

Subellipticity, compactness, H^ϵ estimates and regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ on weakly q -pseudoconvex/concave domains

SAYED SABER (*) – MUKHTAR YOUSSEF (**) – YAGOUR ARKO (***) –
OMAR ELZIBER (****) – MOHAMMED OSMAN (*****) –
KHALID ADAM (*****) – HAROUN ADAM (*****)

ABSTRACT – For a weakly q -pseudoconvex (resp. q -pseudoconcave) domain Ω in a Stein manifold X of dimension n , we give a sufficient condition for subelliptic estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem. Moreover, we study the compactness of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator N on Ω . Such compactness estimates immediately lead to smoothness of solutions, the closed range property, the L^2 -setting and the Sobolev estimates of N on Ω for any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (r, k) -form with $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$). Furthermore, we study the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem with support conditions in Ω for forms of type (r, k) , with values in a holomorphic vector bundle. Applications to the $\bar{\partial}_b$ -problem for smooth forms on boundaries of Ω are given.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION 2020 – 35J20 (primary); 35J60, 32F10, 32W05, 32W10 (secondary).

KEYWORDS – $\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator, weakly q -convex domains.

(*) *Indirizzo dell'A.*: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Baha University, Saudi Arabia; Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Beni-Suef University, Beni Suef, Egypt; ssahmed@bu.edu.sa, sayed011258@science.bsu.edu.eg

(**) *Indirizzo dell'A.*: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Science, Taif University, 65511 Taif, Saudi Arabia; mokhtar@tu.edu.sa

(***) *Indirizzo dell'A.*: Department of Mathematics, Turabah University College, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia; y.arko@tu.edu.sa

(****) *Indirizzo dell'A.*: Department of Mathematics, Turabah University College, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia; oaziber@tu.edu.sa, omer19712013@gmail.com

(*****) *Indirizzo dell'A.*: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia; mtom@ut.edu.sa

(*****) *Indirizzo dell'A.*: Department of Basic Sciences, Deanship of the Preparatory Year, Najran University, 65511 Najran, Saudi Arabia; kiaahmed@nu.edu.sa

(*****) *Indirizzo dell'A.*: Department of Basic Sciences, Deanship of the Preparatory Year, Najran University, 65511 Najran, Saudi Arabia; hdadam@nu.edu.sa

1. Introduction and main results

The $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem in strongly pseudoconvex domains was solved by Kohn [16, 17], and the technique there showed that the existence of a subelliptic estimate will give the solution. The condition is simply counting the number of positive or negative eigenvalues of the Levi form. In [18], he gave a sufficient condition for subellipticity over pseudoconvex domains with real analytic boundary by introducing a sequence of ideals of subelliptic multipliers; see also [19]. The most general result concerning subelliptic estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem was obtained by Catlin [6–8]. He proved [6] that subelliptic estimates hold for k -forms at z_0 on any smoothly bounded, pseudoconvex domain, which is of finite type in the sense of D’Angelo [10]. Herbig [12] extended Catlin’s sufficiency result by replacing the boundedness condition on the weight functions with that of self-bounded complex gradient, a weaker condition which allows unbounded families of functions. This notion was introduced by McNeal [20]. However, in the case when the domain is not necessarily pseudoconvex the results are related to the celebrated $Z(k)$ condition which characterizes the existence of subelliptic estimates of index $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ according to Hörmander [13] and Folland–Kohn [11]. Hörmander [13] proved the necessary and sufficient conditions for the $\frac{1}{2}$ estimate on non-pseudoconvex domains. For similar results see [1, 2, 5, 9, 21–26].

The first purpose of this paper is to give a sufficient condition for subelliptic estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on a smoothly bounded, weakly q -pseudoconvex (resp. q -pseudoconcave) domain in a Stein manifold X for forms of type (r, k) , with $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$) with values in a holomorphic vector bundle. Second, we study the compactness and the Sobolev estimates of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator N . Such compactness estimates immediately lead to very important qualitative properties of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator, such as smoothness of solutions, the closed range property, the L^2 -setting and the Sobolev estimates of N on Ω for any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (r, k) -form with $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$). The main results generalizes Khanh and Zampieri [14, 15] results to forms with values in a holomorphic vector bundle. The proof starts with the known estimate on scalar differential forms and then obtains a similar estimate locally on bundle-valued forms using a local frame. Then, by using a partition of unity, we globalize this estimate at the cost of the constants.

On the other hand, we study the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation, $\bar{\partial}u = f$, with support conditions in a weakly q -pseudoconvex domain Ω in a Stein manifold X for forms of type (r, k) , with $k \geq q$ with values in a holomorphic vector bundle. Similar results for this problem were considered in [3, 4, 15, 27–43]. Applications to the $\bar{\partial}_b$ -problem for smooth forms on boundaries of Ω are given.

2. Preliminaries

2.1 – Notation in \mathbb{C}^n

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n and let $L^2(\Omega)$ denote the space of square integrable functions on Ω . We can write an (r, k) -form f as

$$f = \sum'_{I,J} f_{I,J} dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J,$$

where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ are multiindices and $dz_I = dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_r}$, $d\bar{z}_J = d\bar{z}_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_k}$. The notation \sum' means summation over strictly increasing multiindices and $L^2_{r,k}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of (r, k) -forms whose coefficients are in $L^2(\Omega)$. The norm $L^2_{r,k}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 dV \quad \text{and} \quad |f|^2 = \sum'_{I,J} f_{I,J} \overline{f_{I,J}},$$

for $f \in L^2_{r,k}(\Omega)$ and $dV = i^n dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n$. Denote by $C^\infty_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega})$ the space of complex-valued differential forms of class C^∞ and of type (r, k) on Ω that are smooth up to the boundary, and denote by $\mathcal{D}_{r,k}(U)$ the elements in $C^\infty_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega})$ that are compactly supported in $U \cap \bar{\Omega}$. For $0 \leq q \leq n$, the Cauchy–Riemann operator, or simply the D-bar operator, $\bar{\partial}: L^2_{r,k}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_{r,k+1}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\bar{\partial} \left(\sum'_{I,J} f_{I,J} dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum'_{I,J} \frac{\partial f_{I,J}}{\partial \bar{z}_j} d\bar{z}_j \wedge dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J,$$

with $\text{dom } \bar{\partial} = \{f \in L^2_{r,k}(\Omega) : \bar{\partial}f \in L^2_{r,k+1}(\Omega)\}$. Here, the derivatives are taken in the distributional sense. The operator $\bar{\partial}$ is linear, closed and densely defined. The Hilbert space theory of unbounded operators gives that the adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$, which we denote by $\bar{\partial}^*$, is also linear, closed and densely defined. The Laplace–Beltrami operator \square is defined by $\square = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}: \text{dom } \square \rightarrow L^2_{r,k}(\Omega)$ and $\ker \square = \{f \in \text{dom } \bar{\partial} \cap \text{dom } \bar{\partial}^*: \bar{\partial}f = 0 \text{ and } \bar{\partial}^*f = 0\}$ is its kernel. One defines the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator $N: L^2_{r,k}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_{r,k}(\Omega)$ as the inverse of the restriction of \square to $(\ker \square)^\perp$.

