JEMS

Izzet Coskun · Eric Larson · Isabel Vogt

The normal bundle of a general canonical curve of genus at least 7 is semistable

Abstract. Let C be a general canonical curve of genus g defined over an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. We prove that if $g \notin \{4, 6\}$, then the normal bundle of C is semistable. In particular, if $g \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod 6$, then the normal bundle is stable.

Keywords: canonical curves, normal bundle, stability.

1. Introduction

Let C be a nonsingular, irreducible, non-hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 3$ defined over an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Then the canonical linear system $|K_C|$ embeds C in $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$. The image is called a *canonical curve of genus g*. Canonical curves of genus g lie in an irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme of curves of genus g in $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$. Studying the properties of canonical curves is an essential tool in curve theory.

Given a vector bundle V on C of rank r and degree d, recall that the *slope* of V is defined by $\mu(V) := \frac{d}{r}$. The bundle V is called *semistable* if, for every proper subbundle W, we have $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$. The bundle is called *stable* if the inequality is always strict.

Since stable bundles are the atomic building blocks of all vector bundles on a curve, it is important to ask if naturally-defined vector bundles on canonical curves, such as the restricted tangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{P}^{g-1}}|_C$ or the normal bundle N_C, are stable. The first of these is straightforward: the restricted tangent bundle of a general canonical curve of genus

Izzet Coskun: Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, 851 S. Morgan Street, 322 Science and Engineering Offices (MC 249), Chicago, IL 60607-7045, USA; icoskun@uic.edu

Eric Larson: Department of Mathematics, Brown University, 151 Thayer Street, Providence, RI 02912, USA; elarson3@gmail.com

Isabel Vogt: Department of Mathematics, Brown University, 151 Thayer Street, Providence, RI 02912, USA; ivogt.math@gmail.com

$g \geq 3$ is always stable. In fact, the restricted tangent bundle of a general Brill–Noether curve of any degree d and genus $g \geq 2$ in $\mathbb{P}^r$ is stable unless $(d, g) = (2r, 2)$ [9]. On the other hand, the normal bundle can fail to be stable in low genus (cf. Remark 1.3).

Aprodu, Farkas and Ortega [1] conjectured that once the genus is sufficiently large, the normal bundle of a general canonical curve is stable. Previously, this was only known for $g = 7$ [1] and for $g = 8$ [5]. The proofs of these two results use explicit models of low genus canonical curves due to Mukai and thus do not generalize to large genus. In this paper, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let C be a general canonical curve of genus $g \notin \{4, 6\}$ defined over an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Then the normal bundle of C is semistable.*

The rank of N_C is $g - 2$ and the degree of N_C is $2(g^2 - 1)$. Hence,

$$\mu(N_C) = 2g + 4 + \frac{6}{g - 2}.$$

In particular, if $g - 2$ and 6 are relatively prime, the semistability of N_C implies the stability of N_C. We thus obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *If $g \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod 6$, then the normal bundle of the general canonical curve of genus g is stable.*

Remark 1.3. When $g = 3$, the canonical curve is a plane quartic curve. Hence, $N_C \cong \mathcal{O}_C(4)$ and is stable. When $g = 5$, the general canonical curve is a complete intersection of three quadrics. Hence, $N_C \cong \mathcal{O}_C(2)^{\oplus 3}$. In particular, N_C is semistable but not stable. When $g = 4$ or 6, N_C is unstable, as we now explain. When $g = 4$, the canonical curve is a complete intersection of type $(2, 3)$. The normal bundle of C in the quadric is a destabilizing line subbundle of N_C of degree 18. When $g = 6$, the general canonical curve is a quadric section of a quintic del Pezzo surface. The normal bundle of C in this del Pezzo surface gives a degree 20 destabilizing line subbundle of N_C.

We will prove Theorem 1.1 by specializing a canonical curve to the union of an elliptic normal curve E of degree g and a g-secant rational curve R of degree $g - 2$ meeting E quasi-transversely in g points. In Section 3, we describe this degeneration and the Harder–Narasimhan (HN) filtration of $N_{E \cup R}|_R$. In Section 4, we will prove that $N_{E \cup R}|_E$ is semistable. This suffices to prove Theorem 1.1 when g is odd by [7, Lemma 4.1], because $N_{E \cup R}|_R$ is balanced in this case. When g is even, $N_{E \cup R}|_R$ is not balanced. However, we have an explicit geometric understanding of the HN-filtration. In this case, we give two proofs of Theorem 1.1, one using the strong Franchetta conjecture under the assumption that the characteristic is 0 (see Section 4), and an elementary proof valid in all characteristics using the explicit HN-filtration and induction on g (in Sections 5 and 6).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect basic facts about normal bundles of reducible curves and their stability. We refer the reader to [7] for more details.

2.1. Stability of vector bundles on nodal curves

In our argument, we will specialize canonical curves to certain nodal curves. We recall a natural extension of the notion of stability to nodal curves (see [7, §2]). Let C be a connected nodal curve and write

$$\nu \colon \widetilde{C} \to C$$

for the normalization of C. For any node p of C, let $\widetilde{p}_1$ and $\widetilde{p}_2$ be the two points of $\widetilde{C}$ over p.

Given a vector bundle V on C, the fibers of the pullback $\nu^* V$ to $\widetilde{C}$ over $\widetilde{p}_1$ and $\widetilde{p}_2$ are naturally identified. Given a subbundle $F \subseteq \nu^* V$, we can thus compare $F|_{\widetilde{p}_1}$ and $F|_{\widetilde{p}_2}$ inside $\nu^* V|_{\widetilde{p}_1} \simeq \nu^* V|_{\widetilde{p}_2}$.

Definition 2.1. Let V be a vector bundle on a connected nodal curve C. For a subbundle $F \subset \nu^* V$, define the *adjusted slope* μ_C^{adj} by

$$\mu_C^{\mathrm{adj}}(F) := \mu(F) - \frac{1}{\mathrm{rk}\, F} \sum_{p \in C_{\mathrm{sing}}} \mathrm{codim}_F(F|_{\widetilde{p}_1} \cap F|_{\widetilde{p}_2}),$$

where $\mathrm{codim}_F(F|_{\widetilde{p}_1} \cap F|_{\widetilde{p}_2})$ refers to the codimension of the intersection in either $F|_{\widetilde{p}_1}$ or $F|_{\widetilde{p}_2}$ (which are equal since $\dim F|_{\widetilde{p}_1} = \dim F|_{\widetilde{p}_2}$). Note that if F is pulled back from C, then $\mu_C^{\mathrm{adj}}(F) = \mu(F)$. We say that V is *(semi)stable* if for all subbundles $F \subset \nu^* V$,

$$\mu^{\mathrm{adj}}(F) \underset{(-)}{\leq} \mu(\nu^* V) = \mu(V).$$

The advantage of this definition is that it specializes well.

