



David Fisher · Jean-François Lafont · Nicholas Miller · Matthew Stover

Corrigendum to “Finiteness of maximal geodesic submanifolds in hyperbolic hybrids”

Received 22 October 2024

Abstract. This corrigendum points out an issue with our Angle Rigidity theorem, Theorem 4.1 in [J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **23**, 3591–3623 (2021)], in certain codimensions. This effects the proof of our main result on finiteness of maximal totally geodesic submanifolds of n -dimensional hyperbolic hybrids, Theorem 1.4 loc. cit., in codimension at least $\frac{n}{2}$. Theorem 1.4 was later proved in greater generality by different methods, and thus holds in the full generality stated in our paper.

Keywords: hyperbolic geometry, totally geodesic submanifold, arithmeticity.

This corrigendum freely uses definitions and notation from [3]. Our primary purpose is to point out a gap in the proof of the following, which is stated as [3, Theorem 4.1].

Angle Rigidity: Fix a non-arithmetic hyperbolic manifold M that is built from building blocks, and suppose that two adjacent building blocks N_1 and N_2 are arithmetic and dissimilar. Let $A \subset M$ be a connected finite-volume immersed totally geodesic submanifold of dimension at least 2 such that A intersects the interior of N_1 and N_2 , i.e., crosses a cutting hypersurface Σ . Then A meets Σ orthogonally.

The proof of this result is not complete if the codimension of A in M is at least $\frac{n}{2}$, where $n = \dim(M)$, and this effects the proof of [3, Theorem 1.4] in this case. It should be emphasized that [3, Theorem 1.4] is correct as stated, since it follows from the (far more general) [1, Theorem 1.1].

The issue with the proof of Angle Rigidity stems from the reliance on the enumeration of totally geodesic subspaces given in [4], which is not complete if $\dim(A) = 3$ or if A has

David Fisher: Department of Mathematics, Rice University, 6100 Main Street, Houston, TX 77005, USA; df32@rice.edu

Jean-François Lafont: Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, 100 Math Tower, Columbus, OH 43210-1174, USA; jlafont@math.ohio-state.edu

Nicholas Miller (corresponding author): Department of Mathematics, University of Oklahoma, 601 Elm Avenue, Norman, OK 73019-3103, USA; nickmbmiller@ou.edu

Matthew Stover: Department of Mathematics, Temple University, 1805 North Broad Street, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA; mstover@temple.edu

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 57N16 (primary); 22E40 (secondary).

codimension at least $\frac{n}{2}$ in M . For a correct classification, see [2, §4]. Angle Rigidity holds, with the given proof, when *all* components of A in each $N_j \cap A$ come from those geodesic subspaces enumerated in [4], but Angle Rigidity may in fact fail for the subspaces that [4] omits. Our proof of [3, Theorem 1.2] when $n = 4$ and $\dim(A) = 3$ remains correct; see the paragraph following [2, Theorem 1.2].

Specifically, recall that the arithmetic lattices under consideration arise from the group $\mathrm{SO}(q, F)$, where F is a totally real number field and q is a quadratic form on an F -vector space V of dimension $n + 1$. Following [4], in [3] we only considered *subform subspaces*, which arise from decompositions $q_1 \oplus q_2$ associated with q -orthogonal subspaces $V_1, V_2 \subset V$. The proof of Angle Rigidity for subspaces of this kind is correct in all codimensions. By [2, Corollary 5.12], all totally geodesic submanifolds of codimension at most $\frac{n-1}{2}$ come from this construction.

In higher codimension, there are other totally geodesic subspaces, namely the *Weil restriction* subspaces. The local arithmetic obstruction that leads to Angle Rigidity does not hold for these subspaces, hence the argument for Angle Rigidity in [3] cannot handle them.

Remark 1. The argument given in [3] for Closure Rigidity (Theorem 3.3) also uses [4] in a way that renders the argument incomplete, but that result is still true. We briefly give the argument, replacing N_1 there by N' to avoid confusion with the different use of N_1 in this corrigendum.