We define the Fourier transform \hat{u} of u as

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx,$$

where $dx = dx_1 \dots dx_n$ and $x \cdot \xi = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \xi_j$. For each $\epsilon \geq 0$ and for any $u \in \mathcal{D}_{r,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Sobolev norm is given by

$$\|f\|_{H^\epsilon_{r,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|^2)^\epsilon |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Thus, $H_{r,k}^\epsilon(\Omega)$ can be defined as the completion of $\mathcal{D}_{r,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the norm $\|f\|_{H_{r,k}^\epsilon(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$. Moreover, let us restrict to the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\|f\|_{H_{r,k}^\epsilon(\Omega)}^2 = \inf \|F\|_{H_{r,k}^\epsilon(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,$$

where $F \in H_{r,k}^\epsilon(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $F|_\Omega = f$.

DEFINITION 1. The $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem is said to satisfy a subelliptic estimate of order $\epsilon > 0$ at $z_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ on k -forms if there exist a positive constant c and a neighborhood $V \ni z_0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H^\epsilon}^2 \leq c(\|\bar{\partial}f\|_{H^0}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*f\|_{H^0}^2 + \|f\|_{H^0}^2).$$

2.2 – Notation in complex manifolds

Let X be an n -dimensional Stein manifold with a Hermitian metric ω and Ω be a relatively compact domain in X . Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle, of rank p , over X with a Hermitian metric h and E^* its dual. An E -valued differential (r, k) -form u on X is given locally by a column vector $u^T = (u^1, u^2, \dots, u^p)$, where u^a , $1 \leq a \leq p$, are \mathbb{C} -valued differential forms of type (r, k) on X . For integers $r, k \geq 0$, $C_{r,k}^\infty(\Omega, E)$ is the complex vector space of E -valued differential forms of class C^∞ and of type (r, k) on Ω and $C_{r,k}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E)$ is the subspace of $C_{r,k}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E)$ whose elements can be extended smoothly up to $\partial\Omega$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$ be the space of E -valued differential forms of type (r, k) with compact support in Ω . Let $\#_E: C_{r,k}^\infty(X, E) \rightarrow C_{k,r}^\infty(X, E^*)$ be the operator defined by $\#_E u = \bar{h}\bar{u}$, which commutes with the Hodge star operator. The corresponding operator $\#_{E^*}: C_{r,k}^\infty(X, E^*) \rightarrow C_{k,r}^\infty(X, E)$ is defined by $\#_{E^*} u = h^{-1}\bar{u} = \#_E^{-1} u$.

For $f, u \in C_{r,k}^\infty(X, E)$, a global inner product $\langle f, u \rangle_\Omega$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_\Omega$, with respect to ω and h , are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, u \rangle_\Omega &= \int_\Omega f \wedge \star \#_E u, \\ \|f\|^2 &= \langle f, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For $f \in C_{r,k}^\infty(\Omega, E)$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{r,k-1}(\Omega, E)$, the formal adjoint operator ϑ of the operator $\bar{\partial}: C_{r,k-1}^\infty(\Omega, E) \rightarrow C_{r,k}^\infty(\Omega, E)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad \langle \vartheta f, \eta \rangle &= \langle f, \bar{\partial}\eta \rangle, \\ \vartheta &= -\#_{E^*} \star \bar{\partial} \star \#_E. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$Q(u, u) = \|\bar{\partial}u\|^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*u\|^2 + \|f\|^2$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E) = \{f \in C_{r,k}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E), \star \#_E f|_{b\Omega} = 0\}.$$

Let $L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E)$ be the Hilbert space obtained by completing $C_{r,k}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E)$ under the norm $\|f\|^2$. The operators $\bar{\partial}$, $\bar{\partial}^*$, \square and N are defined for E -valued differential (r, k) -forms as in the case of \mathbb{C} -valued differential (r, k) -forms.

DEFINITION 2. A form $u \in L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E)$ is supported in $\bar{\Omega}$ ($\text{supp } u \subset \bar{\Omega}$) or u vanishes to infinite order at the boundary of Ω if u vanishes on $b\Omega$.

Choose a finite covering $\{U_j\}_{j \in J}$ by domains of the charts $\eta_j: U_j \rightarrow V_j$ and let $\varphi_j: E|_{U_j} \rightarrow V_j$ be a collection of trivializations. Let φ_j^* be an induced map $\varphi_j^* \xi = \varphi_j \circ \xi \circ \eta_j^{-1}$ acting from $C^\infty(U_j, E|_{U_j})$ to $C^\infty(V_j, \mathbb{C}^p)$ which can be identified with $C^\infty(V_j)^p$. Let $(\rho_j)_j$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ and put

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|_{H^\epsilon(X, E)}^2 = \sum_j \|\varphi_j^* \rho_j f\|_{H^\epsilon(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,$$

where the right-hand side is the usual Sobolev ϵ -norm defined as in the Euclidean case. Thus, $H_{r,k}^\epsilon(\Omega, E)$ can be defined as the completion of $\mathcal{D}_{r,k}(X, E)$ with respect to the norm (2.2). Moreover, let us restrict to the domain $\Omega \subset X$. Then

$$\|f\|_{H_{r,k}^\epsilon(\Omega, E)}^2 = \inf \|F\|_{H_{r,k}^\epsilon(X, E)}^2,$$

where $F \in H_{r,k}^\epsilon(X, E)$ and $F|_\Omega = f$.

2.3 – q -pseudoconvexity and the $(q - P)$ property

Let Ω be a domain of a Stein manifold X defined by $\rho < 0$ with $d\rho \neq 0$ on the boundary $b\Omega$. Let $T^\mathbb{C}b\Omega$ be the complex tangent bundle to the boundary $b\Omega$. For a given boundary point $z_0 \in b\Omega$, we consider a boundary complex frame which means an orthonormal basis $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n = d\rho$ of $(1, 0)$ -forms with C^∞ coefficients on a small neighborhood U of z_0 . We denote by $(\rho(z))_{i,j=1}^{n-1}$, the matrix of the Levi form $\partial\bar{\partial}\rho(z)$ in the complex tangential direction at z with respect to the basis $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n$. Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{n-1}$ be the eigenvalues of $(\rho(z))_{i,j=1}^{n-1}$ and denote by $s_{b\Omega}^+(z)$, $s_{b\Omega}^-(z)$, $s_{b\Omega}^0(z)$ their number according to the different sign. Take a pair of indices $1 \leq q \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq q_0 \leq n-1$ such that $q \neq q_0$. We assume that there is a bundle $\mathcal{V}^{q_0} \subset T^{1,0}b\Omega$ of rank q_0 with smooth coefficients in a neighborhood V of z_0 , say the bundle spanned by $\{L_1, L_2, \dots, L_{q_0}\}$, such that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} \rho_{jj} \geq 0 \quad \text{on } b\Omega \cap V.$$

DEFINITION 3. We have the following:

- (i) If $q > q_0$, Ω is said to be weakly q -pseudoconvex at z_0 .
- (ii) If $q < q_0$, Ω is said to be weakly q -pseudoconcave at z_0 .