Proposition 2.2 ([7, Proposition 2.3]). *Let $\mathscr{C} \to \Delta$ be a family of connected nodal curves over the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring, and let $\mathscr{V}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathscr{C}$. If the special fiber $\mathscr{V}_0 = \mathscr{V}|_0$ is (semi)stable, then the general fiber $\mathscr{V}^* = \mathscr{V}|_{\Delta^*}$ is also (semi)stable.*

Lemma 2.3 ([7, Lemma 4.1]). *Suppose that $C = X \cup Y$ is a reducible curve and V is a vector bundle on C such that $V|_X$ and $V|_Y$ are semistable. Then V is semistable. Furthermore, if one of $V|_X$ or $V|_Y$ is stable, then V is stable.*

2.2. Elementary modifications of normal bundles

In this section, we briefly recall without proof the definition and basic properties of elementary modifications of a vector bundle on a scheme. For a more detailed exposition, see [4, §§2–6]. Other treatments can be found in [7, §§2.3–2.4] and [14, §§3.1–3.2].

Given a vector bundle V on a scheme X, an effective Cartier divisor $D \subset X$, and a subbundle $F \subset V|_D$, the *negative elementary modification* $V[D \xrightarrow{-} F]$ *of V along D towards F* is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \to V[D \xrightarrow{-} F] \to V \to V|_D/F \to 0.$$

We write

$$V[D \xrightarrow{+} F] := V[D \xrightarrow{-} F](D)$$

for the *positive modification of V along D towards F*. The modification $V[D \xrightarrow{+} F]$ is naturally isomorphic to V on the complement of the divisor D. In this way, we can easily define multiple modifications $V[D_1 \xrightarrow{+} F_1][D_2 \xrightarrow{+} F_2]$ when the supports of D_1 and D_2 are disjoint.

When the supports of the D_i meet, subbundles of $V|_{D_i}$ are insufficient to define multiple modifications. In this context, we always assume that F_i extends to a subbundle of V in an open neighborhood U_i of D_i. If $F_2|_{U_2 \setminus D_1}$ extends to a subbundle over all of U_2, then it does so uniquely, and $V[D_1 \xrightarrow{+} F_1][D_2 \xrightarrow{+} F_2]$ denotes the modification of $V[D_1 \xrightarrow{+} F_1]$ towards this subbundle along D_2. For example, the multiple modification $V[D \xrightarrow{+} F][D \xrightarrow{+} F]$ denotes the modification of $V[D \xrightarrow{+} F]$ along D towards the subbundle of $V[D \xrightarrow{+} F]$ corresponding to F, which is itself $F(D)$. The general situation of multiple modification is studied in [4, §2]. In this paper, when we need multiple modifications, the extension will be clear, and so we do not need this general framework.

A simplifying special case of elementary modifications is when F is a direct summand of $V \simeq F \oplus V'$ and consequently

$$V[D \xrightarrow{+} F] \simeq F(D) \oplus V'.$$

If F is only a direct summand of $V|_D \simeq F \oplus G$, we still have an explicit description along D,

$$E[D \xrightarrow{+} F]|_D \simeq F \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(D) \oplus G. \tag{1}$$

More generally, if V sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \to S \to V \to Q \to 0, \tag{2}$$

then we obtain an induced exact sequence with the modification $V[D \xrightarrow{+} F]$ that captures how the subbundle F sits with respect to sequence (2). We will only make use of the following two special cases of this. First, suppose that $F \cap S$ is flat over the base X. In this case, (2) induces the exact sequence

$$0 \to S[D \xrightarrow{+} (F \cap S)] \to V[D \xrightarrow{+} F] \to Q[D \xrightarrow{+} F/(F \cap S)] \to 0. \tag{3}$$

Second, suppose that $X = C$ is a smooth curve and $F \subset V$ is a line subbundle. By combining modifications with disjoint supports, it suffices to consider the case that $D = np$ for a point $p \in C$. Let k' be the order to which the fiber of F is contained in the fiber

of S in a neighborhood of p. If F is a subbundle of S, then $k' = \infty$. Let $k = \min(k', n)$. In this case, (2) induces the exact sequence

$$0 \to S[kp \to F|_{kp}] \to V[np \to F] \to Q[(n-k)p \to \bar{F}] \to 0, \qquad (4)$$

where $\bar{F}$ is the saturation of the image of F in Q. In the special cases of $k' = 0$ or ∞, the two sequences (3) and (4) agree.

We will primarily work with elementary modifications of the normal bundle of a curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ towards pointing bundles, whose definition we now recall. Given any linear space $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, the projection π from Λ, when restricted to C, is unramified on an open $U_\Lambda \subset C$. If U_Λ is dense in C and contains C^{sing}, then the relative tangent sheaf of the map π uniquely extends to a rank ($\dim \Lambda + 1$) subbundle of N_C, which we denote by $N_{C \to \Lambda}$ and call the *pointing bundle towards* Λ. The *pointing bundle exact sequence* is

$$0 \to N_{C \to \Lambda} \to N_C \to \pi^* N_{\pi(C)}(C \cap \Lambda) \to 0.$$

When $\Lambda \subset \Psi$ are nested subspaces, we have an analogous pointing bundle exact sequence

$$0 \to N_{C \to \Lambda} \to N_{C \to \Psi} \to \pi^* N_{\bar{C} \to \bar{\Psi}}(C \cap \Lambda) \to 0, \qquad (5)$$

where $\bar{\Psi}$ is the projection of Ψ from Λ. We abbreviate and write

$$N_C[p \xrightarrow{+} \Lambda] := N_C[p \xrightarrow{+} N_{C \to \Lambda}]$$

for modifications towards pointing bundles.

Suppose that C is a curve on a smooth variety X, and M is any smooth subvariety meeting C quasi-transversely at a point p. Then we write

$$N_C[p \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} M] := N_C[p \xrightarrow{+} T_p M] \quad \text{and} \quad N_C[p \overset{-}{\rightsquigarrow} M] := N_C[p \xrightarrow{-} T_p M],$$

where $T_p M$ maps to $N_C|_p$ via the quotient map $T_p X \to N_C|_p$. Observe that when M is itself a linear space through p, then $N_C[p \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} M]$ is *not* isomorphic to $N_C[p \xrightarrow{+} M]$ because they have different degrees. Instead, if $\Lambda \subset M$ is a complementary linear space to p, then

$$N_C[p \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} M] \simeq N_C[p \xrightarrow{+} \Lambda] \quad \text{and} \quad N_C[p \overset{-}{\rightsquigarrow} M] \simeq N_C[p \xrightarrow{-} \Lambda].$$

If $M \cap C = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$, where all points of intersection are quasi-transverse, then we write

$$N_C[\overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} M] := N_C[p_1 \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} M] \cdots [p_n \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} M].$$

Our interest in modifications towards pointing bundles is rooted in the following result of Hartshorne–Hirschowitz, describing the normal bundle of a nodal curve.

