

Groups elementarily equivalent to a finitely generated free metabelian group

Olga Kharlampovich and Alexei Miasnikov

Abstract. We describe groups elementarily equivalent to a free metabelian group with n generators. We also explore an exponentiation that naturally occurs in metabelian groups.

Dedicated to Slava Grigorchuk on the occasion of his birthday

1. Introduction

In this paper, we describe all groups elementarily equivalent to a free metabelian group G of finite rank > 1 . To do this, we first prove that G is regularly bi-interpretable with \mathbb{Z} . Then following [4] we show that groups elementarily equivalent to G are precisely the non-standard versions $G(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$ of G , where $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \equiv \mathbb{Z}$, and describe their algebraic structure. Along the way, we prove that the set of all bases of G is absolutely definable in G . For this, we provide a new characterization of bases of G in terms of the normal forms and their coordinate functions which is interesting in its own right. Furthermore, this regular bi-interpretation of G with \mathbb{Z} is strong and injective, which gives many interesting model-theoretic properties of G . Thus, G is rich, that is, the first-order logic over G is as expressive as the weak second-order logic over G (see [9]), G admits elimination of imaginaries and the projective logical geometries over G and \mathbb{Z} form equivalent categories (see [5]), etc. An important part of our characterization of the algebraic structure of non-standard models $G(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$ comes from the theory of exponential groups (see [1, 17, 18]). It turns out that every non-standard group $G(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$ is an exponential $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ -group, and we can describe the $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ -exponentiation in $G(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$.

2. Interpretability and bi-interpretability

One can use the model-theoretic notion of interpretability and bi-interpretability to study structures elementarily equivalent to a given one. In this paper, we are going to do this for

free metabelian groups. We recall here some precise definitions and several known facts that may not be very familiar to algebraists.

A *language* (or a *signature*) L is a triple (Fun, Pr, C) , where $Fun = \{f, \dots\}$ is a set of functional symbols f coming together with their arities $n_f \in \mathbb{N}$, Pr is a set of relation (or predicate) symbols $P = \{P, \dots\}$ coming together with their arities $n_P \in \mathbb{N}$, and a set of constant symbols $C = \{c, \dots\}$. Sometimes we write $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ or $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ to show that $n_f = n$ or $n_P = n$. Usually we denote variables by small letters $x, y, z, a, b, u, v, \dots$, while the same symbols with bars \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \dots denote tuples of the corresponding variables, say $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. In this paper, we always assume, if not said otherwise, that the languages we consider are finite. The following languages appear frequently throughout the text: the language of groups $\{\cdot, ^{-1}, 1\}$, where 1 is the constant symbol for the identity element, \cdot is the binary multiplication symbol, and $^{-1}$ is the symbol of inversion, and the language of unitary rings $\{+, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ with the standard symbols for addition, multiplication, the additive identity 0 , and the unity 1 .

A structure in the language L (an L -structure) with the base set A is sometimes denoted by $\mathbb{A} = \langle A; L \rangle$ or simply by $\mathbb{A} = \langle A; f, \dots, P, \dots, c, \dots \rangle$. For a given structure \mathbb{A} by $L(\mathbb{A})$, we denote the language of \mathbb{A} . When the language L is clear from the context, we follow the standard algebraic practice and denote the structure $\mathbb{A} = \langle A; L \rangle$ simply by A .

Let $\mathbb{B} = \langle B; L \rangle$ be a structure. A subset $A \subseteq B^n$ is called *definable* in \mathbb{B} if there is a formula $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ (without parameters) in $L(\mathbb{B})$ such that $A = \{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B^n \mid \mathbb{B} \models \varphi(b_1, \dots, b_n)\}$. In this case, we denote A by $\varphi(B^n)$ or $\varphi(\mathbb{B})$ and say that φ *defines* A in \mathbb{B} . Similarly, an operation f on the subset A is definable in \mathbb{B} if its graph is definable in \mathbb{B} . A constant c is definable if the relation $x = c$ is definable. An n -ary predicate $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is definable in \mathbb{B} if the set $\{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B^n \mid P(b_1, \dots, b_n) \text{ is true}\}$ is definable in \mathbb{B} .

Definition 2.1. An algebraic structure $\mathbb{A} = \langle A; f, \dots, P, \dots, c, \dots \rangle$ is absolutely interpretable (or 0-interpretable) in a structure \mathbb{B} if there is a subset $A^* \subseteq B^n$ definable in \mathbb{B} , an equivalence relation \sim on A^* definable in \mathbb{B} , operations f^*, \dots , predicates P^*, \dots , and constants c^*, \dots , on the quotient set A^*/\sim all interpretable in \mathbb{B} such that the structure $\mathbb{A}^* = \langle A^*/\sim; f^*, \dots, P^*, \dots, c^*, \dots \rangle$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A} .

More formally, an interpretation of \mathbb{A} in \mathbb{B} is described by the following set of formulas in the language $L(\mathbb{B})$:

$$\Gamma = \{U_\Gamma(\bar{x}), E_\Gamma(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2), Q_\Gamma(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{t_Q}) \mid Q \in L(\mathbb{A})\}$$

(here \bar{x} and \bar{x}_i are n -tuples of variables) which interpret \mathbb{A} in \mathbb{B} (as in Definition 2.1). Namely, U_Γ defines in \mathbb{B} a subset $A_\Gamma = U_\Gamma(B^n) \subseteq B^n$, E_Γ defines in \mathbb{B} an equivalence relation \sim_Γ on A_Γ , and the formulas Q_Γ define functions f_Γ , predicates P_Γ , and constants c_Γ that interpret the corresponding symbols from $L(\mathbb{A})$ on the quotient set A_Γ/\sim_Γ in such a way that the L -structure $\Gamma(\mathbb{B}) = \langle A_\Gamma/\sim_\Gamma; f_\Gamma, \dots, P_\Gamma, \dots, c_\Gamma, \dots \rangle$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A} .

Note that we interpret a constant $c \in L(\mathbb{A})$ in the structure $\Gamma(\mathbb{B})$ by the \sim_Γ -equivalence class of some tuple $\bar{b}_c \in A_\Gamma$ defined in \mathbb{B} by the formula Q_c . We write $\mathbb{A} \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{B})$ if Γ interprets \mathbb{A} in \mathbb{B} as described above and refer to Γ as an *interpretation code* or just *code*. The number n is called the dimension of Γ , denoted by $n = \dim \Gamma$. By μ_Γ , we denote a surjective map $A_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ (here $\mathbb{A} = \langle A; L(\mathbb{A}) \rangle$) that gives rise to an isomorphism $\bar{\mu}_\Gamma : \Gamma(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$. We refer to this map μ_Γ as *the coordinate map* of the interpretation Γ . When the formula E_Γ defines the identity relation $(x_1 = x'_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (x_n = x'_n)$, the surjection μ_Γ is injective, in which case, $\Gamma(\mathbb{B})$ is called an *injective interpretation*. Finally, notation $\mathbb{A} \overset{\Gamma}{\rightsquigarrow} \mathbb{B}$ means that \mathbb{A} is interpretable in \mathbb{B} by the code Γ .

More generally, the formulas that interpret \mathbb{A} in \mathbb{B} may contain elements from \mathbb{B} that are not in the language $L(\mathbb{B})$, that is, some parameters, say $p_1, \dots, p_k \in B$. In this case, we assume that all the formulas from the code Γ have a tuple of extra variables $\bar{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ for parameters in \mathbb{B} :

$$\Gamma = \{U_\Gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), E_\Gamma(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{y}), Q_\Gamma(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{t_Q}, \bar{y}) \mid Q \in L(\mathbb{A})\}, \quad (2.1)$$

so that after the assignment $y_1 \rightarrow p_1, \dots, y_k \rightarrow p_k$, the code interprets \mathbb{A} in \mathbb{B} . In this event, we write $\mathbb{A} \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{B}, \bar{p})$ (here $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$) and say that \mathbb{A} is interpretable in \mathbb{B} by the code Γ with parameters \bar{p} . In the case, when $\bar{p} = \emptyset$, one gets again the absolute interpretability. Sometimes, it is convenient to consider interpretations $\mathbb{A} \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{B}, \bar{p})$ together with their coordinate maps, that is, as triples $(\Gamma, \bar{p}, \mu_\Gamma)$.

We say that a structure \mathbb{A} is interpreted in a given structure \mathbb{B} *uniformly* with respect to a subset $D \subseteq B^k$ if there is a code Γ such that $\mathbb{A} \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{B}, \bar{p})$ for every tuple of parameters $\bar{p} \in D$. If \mathbb{A} is interpreted in \mathbb{B} uniformly with respect to a 0-definable subset $D \subseteq B^k$, then we say that \mathbb{A} is *regularly interpretable* in \mathbb{B} and write in this case $\mathbb{A} \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{B}, \varphi)$, provided D is defined by φ in \mathbb{B} . This notion appeared first in [15, Section 1.1] or [14], and it is similar to the notion of *interpretability with definable parameters* [7, Remark 5, p. 215]. Note that the absolute interpretability is a particular case of the regular interpretability where the set D is empty.

It is known that the relation $\mathbb{A} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{B}$ is transitive on algebraic structures (see, e.g., [4, 7]). The proof of this fact is based on the notion of Γ -translation and composition of codes, which we present now.

Let Γ be the code (2.1). Then for any formula $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ in the language $L(\mathbb{A})$, there is a formula $\varphi_\Gamma(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m, \bar{y})$ in the language $L(\mathbb{B})$, the Γ -translation of φ , such that if $\mathbb{A} \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{B}, \bar{p})$, then for any coordinate map $\mu_\Gamma : A_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, one has

$$\mathbb{A} \models \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_m) \iff \mathbb{B} \models \varphi_\Gamma(\mu_\Gamma^{-1}(a_1), \dots, \mu_\Gamma^{-1}(a_m), \bar{p})$$

for any elements $a_i \in A$ (see [4, 7]). Here $\mu_\Gamma^{-1}(a_i)$ means an arbitrary preimage of a_i under μ_Γ . Furthermore, for any elements $\bar{b}_i \in B^n$ if $\mathbb{B} \models \varphi_\Gamma(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_m, \bar{p})$, then $\bar{b}_i \in \mu_\Gamma^{-1}(a_i)$ for some $a_i \in A$ with $\mathbb{A} \models \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_m)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}$ be algebraic structures. Consider codes

$$\Gamma = \{U_\Gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), E_\Gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{x}', \bar{y}), Q_\Gamma(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{t_Q}, \bar{y}) \mid Q \in L(\mathbb{A})\}$$

and

$$\Delta = \{U_\Delta(\bar{u}, \bar{z}), E_\Delta(\bar{u}, \bar{u}', \bar{z}), Q_\Delta(\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_{t_Q}, \bar{z}) \mid Q \in L(\mathbb{B})\}$$

which consist of $L(\mathbb{C})$ -formulas. Then the *composition* of the codes Γ and Δ is the code

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma \circ \Delta &= \{U_{\Gamma \circ \Delta}, E_{\Gamma \circ \Delta}, Q_{\Gamma \circ \Delta} \mid Q \in L(\mathbb{A})\} \\ &= \{(U_\Gamma)_\Delta, (E_\Gamma)_\Delta, (Q_\Gamma)_\Delta \mid Q \in L(\mathbb{A})\}.\end{aligned}$$

The following is an important technical result on the transitivity of interpretations.