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{q_0} \rho_{jj}$ is the trace of the restricted form $(\rho_{jj})|_V$, then Definition 3 depends only on the choice of the bundle V , not on its basis. Condition (2.3) is equivalent to

$$(2.4) \quad \sum'_{\substack{|I|=r \\ |K|=k-1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \rho_{ij} f_{I,iK} \bar{u}_{I,jK} - \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} \rho_{jj} |u|^2 \geq 0,$$

for any (r, k) -form u with $k \geq q$. In this form (2.3) will be applied. For some cases, instead of (2.4), it is better to consider the variant

$$(2.5) \quad \sum'_{\substack{|I|=r \\ |K|=k-1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \rho_{ij} f_{I,iK} \bar{u}_{I,jK} - \sum'_{\substack{|I|=r \\ |K|=k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} \rho_{jj} |f_{I,jK}|^2 \geq 0.$$

It is obvious that if $L_{b\Omega}|_V$ is assumed to be diagonal, instead of ≤ 0 , the left-hand side of (2.5) equals

$$\sum'_{\substack{|I|=r \\ |K|=k-1}} \sum_{i,j=q_0+1}^{n-1} \rho_{ij} f_{I,iK} \bar{u}_{I,jK};$$

thus, if U is the Levi-orthogonal complement of V , then (2.5) is equivalent to $L_{b\Omega}|_U \geq 0$.

REMARK 1. Definition 3 is a generalization of the usual pseudoconvexity or pseudoconcavity, as well as of the celebrated condition $Z(q)$ (cf. [3, 14, 15, 27]) (that is, the Levi form has at least $n - q$ positive eigenvalues or at least $q + 1$ negative eigenvalues). Note that a pseudoconvex domain is characterized by $s^-(z) = 0$; thus, it is 1-pseudoconvex in our terminology.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $s^-(z)$ be constant for $z \in \Omega$ close to z_0 . Then (2.3) holds for $q_0 = s^-$ and $q_0 = s^- + 1$. In fact, we have $\lambda_{s^-} < 0 < \lambda_{s^-+1}$, and thus the negative eigenvectors span a bundle \mathcal{V}^{q_0} for $q_0 = s^-$, which, identified to the span of L_1, L_2, \dots, L_{q_0} , yields $\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} \rho_{jj}$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let Ω satisfy the $Z(q)$ condition at z_0 , that is, $s^+(z) \geq n - q$ or $s^-(z) \leq q + 1$ for $z \in V \cap b\Omega$. Thus Ω is strongly q -pseudoconvex or strongly q -pseudoconcave at z_0 .

EXAMPLE 3. It is readily seen that for $q_0 = s^- + s^0$ and for any $q > q_0$ (resp. $q_0 = s^-$ and any $q < q_0$), (2.3) is satisfied in a suitable local boundary frame. Thus any index $q \notin [s^-, s^- + s^0]$ satisfies (2.3) for either choice of q_0 . Note that $s^- + s^0 = n - 1 - s^+$ and thus $q \notin [s^-, n - 1 - s^+]$ coincides, in the terminology of [12], with condition $Z(q)$. Instead, we use the terminology of strong q -pseudoconvexity (concavity) when $q > n - 1 - s^+$ (resp. $q < s^-$) because this is the same as asking that (2.3) holds with strict inequality.

The condition in Definition 4 below generalizes to domains which are not necessarily pseudoconvex, the celebrated P property by Catlin [6, 8].

DEFINITION 4. A boundary $b\Omega$ is said to have the $(q - P)$ property in V if for every positive number M there is a function $\phi^M \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \cap V)$ with $|\phi^M| \leq 1$ on Ω and such that, if we denote by $\lambda_1^{\phi^M} \leq \lambda_2^{\phi^M} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{n-1}^{\phi^M}$ the ordered eigenvalues of the Levi form (ϕ_{ij}^M) , we have

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j^{\phi^M} - \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} \phi_{jj}^M \geq c \left(M^{-2\epsilon} + \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} |\phi_j|^2 \right) \quad \text{on } \bar{\Omega} \cap V,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j^{\phi^M} - \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} \phi_{jj}^M \geq M \quad \text{on } b\Omega \cap V,$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and the constant $c > 0$ does not depend on M .

REMARK 2. We notice that if condition (2.6) holds for forms in some degree $q > q_0$ (resp. $q < q_0$), then it also holds in any degree $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$). In fact, (2.6) forces $\lambda_q^\phi \geq 0$ (resp. $\lambda_q^\phi \leq 0$) which implies $\lambda_k^\phi \geq 0$ for any $k \geq q$ (resp. $\lambda_k^\phi \leq 0$ for any $k \leq q$).

Now we give a geometric condition on $b\Omega$ which implies that $b\Omega$ has property $(q - P)$.

DEFINITION 5. Let Ω be a smoothly bounded, weakly q -pseudoconvex domain in a Stein manifold and let V be the bundle which occurs in the above definitions. The boundary $b\Omega$ is said to be weakly q regular at z_0 if there exist a neighborhood V of z_0 and a finite number of relatively compact subsets S_i of $b\Omega \cap V$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, such that

- (1) $\emptyset = S_N \subset S_{N-1} \subset \dots \subset S_1 \subset S_0 = b\Omega \cap V$;
- (2) if $z \in S_i \setminus S_{i+1}$, then there are a neighborhood $V' \Subset V$ and a CR submanifold D of $b\Omega \cap V'$ of CR dimension q with $z \in D$ which satisfy

- (a) $S_i \cap V' \subset D$,
- (b) $V_{z_0}|_D \subset T_{z_0}^{1,0} D$,
- (c) if $\lambda_1^D \leq \dots \leq \lambda_q^D$ are the ordered eigenvalues of the restricted Levi form $(\rho_{ij})|_{T^{\mathbb{C}} D}$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j^D - \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} \rho_{jj} > 0, \quad z \in D.$$

This generalizes the notion of weak regularity of a pseudoconvex boundary introduced by Catlin [6].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If the boundary of Ω is weakly q -regular, then it satisfies property $(q - P)$.*

PROOF. The proof is the same as in [14, Theorem 2.1]. ■

Let Ω be a domain of a Stein manifold defined by $\rho < 0$ with $d\rho \neq 0$ on the boundary $b\Omega$. Let V be a subbundle of $T^{1,0}b\Omega$ of rank, say, q_0 , \mathcal{W} a bundle contained in V^\perp , the orthogonal to V in $T^{1,0}b\Omega$ with respect to $\partial\bar{\partial}\rho$, such that $\mathcal{W} \cap V = \{0\}$ and $V + \mathcal{W} = T^{1,0}b\Omega$. The boundary $b\Omega$, as well as the bundles V and \mathcal{W} , is supposed to be real analytic.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Assume that in a neighborhood V of a boundary point z_0 , in addition to the above hypotheses, the following two conditions are also fulfilled:*

$$(2.7) \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\rho|_V \leq 0, \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\rho|_{\mathcal{W}} \geq 0,$$

and $\mathcal{W} \cap \ker L_{b\Omega}$ is involuting and that there is no complex curve $\gamma \subset b\Omega$ such that $T_\gamma \subset \mathcal{W}$. Then $b\Omega$ satisfies the $(q - P)$ property.

PROOF. It is obvious that (2.7), combined with $\mathcal{W} \subset V^\perp$, implies (2.3); in fact, if V is the bundle of the first q_0 eigenvalues and if λ is the minimum eigenvalue of $\partial\bar{\partial}\rho|_{\mathcal{W}}$, which is supposed to be non-negative, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} \rho_{jj} \geq \lambda.$$

Thus, Ω is q -pseudoconvex for $q = q_0 + 1$. Then, from Proposition 2.1, $b\Omega$ satisfies the $(q - P)$ property. ■

3. Subellipticity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem

THEOREM 3.1. *Let Ω be a weakly q -pseudoconvex (resp. q -pseudoconcave) domain with smooth boundary in \mathbb{C}^n . Suppose that $b\Omega$ has property $(q - P)$. Then ϵ -subelliptic estimates at z_0 hold for forms of degree $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$). More precisely, there exist a positive constant ϵ and a positive constant c such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \|u\|_{H^\epsilon}^2 \leq c Q(u, u),$$

for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{r,k}(\Omega)$.