Lemma 2.4 ([13, Corollary 3.2]). *Let $X \cup Y$ be a connected nodal curve in $\mathbb{P}^r$. Then*

$$N_{X \cup Y}|_X \simeq N_X[\overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} Y].$$

Finally, we recall that the normal bundle of a curve can be related to the normal bundle of its proper transform in a blowup via modifications. The simplest case is that of a smooth curve lying on a smooth variety $C \subset X$, and a blowup $\beta \colon \mathrm{Bl}_Y X \to X$ along a smooth subvariety $Y \subset X$ meeting C quasi-transversely at a single point p. Then the normal bundles of C in X, and of its proper transform in the blowup, are related as follows:

$$N_{C/\mathrm{Bl}_Y X} \simeq N_{C/X}[p \xrightarrow{-} Y]$$

or equivalently

$$N_{C/X} \simeq N_{C/\mathrm{Bl}_Y X}[p \xrightarrow{+} \beta^{-1}(p)].$$

Via the rules for combining modifications, these formulas immediately imply several generalizations. We will need the following case: Suppose that $Y' \subset Y$ is a smooth subvariety, also passing through p. Write t for the natural rational map from the exceptional divisor of $\mathrm{Bl}_{Y'} X$ to the exceptional divisor of $\mathrm{Bl}_Y X$. Then, for any smooth subvariety M of the image of t meeting C at p the following holds:

$$N_{C/\mathrm{Bl}_Y X}[p \xrightarrow{+} M] \simeq N_{C/\mathrm{Bl}_{Y'} X}[p \xrightarrow{+} t^{-1}(M)]. \tag{6}$$

2.3. The Farey sequence

Recall that the *N-Farey sequence* is the sequence of fractions whose denominators are bounded by N in lowest terms. We refer the reader to [11] for the properties of the Farey sequence.

Lemma 2.5. *Let V be a vector bundle of slope $\mu(V) = \frac{p}{q}$ in lowest terms, and suppose that*

$$0 \to S \to V \to Q \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of vector bundles such that either $\mu(S)$ is an adjacent q-Farey fraction to $\mu(V)$ with $\gcd(\deg S, \mathrm{rk}\, S) = 1$, or similarly for Q. If both S and Q are stable, then any destabilizing subsheaf of V is isomorphic to either S or Q.

Proof. Suppose that V has degree ep and rank eq for some $e \geq 1$. Then the slope of the other bundle ($\mu(Q)$ or $\mu(S)$, respectively) is an adjacent eq-Farey fraction; this can be seen using the following two standard properties of adjacent Farey fractions:

- Two rational numbers in lowest terms, $\frac{p_1}{q_1}$ and $\frac{p_2}{q_2}$, are adjacent in the $\max(q_1, q_2)$-Farey sequence if and only if

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ q_1 & q_2 \end{bmatrix} = \pm 1.$$

- In this case, they are adjacent in the q-Farey sequence for any $\max(q_1, q_2) \leq q < q_1 + q_2$, and the next fraction appearing between them is

$$\frac{p_1 + p_2}{q_1 + q_2}.$$

There are four cases to consider: $\mu(S)$ or $\mu(Q)$ is the next or previous *eq*-Farey fraction. Up to replacing the sequence with its dual, it suffices to consider the two cases that $\mu(S)$ or $\mu(Q)$ is the next Farey fraction. Let F be any subsheaf of V. Then F has a filtration

$$0 \to F \cap S \to F \to \mathrm{Im}(F \to Q) \to 0.$$

If $\mu(S)$ is the next Farey fraction: Since $F \cap S$ is a subsheaf of S, we have $\mu(F \cap S) \leq \mu(S)$ with equality only if F contains S. Since $\mu(V)$ is the previous *eq*-Farey fraction to $\mu(S)$, if equality does not hold, then $\mu(F \cap S) \leq \mu(V)$. Similarly, $\mu(\mathrm{Im}(F \to Q)) \leq \mu(Q) < \mu(V)$. Hence, $\mu(F) \leq \mu(V)$ unless F contains S. Furthermore, if F properly contains S, then $\mu(F) < \mu(S)$ and hence $\mu(F) \leq \mu(V)$ since $\mu(S)$ is the next Farey fraction.

If $\mu(Q)$ is the next Farey fraction: Similarly, $\mu(\mathrm{Im}(F \to Q)) \leq \mu(Q)$ with equality only if $F \to Q$ is surjective. Since $\mu(V)$ is the previous *eq*-Farey fraction to $\mu(Q)$, if equality does not hold, then $\mu(\mathrm{Im}(F \to Q)) \leq \mu(V)$. Similarly, $\mu(F \cap S) \leq \mu(S) < \mu(V)$. Hence, $\mu(F) \leq \mu(V)$ unless $F \to Q$ is surjective. Further, if $F \to Q$ is not an isomorphism, then $\mu(F) < \mu(Q)$ and hence $\mu(F) \leq \mu(V)$ since $\mu(Q)$ is the next Farey fraction. ∎

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that $\mathscr{V}$ is a family of vector bundles on a positive-genus curve C parameterized by a rational base B. Suppose that, for $b_1, b_2 \in B$, the specializations $\mathscr{V}|_{b_i}$ fit into exact sequences*

$$0 \to S_i \to \mathscr{V}|_{b_i} \to Q_i \to 0$$

satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 2.5 with $\mu(S_1) = \mu(S_2)$. If $c_1(S_1) \neq c_1(S_2)$, then the general fiber of $\mathscr{V}$ is semistable.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathscr{V}|_b$ is unstable for $b \in B$ general. Then there exists a destabilizing subbundle $\mathscr{F} \subset \mathscr{V}|_b$. Consider the rational map

$$c_1(\mathscr{F}) \colon B \dashrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}\, C.$$

Since B is rational, this map is constant.