Using the notation from [3], first consider the case where $m \geq 3$, so that $N \cap \Sigma$ is not a union of closed geodesics and cusp-to-cusp geodesics. Before any mention of [4], what is (correctly) proved is that $\Delta = \pi_1(N)$ contains an element g that is not conjugate into the fundamental group $\pi_1(N')$ of the component N' of $N \cap \Sigma$. By hypothesis, $\pi_1(N')$ is a lattice in the stabilizer of the appropriate embedding of \mathbb{H}^{m-1} into \mathbb{H}^n . The action of $\pi_1(N)$ on \mathbb{H}^n also stabilizes an embedding of \mathbb{H}^m in \mathbb{H}^n containing this embedded \mathbb{H}^{m-1} , since the lift to a map on universal coverings embeds \tilde{N} as the complement in \mathbb{H}^m of a union of disjoint half-spaces.

The \mathbb{R} -Zariski closure L of $\pi_1(N)$ in $\mathrm{SO}(n, 1)$ is then contained in an embedding of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(m, 1) \times \mathrm{O}(n - m))$ in $\mathrm{SO}(n, 1)$. Moreover, L contains the lattice $\pi_1(N')$ in a conjugate W of the standard $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(m - 1, 1) \times \mathrm{O}(n - m + 1))$ and an element g that is not in W . In particular, $\pi_1(N')$ is actually contained in the appropriate conjugate of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(m - 1, 1) \times \mathrm{O}(n - m))$ that additionally fixes \mathbb{H}^m . Therefore,

$$\mathrm{SO}(m, 1) \leq L \leq \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(m, 1) \times \mathrm{O}(n - m)). \quad (1)$$

Taking the \mathbb{Q} -Zariski closure \mathbf{H} of $\pi_1(N)$ in the associated \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group \mathbf{G} for $\pi_1(M)$ (i.e., the restriction of scalars of the K -algebraic group defined in [3]) produces $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Z})$ which, after a suitable projection, is the desired lattice in $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(m, 1) \times \mathrm{O}(n - m))$ containing $\pi_1(N)$.

Now suppose that $m = 2$ and that $N \cap \Sigma$ is a union of closed geodesics and cusp-to-cusp geodesics. The proof in the case where there are only cusp-to-cusp geodesics is correct and does not cite [4]. Indeed, in the language of [2] one explicitly builds a subform

subspace of dimension three using a triple of linearly independent isotropic lines associated with endpoints of some pair of cusp-to-cusp geodesics.

Lemma 3.2 in [3] reduces the proof of Closure Rigidity to the case where there is exactly one closed geodesic in the union and the remaining components are cusp-to-cusp geodesics. Then the fundamental group of $\pi_1(N)$ is not cyclic, since otherwise N would deformation retract to that immersed circle and this is ruled out by hypothesis on N . It follows that the restriction of $\pi_1(N)$ to the appropriate embedding of \mathbb{H}^2 in \mathbb{H}^n is a nonelementary Fuchsian group. Thus, standard results on Fuchsian groups again imply that the \mathbb{R} -Zariski closure L of $\pi_1(N)$ satisfies equation (1) with $m = 2$, and the proof of Theorem 3.3 from [3] concludes exactly as before.

Remark 2. We also note that [1, §3.1] corrects a base-point shift error for some arguments in [3, §5].

Funding. The authors are grateful for the support of the National Science Foundation. David Fisher was partially supported by DMS-2246556, Jean-François Lafont by DMS-2109683 and DMS-2407438, Nicholas Miller by DMS-2405264, and Matthew Stover by DMS-2203555 and DMS-2506896.

References

- [1] Bader, U., Fisher, D., Miller, N., Stover, M.: [Arithmeticity, superrigidity, and totally geodesic submanifolds](#). Ann. of Math. (2) **193**, 837–861 (2021) Zbl [07353243](#) MR [4250391](#)
- [2] Belolipetsky, M., Bogachev, N., Kolpakov, A., Slavich, L.: Subspace stabilizers in hyperbolic lattices. arXiv:[2105.06897v9](#) (2023)
- [3] Fisher, D., Lafont, J.-F., Miller, N., Stover, M.: [Finiteness of maximal geodesic submanifolds in hyperbolic hybrids](#). J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **23**, 3591–3623 (2021) Zbl [1492.57014](#) MR [4310813](#)
- [4] Meyer, J. S.: [Totally geodesic spectra of arithmetic hyperbolic spaces](#). Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369**, 7549–7588 (2017) Zbl [1385.11017](#) MR [3695838](#)