PROOF. Let $S_\delta = \{z \in \Omega : -\delta < \rho(z) \leq 0\}$ be a strip, where δ is a small enough positive number. Following Khanh and Zampieri [15],

$$(3.2) \quad \|u\|_{H^\epsilon}^2 \leq c Q(u, u),$$

for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{r,k}(S_\delta \cap \Omega)$ with $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$). Since $b\Omega$ is compact, by a finite covering $\{U_\nu\}_{\nu=1}$ of $b\Omega$ by neighborhoods U_ν as in (3.2), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \|u\|_{H^\epsilon}^2 \leq c Q(u, u),$$

when u is supported in the strip S_δ .

Now we estimate the integral over $\Omega \setminus S_\delta$. Choose $\gamma_\delta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ such that $\gamma_\delta(z) = 1$ whenever $\rho(z) \leq -\delta$ and $z \in \Omega \setminus S_\delta$. Using (3.3), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^\epsilon}^2 &\leq \int_{S_\delta} |u|^2 dV + \|\gamma_\delta u\|_{H^\epsilon}^2 \\ &\leq c_1 Q(u, u) + \|u\|_{H^\epsilon}^2 \\ &= (c_1 + c') Q(u, u), \end{aligned}$$

for some c_1, c' . Thus, we obtain the subelliptic estimate

$$\|u\|_{H^\epsilon}^2 \leq c Q(u, u),$$

for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{r,k}(\Omega)$. Thus the proof follows. ■

THEOREM 3.2. *Let X be a Stein manifold of complex dimension n . Let Ω be a weakly q -pseudoconvex (resp. q -pseudoconcave) domain with smooth boundary in X . Suppose that $b\Omega$ has the property $(q - P)$. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle, of rank p , on X . Then, for $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$), the ϵ -subelliptic estimates at z_0 for E -valued (r, k) -forms hold for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on Ω . More precisely, there exists a positive constant c such that*

$$(3.4) \quad \|u\|_{H^\epsilon(E)}^2 \leq c Q(u, u),$$

for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$.

PROOF. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be a finite covering of $b\Omega$ by a local patching. Extend the subelliptic estimate (3.1) to E -valued forms. Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_p be an orthonormal basis on $E_z = \pi^{-1}(z)$, for every $z \in f_j$, $j \in J$. Thus every E -valued differential (r, k) -form u on X can be written locally, on f_j , as $u(z) = \sum_{a=1}^p u^a(z) e_a(z)$, where u^a are the components of the restriction of u on f_j . Since $b\Omega$ is compact, there exist a finite number of elements of the covering $\{f_j\}$, say, f_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, such that $\bigcup_{v=1}^m f_{j_v}$ cover $b\Omega$. Let $\{\zeta_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be a partition of unity such that $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{r,k}(\Omega)$, $\zeta_j \in \mathcal{D}_{r,k}(f_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $\sum_{j=0}^m \zeta_j^2 = 1$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, where $\{U_j\}_{j=1, \dots, m}$ is a covering of $b\Omega$.

Let U be a small neighborhood of a given boundary point $\xi_0 \in b\Omega$ such that $U \Subset V \Subset f_{j_v}$, for a certain $j_v \in I$. If $u \in \mathcal{D}_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$, $0 \leq r \leq n$, $q \leq k \leq n-2$, on applying the subelliptic estimate (3.1) to each u^a and adding for $a = 1, \dots, p$, we get the subelliptic estimate for $u|_{\Omega \cap U}$,

$$\|\zeta_0 u\|_{H^\epsilon}^2 \lesssim c Q(\zeta_0 u, \zeta_0 u) \lesssim \epsilon Q(u, u).$$

Similarly, for $j = 1, \dots, m$, we get subelliptic estimate for $u|_{\Omega \cap U_j}$

$$\|\zeta_j u\|_{H^\epsilon}^2 \lesssim c Q(\zeta_j u, \zeta_j u) \lesssim \epsilon Q(u, u).$$

Summing over j , we get

$$\|u\|_{H^\epsilon(E)}^2 \leq c Q(u, u).$$

Thus the proof follows. ■

4. Compactness, H^ϵ estimates and regularity for $\bar{\partial}$

As a consequence of the subelliptic estimate (3.4), one first proves the L^2 existence theorem of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let X be a Stein manifold of complex dimension n . Let Ω be a weakly q -pseudoconvex (resp. q -pseudoconcave) domain with smooth boundary in X . Suppose that $b\Omega$ has the property $(q - P)$. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle, of rank p , on X . Then, for $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$), $\ker(\square, E)$ is finite-dimensional and the range of \square is closed in $L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E)$, and there exists a bounded linear operator $N: L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E) \rightarrow L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E)$ satisfying the following properties:*

- (i) $\mathcal{R}\text{an}(N, E) \subset \text{dom}(\square, E)$; $N\square = I - \mathbb{H}$ on $\text{dom}(\square, E)$;
- (ii) for $f \in L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E)$, we have $f = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* Nf \oplus \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} Nf \oplus \mathbb{H}f$;
- (iii) $N\bar{\partial} = \bar{\partial}N$ on $\text{dom}(\bar{\partial}, E)$ and $N\bar{\partial}^* = \bar{\partial}^*N$ on $\text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*, E)$;

(iv) for all $f \in L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$, we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|Nf\| &\leq c\|f\|, \\ \|\bar{\partial}Nf\| + \|\bar{\partial}^*Nf\| &\leq \sqrt{c}\|f\|; \end{aligned}$$

(v) if $f \in L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E) \cap \ker(\bar{\partial}, E)$, then $\bar{\partial}^*Nf$ gives the solution u to the equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$ of minimal $L^2_{r,k-1}(\Omega, E)$ -norm;

(vi) if $f \in L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E) \cap \ker(\bar{\partial}^*, E)$, then $\bar{\partial}Nf$ gives the solution u to the equation $\bar{\partial}^*u = f$ of minimal $L^2_{r,k+1}(\Omega, E)$ -norm.

PROOF. From (3.4) at $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, and for $f \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}, E) \cap \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*, E)$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \|f\|_{H^{1/2}(E)}^2 \leq C(\|\bar{\partial}f\|_{H^0(E)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*f\|_{H^0(E)}^2 + \|f\|_{H^0(E)}^2).$$

This gives the existence of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator $N_{r,k}: L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E) \rightarrow H^1_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$. Inequality (4.1) implies that from every sequence $\{f_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$ in $\text{dom}(\bar{\partial}, E) \cap \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*, E)$ with $\|f_v\|$ bounded, $\bar{\partial}f_v \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2_{r,k+1}(\Omega, E)$, and $\bar{\partial}^*f_v \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2_{r,k-1}(\Omega, E)$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$. Then (4.1) implies that $\|f_v\|_{H^{1/2}(E)}^2 \leq C$ for some constant C . By the Rellich lemma, the inclusion map $i_\Omega: H^{1/2}_{r,k}(\Omega, E) \rightarrow L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$ is compact, and one can extract a subsequence of the sequence f_v which converges in the $L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$ -norm. Thus, for $f \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}, E) \cap \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*, E)$, $f \perp \ker(\square, E)$, the hypotheses of [13, Theorem 1.1.3] are satisfied and so this theorem implies that $\ker(\square, E)$ is finite-dimensional and the estimate

$$(4.2) \quad \|f\|_{H^0(E)}^2 \leq C(\|\bar{\partial}f\|_{H^0(E)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*f\|_{H^0(E)}^2)$$

holds, and hence

$$(4.3) \quad \|f\|_{H^0(E)}^2 \leq C\|\square f\|_{H^0(E)}^2, \quad \text{for } f \in \text{dom}(\square, E), f \perp \ker(\square, E).$$