On the other hand, we may specialize to the fiber over b_i. As we approach along any arc, $\mathscr{F}|_b$ limits to one of S_i or Q_i (based on which one has slope greater than $\mu(\mathscr{V})$) by Lemma 2.5. Therefore, $c_1(\mathscr{F})$ extends to a regular map in a neighborhood of b_i. Our assumption that $c_1(S_1) \neq c_1(S_2)$ (and so also $c_1(Q_1) \neq c_1(Q_2)$) then gives a contradiction. ∎

2.4. Natural bundles on a genus 1 curve

Let E be a genus 1 curve. We say that a map $f \colon \mathrm{Pic}^a E \to \mathrm{Pic}^b E$ is *natural* if for any automorphism $\theta \colon E \to E$, the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Pic}^a E & \xrightarrow{\ f\ } & \mathrm{Pic}^b E \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta^*} \\
\mathrm{Pic}^a E & \xrightarrow{\ f\ } & \mathrm{Pic}^b E.
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2.7. *If $f\colon \mathrm{Pic}^a\, E \to \mathrm{Pic}^b\, E$ is natural, then a divides b.*

Proof. Translation by a point of order a is the identity on $\mathrm{Pic}^a\, E$, and so must also be on $\mathrm{Pic}^b\, E$. ∎

3. Our degeneration

Let $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ be an elliptic normal curve. Let $H \simeq \mathbb{P}^{g-2}$ be a general hyperplane, and let $\Gamma := E \cap H$ be the hyperplane section of E. Let R be a general rational curve of degree $g-2$ in H, meeting E quasi-transversely at the points of Γ. Then by [14, Lemma 5.7], the curve $E \cup R$ is a Brill–Noether curve of degree $2g-2$ and genus g; i.e., it is a degeneration of a canonical curve.

Lemma 3.1 ([14, Lemma 5.8 and Proposition 13.7]). *We have*

$$N_{E \cup R}|_R \simeq \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(g+1)^{\oplus(g-2)}, & g \text{ odd}, \\ \mathcal{O}(g) \oplus \mathcal{O}(g+1)^{\oplus(g-4)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(g+2), & g \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

By [7, Lemma 4.1], when g is odd, it suffices to show that $N_{E \cup R}|_E$ is semistable to conclude that the normal bundle of a general canonical curve is semistable. This is addressed in Section 4. When g is even, we will need to know that $N_{E \cup R}|_E$ is semistable, and also that certain modifications of $N_{E \cup R}|_E$, related to the Harder–Narasimhan (HN) filtration of $N_{E \cup R}|_R$, are semistable. We conclude this section with a brief geometric description of the HN-filtration, expanding on [14, Section 13].

3.1. The HN-filtration when g is even

In this section, we suppose that $g = 2n + 2$ is even. We first recall without proof some results we will need from [14, Section 13]. Suppose that $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ is an elliptic normal curve. Let $p_1 + \cdots + p_{2n+2}$ be a general section of $O_E(1)$. Let $q_1, \ldots, q_{2n+2}$ be general points on $\mathbb{P}^1$. By [14, Lemma 13.1], there are exactly two maps of degree $n+1$

$$f_i \colon E \to \mathbb{P}^1$$

sending p_j to q_j for all $1 \leq j \leq 2n+2$ (see also [6, 10] for more general results of the type). Together, these define a map

$$\bar{f} \colon E \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1,$$

which is birational onto an $(n^2 - 1)$-nodal curve of bidegree $(n+1, n+1)$ [14, Lemma 13.2], none of whose nodes lie on the diagonal.

Let S denote the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at the $n^2 - 1$ nodes of $\bar{f}(E)$, with total exceptional divisor F, and write $f\colon E \hookrightarrow S$ for the resulting embedding. By [14, Lemma 13.3], the line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}_S(n, n)(-F) = K_S(1, 1)(E)$ on S restricts to

$$L|_E \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(1, 1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(p_1 + \cdots + p_{2n+2}).$$

Write $\pi_i \colon S \to \mathbb{P}^1$ for the two projections onto each factor of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. As computed in [14], $\pi_{i*}L(-E) = R^1\pi_{i*}L(-E) = 0$, and so

$$H^0(L(-E)) = H^1(L(-E)) = 0$$

(by the Leray spectral sequence), hence $H^0(L) \simeq H^0(L|_E)$. Furthermore, by [14, (196)],

$$(\pi_i)_*L \simeq (f_i)_*\mathcal{O}_E(p_1 + \cdots + p_{2n+2}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus(n+1)}.$$

The map $S \to \mathbb{P}H^0(L) \simeq \mathbb{P}H^0(L|_E) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ given by $|L|$ thus factors through the balanced scrolls

$$\Sigma_i = \mathbb{P}[(\pi_i)_*L] \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n$$

embedded by the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$, and is hence an embedding. Let R denote the diagonal of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, viewed as a divisor on S. By construction, R meets E at $p_1, \ldots, p_{2n+2}$. Along R, the bundle $L|_R$ has degree $2n$, and hence maps R into a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$. The reducible curve $E \cup R$ is a degeneration of a canonical curve.

Finally, we recall a construction of Zamora [17, Lemma 1.1] of a rank 4 quadric in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ containing E, and show that it also contains the scrolls Σ_1 and Σ_2. Let s_1, s_2 be a basis for the linear system giving rise to the first map $f_1 \colon E \to \mathbb{P}^1$, and let t_1, t_2 be a basis for the linear system giving rise to the second map $f_2 \colon E \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Then the $s_i \otimes t_j$ are sections of $\mathcal{O}(1,1)|_E = L|_E$, and we may therefore view them as linear functions on the $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$. Furthermore, as a section of $L|_E^{\otimes 2}$,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \otimes t_1 & s_2 \otimes t_1 \\ s_1 \otimes t_2 & s_2 \otimes t_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

This determinant defines a rank 4 quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ containing E. Changing the bases s_1, s_2 or t_1, t_2 corresponds to a row/column operation, so this quadric is independent of the choice of basis.

To see that the quadric contains Σ_1, we will show that it contains every fiber $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathrm{Span}(f_1^{-1}(x))$ for $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Choose a basis so that the first element s_1 vanishes on $f_1^{-1}(x)$. Thus the linear functions corresponding to $s_1 \otimes t_1$ and $s_1 \otimes t_2$ vanish along $\mathrm{Span}(f_1^{-1}(x))$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$, and hence the quadric Q contains this plane. Varying x, we see that Q contains Σ_1. Similarly, Q contains Σ_2. Putting all of this together, we can summarize this situation with the following setup.

Setup 3.2. Given an elliptic curve $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ and two maps $f_i \colon E \to \mathbb{P}^1$, we obtain the following inclusions:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \Sigma_1 & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
E \cup R \longrightarrow S & & & & Q. \\
 & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
 & & \Sigma_2 & &
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, projection from Q_{sing} induces maps $\Sigma_i \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, whose composition with projection onto the ith $\mathbb{P}^1$ factor is the structure map for the projective bundle. In particular, the composition of the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow Q$ with projection from Q^{sing} is identified with the blowup map $S \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

The maps in Setup 3.2 give rise to a filtration of $N_{E \cup R}$,

$$N_{E \cup R/S} \subset N_{E \cup R/Q} \subset N_{E \cup R}. \tag{7}$$

Proposition 3.3 ([14, Proposition 13.7]). *The restriction of* (7) *to* R *is the HN-filtration of* $N_{E \cup R}|_R$.