Lemma 2.3 ([4]). *Let $\mathbb{A} = \langle A; L(\mathbb{A}) \rangle$, $\mathbb{B} = \langle B; L(\mathbb{B}) \rangle$, and $\mathbb{C} = \langle C; L(\mathbb{C}) \rangle$ be algebraic structures and Γ, Δ be codes as above. If $\mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathbb{B}$ and $\mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{C}$, then $\mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{\Gamma \circ \Delta} \mathbb{C}$.*

Furthermore, the following conditions hold:

- (1) *If \bar{p}, \bar{q} are parameters and μ_Γ, μ_Δ are coordinate maps of interpretations Γ, Δ , then $(\bar{\bar{p}}, \bar{\bar{q}})$, where $\bar{\bar{p}} \in \mu_\Delta^{-1}(\bar{p})$, are parameters for $\Gamma \circ \Delta$.*
- (2) *$\mu_\Gamma \circ \mu_\Delta = \mu_\Gamma \circ \mu_\Delta^n|_{U_{\Gamma \circ \Delta}(\mathbb{C}, (\bar{\bar{p}}, \bar{\bar{q}}))}$ is a coordinate map of the interpretation $\mathbb{A} \simeq \Gamma \circ \Delta(\mathbb{C}, (\bar{\bar{p}}, \bar{\bar{q}}))$ and any coordinate map $\mu_{\Gamma \circ \Delta}: U_{\Gamma \circ \Delta}(\mathbb{C}, (\bar{\bar{p}}, \bar{\bar{q}})) \rightarrow A$ has a form $\mu_{\Gamma_1} \circ \mu_\Delta$ for a suitable coordinate map μ_{Γ_1} of the interpretation $\mathbb{A} \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{B}, \bar{p})$, provided μ_Δ is fixed.*

Observe that composition of absolute (regular) interpretations is absolute (regular).

Now we discuss a very strong version of mutual interpretability of two structures, so-called *bi-interpretability*.

Definition 2.4. Algebraic structures \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are called *strongly bi-interpretable* (with parameters) in each other, if

- (1) *there exists an interpretation $(\Gamma, \bar{p}, \mu_\Gamma)$ of \mathbb{A} into \mathbb{B} and an interpretation $(\Delta, \bar{q}, \mu_\Delta)$ of \mathbb{B} into \mathbb{A} , so the algebraic structures $\Gamma \circ \Delta(\mathbb{A}, (\bar{\bar{p}}, \bar{\bar{q}}))$ and $\Delta \circ \Gamma(\mathbb{B}, (\bar{\bar{q}}, \bar{\bar{p}}))$ are uniquely defined and $\Gamma \circ \Delta(\mathbb{A}, (\bar{\bar{p}}, \bar{\bar{q}}))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A} , while $\Delta \circ \Gamma(\mathbb{B}, (\bar{\bar{q}}, \bar{\bar{p}}))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{B} ;*
- (2) *the composition $\mu_\Gamma \circ \mu_\Delta: U_{\Gamma \circ \Delta}(\mathbb{A}, (\bar{\bar{p}}, \bar{\bar{q}})) \rightarrow A$ is definable in \mathbb{A} and the composition $\mu_\Delta \circ \mu_\Gamma: U_{\Delta \circ \Gamma}(\mathbb{B}, (\bar{\bar{q}}, \bar{\bar{p}})) \rightarrow B$ is definable in \mathbb{B} .*

In this case, we additionally say that \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are *strongly injectively bi-interpretable*, if the interpretations Γ and Δ are injective.

Note that there is another slightly different notion of *bi-interpretation*, which for contrast we sometimes call a *weak bi-interpretation*, where in the above definition condition (2) that requires definability of the maps $\mu_\Gamma \circ \mu_\Delta$ and $\mu_\Delta \circ \mu_\Gamma$ is replaced by a weaker one that requires definability of some coordinate maps $A_{\Gamma \circ \Delta} \rightarrow A$ and $B_{\Delta \circ \Gamma} \rightarrow B$. Often, authors do not even mention the difference, implicitly assuming either one or another. To be precise, we endorse these two notions explicitly. Observe that the bi-interpretation defined in the books [7, 9] is weak, but in the paper [2], it is strong. There

are many interesting applications of strong bi-interpretations which we cannot derive from the weak ones.

Two algebraic structures \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are called *0-bi-interpretable* or *absolutely bi-interpretable* (strongly) in each other if in the definition above the tuples of parameters p and q are empty.

Unfortunately, 0-bi-interpretability is rather rare. Indeed, if \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are 0-bi-interpretable in each other, then their groups of automorphisms are isomorphic [7]. Bi-interpretability with parameters occurs much more often, but it gives much less for applications; in particular, it is not applicable for first-order classification problems (addition of constants changes the language).

Fortunately, there is a notion of regular bi-interpretability, which is less restrictive, occurs more often, and which enjoys many properties of 0-bi-interpretability.

Definition 2.5. Algebraic structures \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are called *regularly bi-interpretable*, if

- (1) there exist a regular interpretation (Γ, φ) of \mathbb{A} in \mathbb{B} and a regular interpretation (Δ, ψ) of \mathbb{B} in \mathbb{A} ;
- (2) there exists formula $\theta_{\mathbb{A}}(\bar{u}, x, \bar{r})$ in $L(\mathbb{A})$, where $|\bar{u}| = \dim \Gamma \cdot \dim \Delta$, $|\bar{r}| = \dim_{\text{par}} \Gamma \circ \Delta$, such that for any tuple $\bar{r}_0 \in \varphi_{\Delta} \wedge \psi(\mathbb{A})$ the formula $\theta_{\mathbb{A}}(\bar{u}, x, \bar{r}_0)$ defines some coordinate map $U_{\Gamma \circ \Delta}(\mathbb{A}, \bar{r}_0) \rightarrow A$;
- (3) there exists formula $\theta_{\mathbb{B}}(\bar{u}, x, \bar{t})$ in $L(\mathbb{B})$, where $|\bar{u}| = \dim \Gamma \cdot \dim \Delta$, $|\bar{t}| = \dim_{\text{par}} \Delta \circ \Gamma$, such that for any tuple $\bar{t}_0 \in \psi_{\Gamma} \wedge \varphi(\mathbb{B})$ the formula $\theta_{\mathbb{B}}(\bar{u}, x, \bar{t}_0)$ defines some coordinate map $U_{\Delta \circ \Gamma}(\mathbb{B}, \bar{t}_0) \rightarrow B$.

Regular bi-interpretation is called *injective* if both (Γ, φ) and (Δ, ψ) are injective.

Definition 2.6. We say that \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are *strongly regularly bi-interpretable*, if they are regularly bi-interpretable, that is, (1)–(3) hold, and additionally

- (4) for any pair of parameters (\bar{p}, \bar{q}) , $\bar{p} \in \varphi(\mathbb{B})$, $\bar{q} \in \psi(\mathbb{A})$, there exists a pair of coordinate maps $(\mu_{\Gamma}, \mu_{\Delta})$ for interpretations (Γ, \bar{p}) and (Δ, \bar{q}) , such that for any $\bar{r}_0 = (\bar{p}, \bar{q})$, $\bar{p} \in \mu_{\Delta}^{-1}(\bar{r}_0)$, and $\bar{t}_0 = (\bar{q}, \bar{p})$, $\bar{q} \in \mu_{\Gamma}^{-1}(\bar{r}_0)$, the coordinate maps $\mu_{\Gamma} \circ \mu_{\Delta}: U_{\Gamma \circ \Delta}(\mathbb{A}, \bar{r}_0) \rightarrow A$ and $\mu_{\Delta} \circ \mu_{\Gamma}: U_{\Delta \circ \Gamma}(\mathbb{B}, \bar{t}_0) \rightarrow B$ are defined in \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} correspondingly by the formulas $\theta_{\mathbb{A}}(\bar{u}, x, \bar{r}_0)$ and $\theta_{\mathbb{B}}(\bar{u}, x, \bar{t}_0)$.

Theorem 2.7 ([4, 12]). *Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be regularly bi-interpretable in each other, so $\mathbb{A} \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{B}, \varphi)$ and $\mathbb{B} \cong \Delta(\mathbb{A}, \psi)$. Then*

- (1) *for any $\tilde{\mathbb{B}} \equiv \mathbb{B}$, the code (Γ, φ) regularly interprets a structure $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} \simeq \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{B}}, \varphi)$ in $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}$ such that $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} \equiv \mathbb{A}$;*
- (2) *every $L(\mathbb{A})$ -structure $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ elementarily equivalent to \mathbb{A} is isomorphic to $\Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{B}}, \varphi)$ for some $\tilde{\mathbb{B}} \equiv \mathbb{B}$;*
- (3) *for any $\mathbb{B}_1 \equiv \mathbb{B} \equiv \mathbb{B}_2$, one has*

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{B}_1, \varphi) \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{B}_2, \varphi) \iff \mathbb{B}_1 \cong \mathbb{B}_2.$$

In fact, one does not need bi-interpretability of \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} in Theorem 2.7; it suffices to have a weaker condition that \mathbb{A} is regularly invertibly interpretable in \mathbb{B} (see [4, 12]). However, for other applications, bi-interpretability is required.

Elimination of imaginaries plays an important part in model theory (see [7] for details). This involves imaginaries *without parameters*.

Definition 2.8. We say that an algebraic structure \mathbb{B} has *uniform elimination of imaginaries with parameters*, if the algebraic structure \mathbb{B}_B , obtained from \mathbb{B} by adding all elements of \mathbb{B} to the language as constants, has uniform elimination of imaginaries without parameters.

Theorem 2.9 ([4]). *Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be strongly bi-interpretable with parameters in each other, so $\mathbb{A} \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{B}, \bar{p})$ and $\mathbb{B} \simeq \Delta(\mathbb{A}, \bar{q})$, and Δ is injective. If \mathbb{B} has uniform elimination of imaginaries with parameters, then \mathbb{A} has uniform elimination of imaginaries with parameters.*

Note that \mathbb{Z} has uniform elimination of imaginaries (with or without parameters). Hence, all structures strongly injectively bi-interpretable with \mathbb{Z} (with parameters) enjoy uniform elimination of imaginaries with parameters.

3. Bi-interpretation of a free metabelian group with \mathbb{Z}

It was announced in [10] and proved in [9] that a free metabelian group G of finite rank $n \geq 2$ is prime, atomic, homogeneous, and QFA (quasi finitely axiomatizable). Furthermore, it was shown in [9] that G is rich which implies a host of model-theoretic results for G . This was done by proving the existence of bi-interpretation of G with \mathbb{Z} . Here we improve on our bi-interpretation from [9] and provide new applications of this in the next section.

Throughout this section, we denote by G a free metabelian group of rank $n \geq 2$.

3.1. Preliminaries for metabelian groups

In this section, we introduce notation and describe some results that we need in the sequel.

Let $G' = [G, G]$ be the commutant of G and G_m the m th term of the lower central series of G . For a subset $A \subseteq G$ denote by $\langle A \rangle$ the subgroup generated by $A \subseteq G$ and by $C_G(A)$ the centralizer of A in G . If $g, h \in G$, then $[g, h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$ is the commutator of g and h , and $g^h = h^{-1}gh$ is the conjugate of g by h . The maximal root of an element $g \in G$ is an element $g_0 \in G$ such that g_0 is not a proper power in G and $g \in \langle g_0 \rangle$. Note that the maximal roots of the elements in G exist and they are unique.

We will be using the following standard commutator identities that hold in every group for any elements a, b, c :

$$[a, b]^{-1} = [b, a], \quad [a^{-1}, b] = [b, a]^{a^{-1}}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$[ab, c] = [a, c]^b [b, c], \quad [a, bc] = [a, c][a, b]^c. \quad (3.2)$$

In [11], Mal'cev obtained a description of centralizers of elements in free solvable groups; in particular, in free metabelian groups G , centralizers are as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g \in G, g \neq 1$. Then*

- (1) *if $g \in G'$, then $C_G(g) = G'$;*
- (2) *if $g \notin G'$, then $C_G(g) = \langle g_0 \rangle$, where g_0 is the unique maximal root of g .*

Let $v \in G \setminus G'$. Define a map $\lambda_v : G' \rightarrow G'$ such that for $c \in G'$ $\lambda_v(c) = [v, c]$. Then the map λ_v is a homomorphism. Indeed, using the second commutator identity in (3.2), one has for $c_1, c_2 \in G'$

$$[v, c_1 c_2] = [v, c_2][v, c_1]^{c_2} = [v, c_2][v, c_1] = [v, c_1][v, c_2], \quad (3.3)$$

as claimed. Similarly, in the notation above, the map $\mu_v : c \rightarrow [c, v]$ is a homomorphism $\mu_v : G' \rightarrow G'$.