Thus the closed graph theorem (cf. [13, Theorem 1.1.1]) implies that \square has closed range and forces, since \square is self-adjoint, the strong Hodge decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E) &= \mathcal{R}\text{an}(\square, E) \oplus \ker(\square, E) \\ &= \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* \text{dom}(\square, E) \oplus \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial} \text{dom}(\square, E) \oplus \ker(\square, E). \end{aligned}$$

Estimate (4.3) also implies the existence of N as a unique bounded operator on $L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$ that inverts \square on $\ker(\square, E)^\perp$. We extend N to the whole $L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$ space by setting $N = 0$ on $\ker(\square, E)$. The properties follow directly, as in the proof of [9, Theorem 3.1.14]. \blacksquare

THEOREM 4.2. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 4.1, for $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$), the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator $N: L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E) \rightarrow L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$ is compact.*

PROOF. To show that N is compact, it suffices to show compactness on $\ker(\square, E)^\perp$ (since $N = 0$ on $\ker(\square, E)$). When $f \in \ker(\square, E)^\perp$ (and hence $Nf \in \ker(\square, E)^\perp$), integration by parts and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\partial}Nf\|_{H^0(E)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*Nf\|_{H^0(E)}^2 &= \langle f, Nf \rangle_{H^0(E)} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{H^0(E)} \|Nf\|_{H^0(E)} \leq \|f\|_{H^0(E)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from (4.3) for Nf . Applying (4.1) and then (4.3) to Nf , one obtains

$$\|Nf\|_{H^{1/2}(E)}^2 \leq C(\|\bar{\partial}Nf\|_{H^0(E)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*Nf\|_{H^0(E)}^2 + \|Nf\|_{H^0(E)}^2) \leq K\|f\|_{H^0(E)}^2,$$

where K is a positive constant. Thus N is compact on $L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$ by the Rellich lemma (the embedding of $H^{1/2}_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$ into $L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$ is compact). ■

THEOREM 4.3. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 4.1, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *the compactness of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operators N ;*
- (2) *the compactness of the embedding $j_{r,k}$ of the space $\text{dom}(\bar{\partial}, E) \cap \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*, E)$, provided with the graph norm $\|f\| + \|\bar{\partial}u\| + \|\bar{\partial}^*u\|$, into $C^\infty_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E)$;*
- (3) *for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for $u \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$, $0 < q < n - 1$, we have*

$$(4.4) \quad \|u\|^2 \leq \varepsilon Q(u, u) + C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{H^{-1}(E)}^2.$$

PROOF. First we prove (3) \Rightarrow (1). We want to prove that for any bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset C^\infty_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E)$, the sequence $\{N_{r,k}(u_n)\}$ admits a convergent subsequence. Since $N_{r,k}$ is a bounded operator in $C^\infty_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E)$, we observe that $\{N_{r,k}(u_n)\}$ is a bounded sequence in $C^\infty_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E)$. According to the Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem, every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence. Hence there exists a subsequence $v_j = f_{n_j}$ such that $\{N(v_j)\}$ converges in $H^{-1}_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$ since $C^\infty_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E)$ is compactly embedded in $H^{-1}_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$. To conclude that, it is sufficient to prove that $\{N_{r,k}(v_j)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. We observe that estimate (3.1) applied to $\{N_{r,k}(v_j)\}$ gives

$$\|N_{r,k}(v_j - v_l)\| \leq \varepsilon \|v_j - v_l\| + C_\varepsilon \|N_{r,k}(v_j - v_l)\|_{H^{-1}(E)}.$$

For fixed $\delta > 0$, we get the conclusion choosing ε such that $\varepsilon \|v_j - v_l\| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ for any j, l and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon \|N_{r,k}(v_j - v_l)\| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ for any $j, l \geq M$. Then $\{N_{r,k}(v_j)\}$

is a Cauchy sequence. Similarly, one obtains that $\{\square N_{r,k}(v_j)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which implies that $\{v_j\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Now we prove (1) \Rightarrow (2). It is easy to observe that $N_{r,k} = j_{r,k}$, when the range $\text{dom}(\bar{\partial}, E) \cap \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*, E)$ is endowed with the graph norm. On the other hand, compactness is stable under adjunction.

Finally, we prove (2) \Rightarrow (3). If the compactness estimate does not hold we can choose a sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that $Q(u_n, u_n) = 1$ and

$$(4.5) \quad 1 \geq \|u_n\|^2 \geq \epsilon + n\|u_n\|_{H^{-1}(E)}^2$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By compactness of the embedding there exists a subsequence $v_j = f_{n_j}$ which converges in $C_{r,k}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E)$ and hence also in $H_{r,k}^{-1}(\Omega, E)$. From (4.5) the common limit is 0. But this contradicts the fact that, again by (4.5), $\|u_n\| \geq \epsilon$. ■

Now we briefly review classical results about Sobolev estimates for \square .

THEOREM 4.4. *Let X be a Stein manifold of complex dimension n . Let Ω be a weakly q -pseudoconvex (resp. q -pseudoconcave) domain with smooth boundary in X . Suppose that $b\Omega$ has the property $(q - P)$. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle, of rank p , on X . Then*

$$\|f\|_{H^\epsilon(E)}^2 \lesssim \|\square f\|_{H^\epsilon(E)}^2, \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{D}_{r,k}(\Omega, E) \cap \text{dom}(\square, E).$$

PROOF. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be a finite covering of $b\Omega$ by a local patching. Extend the subelliptic estimate

$$\|f\|_{H^\epsilon}^2 \lesssim \|\square f\|_{H^\epsilon}^2, \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{D}_{r,k}(\Omega) \cap \text{dom}(\square)$$

to E -valued forms (for the proof see [34, Theorem 3.1]). Thus the proof follows as in Theorem 3.2. ■

THEOREM 4.5 (Cf. [23]). *Let X be an n -dimensional complex manifold of complex dimension n and Ω be a bounded domain of X . Let $\Omega \Subset X$ be a submanifold with smooth boundary. Suppose the compactness estimate (4.4) holds on Ω . Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle, of rank p , on X . Suppose further that the $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (r, k) -form α is in $H^m(\Omega, E)$ and $\alpha \perp \ker(\square, E)$. Then there is a constant C_m such that the canonical solution u of $\bar{\partial}u = \alpha$, with $u \perp \ker \bar{\partial}$, satisfies*

$$\|f\|_{H^m(E)} \leq C_m(\|\alpha\|_{H^m(E)} + \|f\|).$$

Since $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E) = \bigcap_{m=0}^\infty H^m(\Omega, E)$, it follows that if $\alpha \in C_{r,k}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E)$, then $u \in C_{r,k-1}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E)$.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let X be a Stein manifold of complex dimension n . Let Ω be a weakly q -pseudoconvex (resp. q -pseudoconcave) domain with smooth boundary in X . Suppose that $b\Omega$ has the property $(q - P)$. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle, of rank p , on X . Then, for $\alpha \in C_{r,k}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E)$, $q \leq k \leq n - 2$, satisfying $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ in the distribution sense in X , there exists $u \in C_{r,k-1}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E)$, such that $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in the distribution sense in X .*

5. Closed range property

Closed range properties of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator are closely connected to the existence of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator.