Remark 3.4. In [14, Proposition 13.7], the middle piece of the filtration does not have a geometric description. Instead, it is described as "$N_{E \cup R/\Sigma_1} + N_{E \cup R/\Sigma_2}$" – which is equal to $N_{E \cup R/Q}$ since it is contained in it, and has the same rank and degree.

Proposition 3.5. *Fixing a line bundle* $\mathcal{O}_E(1)$ *of degree* $2n + 2$ *on an elliptic curve* E, *the set of possible* S, R, Σ_1, Σ_2, Q *in Setup* 3.2 *varies in a rational base.*

Proof. The data in (3.2) is determined by the following choices:

(1) A basis (up to common scaling) for $H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(1))$, which determines the embedding $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+2}$. The choice of a basis of a vector space depends on a rational base.

(2) An unordered pair of line bundles $f_i^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ that sum to $\mathcal{O}_E(1)$. The space of line bundles of a fixed degree on E can be identified with E. This choice corresponds to the fiber of the map $a \colon (E \times E)/\mathfrak{S}_2 \to E$ given by addition over $\mathcal{O}_E(1)$. The surface $(E \times E)/\mathfrak{S}_2$ is a ruled surface over E, so this choice is rational.

(3) Two sections (up to common scaling) of each of these line bundles (defining $f_i \colon E \to \mathbb{P}^1$). As in (1), this choice depends on a rational base.

We conclude that the set of possible S, R, Σ_1, Σ_2, Q in Setup 3.2 varies in a rational base. ∎

4. Semistability of the restriction to E

In this section, we show that the restricted normal bundle $N_{E \cup R}|_E$, where $E \cup R \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ is the degenerate canonical curve introduced in Section 3, is semistable.

Theorem 4.1. *If* $g \notin \{4, 6\}$, *then* $N_{E \cup R}|_E$ *is semistable.*

We will first show that $N_{E \cup R}|_E$ is "close-enough-to-semistable" that no naturally-defined destabilizing subbundles could exist. We have that

$$\mu(N_{E \cup R}|_E) = \frac{g(g+1)}{g-2} = g + 3 + \frac{6}{g-2}.$$

The fractional part of the slope depends on g modulo 6. Write

$$g - 2 = 6k + \varepsilon, \quad \text{where } 0 \le \varepsilon < 6.$$

Lemma 4.2. *The bundle $N_{E \cup R}|_E$ has no subbundles of slope greater than $g + 3 + \frac{1}{k}$ and no quotient bundles of slope less than $g + 3 + \frac{1}{k+1}$.*

We will deduce this by taking $m = 0$ in the following more general statement.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $E \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ is an elliptic normal curve and R is a general g-secant rational curve of degree $g - 2$. Let $0 \le m \le 5$. Write $g - 2 = (6 - m)k + \varepsilon$ for $0 \le \varepsilon < 6 - m$. Then*

$$N_E[p_1 + \cdots + p_{g-m} \overset{+}{\leadsto} R]$$

has no subbundles of slope greater than $g + 3 + \frac{1}{k}$, and no quotient bundles of slope less than $g + 3 + \frac{1}{k+1}$.

In the course of proving Lemma 4.3, we will need the following result.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer and suppose that $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ is a quasi-transverse n-secant $(n - 1)$-plane to E. Let $q \in \Lambda$ be a general point. Suppose that $R \subset \Lambda$ is a general rational curve of degree $n - 1$ through $E \cap \Lambda$ and q. Let y be a general point on E. Then the modified pointing bundle*

$$N_{E \to \Lambda}[\overset{+}{\leadsto} R][y \overset{+}{\to} q]$$

is stable of slope $g + 3 + \frac{1}{n}$.

Proof. We will prove this by induction on n. Specialize q to one of the points p where R meets E. If $n > 2$, then the pointing bundle exact sequence (5) towards p induces the sequence

$$0 \to N_{E \to p}(y) \to N_{E \to \Lambda}[\overset{+}{\leadsto} R][y \overset{+}{\to} p] \to N_{\bar{E} \to \bar{\Lambda}}[\overset{+}{\leadsto} \bar{R}](p) \to 0,$$

as in (3) and (4). The subbundle $N_{E \to p}(y)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_E(1)(2p + y)$, which is stable of slope $g + 3$. The quotient is a twist of another instance of our problem in $\mathbb{P}^{g-2}$. We may therefore assume by induction that it is stable of slope $g + 3 + \frac{1}{n-1}$. Since $c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1)(2p + y))$ depends on the choice of the point p, we conclude by Lemma 2.6 that the general fiber is semistable (and hence stable) as desired.

It suffices, therefore, to treat the base case of $n = 2$. In this case, $R = \Lambda$ is a 2-secant line $\overline{pp'}$, and after specializing as above, the pointing bundle exact sequence towards p is

$$0 \to N_{E \to p}(y + p') \to N_{E \to \Lambda}[p \overset{+}{\to} p'][p' \overset{+}{\to} p][y \overset{+}{\to} p] \to N_{\bar{E} \to p'}(2p) \to 0.$$

In this case, the subbundle and quotient bundles are stable line bundles of slopes $g + 4$ and $g + 3$, respectively. Again, applying Lemma 2.6, we conclude that the general fiber is semistable (and hence stable) as desired. ∎

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Our argument will be by backward induction on m. The base case of $m = 5$ is Lemma 4.5 below, so we suppose $m \le 4$.

We first prove the upper bound on the slope of a subbundle by exhibiting a degeneration that lies in an exact sequence with a subbundle that is stable of slope exactly

$g + 3 + \frac{1}{k}$ and quotient which satisfies our inductive hypothesis. Let $\Lambda_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^{k-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ be the span of the first k points $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ of $E \cap R$. Let $\Lambda_2 \simeq \mathbb{P}^{g-k-2}$ be the span of the last $g - 1 - k$ points $p_{k+2}, \ldots, p_g$. Since the remaining point p_{k+1} is constrained to lie in the hyperplane spanned by the other points, there is a unique line L through p_{k+1} that meets both Λ_1 and Λ_2.