Let $v \in G \setminus G'$ and $d \in G'$. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $c \in G'$ such that

$$(vd)^k = v^k d^k [c, v].$$

We prove first, by induction on k , that

$$d^k v = v d^k [c, v]$$

for some $c \in G'$. Indeed, for $k = 1$, one has the standard equality $dv = vd[d, v]$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} d^{k+1}v &= d^k dv = d^k vd[d, v] = v d^k [c_1, v] d[d, v] \\ &= v d^{k+1} [c_1, v] [d, v] = v d^{k+1} [c_1 d, v], \end{aligned}$$

the last equality comes from property (3.3), that the map μ_v is a homomorphism on G' .

Now one can finish the claim by induction on k as follows (here elements $c_i \in G'$ appear as the result of application of the induction step and the claim above):

$$\begin{aligned} (vd)^{k+1} &= (vd)^k vd = v^k d^k [c_2, v] vd = v^k d^k v [c_2, v] [[c_2, v], v] d \\ &= v^k v d^k [c_3, v] [c_2, v] [[c_2, v], v] d = v^{k+1} d^{k+1} [c_3 c_2 [c_2, v], v] \\ &= v^{k+1} d^{k+1} [c, v], \end{aligned}$$

where the second to last equality comes again from property (3.3), and $c = c_3 c_2 [c_2, v]$. This proves the claim.

Lemma 3.2 ([9, Lemma 4.23]). *Let $d \in G'$. If for any $v \in G \setminus G'$, there exists $c \in G'$ such that $d = [c, v]$, then $d = 1$.*

3.2. Normal forms of elements

Fix a finite set of generators $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

We denote by $\bar{\cdot}: G \rightarrow G/G'$ the canonical epimorphism $g \rightarrow \bar{g} = gG'$ from the group G onto its abelianization $\bar{G} = G/G'$. Put $a_1 = \bar{x}_1, \dots, a_n = \bar{x}_n$. The group G acts by conjugation on G' , which gives an action of the abelianization \bar{G} on G' . This action extends linearly to an action of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ on G' and turns G' into a $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ -module. Since a_1, \dots, a_n generate \bar{G} , then the identity map $a_i \rightarrow a_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, extends to an epimorphism $\eta: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ from the ring of Laurent polynomials $A = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n, a_n^{-1}]$ onto $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$, which provides an action of A onto G' and turns G' into A -module. If a_1, \dots, a_n forms a basis of G' , then η is an isomorphism, and we can identify $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ with A . For the action of A on G' , we use exponential notation, that is, for $u \in G'$ and $a \in A$, we denote by u^a the result of the action of a on u . We use this notation throughout the paper.

Using the standard commutator identities, which hold in every group, one can write every element $u \in G'$ in the form

$$u = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{Q_{i,j}}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $Q_{i,j}$ are Laurent polynomials from A . Hence, the set of commutators

$$C_X = \{[x_i, x_j] \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n\}$$

generates G' as an A -module. Note that G , as well as any metabelian group, satisfies the Jacobi identity, that is, for every $u, v, w \in G$,

$$[u, v, w][v, w, u][w, u, v] = 1. \quad (3.5)$$

In particular, for $u = x_i, v = x_j, w = x_k$, one gets (in the module notation)

$$[x_i, x_j]^{a_k-1} [x_j, x_k]^{a_i-1} [x_k, x_i]^{a_j-1} = 1,$$

hence

$$[x_i, x_j]^{a_k-1} = [x_k, x_j]^{a_i-1} [x_k, x_i]^{1-a_j}, \quad (3.6)$$

so C_X is not a free generating set of the module G' . However, there are nice normal forms of elements of the module G' (see [9, 13]). To deal with normal forms in G , we need a few preliminary results.

Remark 3.3. Let $1 \neq \bar{g} \in \bar{G}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\bar{g} - 1$ divides $\bar{g}^\delta - 1$ in the ring $\mathbb{Z}(\bar{G})$, that is, the element $\frac{\bar{g}^\delta - 1}{\bar{g} - 1}$ is uniquely defined in $\mathbb{Z}(\bar{G})$. Indeed, if $\delta > 0$, then

$$\bar{g}^\delta - 1 = (\bar{g} - 1)(\bar{g}^{\delta-1} + \dots + \bar{g} + 1).$$

If $\delta < 0$, then $\bar{g}^\delta = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{|\delta|}$ and the formula above applies.

The following generalizes equality (3.6).

Lemma 3.4. *Let $1 \leq j < i < k \leq n$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$[x_i, x_j]^{a_k^\delta - 1} = [x_k, x_j]^{(a_i - 1) \frac{a_k^\delta - 1}{a_k - 1}} [x_k, x_i]^{(1 - a_j) \frac{a_k^\delta - 1}{a_k - 1}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Note that

$$[x_i, x_j]^{a_k^\delta - 1} = [x_i, x_j]^{(a_k - 1) \frac{a_k^\delta - 1}{a_k - 1}}.$$

Now we apply (3.6) to $[x_i, x_j]^{(a_k - 1)}$ and multiply the result (in the module G') by $\frac{a_k^\delta - 1}{a_k - 1}$. ■

Lemma 3.5. *Let $z, g \in G \setminus G'$ and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$, then*

- (1) $[z, g^\delta] = [z, g]^{\frac{\bar{g}^\delta - 1}{\bar{g} - 1}};$
- (2) $[z^\gamma, g^\delta] = [z, g]^{(\frac{\bar{z}^\gamma - 1}{\bar{z} - 1})(\frac{\bar{g}^\delta - 1}{\bar{g} - 1})}.$

Proof. The Jacobi identity

$$[z, g^\delta, g][g^\delta, g, z][g, z, g^\delta] = 1$$

reduces to

$$[z, g^\delta, g] = [g, z, g^\delta]^{-1},$$

since $[g^\delta, g, z] = 1$. After rewriting it in the module notation, we get

$$[z, g^\delta]^{\bar{g} - 1} = [z, g]^{\bar{g}^\delta - 1}.$$

This proves (1). (2) follows from (1). ■

Proposition 3.6. *Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a generating set of G and $a_1 = \bar{x}_1, \dots, a_n = \bar{x}_n$. Then every element $u \in G'$ can be presented as the following product:*

$$u = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_i, a_i^{-1}]$.

Proof. We showed above that every element $u \in G'$ can be written in the form (3.4):

$$u = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{Q_{i,j}},$$

where $Q_{i,j}$ are Laurent polynomials from A . Note that this can be done algorithmically. Now we describe a collecting process that transforms products in the form (3.4) to products of the form (3.8), which we term *collected forms*. Since G' is commutative, it suffices to show how to collect an element $[x_i, x_j]^Q$, where $Q \in A$. Similarly, since Q is a sum of the type $\sum_i \gamma_i M_i$, where $M_i \in \bar{G}$ and $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to collect $[x_i, x_j]^M$, where $M \in \bar{G}$. Decompose M into a product $M = M_1 a_k^\delta M_2$, where $M_1 \in \langle a_1, \dots, a_i \rangle$, $k > i$,

$\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $M_2 \in \langle a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n \rangle$. Note that $[x_i, x_j]^{M_1}$ is collected. To collect $[x_i, x_j]^{a_k^\delta}$, write it as

$$[x_i, x_j]^{a_k^\delta} = [x_i, x_j]^{a_k^\delta - 1} [x_i, x_j]$$

and apply (3.7) from Lemma 3.4. This results in a collected form w , where

$$w = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq k} [x_i, x_j]^{f_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)},$$

for some $f_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_i, a_i^{-1}]$. Note that

$$w^{M_1} = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq k} [x_i, x_j]^{f_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)M_1}$$

is also collected. Since $[x_i, x_j]^M = (w^{M_1})^{M_2}$, the argument above shows that to collect $[x_i, x_j]^M$, it suffices to collect elements of the type $[x_i, x_j]^{M_2}$, where $1 \leq j < i \leq k$. Now we can repeat the collecting process above. This shows that every element $u \in G'$ can be written in the form (3.8). ■

Corollary 3.7. *Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a generating set of G . Then every element $g \in G$ can be presented as the following product:*

$$g = x_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_i, a_i^{-1}]$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis of G as a free metabelian group. Then every element $u \in G'$ can be uniquely presented as the following product:*

$$u = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_i, a_i^{-1}]$.

Proof. Observe that the images of X in \bar{G} form a basis of \bar{G} , hence $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G} \simeq A$. By Proposition 3.6, every element $u \in G'$ has some decomposition of the form (3.10). To prove uniqueness of the form (3.8), we use induction on n . Let

$$u = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)},$$

where $\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_i, a_i^{-1}]$. Assume that $u = 1$. We need to show that $\beta_{ij} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j < i \leq n$.

For $n = 2$, the $\mathbb{Z}[a_1^{\pm 1}, a_2^{\pm 1}]$ -module G' is free with basis $[x_2, x_1]$ (see [3]), so the result follows.

For $n > 2$, consider the canonical epimorphism $\mu_n : G \rightarrow H = G/ncl(x_n)$, where $ncl(x_n)$ is the normal closure of x_n in G , so $\mu_n(x_i) = x_i$, $1 \leq i < n$, $\mu_n(x_n) = 1$. Note that H is a free metabelian group of rank $n - 1$. Clearly,

$$\mu_n(u) = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n-1} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}.$$

Hence, by induction, $\beta_{ij} = 0$ for $1 \leq j < i \leq n-1$. Therefore,

$$u = [x_n, x_1]^{\beta_{n1}(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \dots [x_n, x_{n-1}]^{\beta_{n,n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}.$$

For an integer $N > 0$, consider a homomorphism $\lambda_N : G \rightarrow K = \langle x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \leq G$ such that $\lambda_N(x_1) = x_2^N$, $\lambda_N(x_i) = x_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Clearly, λ_N induces the corresponding endomorphism on \bar{G} , hence on the ring A and on the A -module G' . We continue to denote it by λ_N . Note that K is a free metabelian group of rank $n-1$.

If $\bar{g} = a_1^{\delta_1} \dots a_n^{\delta_n}$, then $\lambda_N(\bar{g}) = a_2^{\delta_1 N + \delta_2} a_3^{\delta_3} \dots a_n^{\delta_n}$. One can choose a large enough N such that λ_N is injective on all the monomials that occur in β_{nj} , $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. This implies that if $\beta_{nj} \neq 0$, then $\lambda_N(\beta_{ij}) \neq 0$. Now

$$\lambda_N(u) = [x_n, x_2^N]^{\lambda_N(\beta_{n1})} [x_n, x_2]^{\lambda_N(\beta_{n2})} \dots [x_n, x_{n-1}]^{\lambda_N(\beta_{n,n-1})}.$$

By Lemma 3.5, $[x_n, x_2^N] = [x_n, x_2]^{\frac{a_2^N - 1}{a_2 - 1}}$. By induction, we get

$$\frac{a_2^N - 1}{a_2 - 1} \lambda_N(\beta_{n1}) = 0, \quad \lambda_N(\beta_{n2}) = 0, \dots, \lambda_N(\beta_{n,n-1}) = 0.$$

Hence, $\beta_{n1} = 0, \dots, \beta_{n,n-1} = 0$. This proves uniqueness. ■

Corollary 3.9. *Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis of G as a free metabelian group. Then every element $g \in G$ can be uniquely presented as the following product, termed the normal form of g relative to X :*

$$g = x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots x_n^{\gamma_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_i, a_i^{-1}]$.

Our next task is to describe the multiplication in G in terms of normal forms. We need some notation. Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite subset of G , order X as $x_1 < \dots < x_n$, and form a tuple $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Similarly, order the set $C_X = \{[x_i, x_j] \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n\}$, say by introducing the lexicographical order on pairs of indices (i, j) , and form a tuple $c_x = ([x_2, x_1], [x_3, x_1], \dots, [x_n, x_{n-1}])$. Denote by \tilde{x} the concatenation $x \cdot c_x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, [x_2, x_1], \dots, [x_n, x_{n-1}])$ of x and c_x . If X is a basis of G as a free metabelian group, then \tilde{x} is termed a *normal form basis*, or a *module basis* of G , and its length $n + n(n-1)/2$ is denoted by $\dim(G)$.

