphs for groups](#). *Amer. Math. Monthly* **121** (2014), no. 10, 922–926 Zbl [1315.20001](#) MR [3295666](#)
- [4] M. D. Fried and M. Jarden, [Field arithmetic](#). 3rd edn., *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* (3) 11, Springer, Heidelberg, 2008, 792 pp. Zbl [1145.12001](#) MR [2445111](#)
- [5] A. M. Gleason, [The structure of locally compact groups](#). *Duke Math. J.* **18** (1951), no. 1, 85–104 Zbl [0044.01901](#) MR [0039730](#)

- [6] S. Kaliszewski, M. B. Landstad, and J. Quigg, [Hecke \$C^*\$ -algebras, Schlichting completions and Morita equivalence](#). *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* **51** (2008), no. 3, 657–695
Zbl [1165.46037](#) MR [2465930](#)
- [7] A. S. Kechris, [Classical descriptive set theory](#). Grad. Texts in Math. 156, Springer, New York, 1995, 404 pp. Zbl [0819.04002](#) MR [1321597](#)
- [8] A. Krieg, [Hecke algebras](#). *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87** (1990), no. 435, 158 pp.
Zbl [0706.11029](#) MR [1027069](#)
- [9] R. D. Mauldin, [Borel parametrizations](#). *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **250** (1979), 223–234
Zbl [0408.28005](#) MR [0530052](#)
- [10] S. Meskin, [Nonresidually finite one-relator groups](#). *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **164** (1972), 105–114 Zbl [0245.20028](#) MR [0285589](#)
- [11] O. Ore, [On coset representatives in groups](#). *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1958), 665–670
Zbl [0092.02201](#) MR [0100639](#)
- [12] S. M. Srivastava, [A course on Borel sets](#). Grad. Texts in Math. 180, Springer, Heidelberg, 1998
Zbl [0903.28001](#) MR [1619545](#)
- [13] A. Thom, [Convergent sequences in discrete groups](#). *Canad. Math. Bull.* **56** (2013), no. 2, 424–433 Zbl [1276.54028](#) MR [3043070](#)
- [14] H. Yamabe, [A generalization of a theorem of Gleason](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **58** (1953), no. 2, 351–365 Zbl [0053.01602](#) MR [0058607](#)

Received 16 August 2023.

Hiroshi Ando

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi-cho, Inage, Chiba 263-8522, Japan; hiroando@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp

Andreas Thom

Fakultät für Mathematik, TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany; andreas.thom@tu-dresden.de