Let x_1 and x_2 denote the points where L meets Λ_1 and Λ_2, respectively. Let R_1 be a general rational curve in Λ_1 of degree $k - 1$ through $p_1, \ldots, p_k, x_1$, and let R_2 be a general rational curve in Λ_2 of degree $g - k - 2$ through $p_{k+2}, \ldots, p_g, x_2$. Then

$$R^\circ := L \cup R_1 \cup R_2$$

is a degeneration of R. It suffices to prove that $N_E[p_1 + \cdots + p_{g-m} \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} R^\circ]$ has no sub-bundles of slope greater than $g + 3 + \frac{1}{k}$ to prove the lemma. Consider the pointing bundle exact sequence for pointing towards the subspace Λ_1,

$$0 \to N_{E \to \Lambda_1}[\overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} R_1][p_{k+1} \overset{+}{\to} x_1] \to N_E[p_1 + \cdots + p_{g-m} \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} R^\circ]$$
$$\to N_{\bar{E}}[p_{k+2} + \cdots + p_{g-m} \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} \overline{R_2}](p_1 + \cdots + p_k) \to 0.$$

In order to use Lemma 4.4 to show that $N_{E \to \Lambda_1}[\overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} R_1][p_{k+1} \overset{+}{\to} x_1]$ is stable of slope $g + 3 + \frac{1}{k}$, we need, as the points $p_{k+1}, \ldots, p_g$ vary, the point x_1 to be general in Λ_1. That is, there are no obstructions to lifting a deformation of the point x_1 to a deformation of the plane $\Lambda_2' := \overline{\Lambda_2, x_1}$ (maintaining the necessary incidences with E). These obstructions live in $H^1(\Lambda_2', N)$, where the bundle N is the kernel of the map

$$N_{\Lambda_2'} \to N_{\Lambda_2'}|_{x_1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=k+1}^{g} N_{\overline{\Lambda_2', T_{p_i} E}}|_{p_i}.$$

The key numerical input is $2k \leq g$, which follows from $m \leq 4$. Since Λ_2' is the complete intersection of the k hyperplanes spanned by Λ_2' and all but one of the tangent lines $T_{p_i} E$ for $k + 1 \leq i \leq 2k \leq g$, the bundle N sits in the exact sequence

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{i=k+1}^{2k} N_{\Lambda_2'/\overline{\Lambda_2', T_{p_i} E}} \otimes \mathscr{I}_{x_1 \cup p_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup \hat{p}_i \cup \cdots \cup p_g} \to N \to P \to 0,$$

where P is a punctual sheaf (and hence $h^1(P) = 0$). Moreover, the evaluation map ev in

$$0 \to N_{\Lambda_2'/\overline{\Lambda_2', T_{p_i} E}} \otimes \mathscr{I}_{x_1 \cup p_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup \hat{p}_i \cup \cdots \cup p_g}$$
$$\to \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_2'}(1) \overset{\text{ev}}{\to} \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_2'}(1)|_{x_1 \cup p_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup \hat{p}_i \cup \cdots \cup p_g} \to 0$$

is surjective on global sections, since the points $x_1 \cup p_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup \hat{p}_i \cup \cdots \cup p_g$ form a basis for the plane Λ_2', and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_2'}(1)) = 0$. Therefore,

$$H^1(N_{\Lambda_2'/\overline{\Lambda_2', T_{p_i} E}} \otimes \mathscr{I}_{x_1 \cup p_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup \hat{p}_i \cup \cdots \cup p_g}) = 0,$$

and hence $H^1(N) = 0$.

In the quotient, $N_{\bar{E}}[p_{k+2} + \cdots + p_{g-m} \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} \overline{R_2}]$ is another case of our inductive hypothesis with one fewer modification occurring at the points of incidence of $\overline{R_2}$ with $\bar{E}$ (with a larger value of k if $\varepsilon = 5 - m$). The result now follows from our inductive hypothesis.

Now we turn to the lower bound on the slope of any quotient. We will exhibit a specialization that lies in an exact sequence with a subbundle that is stable of slope exactly $g + 3 + \frac{1}{k+1}$ and a quotient bundle which satisfies our inductive hypothesis. We will modify the same argument by letting Λ_1 be the k-dimensional span of $p_1, \ldots, p_{k+1}$ and letting Λ_2 be a the $(g - k - 3)$-dimensional span of $p_{k+3}, \ldots, p_g$. As above, there is a unique line L through the remaining point p_{k+2} that meets both Λ_1 (at a point x_1) and Λ_2 (at a point x_2). We define R_1 and R_2 analogously to above. In the pointing bundle exact sequence towards Λ_1,

$$0 \to N_{E \to \Lambda_1}[\overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} R_1][p_{k+2} \overset{+}{\longrightarrow} x_1] \to N_E[p_1 + \cdots + p_{g-m} \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} R^\circ]$$
$$\to N_{\bar{E}}[p_{k+3} + \cdots + p_{g-m} \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} \overline{R_2}](p_1 + \cdots + p_{k+1}) \to 0,$$

the subbundle is stable of slope $g + 3 + \frac{1}{k+1}$ by Lemma 4.4 (using the same argument to ensure generality of x_1), and the quotient is a twist of another case of our inductive hypothesis in $\mathbb{P}^{g-k-2}$ (with a smaller value of k if $\varepsilon = 0$), with one fewer modification occurring along $\overline{R_2}$.

This completes the inductive step. All that remains is therefore to verify the base case, which is Lemma 4.5 below. ∎

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ is an elliptic normal curve, and R is a degree $g - 2$ rational curve meeting E at $p_1, \ldots, p_g$ quasi-transversely. Then*

$$N'_E := N_E[p_1 + \cdots + p_{g-5} \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} R]$$

is stable of slope $g + 3 + \frac{1}{g-2}$.

Proof. We will prove this by induction on g. The base case is $g = 5$, in which case $N'_E = N_E$ is stable by [8]. Otherwise, when $g \geq 6$, the bundle N'_E is modified at p_1. Let $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{P}^{g-3}$ be the span of $p_2, \ldots, p_{g-1}$. Let L be the line through p_1 and p_g that meets Λ at a point x. Let R' be a rational curve of degree $g - 3$ through $p_2, \ldots, p_{g-1}, x$. Then $R^\circ = R' \cup L$ is a degeneration of R. Consider the specialization

$$N_E[p_1 + \cdots + p_{g-5} \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} R^\circ]$$

of N'_E. Consider the pointing bundle exact sequence for pointing towards p_g,

$$0 \to N_{E \to p_g}(p_1) \to N_E[p_1 + \cdots + p_{g-5} \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} R^\circ]$$
$$\to N_{\bar{E}}(p_g)[p_2 + \cdots + p_{g-5} \overset{+}{\rightsquigarrow} \overline{R'}] \to 0.$$