For $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, put

$$x^\gamma = x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots x_n^{\gamma_n},$$

and for polynomials

$$\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_i, a_i^{-1}],$$

where $a_1 = \bar{x}_1, \dots, a_n = \bar{x}_n$, form a tuple $\beta = (\beta_{2,1}, \dots, \beta_{n,n-1})$ and put

$$c_x^\beta = [x_2, x_1]^{\beta_{2,1}}, \dots, [x_n, x_{n-1}]^{\beta_{n,n-1}}.$$

If $g \in G$ and $g = x^\gamma c_x^\beta$, then the tuple $t_{\tilde{x}}(g) = \gamma \cdot \beta$ is called the tuple of *coordinates* (or \tilde{x} -coordinates) of g with respect to \tilde{x} . We write $t_{\tilde{x}}(g) = (t_1(g), \dots, t_d(g))$, where $d = \dim(G)$. For $g, h \in G$, the multiplication in G completely determines the coordinates $t_{\tilde{x}}(gh)$ of the product gh in terms of the coordinates $t_{\tilde{x}}(g)$ and $t_{\tilde{x}}(h)$. Hence, in this sense, $t_i(gh)$ can be viewed as a function of $t_{\tilde{x}}(g)$ and $t_{\tilde{x}}(h)$. Our next goal is to describe these functions.

Denote by $\varepsilon_\ell(z)$ the function $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ defined by $\varepsilon_\ell(z) = \frac{a_\ell^z - 1}{a_\ell - 1}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n$. Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all formal expressions obtained from variables $V = \{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$, symbols 0 and 1, and functions $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ (in the variables from V) by finitely many operations of addition $+$ and multiplication \cdot . Note that every function ε_ℓ , and hence every expression $f(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathcal{F}$, naturally defines a function $f : R^n \rightarrow R$ in every integral domain R (we denote the expression and the corresponding function by the same symbol). Since R is associative, commutative, and unitary, every such function f can be presented in the form

$$f = p(z_1, \dots, z_m, \varepsilon_{\ell_1}(y_1), \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell_s}(y_s)), \quad (3.12)$$

where $p = p(z_1, \dots, z_m, u_1, \dots, u_s)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients and where each variable u_i is replaced by the function $\varepsilon_{\ell_i}(y_i)$ with $1 \leq \ell_i \leq n$ and $y_i \in V$. We are going to prove now that the coordinate functions $t_i(gh)$ are defined by some functions from \mathcal{F} *uniformly* in the set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, that is, for every i , $1 \leq i \leq d$, there is a function $f_i \in \mathcal{F}$ (we may assume f_i is in the form (3.12)) such that for every n -element subset $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq G$ (n is fixed upfront) if $t_{\tilde{x}}(g)$ and $t_{\tilde{x}}(h)$ are coordinates of some elements $g, h \in G$ with respect to \tilde{x} (which may not be a module basis), then $t_i(gh) = f_i(t_{\tilde{x}}(g), t_{\tilde{x}}(h))$. To prove this, we need two technical results.

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose that $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a set of elements of G . Then for any $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots x_n^{\gamma_n} x_1^{\delta_1} \dots x_n^{\delta_n} = x_1^{\gamma_1 + \delta_1} \dots x_n^{\gamma_n + \delta_n} \bar{\Pi},$$

where

$$\bar{\Pi} = \prod_{j < i} [x_i, x_j]^{\frac{(a_i^{\gamma_i} - 1)(a_j^{\gamma_j} - 1)}{(a_i - 1)(a_j - 1)} a_{j+1}^{\delta_{j+1}} \dots a_n^{\delta_n}}.$$

Proof. In the product

$$x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots x_n^{\gamma_n} x_1^{\delta_1} \dots x_n^{\delta_n},$$

we move every $x_j^{\delta_j}$ to the left, using the formulas

$$x_i^{\gamma_i} x_j^{\delta_j} = x_j^{\delta_j} x_i^{\gamma_i} [x_i^{\gamma_i}, x_j^{\delta_j}],$$

and also the formulas

$$[x_i, x_s]^Q x_j^{\delta_j} = x_j^{\delta_j} [x_i, x_s]^Q a_j^{\delta_j}$$

whenever $x_j^{\delta_j}$ meets a commutator $[x_i, x_s]^Q$, $Q \in A$, on its immediate left. We do it until $x_j^{\delta_j}$ reaches $x_j^{\gamma_j}$. When all $x_j^{\delta_j}$ moved to the left, the result will be

$$x_1^{\gamma_1 + \delta_1} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n + \delta_n} \bar{\Pi} = \prod_{j < i} [x_i^{\gamma_i}, x_j^{\delta_j}]^{a_{j+1}^{\delta_j+1} \cdots a_n^{\delta_n}}.$$

Now the result follows from Lemma 3.5. ■

Lemma 3.11. *Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of elements of G and elements $g, h \in G$ be given as products*

$$g = x_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}$$

and

$$h = x_1^{\delta_1} \cdots x_n^{\delta_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\nu_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}.$$

Then

$$gh = x_1^{\gamma_1 + \delta_1} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n + \delta_n} \bar{\Pi} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{a_1^{\delta_1} \cdots a_n^{\delta_n} \beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) + \nu_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $\bar{\Pi}$ is defined in Lemma 3.10.

Proof. To prove this, we use the same argument as in Lemma 3.10 and the result itself from Lemma 3.10. ■

Now we are ready to describe the coordinate functions $t_1(gh), \dots, t_d(gh)$.

Proposition 3.12. *For every $1 \leq j < i \leq n$, there is a function $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}$ (we may assume f_{ij} is in the form (3.12)) such that for any subset $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of G and for any $g, h \in G$ given as products*

$$g = x_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}$$

and

$$h = x_1^{\delta_1} \cdots x_n^{\delta_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\nu_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)},$$

the following equality holds:

$$gh = x_1^{\gamma_1 + \delta_1} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n + \delta_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{f_{ij}(t_{\tilde{x}}(g), t_{\tilde{x}}(h))}, \quad (3.14)$$

where $t_{\tilde{x}}(g)$ and $t_{\tilde{x}}(h)$ are the coordinates of elements $g, h \in G$ with respect to the tuple \tilde{x} .

Proof. We take the product gh in the form (3.13). The product there that belongs to G' is not in the normal form yet. We apply inductively equation (3.7) from Lemma 3.4 to this product and bring it to the normal form (3.14). ■

Now we are ready to give a description of a basis of G as a free metabelian group.

Theorem 3.13. *A set $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset G$ forms a basis of G if and only if every element $g \in G$ has a unique representation as the following product:*

$$g = z_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots z_n^{\gamma_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [z_i, z_j]^{\beta_{ij}(\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_i)},$$

where $\beta_{ij}(\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_1^{-1}, \dots, \bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_i^{-1}]$.

Proof. Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis of G as a free metabelian group. Then a map $x_1 \rightarrow z_1, \dots, x_n \rightarrow z_n$ extends to a bijection

$$\mu : x_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)} \rightarrow z_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots z_n^{\gamma_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [z_i, z_j]^{\beta_{ij}(\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_i)}$$

on G . This bijection is a homomorphism since by Proposition 3.12 the multiplication in G is given by the same functions $f_i \in \mathcal{F}$ in terms of coordinates $t_{\bar{x}}$ and $t_{\bar{z}}$. ■

The following statement follows from the normal forms of elements in G' .

Proposition 3.14 ([9, Proposition 4.4]). *The group G' has the structure of a free module over $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, a_2, a_2^{-1}]$ with the basis*

$$\{[x_i, x_j]^{a_3^{\delta_3} \cdots a_j^{\delta_j}}\}$$

for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $\delta_3, \dots, \delta_j \in \mathbb{Z}^{j-2}$.

Now we describe briefly the method of Fox derivatives that we use in the sequent. Let F_n be the free group of rank n with the basis $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$, let $\pi : F_n \rightarrow \bar{g}$. A partial Fox derivative associated with z_i is the linear map $D_i : \mathbb{Z}(F_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(F_n)$ satisfying the conditions

$$D_i(z_i) = 1, \quad D_i(z_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

and

$$D_i(uv) = D_i(u) + uD_i(v)$$

for all $u, v \in F_n$. The main identity is $D_1(w)(z_1 - 1) + \cdots + D_n(w)(z_n - 1) = w - 1$. The derivative D_i induces a linear map $d_i : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$. We briefly explain the details. One can compute

$$D_i([u^{-1}, v^{-1}]) = (1 - uvu^{-1})D_i(u^{-1}) + (u - uvu^{-1}v^{-1})D_i(v)$$

for all $u, v \in F_n$. It follows that for $\pi' : \mathbb{Z}F_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$, for all $w \in F_n''$, $w \in \ker \pi'$. Hence, D_i induces a linear map $d_i : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ (that we will also call Fox derivative).

From the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(x_i) &= 1, \quad d_i(x_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j, \\ d_i(uv) &= d_i(u) + (u\pi)d_i(v) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in G$. The main identity is $d_1(w)(a_1 - 1) + \cdots + d_n(w)(a_n - 1) = w\pi - 1$, where w is an arbitrary element of G .

It can be verified that for $w \in G'$ and $a \in \bar{G}$, $d_i(w^a) = a^{-1}d_i(w)$. Also note that for $w \in G'$ and $u \in G$, we have

$$d_i(wu) = d_i(w) + d_i(u).$$

If

$$a = \alpha_1 a_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k a_k \in \mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$$

with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_i \in \bar{G}$, we denote by

$$a_{\text{inv}} = \alpha_1 a_1^{-1} + \cdots + \alpha_k a_k^{-1}.$$

Then

$$d_i(w^a) = a_{\text{inv}} d_i(w).$$

For $u \in G$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$d_i(u^\alpha) = \frac{u^\alpha - 1}{u - 1} d_i(u).$$

3.3. \mathbb{Z} is absolutely interpretable in G

Let A and B be abelian groups, and let $f : A \times A \rightarrow B$ be a bilinear map between them. We associate with such f a two-sorted structure $(A, B; f)$ (here A and B are groups, and f is the predicate for the graph of f). The map f is said to be *non-degenerate* if for $a \in A$ $f(a, A) = 0$ if and only if $a = 0$, and similarly, $f(A, a) = 0$ implies $a = 0$. The map f is called *full* if B is generated by $f(A, A)$. An associative commutative unitary ring R is a *ring of scalars* of f if there exist faithful actions of R on A and B , which turn A and B into R -modules and such that f is R -bilinear with respect to these actions. There is a canonical embedding of R into $\text{End}(A)$. R is termed the *largest* ring of scalars of f if for any other ring of scalars R' of f , one has $R' \leq R$ when viewed as subrings of $\text{End}(A)$. If f is full and non-degenerate, then the maximal ring of scalars of f exists, and it is unique [16]; we denote it by $R(f)$. Moreover, it was shown in [16] that if $f : A \times A \rightarrow B$ is a full non-degenerate bilinear map between finitely generated abelian groups A and B , then the largest ring of scalars $R(f)$ of f and its actions on A and B are absolutely interpretable in the structure $(A, B; f)$. Here, the actions of $R(f)$ on A and on B are absolutely interpretable in $(A, B; f)$ if the two-sorted structures $(A, R(f); s_A(x, y, z))$ and $(B, R(f); s_B(x, y, z))$, where A, B are groups, $R(f)$ is a ring, and $s_A(x, y, z)$ and $s_B(x, y, z)$ are predicates that define the scalar multiplications of $R(f)$ on A and B , respectively, are absolutely interpretable in $(A, B; f)$. We use these facts to describe an absolute interpretation of \mathbb{Z} in G .