THEOREM 5.1. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 4.1, for $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$), we have*

- (i) *the operator $\bar{\partial}$ has closed range in $L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E)$ and $L_{r,k+1}^2(\Omega, E)$,*
- (ii) *the operator $\bar{\partial}^*$ has closed range in $L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E)$ and $L_{r,k-1}^2(\Omega, E)$.*

PROOF. Using (4.2) and by using [13, Theorems 1.1.3 and 1.1.2], we obtain that $\bar{\partial}: L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E) \rightarrow L_{r,k+1}^2(\Omega, E)$ and $\bar{\partial}^*: L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E) \rightarrow L_{r,k-1}^2(\Omega, E)$ have closed range. ■

THEOREM 5.2. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 4.1, for $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$), the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator N exists and $N: L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E) \rightarrow H_{r,k}^1(\Omega, E)$.*

PROOF. From (4.1),

$$\|f\|_{H^{1/2}(E)}^2 \leq C(\|\bar{\partial}f\|_{H^0(E)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*f\|_{H^0(E)}^2 + \|f\|_{H^0(E)}^2),$$

for $f \in \mathcal{D}\text{om}(\bar{\partial}, E) \cap \mathcal{D}\text{om}(\bar{\partial}^*, E)$. Since there exists an interpolation between $H_{r,k}^1(\Omega, E)$ and $L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E)$ as illustrated in [9, Appendix B and Theorem B.3] and by using the procedure of [9, Theorem 5.2.1], we conclude that the range of N is $H_{r,k}^1(\Omega, E)$. This gives the existence of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator $N: L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E) \rightarrow H_{r,k}^1(\Omega, E)$. ■

THEOREM 5.3. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 4.1, for $k \geq q$ (resp. $k \leq q$), there exists $u \in H_{r,k}^{1/2}(\Omega, E)$ with $\bar{\partial}u = f$.*

PROOF. From Theorem 4.1, for any $f \in L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E) \cap \ker(\bar{\partial}, E)$ and $f \perp \ker(\square, E)$, there exists a $u \in H_{r,k}^{1/2}(\Omega, E)$ with $\bar{\partial}u = f$. ■

6. The $\bar{\partial}$ problem with support condition

By applying the duality of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem one obtains a solution to the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation with exact support on such domains.

THEOREM 6.1. *Let X be a Stein manifold of complex dimension n . Let Ω be a weakly q -pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary in X . Suppose that $b\Omega$ has the property $(q - P)$. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle, of rank p , on X . Then, for $q \leq k \leq n - q$ and for $f \in L^2_{r,k}(X, E)$, $\text{supp } f \subset \bar{\Omega}$ with $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ in the distribution sense in X , there exists $u \in L^2_{r,k-1}(X, E)$, $\text{supp } u \subset \bar{\Omega}$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in the distribution sense in X .*

PROOF. Let $f \in L^2_{r,k}(X, E)$ and $\text{supp } f \subset \bar{\Omega}$. Then $f \in L^2_{r,k}(\Omega, E)$. From Theorem 4.1, $N_{n-r,n-k}$ exists for $n - k \geq q$, and since $N_{n-r,n-k} = (\square_{n-r,n-k})^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{R}\text{an}(\square_{n-r,n-k}, E^*)$ and $\mathcal{R}\text{an}(N_{n-r,n-k}, E^*) \subset \text{dom}(\square_{n-r,n-k}, E^*)$, we have $N_{n-r,n-k} \#_E \star f \in \text{dom}(\square_{n-r,n-k}, E^*) \subset L^2_{n-r,n-k}(\Omega, E^*)$, for $k \leq n - q$. Thus, one can define $u \in L^2_{r,k-1}(\Omega, E)$ by

$$(6.1) \quad u = - \star \#_{E^*} \bar{\partial} N_{n-r,n-k} \#_E \star f.$$

Extend u to X by defining $u = 0$ in $X \setminus \bar{\Omega}$. That the extended form u satisfies the equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in the distribution sense in X is proved as follows. We shall first prove that $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in the distribution sense in Ω .

For $\eta \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}, E^*) \subset L^2_{n-r,n-k-1}(\Omega, E^*)$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\partial}\eta, \#_E \star f \rangle_\Omega &= \int_\Omega \bar{\partial}\eta \wedge \star(\#_{E^*} \#_{E^*} \star f) = (-1)^{r+k} \int_\Omega \bar{\partial}\eta \wedge f \\ &= (-1)^{(r+k)(r+k-1)} \int_\Omega f \wedge \bar{\partial}\eta = (-1)^{r+k} \langle f, \#_{E^*} \star \bar{\partial}\eta \rangle_\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\vartheta = \bar{\partial}^*$ on $\mathcal{B}_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E)$, when ϑ acts in the distribution sense and $\mathcal{B}_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E)$ is dense in $\text{dom}(\bar{\partial}, E) \cap \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*, E)$ in the graph norm, from [13, Proposition 2.1.1], then from (2.1) one obtains

$$\langle \bar{\partial}\eta, \#_E \star f \rangle_\Omega = \langle f, \bar{\partial}^* \#_{E^*} \star \eta \rangle_\Omega.$$

Since $\text{supp } f \subset \bar{\Omega}$, then we obtain

$$\langle \bar{\partial}\eta, \#_{E^*} \star f \rangle_\Omega = \langle f, \bar{\partial}^* \#_{E^*} \star \eta \rangle_\Omega = \langle \bar{\partial}f, \#_{E^*} \star \eta \rangle_X = 0.$$

It follows that $\bar{\partial}^*(\#_{E^*} \star f) = 0$ on Ω . Using Theorem 4.1 (iii), we have

$$(6.2) \quad \bar{\partial}^* N_{n-r,n-k}(\#_E \star f) = N_{n-r,n-s-1} \bar{\partial}^*(\#_E \star f) = 0.$$

Thus, from (2.1), (6.1) and (6.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\partial}u &= -\bar{\partial} \star \#_{E^*} \bar{\partial} N_{n-r, n-k} \#_E \star f \\
 &= (-1)^{r+k} \star \#_{E^*} \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} N_{n-r, n-k} \#_E \star f \\
 &= (-1)^{r+k} \star \#_{E^*} (\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^*) N_{n-r, n-k} \#_E \star f \\
 &= (-1)^{r+k} \star \#_{E^*} \#_E \star f \\
 &= f
 \end{aligned}$$

in the distribution sense in Ω . Since $u = 0$ in $X \setminus \Omega$, then for $\eta \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*, E) \subset L^2_{r,k}(X, E)$, we obtain

$$\langle u, \bar{\partial}^* \eta \rangle_X = \langle u, \bar{\partial}^* \eta \rangle_\Omega = \langle \#_E \star \bar{\partial}^* \eta, \#_E \star u \rangle_\Omega.$$

Thus, from (2.1), we obtain

$$(6.3) \quad \langle u, \bar{\partial}^* \eta \rangle_X = (-1)^{r+k} \langle \bar{\partial} \#_E \star \eta, \#_E \star u \rangle_\Omega = \langle \#_E \star \eta, \#_E \star \bar{\partial} u \rangle_\Omega = \langle \bar{\partial} u, \eta \rangle_\Omega,$$

where the second equality holds since

$$\#_E \star u = (-1)^{r+k+1} \bar{\partial} N_{n-r, n-k} \#_E \star f \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*, E^*).$$

Thus, from (6.3), we obtain

$$\langle u, \bar{\partial}^* \eta \rangle_X = \langle f, \eta \rangle_\Omega = \langle f, \eta \rangle_X.$$

Thus $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in the distribution sense in X . Thus the proof follows. \blacksquare

This gives the extension of $\bar{\partial}_b$ closed forms from the boundary of such domains, where $\bar{\partial}_b$ is the tangential Cauchy Riemann operator.