The subbundle has slope $g + 3$ exactly. Since $\overline{R'}$ is a rational curve of degree $g - 3$ meeting $\bar{E}$ at $p_2, \ldots, p_{g-1}, x$, the quotient bundle is a twist of an instance of the same

problem in $\mathbb{P}^{g-2}$. By induction, it is stable. Moreover, $c_1(N_{E \to p_g}(p_1))$ depends on the ordering of $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_g$. Hence by Lemma 2.6, the general fiber N'_E is semistable (thus stable) as desired. ∎

We complete the proof by appealing to the naturality of the maximal destabilizing subbundle and using the following purely combinatorial lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon < 6$ be integers with*

$$(k, \varepsilon) \notin \{(0, 2), (0, 4)\}.$$

Then there are no integers r, d satisfying

$$1 \leq r < 6k + \varepsilon, \tag{8}$$

$$6k + 5 + \varepsilon + \frac{6}{6k + \varepsilon} < \frac{d}{r} \leq 6k + 5 + \varepsilon + \frac{1}{k}, \tag{9}$$

$$6k + 5 + \varepsilon + \frac{1}{k + 1} \leq \frac{(6k + 5 + \varepsilon)(6k + \varepsilon) + 6 - d}{6k + \varepsilon - r}, \tag{10}$$

$$(6k + 2 + \varepsilon) \mid d. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Suppose such integers d and r exist. Clearing denominators, (9) and (10) yield:

$$(6k + \varepsilon)d - (6k + \varepsilon + 2)(6k + \varepsilon + 3)r > 0,$$
$$-kd + (6k^2 + k\varepsilon + 5k + 1)r \geq 0,$$
$$-(k + 1)d + (6k^2 + k\varepsilon + 11k + \varepsilon + 6)r \geq \varepsilon - 6.$$

Adding $6 - \varepsilon$ times the second of these inequalities to ε times the third yields

$$(6k + \varepsilon)d - (6k + \varepsilon + 2)(6k + \varepsilon + 3)r \leq \varepsilon(6 - \varepsilon).$$

Combined with the first, we learn that the integer

$$X := (6k + \varepsilon)d - (6k + \varepsilon + 2)(6k + \varepsilon + 3)r$$

satisfies

$$0 < X \leq \varepsilon(6 - \varepsilon).$$

On the other hand, by (11),

$$X = (6k + \varepsilon)d - (6k + \varepsilon + 2)(6k + \varepsilon + 3)r \equiv 0 \pmod{6k + \varepsilon + 2}.$$

It follows that $\varepsilon(6 - \varepsilon) \geq 6k + \varepsilon + 2$, or upon rearrangement, $6k + 2 \leq \varepsilon(5 - \varepsilon)$. Since ε is an integer with $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 5$, we have $\varepsilon(5 - \varepsilon) \leq 6$, and so $6k + 2 \leq 6$, which implies $k = 0$. Moreover, if $\varepsilon = 0$ or $\varepsilon = 5$, then $\varepsilon(5 - \varepsilon) = 0$, in violation of $6k + 2 \leq \varepsilon(5 - \varepsilon)$. The cases $(k, \varepsilon) = (0, 2)$ and $(0, 4)$ are excluded by assumption, so the only remaining cases are $(k, \varepsilon) = (0, 1)$ and $(0, 3)$:

- When $(k, \varepsilon) = (0, 1)$, we have $1 \leq r < 6k + \varepsilon = 1$, which is a contradiction.
- When $(k, \varepsilon) = (0, 3)$, we have $0 < X \leq 9$ and $X \equiv 0 \bmod 5$. Therefore, $3d - 30r = X = 5$, which is a contradiction by looking mod 3.

This completes the proof. ∎

Proof of Theorem 4.1

Let (d, r) be the degree and rank of the maximal destabilizing subbundle of $N_{E\cup R}|_E$. Since this naturally-defined bundle depends only on the choice of $\mathcal{O}_E(1)$ plus choices varying in a rational base, its determinant gives a natural map

$$\mathrm{Pic}^g\, E \to \mathrm{Pic}^d\, E.$$

By Lemma 2.7, the degree d is divisible by g. By Lemma 4.2, the slope $\frac{d}{r}$ is at most $g + 3 + \frac{1}{k}$, with quotient bundle having slope at least $g + 3 + \frac{1}{k+1}$. By Lemma 4.6, no such integers d and r exist, and hence no destabilizing bundles exist, when $g \notin \{4, 6\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 *in odd genus*

Let C be a general canonical curve of odd genus $g \geq 3$. By [7, Lemma 4.1], semistability of N_C follows from the semistability of $N_{E\cup R}|_E$ (see Theorem 4.1) and $N_{E\cup R}|_R$ (see Lemma 3.1).

Proof of Theorem 1.1 *in even genus using the strong Franchetta conjecture*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 in even genus is considerably harder. Here we will give an argument using the strong Franchetta conjecture proved by Harer [12] and Arbarello and Cornalba [2, 3] in characteristic 0 and claimed by Schröer[15] in characteristic p. In the next two sections, we will give an elementary proof valid in all characteristics.

Suppose that the normal bundle of the general canonical curve is unstable. Specialize to $E \cup R$ as in Section 3. If $g \geq 8$, then $N_{E\cup R}|_E$ is semistable by Theorem 4.1, and any destabilizing subbundle of $N_{E\cup R}|_R$ of rank r has slope at most $\mu(N_{E\cup R}|_R) + \frac{1}{r}$ by Lemma 3.1. Consequently, if $g \geq 8$, then the maximal destabilizing subbundle F of N_C would satisfy

$$\mu(N_C) < \mu(F) \leq \mu(N_C) + \frac{1}{r}, \quad \text{where } r = \mathrm{rk}\, F.$$

On the other hand, by the strong Franchetta conjecture, $\det F$ is a multiple of the canonical bundle. We conclude that the degree of F is $s(2g - 2)$ for some integer s. Since the slope of the normal bundle of a canonical curve is $\frac{(g+1)(2g-2)}{g-2}$, we obtain the inequality

$$\frac{(g + 1)(2g - 2)}{g - 2} < \frac{s(2g - 2)}{r} \leq \frac{(g + 1)(2g - 2)}{g - 2} + \frac{1}{r},$$

or upon rearrangement,

$$0 < (s - r)(g - 2) - 3r \leq \frac{g - 2}{2g - 2} < 1.$$

Since $(s - r)(g - 2) - 3r$ is an integer, this is a contradiction. Hence, N_C is semistable for the general canonical curve.