Note that every verbal subgroup of G has finite verbal width [20], hence it is absolutely definable in G (see, e.g., [6]). It follows that all the terms of the lower central series of G ,

in particular, the commutant G' and $G_3 = [G, G']$, are absolutely definable in G . Hence, the free nilpotent group G/G_3 is absolutely interpretable in G , as well as the bilinear map

$$f_G : G/G' \times G/G' \rightarrow G'/G_3, \quad (3.15)$$

the commutation in G/G_3 , defined by $(xG', yG') \mapsto [x, y]$. The map f_G is non-degenerate and full, while the abelian groups G/G' and G'/G_3 are finitely generated, hence by the result mentioned above, there is a largest ring of scalars $R(f_G)$ of f_G , such that $R(f_G)$, and its actions on G/G' and G'/G_3 are absolutely definable in the structure $(G/G', G'/G_3; f_G)$, hence in G . To get an absolute interpretation of \mathbb{Z} in G , it suffices to note that, as was shown in [6] and earlier by other means in [19], $R(f_G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, \mathbb{Z} and its actions on G/G' and G'/G_3 are absolutely interpretable in G . We denote this interpretation of \mathbb{Z} in G by \mathbb{Z}^* . We remark that this interpretation of \mathbb{Z} in G is never injective, because the interpretation of the group G/G_3 in G is based on a non-trivial equivalence relation $\text{mod } G_3$ in G . We will describe in Section 3.5 another, not absolute but regular, interpretation of \mathbb{Z} in G which is injective.

Now, we may use in our formulas expressions of the type $y = x^m \text{ mod } G'$ for $x, y \in G \setminus G'$, as well as $p^m = q \text{ mod } G_3$ for $p, q \in G'$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, viewing them as notation for the corresponding formulas of group theory language which come from the interpretations of \mathbb{Z}^* and its actions on G/G' and G'/G_3 . More precisely, the interpretation \mathbb{Z}^* is given by a definable in G subset $U^* \subseteq G^k$ together with a definable in G equivalence relation \sim on U^* and formulas $\psi_+(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, $\psi_o(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ with k -tuples of variables $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$, which define binary operations on the factor-set U^*/\sim (denoted by $+$ and \circ), and the structure $\langle U^*/\sim; +, \circ \rangle$ is a ring that is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, by m^* we denote the image of m in \mathbb{Z}^* under the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^*$. Furthermore, as we mentioned, the exponentiation by \mathbb{Z}^* on G/G' and on G'/G_3 is also 0-interpretable, which means that there are formulas in the group language, say $\text{expnil}_1(u, v, \bar{x})$ and $\text{expnil}_2(u, v, \bar{x})$, such that for $g, h \in G$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has $g^m = h(\text{mod } G')$ if and only if $\text{expnil}_1(g, h, m^*)$ holds in G and also for elements $p, q \in G'$ $p^m = q(\text{mod } G_3)$ if and only if $\text{expnil}_2(p, q, m^*)$ holds in G .

3.4. Interpretation of \mathbb{Z} -exponentiation in G

Now, in the notation above, we construct a formula $\text{exp}(u, v, \bar{x})$ of the group language, where \bar{x} is a k -tuple of variables, such that for $g, h \in G$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following holds:

$$g = h^m \iff G \models \text{exp}(g, h, m^*).$$

To construct the formula $\text{exp}(u, v, \bar{x})$, we consider two cases, for each of them build the corresponding formula $\text{exp}_i(u, v, \bar{x})$, and then use them to build $\text{exp}(u, v, \bar{x})$.

Case 1. Let $g \in G \setminus G'$. In Section 3.3, we described a formula $\text{expnil}_1(u, v, \bar{x})$ of group language such that for $g, h \in G$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$g^m = h(\text{mod } G') \iff G \models \text{expnil}_1(g, h, m^*).$$

Now put

$$\exp_1(u, v, \bar{x}) = ([u, v] = 1 \wedge \text{expnil}_1(u, v, \bar{x})).$$

Then the formula $\exp_1(g, h, m^*)$ holds in G on elements $g, h \in G$ and $m^* \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ if and only if $h = g^m \pmod{G'}$ and $h \in C_G(g)$. Since the centralizer $C_G(g)$ is cyclic, there is only one such h , and in this case, $h = g^m$.

Case 2. Let $1 \neq g \in G'$. Then as was shown in Section 3.1, for any $w \in G \setminus G'$ and every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $c \in G'$ such that the following equality holds:

$$(wg)^m = w^m g^m [c, w]. \quad (3.16)$$

Consider the following condition on elements $g, h \in G', m \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$C_2(g, h, m) = \forall w (w \in G \setminus G' \rightarrow \exists c (c \in G' \wedge ((wg)^m = w^m h [c, w]))). \quad (3.17)$$

Equation (3.16) shows that $h = g^m$ satisfies $C_2(g, h, m)$. We claim that $h = g^m$ is the only element in G' that satisfies $C_2(g, h, m)$ in G . Indeed, suppose $C_2(g, h, m)$ holds in G for some $h \in G'$. Then for any $w \in G \setminus G'$, there exists $c_1 \in G'$ such that

$$(wg)^m = w^m h [c_1, w].$$

Then $w^m g^m [c, w] = w^m h [c_1, w]$, so

$$h^{-1} g^m = [c, w] [c_1, w]^{-1} = [c, w] [c_1^{-1}, w] = [c c_1^{-1}, w].$$

Now by Lemma 3.2, one gets $h^{-1} g^m = 1$, so $h = g^m$, as claimed. To finish the proof, it suffices to show that the condition $C_2(g, h, m)$ can be defined by some formula $\exp_2(u, v, \bar{x})$ of group theory in G . Note that in $C_2(g, h, m)$, the elements w and wg are in $G \setminus G'$, hence we can use the formula $\exp_1(u, v, \bar{x})$ to write down the equality $(wg)^m = w^m u h [c, w]$, and then the whole formula $\exp_2(u, v, \bar{x})$.

Finally, the formula

$$\exp(u, v, \bar{x}) = (u \notin G' \rightarrow \exp_1(u, v, \bar{x})) \wedge (u \in G' \rightarrow \exp_2(u, v, \bar{x}))$$

defines \mathbb{Z} -exponentiation on the whole group G .

3.5. Regular injective interpretation of \mathbb{Z} in G

Let $\exp(u, v, \bar{x})$ be the formula from Section 3.4. Then for every $g \neq 1$, formula $\exp(g, v, \bar{x})$ from Section 3.4 defines a bijection $\lambda_g : \mathbb{Z}^* \rightarrow \langle g \rangle$ defined by $m \rightarrow g^m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. This bijection allows one to transfer the operations of addition $+$ and multiplication \circ in the ring \mathbb{Z}^* defined in G by the formulas $\psi_+(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ and $\psi_\circ(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ (see Section 3.3) from the set \mathbb{Z}^* to the set $\langle g \rangle$. The resulting definable operations $+_g$ and \circ_g give an interpretation $\mathbb{Z}_g^* = \langle \langle g \rangle; +_g, \circ_g \rangle$ of the ring \mathbb{Z} on the cyclic subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ in G with the coordinate map defined by $\mu_g : g^m \rightarrow m$. This interpretation is uniform in g , that is, it has the same formulas for every $1 \neq g \in G$; therefore, since the condition $g \neq 1$ is definable in G , the interpretations \mathbb{Z}_g^* give a regular interpretation of \mathbb{Z} in G , which is injective by construction.

3.6. Absolute and regular interpretations of $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n, a_n^{-1}]$ in G

We proved above that the ring \mathbb{Z} is absolutely interpretable in G as \mathbb{Z}^* (Section 3.3) and also it is regularly injectively interpretable in G via the interpretations \mathbb{Z}_g^* , $1 \neq g \in G$ (Section 3.5). By transitivity of interpretations to show that the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_m, a_m^{-1}]$ is absolutely (or regularly injectively) interpretable in G , it suffices to show that R is absolutely interpretable in \mathbb{Z} and then compose this interpretation with the interpretations \mathbb{Z}^* and \mathbb{Z}_g^* .

To see that R is absolutely interpretable in \mathbb{Z} , note that R is computable (since the word problem in R is decidable), hence there exists a computable injective function $\nu : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that the set $\nu(R)$ is computable in \mathbb{N} and the images under the map ν of the ring operations of the ring R are computable in \mathbb{N} , that is, the following operations on $\nu(R)$ (here $Q_i \in R$, $i = 1, 2, 3$) are computable in \mathbb{N} :

$$k_1 \oplus k_2 = k_3 \iff \bigwedge_{i=1}^3 (k_i = \nu(Q_i)) \wedge (Q_1 + Q_2 = Q_3),$$

$$k_1 \odot k_2 = k_3 \iff \bigwedge_{i=1}^3 (k_i = \nu(Q_i)) \wedge (Q_1 \cdot Q_2 = Q_3).$$

This is a general fact on computable algebraic structures. Nevertheless, it is convenient to give a sketch of a particular such enumeration $\nu : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Every polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ can be uniquely presented as an integer linear combination of pairwise distinct monomials on commuting variables a_1, \dots, a_n :

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i a_1^{\alpha_{i1}} \dots a_n^{\alpha_{in}},$$

where $0 \neq \gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, the polynomial P is uniquely presented by a tuple

$$u = (\gamma_1, \alpha_{11}, \dots, \alpha_{1n}, \dots, \gamma_d, \alpha_{d1}, \dots, \alpha_{dn}). \quad (3.18)$$

If $Q \in R$, then Q can be uniquely presented in the form $Q = \frac{P}{\bar{a}^{\bar{\beta}}}$ for some $P \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ and some monomial $\bar{a}^{\bar{\beta}} = a_1^{\beta_1} \dots a_n^{\beta_n}$, $\beta_i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\gcd(P, \bar{a}^{\bar{\beta}}) = 1$. It follows that Q can be uniquely presented by a pair of tuples (u_Q, v_Q) , where $u_Q = u$, $v_Q = \bar{\beta}$. Fix an arbitrary computable bijection

$$\tau : \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

which enumerates all finite tuples of integers. Then Q is uniquely presented by the pair $(\tau(u_Q), \tau(v_Q)) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, and the set of all such pairs is a computable subset of \mathbb{N}^2 . For a fixed computable bijection $\tau_2 : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\nu(Q) = \tau_2((\tau(u_Q), \tau(v_Q))).$$

By construction, the subset $\nu(R)$ is computable in \mathbb{N} , and given a number $k \in \nu(R)$, one can algorithmically find the corresponding Laurent polynomial Q such that $k = \nu(Q)$.

Then it is easy to see that the operations \oplus and \odot on $v(R)$ are computable in \mathbb{N} . To finish the proof, it suffices to note that all the computable operations or predicates on \mathbb{N} are definable in \mathbb{N} , and \mathbb{N} is definable in \mathbb{Z} (every non-negative integer is a sum of squares of four integers). This shows that R is absolutely interpretable in \mathbb{Z} . Hence, it is absolutely interpretable in G via \mathbb{Z}^* and we denote this interpretation by R^* . For $Q \in R$ by $v^*(Q) \in R^*$, we denote the image of $v(Q)$ under the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^*$. Similarly, R is regularly injectively interpretable in G via the interpretations \mathbb{Z}_g^* , $g \neq 1$, which we denote by R_g^* . The image of $v(Q)$ in R_g^* under the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_g^*$ we denote by $v_g^*(Q)$. Finally, we need to mention the coordinate maps of these interpretations. Let $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be an arbitrary fixed basis of G , then the map $\mu_{\bar{a}} : R^* \rightarrow R$ that maps $v^*(Q) \in R^* \rightarrow Q \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n, a_n^{-1}]$ is the coordinate map for the interpretation R^* , and the map $\mu_{\bar{a}} : R_g^* \rightarrow R$ that maps $v_g^*(Q) \in R_g^* \rightarrow Q \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n, a_n^{-1}]$ is the coordinate map of R_g^* .

3.7. Interpretation of $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ -module G' in G

In this section, for a fixed basis $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of G , we interpret in G the action of the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n, a_n^{-1}]$ on G' . More precisely, we describe an interpretation of the R -module G' (viewed as a two-sorted structure $G'_R = (G', R; s)$ where G' is an abelian group, R is a ring, and s is the predicate for scalar multiplication or R on G' , see Section 3.3) in G with parameters \bar{a} . In fact, we interpret G'_R in G as $(G'_R)^* = (G', R^*; s^*)$, where R^* is the interpretation of R in G from Section 3.6, and s^* is the predicate for the action of R^* on G' . We need parameters \bar{a} to interpret s^* . This interpretation is uniform in \bar{a} (the same formulas work for other bases \bar{b} of G). A similar argument gives an injective interpretation of the module G'_R in G as $(G', R_g^*; s^*)$ in G with parameters \bar{a} and g .