THEOREM 6.2. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 6.1, for $f \in C^\infty_{r,k}(b\Omega, E)$, $q \leq k \leq n - q - 1$, satisfying $\bar{\partial}_b f = 0$, there exists $F \in C^\infty_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E)$ such that $F|_{b\Omega} = f$ and $\bar{\partial}F = 0$.*

PROOF. Let $f \in C^\infty_{r,k}(b\Omega, E)$, $q \leq k \leq n - q - 1$, with $\bar{\partial}_b f = 0$. Then there exists $f' \in C^\infty_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E)$ such that $f'|_{b\Omega} = f$ and $\bar{\partial}f'$ vanishes to infinite order on $b\Omega$. Following Theorem 6.1, there exists $f \in C^\infty_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset \bar{\Omega}$ such that $\bar{\partial}f = \bar{\partial}f'$. Then the form $F = f' - f$ satisfies $F \in C^\infty_{r,k}(\bar{\Omega}, E)$, $F|_{b\Omega} = f$ and $\bar{\partial}F = 0$. \blacksquare

THEOREM 6.3. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 6.1, if $f \in C^\infty_{r,k}(b\Omega, E)$, $q \leq k \leq n - q - 1$, with $\bar{\partial}_b f = 0$, there exists $u \in C^\infty_{r,k-1}(b\Omega, E)$, such that $\bar{\partial}_b u = f$.*

PROOF. Let $f \in C_{r,k}^\infty(b\Omega, E)$, $q \leq k \leq n - q - 1$, with $\bar{\partial}_b f = 0$. Then from Theorem 6.2 there exists $F \in C_{r,k}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E)$ such that $F|_{b\Omega} = f$ and $\bar{\partial}F = 0$. Following Theorem 6.1, there exists $U \in C_{r,k-1}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, E)$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}U = f$ in Ω . Then $u = U|_{b\Omega}$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}_b u = f$. ■

The necessary and sufficient condition on $f \in H_{r,k}^{1/2}(b\Omega, E)$ to have a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed extension F on Ω is summarized as follows. In what follows, differentiation is always taken in the distribution sense.

THEOREM 6.4. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 6.1, for $f \in H_{r,k}^{1/2}(b\Omega, E)$, $q \leq k \leq n - q - 1$, we assume that*

$$(6.4) \quad \bar{\partial}_b f = 0.$$

Then there exists $F \in L_{r,k-1}^2(\Omega, E)$ such that $F = f$ on $b\Omega$ and $\bar{\partial}F = 0$ in Ω .

PROOF. Since $f \in H_{r,k}^{1/2}(b\Omega, E)$ is a form, one can extend f componentwise to Ω such that each component is in $H^1(\Omega, E)$. For the detailed construction of such an extension, see e.g. [7, Lemma 9.3.3]. Let f' be an arbitrary extension of f with $f' \in H_{r,k}^1(\Omega, E)$.

From (6.4), we can require that $f_1 = \bar{\partial}_b f' = 0$ in $b\Omega$. If we extend f_1 to be zero outside $\bar{\Omega}$, we get $\bar{\partial}f_1 = 0$ in X in the distribution sense. We set

$$v = -\star \#_{E^*} \bar{\partial}N \#_E \star f.$$

From Theorem 6.1 and its proof, we have $v \in L_{r,k}^2(\Omega, E)$. We set $v = 0$ outside Ω . Then $\bar{\partial}v = f_1$ in the distribution sense on X with v supported in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Setting $F = f' - v$ in Ω , we have $F = f$ on $b\Omega$ and $\bar{\partial}F = \bar{\partial}f' - \bar{\partial}v = f_1 - f_1 = 0$ on Ω . ■

FUNDING – The authors would like to acknowledge the Deanship of Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, Taif University, for funding this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. ABDELKADER – S. SABER, [Vanishing theorems on strongly \$q\$ -convex manifolds](#). *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **2** (2005), no. 3, 467–483. Zbl 1090.32010 MR 2152171
- [2] O. ABDELKADER – S. SABER, [Solution to \$\bar{\partial}\$ -equations with exact support on pseudo-convex manifolds](#). *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **4** (2007), no. 3, 339–348. Zbl 1142.32020 MR 2343350

- [3] H. D. S. ADAM – K. I. ADAM – S. SABER – G. FARID, [Existence theorems for the \$\bar{\partial}\$ equation and Sobolev estimates on \$q\$ -convex domains](#). *AIMS Math.* **8** (2023), no. 12, 31141–31157. MR [4670866](#)
- [4] H. D. S. ADAM – K. I. A. AHMED – S. SABER – M. MARIN, [Sobolev estimates for the \$\bar{\partial}\$ and the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann operator on pseudoconvex manifolds](#). *Mathematics* **11** (2023), no. 19, article no. 4138.
- [5] J. CAO – M.-C. SHAW – L. WANG, [Estimates for the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann problem and nonexistence of \$C^2\$ Levi-flat hypersurfaces in \$\mathbb{C}P^n\$](#) . *Math. Z.* **248** (2004), no. 1, 183–221. Zbl [1057.32012](#) MR [2092728](#)
- [6] D. CATLIN, [Necessary conditions for subellipticity and hypoellipticity for the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann problem on pseudoconvex domains](#). In *Recent developments in several complex variables (Proc. Conf., Princeton Univ., Princeton, N.J., 1979)*, pp. 93–100, Ann. of Math. Stud 100, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1981. Zbl [0467.32010](#) MR [0627751](#)
- [7] D. CATLIN, [Subelliptic estimates for the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann problem on pseudoconvex domains](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **126** (1987), no. 1, 131–191. Zbl [0627.32013](#) MR [0898054](#)
- [8] D. W. CATLIN, [Global regularity of the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann problem](#). In *Complex analysis of several variables (Madison, Wis., 1982)*, pp. 39–49, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 41, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1984. Zbl [0578.32031](#) MR [0740870](#)
- [9] S.-C. CHEN – M.-C. SHAW, [Partial differential equations in several complex variables](#). AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Boston, MA, 2001. Zbl [0963.32001](#) MR [1800297](#)
- [10] J. P. D'ANGELO, [Real hypersurfaces, orders of contact, and applications](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **115** (1982), no. 3, 615–637. Zbl [0488.32008](#) MR [0657241](#)
- [11] G. B. FOLLAND – J. J. KOHN, [The Neumann problem for the Cauchy–Riemann complex](#). Ann. of Math. Stud. 75, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1972. Zbl [0247.35093](#) MR [0461588](#)
- [12] A.-K. HERBIG, [A sufficient condition for subellipticity of the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann operator](#). *J. Funct. Anal.* **242** (2007), no. 2, 337–362. Zbl [1118.32028](#) MR [2274813](#)
- [13] L. HÖRMANDER, [\$L^2\$ estimates and existence theorems for the \$\bar{\partial}\$ operator](#). *Acta Math.* **113** (1965), 89–152. Zbl [0158.11002](#) MR [0179443](#)
- [14] T. V. KHANH – G. ZAMPIERI, [Subellipticity of the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann problem on a weakly \$q\$ -pseudoconvex/concave domain](#). *Adv. Math.* **228** (2011), no. 4, 1938–1965. Zbl [1238.32031](#) MR [2836110](#)
- [15] T. V. KHANH – G. ZAMPIERI, [Compactness estimate for the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann problem on a \$Q\$ -pseudoconvex domain](#). *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **57** (2012), no. 12, 1325–1337. Zbl [1267.32037](#) MR [2991575](#)
- [16] J. J. KOHN, [Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds. I](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **78** (1963), 112–148. Zbl [0161.09302](#) MR [0153030](#)