To interpret the module $G'_R = (G', R; s)$ in G for a given basis \bar{a} of G , we interpret the subgroup G' as G' (which is a definable subgroup of G) and the ring R by R^* , so it suffices to show how to interpret the predicate s in G . We need two preliminary results.

For a tuple $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $m \leq n$, denote by $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}}$ the homomorphism $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}} : \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[a_{m+1}, \dots, a_n]$ such that $a_i \rightarrow \alpha_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. The kernel $I_{\bar{\alpha}}$ of $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}}$ is the ideal generated in $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ by $\{a_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, a_m - \alpha_m\}$. Notice that for every polynomial $P = P(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, one has $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}}(P) = P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, so

$$P(a_1, \dots, a_m) = P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) + \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - \alpha_i) f_i,$$

for some $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$.

Let A and B be rings and Λ a set of homomorphisms from A into B . Recall that A is discriminated into B by a set Λ if for any finite subset $A_0 \subseteq A$, there is a homomorphism $\lambda \in \Lambda$ which is injective on A_0 .

The following result is known, but we need the proof itself.

Claim 3.15. *The ring $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ is discriminated into \mathbb{Z} by the set of homomorphisms $\{\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}} \mid \bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ is an integral domain it suffices to show Λ separates $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ into \mathbb{Z} , that is, for every non-zero polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda(Q) \neq 0$. Indeed, let $A_0 = \{P_1, \dots, P_t\}$ with $P_i \neq P_j$ for $1 \leq j < i \leq t$. Put $Q_{ij} = P_i - P_j$ and $Q = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq t} Q_{ij}$. Then $Q \neq 0$. If for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ $\lambda(Q) \neq 0$, then λ is injective on A_0 .

Now we prove by induction on n that Λ separates $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ into \mathbb{Z} . If $P \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1]$, then λ_{α_1} for each sufficiently large α_1 separates P into \mathbb{Z} . If $P \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$P = Q_m a_n^m + Q_{m-1} a_n^{m-1} + \dots + Q_1 a_n + Q_0,$$

where $Q_i \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]$ and $Q_m \neq 0$. By induction, there is $\bar{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ such that the homomorphism $\lambda_{\bar{\beta}}$ discriminates Q_m into \mathbb{Z} . Then

$$\lambda_{\bar{\beta}}(Q_m) a_n^m + \lambda_{\bar{\beta}}(Q_{m-1}) a_n^{m-1} + \dots + \lambda_{\bar{\beta}}(Q_1) a_n + \lambda_{\bar{\beta}}(Q_0)$$

is a non-zero polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[a_n]$. Now one can separate this polynomial into \mathbb{Z} by sending a_n to a large enough integer α_n , as above. This proves the claim. ■

For $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, denote by $(G')^{I_{\bar{\alpha}}}$ the submodule of the module G' obtained from G' by the action of the ideal $I_{\bar{\alpha}}$. The group $(G')^{I_{\bar{\alpha}}}$ is an abelian subgroup of G generated by the set $\{g^Q \mid g \in G', Q \in I_{\bar{\alpha}}\}$, hence by the set $\{g^{a_i - \alpha_i} \mid g \in G', i = 1, \dots, m\}$.

Claim 3.16. *For any basis (a_1, \dots, a_n) of G and any tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, the subgroup $(G')^{I_{\bar{\alpha}}} \leq G'$ is definable in G uniformly in (a_1, \dots, a_n) and $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$. More precisely, let \mathbb{Z}^* be 0-interpretation of \mathbb{Z} in G from Section 3.3. Then there is a formula $\varphi(y, y_1, \dots, y_n, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_m)$ of group theory such that for any basis (a_1, \dots, a_n) of G and any tuple $(\bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}^*)^n$, the formula $\varphi(y, x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_m)$ defines in G the subgroup $(G')^{I_{\bar{\alpha}}}$, where $\alpha_i = \bar{k}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^*, i = 1, \dots, m$.*

Indeed, the abelian group $(G')^{I_{\bar{\alpha}}}$ is generated by the set $\{g^{a_i - \alpha_i} \mid g \in G', i = 1, \dots, m\}$. It follows that every element $u \in (G')^{I_{\bar{\alpha}}}$ can be presented as a product

$$u = g_1^{a_1 - \alpha_1} \dots g_m^{a_m - \alpha_m},$$

for some $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G'$, or, equivalently, in the form

$$u = g_1^{a_1} g_1^{-\alpha_1} \dots g_m^{a_m} g_m^{-\alpha_m}, \quad (3.19)$$

where $g_i^{a_i}$ is a conjugation of g_i by a_i , and $g_i^{-\alpha_i}$ is the standard exponentiation of g_i by the integer $-\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, m$. It was shown that there exists a formula $\exp_2(u, v, \bar{z})$ such that for any $g, h \in G'$ and $\alpha = \bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, the formula $\exp_2(g, h, \bar{k})$ holds in G if and only

if $g = h^\alpha$. Using formula $\exp_2(u, v, \bar{z})$ and definability of the commutant G' in G (see Section 3.3), one can write down condition (3.19) by a group theory formula uniformly in (a_1, \dots, a_n) and $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, as claimed.

Lemma 3.17 ([9, Lemma 4.24]). *Let $g, h \in G'$ and $P \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]$, $m \leq n$. Then $g^P = h$ if and only if the following condition holds:*

$$\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{Z} (g^{P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)} = h \bmod (G')^{I_{\bar{\alpha}}}). \quad (3.20)$$

Corollary 3.18. *Let $g, h \in G'$ and*

$$Q = P(a_1, \dots, a_m)(a_1^{k_1} \dots a_m^{k_m})^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n, a_n^{-1}].$$

Then $g^Q = h$ if and only if

$$\exists f \in G' \forall \bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}^m (g^{P(\bar{\alpha})} = f \bmod (G')^{I_{\bar{\alpha}}} \wedge (h^{\alpha_1^{k_1} \dots \alpha_m^{k_m}} = f \bmod (G')^{I_{\bar{\alpha}}}).$$

Lemma 3.19. *The following are true in G :*

- (1) *There is a formula $E(x, y, \bar{u}, \bar{v})$ of group language such that for any basis \bar{a} of G for any $g, h \in G'$ and any $Q \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]$, one has*

$$G \models E(g, h, v^*(Q), \bar{a}) \iff g^Q = h.$$

- (2) *There is a formula $D(x, y, u, \bar{v}, z)$ of group language such that for any basis \bar{a} of G , for any $1 \neq f \in G$, for any $g, h \in G'$, and any $Q \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]$, one has*

$$G \models D(g, h, v_f^*(Q), \bar{a}, f) \iff g^Q = h.$$

Proof. We prove (1); the argument for (2) is similar. In view of Lemma 3.17 and Corollary 3.18, it suffices to show that condition (3.20) can be written by a formula of group language. By Claim 3.16, the subgroup $(G')^{I_{\bar{\alpha}}} \leq G'$ is definable in G uniformly in $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, hence the relation $u = v \bmod (G')^{I_{\bar{\alpha}}}$ is definable in G uniformly in \bar{a} and $\bar{\alpha}$. In Section 3.4, we showed that the exponentiation in G by elements from \mathbb{Z}^* is definable in G by the formula $\exp(u, v, \bar{x})$. To finish the proof, it suffices to show that there is a formula $M(\bar{u}, \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_m, \bar{w})$ of group language such that for any $P \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_m, a_m^{-1}]$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$G \models M(v^*(P), \alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_m^*, \beta^*) \iff P(\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_m^*) = \beta^*. \quad (3.21)$$

Note that given $v^*(P), \alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_m^*$, one can compute in \mathbb{Z}^* the value $P(\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_m^*)$. Indeed, from $v^*(P)$, one can recover by formulas in \mathbb{Z}^* the tuple

$$u^* = (\gamma_1^*, \alpha_{11}^*, \dots, \alpha_{1n}^*, \dots, \gamma_d^*, \alpha_{d1}^*, \dots, \alpha_{dn}^*)$$

from (3.18) in Section 3.6 that describes in \mathbb{Z}^* the polynomial P (since $\mathbb{Z}^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, one can use the same formulas that recover P from u in \mathbb{Z}). Having u^* and $\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_m^*$,

one can compute in \mathbb{Z}^* the number $P(\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_m^*)$. Since every computable predicate in \mathbb{Z}^* is definable in \mathbb{Z}^* , there exists a formula in the ring language that defines the predicate $P(\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_m^*) = \beta^*$ in \mathbb{Z}^* . But \mathbb{Z}^* is absolutely interpretable in G , hence there is the required formula $M(\bar{u}, \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_m, \bar{w})$ of group language which satisfies (3.21). This proves (1). ■

Proposition 3.20. *Let \bar{a} be a basis of G . Then the following are true:*

- (1) *The module $G'_R = (G', R; s)$ is interpretable in G with parameters \bar{a} as $(G', R^*; s^*)$, where R^* is the interpretation of R in G from Section 3.6, and s^* is the predicate for the action of R^* on G' defined by the formula $E(x, y, \bar{u}, \bar{v})$ from Lemma 3.19. This interpretation is uniform in bases \bar{a} .*
- (2) *The module $G'_R = (G', R; s)$ is injectively interpretable in G with parameters \bar{a} and $1 \neq g \in G$ as $(G', R_g^*; s^*)$, where R_g^* is the interpretation of R in G from Section 3.6, and s^* is the predicate for the action of R^* on G' defined by the formula $D(x, y, u, \bar{v}, z)$ from Lemma 3.19. This interpretation is uniform in bases \bar{a} and $1 \neq g \in G$.*

Proof. As we mentioned above, G' is absolutely definable in G , the ring R is interpretable in G via interpretations R^* and R_g^* , where $g \in G, g \neq 1$. By Lemma 3.19, the predicates s^* from $(G', R^*; s^*)$ and $(G', R_g^*; s^*)$ are definable in G by formulas $E(x, y, \bar{u}, \bar{v})$ and $D(x, y, u, \bar{v}, z)$ with parameters \bar{a} and \bar{a}, g uniformly in these parameters. This proves the theorem. ■

3.8. Regular bi-interpretability of \mathbb{Z} and G

Theorem 3.21. *The following hold in G :*

- (1) *The set of all free bases $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of G is absolutely definable in G .*
- (2) *There is a formula $F(u, w, \bar{v}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, where \bar{v} and \bar{y} are tuples of variables of length n , and \bar{z} is a tuple of variables of length $n(n-1)/2$ such that for any $h \in G$, any $g \in G, g \neq 1$, any basis $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of G , any tuple $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and any tuple $\beta = (\beta_{2,1}, \dots, \beta_{n,n-1})$, where $\beta_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_i, a_i^{-1}]$, $a_i = \bar{x}_i$, the following equivalence holds:*

$$G \models F(h, g, x, \gamma^*, v(\beta)^*) \iff h = x^\gamma c_x^\beta,$$

that is, $t_{\bar{x}}(h) = \gamma \cdot \beta$ (see Section 3.2). Here $m \rightarrow m^$ is the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_g^*$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.13 and interpretation of \mathbb{Z} -exponentiation on G (Section 3.4) and interpretation of $\mathbb{Z}(\bar{G})$ -exponentiation on G' (Section 3.7). ■

Proposition 3.22. *The group G is absolutely and injectively interpretable in \mathbb{Z} .*

Proof. Fix a basis $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in G . Then any element $g \in G$ can be written in the canonical form (relative to \bar{x})

$$g = x_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}, \quad (3.22)$$

where $a_i = x_i G' \in G/G'$, $\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_i, a_i^{-1}]$. Hence, g can be uniquely represented by a tuple of integers

$$t(g) = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, v(\beta_{21}), \dots, v(\beta_{n,n-1})), \quad (3.23)$$

which are the coordinates of g in the normal form relative to the basis \bar{x} (here $v(\beta_{ij})$ is the code of the polynomial β_{ij} under the computable bijection v from Section 3.6).

The set $S_G = \{t(g) \mid g \in G\}$ is clearly definable in $\mathbb{Z}^{n+n(n-1)/2}$. For a tuple $\bar{s} \in S_G$, denote by $\mu_{\bar{x}}(\bar{s})$ the unique element $g \in G$ with coordinates $t(g)$ with respect to \bar{x} . This gives a bijection $\mu : S_G \rightarrow G$.

Observe that the multiplication and inversion in G (as operations on the canonical forms of elements) are computable, since the word problem in G is decidable. It follows that the multiplication and inversion in G when elements g of G are given through their codes $v_G(g)$ are computable in \mathbb{N} ; therefore, their graphs are computably enumerable, and hence definable in arithmetic \mathbb{N} , as well as in \mathbb{Z} . This gives an interpretation Γ of G in \mathbb{Z} , $G \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$, with the coordinate map $\mu_{\bar{x}}$. ■

Theorem 3.23. *Every free metabelian group G of finite rank ≥ 2 is regularly strongly and injectively bi-interpretable with \mathbb{Z} .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.22, G is absolutely and injectively interpretable in \mathbb{Z} by some code Γ , that is, $G \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$, and with the coordinate map $\mu_x : \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G$, which depends on a choice of a basis x of G . On the other hand, \mathbb{Z} is injectively and regularly interpretable as $\mathbb{Z}_g^* = \langle \langle g \rangle; +_g, \circ_g \rangle$, with a parameter $g \in G$, $g \neq 1$ and the coordinate map $\mu_g : g^m \rightarrow m$ (see Section 3.5). This gives a regular injective interpretation $\mathbb{Z} \simeq \Delta(G, g)$. It follows that $G \simeq \Gamma \circ \Delta(G, g)$ and the coordinate map $\Gamma \circ \Delta(G, g) \rightarrow G$ is precisely the map defined by the formula $F(u, g, x, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, where $g \in G$, $g \neq 1$, x is a tuple of parameters (a basis of G) that occur in the formula $F(u, w, \bar{v}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ from Theorem 3.21 when g is substituted for w and x is substituted for variables \bar{v} . However, the parameters x are not part of the interpretation $\mathbb{Z} \simeq \Delta(G, g)$; to fix this, we add x to the set of parameters in Δ , so now $\mathbb{Z} \simeq \Delta(G, g, x)$. Note that the parameters x do not occur in any formulas in Δ (this is allowed). By Theorem 3.21, the set of all bases of G is absolutely definable in G , so the interpretation $\mathbb{Z} \simeq \Delta(G, g, x)$ is regular and injective, and the formula $F(u, g, x, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ defines the coordinate map $\Gamma \circ \Delta(G, g) \rightarrow G$ for parameters g, x . In the other direction, we have $\mathbb{Z} \simeq \Gamma \circ \Delta(\mathbb{Z})$, and the corresponding coordinate map $\Gamma \circ \Delta(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by $(g^*)^m \rightarrow m$, where g^* is the image of g under the isomorphism $\mu_x^{-1} : G \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$. The parameter g^* is part of the interpretation $\mathbb{Z}_g \simeq \Delta(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}), g^*)$. Since $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ is computable, the function $(g^*)^m \rightarrow m$ is computable in \mathbb{Z} , hence definable in \mathbb{Z} with parameter g^* . This proves the theorem. ■

Corollary 3.24. *Every free metabelian group of finite rank ≥ 2 has uniform elimination of imaginaries with parameters.*

4. Groups elementarily equivalent to a free metabelian group

In this section, we will describe groups elementarily equivalent to a free metabelian group G of rank $n \geq 2$ with basis x_1, \dots, x_n .

Since G is regularly bi-interpretable with \mathbb{Z} , we can use Theorem 2.7 with $G = \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{B}$. Then in the notation above, $G = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbb{Z} = \Delta(G, \bar{g})$. If $H \equiv G$, then the same formulas give the interpretation $H = \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$, where $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \equiv \mathbb{Z}$. We call $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}$, a structure elementarily equivalent to \mathbb{N} , a model of arithmetic. Notice that \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} are absolutely bi-interpretable. A ring $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}$) is called a non-standard model if it is not isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} (resp. \mathbb{N}) (see [8, 12]).

First, we notice that H is a so-called exponential group with exponents in $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let us recall the four axioms of exponential groups from [17]. Let A be an arbitrary associative ring with identity and Γ a group. Fix an action of the ring A on Γ , that is, a map $\Gamma \times A \rightarrow \Gamma$. The result of the action of $\alpha \in A$ on $g \in \Gamma$ is written as g^α . Consider the following axioms:

- (1) $g^1 = g, g^0 = 1, 1^\alpha = 1$.
- (2) $g^{\alpha+\beta} = g^\alpha \cdot g^\beta, g^{\alpha\beta} = (g^\alpha)^\beta$.
- (3) $(h^{-1}gh)^\alpha = h^{-1}g^\alpha h$.
- (4) $[g, h] = 1 \Rightarrow (gh)^\alpha = g^\alpha h^\alpha$.

Definition 4.1. Groups with A -actions satisfying axioms (1)–(4) are called A -exponential groups.

These axioms can be written by first-order formulas in G and H . This implies the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *The group H is $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ -exponential group.*

Our main goal now is to describe the structure of H . We know that G can be represented as a pair $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ and a module $G'_{\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}}$ with the action of $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ on $G'_{\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}}$ interpretable in G by Section 3.7.

We have $G \rightarrow_\Gamma \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_\Delta G$, where the interpretation $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ is via normal forms; therefore, $H \rightarrow_\Gamma \tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow_\Delta H$. The element $g = x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots x_n^{\gamma_n} u \in G$, where

$$u = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)},$$

where $\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_i, a_i^{-1}] \leq \mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ is interpreted as a tuple of elements in \mathbb{Z} , $g \rightarrow (\gamma_i, \dots, \gamma_n, \bar{\beta}_{11}, \dots, \bar{\beta}_{n-1,n})$, where β_{ij} are tuples.

For H , instead of $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ we will have a non-standard Laurent polynomial ring $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{G}_{NS}$ described in [12]. This is a ring elementarily equivalent to $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$. More precisely, regular bi-interpretation of G with \mathbb{Z} induces the regular bi-interpretation of $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ with \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G} = \Gamma_1(\mathbb{Z})$. Then $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{G}_{NS} = \Gamma_1(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$. The same formula as in the standard case says that for $h \in H$,

$$h = x_1^{\tilde{\gamma}_1} \cdots x_n^{\tilde{\gamma}_n} u, \quad u = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_i \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{G}_{NS}$. It is interpreted as a non-standard tuple of tuples of elements in $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$:

$$g \rightarrow (\tilde{\gamma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_n, \bar{\beta}_{11}, \dots, \bar{\beta}_{n-1,n}).$$

There is a formula connecting $P[a_1, \dots, a_n] \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{G}_{NS}$ and its evaluation $P[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$. Lemma 3.17 gives the interpretation of the action of the standard Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}\bar{G}$ on G' and, therefore, the interpretation of the action of the non-standard Laurent polynomial ring $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{G}_{NS}$ on H' , where H' is the $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{G}_{NS}$ module generated by $\{[x_i, x_j]\}$.

Lemma 3.5 implies the following.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $x, z \in H$ and $\delta, \gamma \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$,*

$$[z^\gamma, x^\delta] = [z, x]^{\left(\frac{\bar{z}^\gamma - 1}{\bar{z} - 1}\right)\left(\frac{\bar{x}^\delta - 1}{\bar{x} - 1}\right)},$$

where $\frac{(\bar{x}^\delta - 1)}{(\bar{x} - 1)}, \frac{(\bar{z}^\gamma - 1)}{(\bar{z} - 1)} \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{G}_{NS}$.

Denote by a_i the image of $x_i \in H$ in $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{G}_{NS}$.

Theorem 4.4. *If H is a group elementarily equivalent to G , then H has the following structure:*

(1) *Elements $h \in H$ have the normal form*

$$h = x_1^{\tilde{\gamma}_1} \cdots x_n^{\tilde{\gamma}_n} u, \quad u = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)},$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_i \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{G}_{NS}$.

(2) *H' is a module over $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{G}_{NS}$ with generators $\{[x_i, x_j]\}$.*

(3) *Multiplication in H is defined as follows. If $g, h \in H$ are given by their normal forms*

$$g = x_1^{\tilde{\gamma}_1} \cdots x_n^{\tilde{\gamma}_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)}$$

and

$$h = x_1^{\tilde{\delta}_1} \cdots x_n^{\tilde{\delta}_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\nu_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)},$$

then

$$gh = x_1^{\tilde{\gamma}_1 + \tilde{\delta}_1} \cdots x_n^{\tilde{\gamma}_n + \tilde{\delta}_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{f_{ij}(t(g), t(h))}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}$ are non-standard functions corresponding to functions from Proposition 3.12, and $t(g)$ and $t(h)$ are coordinates in $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{G}_{NS}$ of elements $g, h \in H$ in the base $x \cdot y$.

Proof. The functions $\varepsilon_\ell(z)$ and all functions in \mathcal{F} are defined in the ring $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{G}_{NS}$ by the same formulas as in $\mathbb{Z}\tilde{G}$. ■

Lemma 4.5. *If $x, y \in H - H'$ and b is the image of y in $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{G}_{NS}$, then we have the formula for the δ -commutator*

$$y^{-\delta}x^{-\delta}(xy)^\delta = [x, y]^{-f(a,b)},$$

where $f(a, b)$ is a non-standard polynomial such that

$$\begin{aligned} (a-1)f(a, b) &= \frac{(a^\delta b^\delta - 1)}{(ab - 1)} + \frac{(1 - b^\delta)}{(b - 1)} \\ &= b^{\delta-1}(a^{\delta-1} - 1) + b^{\delta-2}(a^{\delta-2} - 1) + \dots + b(a - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We can write that $y^{-\delta}x^{-\delta}(xy)^\delta$ is in the module generated by the commutator $[x, y]$, and we wish to see what is the non-standard polynomial $f(a, b)$.

We have $[x, y^{-\delta}x^{-\delta}(xy)^\delta] = [x, y]^{f(a,b)(a-1)}$. At the same time,

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y^{-\delta}x^{-\delta}(xy)^\delta] &= [x, (xy)^\delta][x, y^{-\delta}x^{-\delta}]^{(ab)^\delta} \\ &= [x, xy]^{\frac{((ab)^\delta - 1)}{(ab - 1)}} [x, y^{-\delta}]^{a^{-\delta}(ab)^\delta} \\ &= [x, y]^{\frac{(a^\delta b^\delta - 1)}{(ab - 1)} + \frac{(1 - b^\delta)}{(b - 1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Polynomial

$$\frac{(a^\delta b^\delta - 1)}{(ab - 1)} + \frac{(1 - b^\delta)}{(b - 1)} = b^{\delta-1}(a^{\delta-1} - 1) + b^{\delta-2}(a^{\delta-2} - 1) + \dots + b(a - 1)$$

is divisible by $(a - 1)$ in the standard ring of Laurent polynomials; therefore, it is divisible by $(a - 1)$ in the non-standard ring. Since the rings are integral domains, $f(a, b)$ is the result of this division. ■

5. A -metabelian groups

The question about varieties of exponential groups was discussed in [1]. Let Γ be an arbitrary exponential group with exponents in A . We set

$$(\Gamma, \Gamma)_A = \langle (g, h)_\alpha = h^{-\alpha} g^{-\alpha} (gh)^\alpha, g, h \in \Gamma, \alpha \in A \rangle_A.$$

The A -subgroup $(\Gamma, \Gamma)_A$ is called the A -commutant of the group Γ . By [1], a free abelian A -group with base X is a free A -module and is A -isomorphic to the factor-group of the free A -group with base X by its A -commutant. The A -commutant is called

the first A -commutant and denoted by $\Gamma^{(1,A)}$. The A -commutant of $\Gamma^{(1,A)}$ is the second A -commutant $\Gamma^{(2,A)}$. Then Γ is called in [1] n -step A -solvable group if $\Gamma^{(n,A)} = 1$. Clearly, n -step A -solvable group is n -solvable A -group. If $\Gamma^{(2,A)} = 1$, we call Γ an A -metabelian group. Notice that H that is elementarily equivalent to a free metabelian group is $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ -metabelian because α -commutators belong to H' and commute in H .