- [17] J. J. KOHN, [Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds. II.](#) *Ann. of Math.* (2) **79** (1964), 450–472. Zbl [0178.11305](#) MR [0208200](#)
- [18] J. J. KOHN, [Subellipticity of the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann problem on pseudo-convex domains: Sufficient conditions.](#) *Acta Math.* **142** (1979), no. 1-2, 79–122. Zbl [0395.35069](#) MR [0512213](#)
- [19] J. J. KOHN – L. NIRENBERG, [Non-coercive boundary value problems.](#) *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **18** (1965), 443–492. Zbl [0125.33302](#) MR [0181815](#)
- [20] J. D. McNEAL, [A sufficient condition for compactness of the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann operator.](#) *J. Funct. Anal.* **195** (2002), no. 1, 190–205. Zbl [1023.32029](#) MR [1934357](#)
- [21] S. SABER, [Solution to \$\bar{\partial}\$ problem with exact support and regularity for the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann operator on weakly \$q\$ -convex domains.](#) *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **7** (2010), no. 1, 135–142. Zbl [1204.32027](#) MR [2647774](#)
- [22] S. SABER, [The \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann operator on Lipschitz \$q\$ -pseudoconvex domains.](#) *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **61(136)** (2011), no. 3, 721–731. Zbl [1249.32016](#) MR [2853086](#)
- [23] S. SABER, [Solvability of the tangential Cauchy–Riemann equations on boundaries of strictly \$q\$ -convex domains.](#) *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **32** (2011), no. 3, 189–193. Zbl [1254.32054](#) MR [2825494](#)
- [24] S. SABER, [Global boundary regularity for the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -problem on strictly \$q\$ -convex and \$q\$ -concave domains.](#) *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **6** (2012), no. 6, 1157–1165. Zbl [1275.32030](#) MR [3000679](#)
- [25] S. SABER, [Solution to \$\bar{\partial}\$ and \$\bar{\partial}_b\$ problem for smooth forms and currents on strictly \$q\$ -convex domains.](#) *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **9** (2012), no. 4, article no. 1220002. Zbl [1257.32037](#) MR [2917301](#)
- [26] S. SABER, [The \$\bar{\partial}\$ -problem on \$q\$ -pseudoconvex domains with applications.](#) *Math. Slovaca* **63** (2013), no. 3, 521–530. Zbl [1349.32010](#) MR [3071972](#)
- [27] S. SABER, [The \$L^2\bar{\partial}\$ -Cauchy problem on weakly \$q\$ -pseudoconvex domains in Stein manifolds.](#) *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **65(140)** (2015), no. 3, 739–745. Zbl [1363.32018](#) MR [3407602](#)
- [28] S. SABER, [The \$\bar{\partial}\$ -problem with support conditions and pseudoconvexity of general order in Kähler manifolds.](#) *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **53** (2016), no. 6, 1211–1223. Zbl [1353.32038](#) MR [3570969](#)
- [29] S. SABER, [Global solutions for the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -problem on non pseudoconvex domains in Stein manifolds.](#) *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **54** (2017), no. 6, 1787–1799. Zbl [1401.32029](#) MR [3718424](#)
- [30] S. SABER, [Sobolev regularity of the Bergman projection on certain pseudoconvex domains.](#) *Trans. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.* **171** (2017), no. 1, 90–102. Zbl [1430.32015](#) MR [3623634](#)
- [31] S. SABER, [Compactness of commutators of Toeplitz operators on \$q\$ -pseudoconvex domains.](#) *Electron. J. Differential Equations* (2018), article no. 111. Zbl [1390.32025](#) MR [3831857](#)

- [32] S. SABER, [Global regularity for \$\bar{\partial}\$ on an annulus between two weakly convex domains](#). *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.* **11** (2018), no. 3, 309–314. Zbl [1401.32030](#) MR [3855761](#)
- [33] S. SABER, [The \$L^2\bar{\partial}\$ -Cauchy problem on pseudoconvex domains and applications](#). *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **11** (2018), no. 2, 1850025. Zbl [1388.32028](#) MR [3786365](#)
- [34] S. SABER, [Solution to \$\bar{\partial}\$ -problem with support conditions in weakly \$q\$ -convex domains](#). *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **33** (2018), no. 2, 409–421. Zbl [1397.32001](#) MR [3800569](#)
- [35] S. SABER, [Compactness of the canonical solution operator on Lipschitz \$q\$ -pseudoconvex boundaries](#). *Electron. J. Differential Equations* (2019), article no. 48. Zbl [1417.32011](#) MR [3956488](#)
- [36] S. SABER, [Compactness of the complex Green operator in a Stein manifold](#). *Politehn. Univ. Bucharest Sci. Bull. Ser. A Appl. Math. Phys.* **81** (2019), no. 2, 185–200. Zbl [1513.32040](#) MR [3969820](#)
- [37] S. SABER [Compactness of the weighted dbar-Neumann operator and commutators of the Bergman projection with continuous functions](#). *J. Geom. Phys.* **138** (2019), 194–205. Zbl [1418.32007](#) MR [3903949](#)
- [38] S. SABER, [Global solvability and regularity for \$\bar{\partial}\$ on an annulus between two weakly convex domains which satisfy property \(P\)](#). *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **12** (2019), no. 3, article no. 1950041. Zbl [1415.32010](#) MR [3955344](#)
- [39] S. SABER, [\$L^2\$ estimates and existence theorems for \$\bar{\partial}_b\$ on Lipschitz boundaries of \$Q\$ -pseudoconvex domains](#). *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **358** (2020), no. 4, 435–458. Zbl [1445.32002](#) MR [4134253](#)
- [40] S. SABER, [The \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Cauchy problem on weakly \$q\$ -convex domains in \$\mathbb{C}P^n\$](#) . *Kragujevac J. Math.* **44** (2020), no. 4, 581–591. Zbl [1488.32016](#) MR [4227898](#)
- [41] S. SABER, [On the applications of Bochner–Kodaira–Morrey–Kohn identity](#). *Kragujevac J. Math.* **45** (2021), no. 6, 881–896. Zbl [1499.32026](#) MR [4369914](#)
- [42] S. SABER – A. ALAHMARI, [Global regularity of \$\bar{\partial}\$ on certain pseudoconvexity](#). *Trans. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.* **175** (2021), no. 3, 417–427. Zbl [1487.32199](#) MR [4351607](#)
- [43] S. SABER – A. ALAHMARI, [Compactness estimate for the \$\bar{\partial}\$ -Neumann problem on a \$Q\$ -pseudoconvex domain in a Stein manifold](#). *Kragujevac J. Math.* **47** (2023), no. 4, 627–636. MR [4654462](#)

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 22 luglio 2023.