A discretely ordered ring is an ordered ring in which there is no element between 0 and 1. Let A be a discretely ordered ring and K be a multiplicative A -module with generators a_1, \dots, a_n . Consider a group algebra $A(K)$. Let R be the A algebra generated by $A(K)$ and for all positive $\delta \in A$, by series $\frac{(a^\delta - 1)}{(a - 1)} = \sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \delta} a^\alpha$, and $\sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \delta} b^\alpha \frac{a^\alpha - 1}{a - 1}$, where $a, b \in K$.

We define an A -metabelian exponential group M with generators x_1, \dots, x_n by the following axioms:

- (1) M is an A -metabelian group.
- (2) The A -commutant M' is an R -module.
- (3) For any $z, x \in M$ and $\delta \in A$, $[z, x^\delta] = [z, x]^{\frac{(a^\delta - 1)}{(a - 1)}}$.
- (4) For any $x, y \in M$ and $\delta \in A$, $y^{-\delta} x^{-\delta} (xy)^\delta = [x, y]^{f(a,b)}$, where

$$f(a, b) = [(a^\delta b^\delta - 1)/(ab - 1) + (1 - b^\delta)/(b - 1)]/(1 - a) \in R.$$

Let now M be a free group with generators x_1, \dots, x_n in the category of A -metabelian exponential groups.

Lemma 5.1. *The group M' is an R -module generated by elements $[x_i, x_j]$. If u belongs to M' , then it can be uniquely written as*

$$u = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i)},$$

where $\beta_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in R$.

Proof. Consider a δ -commutator $y^{-\delta} x^{-\delta} (xy)^\delta$. We have, using identities (1) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y^{-\delta} x^{-\delta} (xy)^\delta] &= [x, (xy)^\delta] [x, y^{-\delta} x^{-\delta}]^{(ab)^\delta} \\ &= [x, xy]^{\frac{((ab)^\delta - 1)}{(ab - 1)}} [x, y^{-\delta}]^{a^{-\delta} (ab)^\delta} \\ &= [x, y]^{\frac{(a^\delta b^\delta - 1)}{(ab - 1)} + \frac{(1 - b^\delta)}{(b - 1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Every commutator can be represented as $[x_i^\beta, (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t})^\alpha, x_{j_1}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{j_k}^{\alpha_k}]$. We can assume that $i \geq j_1 \geq j_2 \cdots \geq j_k$, otherwise use the Jacobi identity. If i is greater than or equal to all i_1, \dots, i_t , then the representation from Lemma 4.3 gives elements $[x_i, x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t}]^{f(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_t})}$. Bringing the commutator $[x_i, x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t}]$ to normal form and acting by $f(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_t})$ gives elements in normal form.

Suppose now some of i_1, \dots, i_t is greater than i . Consider a general example

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x_1, (x_2 x_3)^\delta] &= [x_1, (x_2 x_3)]^{\frac{(a_2 a_3)^\delta - 1}{(a_2 a_3 - 1)}} \\
 &= [x_1, x_2 x_3]^\delta \prod_{0 \leq \alpha < \delta} [x_1, x_2 x_3, (x_2 x_3)^\alpha] \\
 &= [x_1, x_2 x_3]^\delta \prod_{0 \leq \alpha < \delta} [x_1, x_2 x_3, x_3^\alpha]^{x_2^\alpha} \prod_{0 \leq \alpha < \delta} [x_1, x_2 x_3, x_2^\alpha] \\
 &= [x_1, x_2 x_3]^\delta [x_1, x_2 x_3, x_3]^{\sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \delta} \frac{a_2^\alpha (a_3^\alpha - 1)}{(a_3 - 1)}} \\
 &\quad \cdot [x_1, x_2 x_3, x_2]^{\sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \delta} \frac{(a_2^\alpha - 1)}{(a_2 - 1)}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the Jacobi identity, we rewrite $[x_1, x_2 x_3, x_3] = [x_3, x_2 x_3]^{a_1 - 1} [x_3, x_1]^{-a_2 a_3}$. This finally gives a representation of the commutator $[x_1, (x_2 x_3)^\delta]$ in the normal form. A general case can be treated similarly.

To prove uniqueness of normal forms, we need the analogue of Fox derivatives from $A(M)$ to R . We define a partial Fox derivative as a linear mapping $d_i : A(M) \rightarrow R$ satisfying the properties of d_i from Section 3.1 and

$$d_i(g^\delta) = \frac{g^\delta - 1}{g - 1} d_i(g) = d_i(g) \sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \delta} g^\alpha. \quad (5.1)$$

A consequence of these is an equality:

$$Dg^{-\delta} = -g^{-\delta} Dg^\delta.$$

One can also compute for $f(a, b) \in R$

$$d_i([x, y]^{f(a, b)}) = f(a, b)_{\text{inv}} d_i([x, y]). \quad (5.2)$$

The uniqueness of the normal form can be proved by using Fox derivatives and the homomorphisms ε_I , where $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $x_i \varepsilon_j = x_i$ if $i \in I$ and $x_i \varepsilon_j = 1$ if $i \notin I$ (as it is done in [13] for normal forms in a free metabelian group). For example, we have $u \varepsilon_{\{1, 2\}} = [x_2, x_1]^{\beta_{12}(a_1, a_2)}$, hence $\beta_{12}(a_2, a_1)$ is defined uniquely. Multiply u by $[x_2, x_1]^{-\beta_{21}(a_1, a_2)}$ to get u' .

$$\text{Then } u' \varepsilon_{\{1, 2, 3\}} = [x_3, x_1]^{\beta_{31}(a_1, a_2, a_3)} [x_3, x_2]^{\beta_{32}(a_1, a_2, a_3)}.$$

$$\text{Then } d_1(u' \varepsilon_{\{1, 2, 3\}}) = \beta_{31}(\text{inv})(a_1, a_2, a_3)(a_3 - 1)a_1^{-1}a_3^{-1},$$

$$d_2(u' \varepsilon_{\{1, 2, 3\}}) = \beta_{32}(\text{inv})(a_1, a_2, a_3)(a_3 - 1)a_2^{-1}a_3^{-1}.$$

This allows us to compute uniquely $\beta_{31}(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and $\beta_{32}(a_1, a_2, a_3)$, respectively, and so on. ■

Theorem 5.2. *If $H \equiv G$, where G is a free metabelian group, then H contains a free \mathbb{Z} -metabelian exponential group as a subgroup.*

Proof. We know that H is $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ -exponential group for some $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \equiv \mathbb{Z}$. And H' is a $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{G}_{NS}$ -module. Let M be a free $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ -metabelian exponential group defined above. Then normal forms of elements in M are exactly normal forms (4.1) of elements in H ; therefore, M is a subgroup of H . ■

Acknowledgments. The first author thanks Dolciani foundation for the support. The second author was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation of Georgia under the project FR-21-4713.

References

- [1] M. G. Amaglobeli, A. G. Myasnikov, and T. T. Nadiradze, Varieties of exponential R -groups (in Russian). *Algebra Logika* **62** (2023), no. 2, 179–204. English translation: *Algebra Logic* **62** (2023), no. 2, 119–136 Zbl [1535.20138](#) MR [4712926](#)
- [2] M. Aschenbrenner, A. Khélif, E. Naziazeno, and T. Scanlon, [The logical complexity of finitely generated commutative rings](#). *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2020** (2020), no. 1, 112–166 Zbl [1476.03047](#) MR [4050565](#)
- [3] S. Bachmuth, [Automorphisms of free metabelian groups](#). *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **118** (1965), 93–104 Zbl [0131.02101](#) MR [0180597](#)
- [4] E. Daniyarova and A. Myasnikov, Theory of interpretations I. Foundations. 2025, to appear in *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*
- [5] E. Daniyarova and A. Myasnikov, Theory of Interpretations II. Categorical equivalence. 2025, preprint
- [6] A. Garreta, A. Miasnikov, and D. Ovchinnikov, [Diophantine problems in solvable groups](#). *Bull. Math. Sci.* **10** (2020), no. 1, article no. 2050005, 27 pp. Zbl [1511.20118](#) MR [4095949](#)
- [7] W. Hodges, [Model theory](#). Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 42, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993 Zbl [0789.03031](#) MR [1221741](#)
- [8] R. Kaye, [Models of Peano arithmetic](#). Oxford Logic Guides 15, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991 Zbl [0744.03037](#) MR [1098499](#)
- [9] O. Kharlampovich, A. Myasnikov, and M. Sohrabi, [4 Rich groups, weak second-order logic, and applications](#). In O. Kharlampovich and R. Sklinos (eds.), *Groups and model theory: GAGTA book 2*, pp. 127–192. De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston, 2021 Zbl [1528.03165](#)
- [10] A. Khelif, [Bi-interprétabilité et structures QFA: étude de groupes résolubles et des anneaux commutatifs](#). *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **345** (2007), no. 2, 59–61 Zbl [1117.03041](#) MR [2343552](#)
- [11] A. I. Mal'tsev, On free soluble groups (in Russian). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **130** (1960), no. 3, 495–498. English translation: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **1** (1960), 65–68 Zbl [0097.24802](#) MR [0117274](#)
- [12] A. Myasnikov and A. Nikolaev, Nonstandard polynomials: algebraic properties and elementary equivalence. 2024, arXiv:[2409.14467v1](#)
- [13] A. Myasnikov and V. Roman'kov, [Diophantine cryptography in free metabelian groups: theoretical base](#). *Groups Complex. Cryptol.* **6** (2014), no. 2, 103–120 Zbl [1319.20031](#) MR [3276195](#)

- [14] A. G. Myasnikov, *Definable invariants and abstract isomorphisms of bilinear mappings* (in Russian). Vychisl. Tsentr Sibirsk. Otdel. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Novosibirsk, 1984, Preprint No. 84–518 MR [0817986](#)
- [15] A. G. Myasnikov, The structure of models and a decidability criterion for complete theories of finite-dimensional algebras (in Russian). *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **53** (1989), no. 2, 379–397. [English translation: Math. USSR-Izv.](#) **34** (1990), no 2, 389–407 Zbl [0702.03014](#) MR [0998302](#)
- [16] A. G. Myasnikov, Definable invariants of bilinear mappings (in Russian). *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **31** (1990), no. 1, 104–115. [English translation: Sib. Math. J.](#) **31** (1990), no. 1, 89–99 Zbl [0763.03020](#) MR [1046815](#)
- [17] A. G. Myasnikov and V. N. Remeslennikov, Groups with exponents I. Fundamentals of the theory and tensor completions (in Russian). *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **35** (1994), no. 5, 1106–1118. [English translation: Sib. Math. J.](#) **35** (1994), no. 5, 986–996 Zbl [0851.20050](#) MR [1308240](#)
- [18] A. G. Myasnikov and V. N. Remeslennikov, [Exponential groups 2: extensions of centralizers and tensor completion of CSA-groups](#). *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **6** (1996), no. 6, 687–711 Zbl [0866.20014](#) MR [1421886](#)
- [19] A. G. Myasnikov and M. Sohrabi, Groups elementarily equivalent to a free 2-nilpotent group of finite rank (in Russian). *Algebra Logika* **48** (2009), no. 2, 203–244. [English translation: Algebra Logic](#) **48** (2009), no. 2, 115–139 Zbl [1245.20038](#) MR [2573019](#)
- [20] V. A. Roman'kov, The width of verbal subgroups of solvable groups (in Russian). *Algebra Logika* **21** (1982), no. 1, 60–72 Zbl [0504.20020](#) MR [0683939](#)

Received 30 September 2023.

Olga Kharlampovich

Department of Mathematics, CUNY Graduate Center and Hunter College, 695 Park Ave,
New York, NY 10065, USA; okharlam@hunter.cuny.edu, okharlampovich@gmail.com

Alexei Miasnikov

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Stevens Institute, 1 Castle Point Terrace, Hoboken,
NJ 07030, USA; amiasnik@stevens.edu, amiasnikov@gmail.com