5. Degeneration so that Q_{sing} meets E

In order to give an elementary proof of Theorem 1.1 in the even genus case using the explicit description of the HN-filtration given in Section 3.1, we will show in Section 6 that it suffices to bound the slopes of subbundles of $N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$. To achieve such a bound, we will utilize a further degeneration in which E meets the singular locus Q_{sing} of the rank 4 quadric Q, described in Section 3.1, in two points $\{x_1, x_2\}$. The basic inductive strategy will be to degenerate in this way, and then examine the sequence obtained by projection from the line $\overline{x_1 x_2}$. If we do this carefully, the quotient will be another instance of our Setup 3.2 in $\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$. In this section, we construct this degeneration and prove that the projection exact sequence behaves as desired. In the next section, we will use this to complete our inductive proof of Theorem 1.1 in the even genus case.

We will construct this degeneration from an instance $(\bar{E}, \bar{R}, \bar{S}, \bar{Q})$ of Setup 3.2 in $\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$. The basic strategy will be to construct a degenerate instance of Setup 3.2 by specializing the smooth elliptic curve of type $(n+1, n+1)$ on (the blowup of) $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ to the union of a smooth elliptic curve of type (n, n) union a $(1, 0)$ curve and a $(0, 1)$ curve. Write $\bar{\Gamma} = \bar{E} \cap \bar{R}$. Recall that via the given maps $\bar{f}_1$ and $\bar{f}_2$, $\bar{E}$ maps to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$; $\bar{R}$ corresponds to the diagonal in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We illustrate this in Figure 1.


Fig. 1. The specialization of the elliptic curve $\bar{E}$ of type $(n+1, n+1)$ to a union of an elliptic curve of type (n, n) and a union of lines L_1 and L_2 in different rulings.

We take $x_1, x_2 \in \bar{E}$ so that $\bar{f}_1(x_1) = \bar{f}_2(x_2)$, and write $p = (\bar{f}_1(x_1), \bar{f}_2(x_2))$. Let $\bar{L}_1 = \bar{f}_1(x_1) \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\bar{L}_2 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \bar{f}_2(x_2)$ denote the corresponding lines of the ruling (which meet at p). Let Δ denote the remaining set (not including $\{x_1, x_2\}$) of points where one of the $\bar{L}_i$ meets $\bar{E}$, together with p and the nodes of $\bar{E}$. Construct the blowup S° of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at Δ and write

$$R^\circ = \text{proper transform of } \bar{R},$$
$$E^\circ = \text{proper transform of } \bar{E},$$
$$L_i = \text{proper transform of } \bar{L}_i.$$

For $q \in \Delta$, write F_q for the exceptional divisor over q. Set $p_i = L_i \cap F_p$.

The pair (S, E) consisting of a surface S and divisor E as in Setup 3.2 admits a degeneration to $(S^\circ, E^\circ \cup L_1 \cup L_2)$ as an abstract pair of a surface with a divisor. Under the complete linear series $|\mathcal{O}_{S^\circ}(n, n)(-\sum_{q \in \Delta} F_q)|$, the lines L_i get contracted to the points x_i; thus, in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$, the curve E limits to E° embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ as an elliptic normal curve. In the limit, the linear series corresponding to the maps f_i acquire basepoints at x_i on E°. Blowing up at x_1 and x_2 to extend the maps across the central fiber, the limiting maps have degree n on E° and 1 on the corresponding exceptional lines L_i. Equivalently, they are induced by projection of $E^\circ \cup L_1 \cup L_2$ onto the two $\mathbb{P}^1$ factors.

We now show that $|\mathcal{O}_{S^\circ}(n, n)(-\sum_{q \in \Delta} F_q)|$ is basepoint free. Let $\bar{\Delta}$ denote the nodes of $\bar{E}$. By the discussion in Section 3.1, the linear series $|\mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}(n-1, n-1)(-\sum_{q \in \bar{\Delta}} F_q)|$ is basepoint free. Pulling back to S°, we conclude that $|\mathcal{O}_{S^\circ}(n-1, n-1)(-\sum_{q \in \bar{\Delta}} F_q)|$ is basepoint free. Multiplying by the equations of the lines L_1 and L_2, we see that any basepoints of $|\mathcal{O}_{S^\circ}(n, n)(-\sum_{q \in \Delta} F_q)|$ must lie on the lines L_i. Since the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{S^\circ}(n, n)(-\sum_{q \in \Delta} F_q)|_{L_i}$ has degree zero, if there is a basepoint on L_i, then the linear series must identically vanish on L_i. An easy dimension count rules this possibility out.

Under this complete linear series $|\mathcal{O}_{S^\circ}(n, n)(-\sum_{q \in \Delta} F_q)|$, the image of R° is of degree $2n - 1$, and F_p is mapped to the line which meets E° at x_1 and x_2 (and which also meets the other component R°). The images of E°, $R^\circ \cup F_p$, and S°, along with the cone Q° over $\bar{Q}$ with vertex $\overline{x_1 x_2}$, give a degeneration of (E, R, S, Q) in our Setup 3.2 as subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$.

Consider (E, R, S, Q) limiting to $(E^\circ, R^\circ \cup F_p, S^\circ, Q^\circ)$. The above description shows that x_1 and x_2 are limits of points $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2 \in \Gamma := E \cap R$. Write $\Gamma_- = \Gamma \setminus \{\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2\}$. The limit of Γ_- is identified with $\bar{\Gamma}$. Our next task is to determine the flat limit of the bundles

$$N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma_- + \hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2 \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}] = N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma_- \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}][\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2 \xrightarrow{+} R].$$

This is subtle precisely because E° passes through Q_{sing}° (in particular, the flat limit is not just $N_{E^\circ/Q^\circ}[2\bar{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{+} N_{E^\circ/S^\circ}][x_1 + x_2 \xrightarrow{+} R^\circ]$). To do this, define

$$B := \text{Bl}_{Q_{\text{sing}}} Q \quad \text{and} \quad B^\circ := \text{Bl}_{Q_{\text{sing}}^\circ} Q^\circ.$$

Explicitly, B is the graph of the rational map $Q \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ given by projection from Q_{sing}, and similarly for B°. As in Setup 3.2, the composition of the map $S \to Q$ with this projection is the blowup map $S \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and similarly for S°. The exceptional divisor of B is isomorphic to

$$[Q_{\text{sing}} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2n-3}] \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1,$$

and similarly for B°.

The line $\overline{x_1 x_2}$ naturally embeds in Q_{sing}° (coinciding with the image of F_p) and the lines L_i naturally embed in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The flat limit of the (proper transform of) E in B specializes to the curve $E^\circ \cup L_1 \cup L_2$ in B°. In this limit, the points $\hat{x}_1$ and $\hat{x}_2$ limit to $p_1 \in L_1$ and $p_2 \in L_2$, where, as above, $p_i = L_i \cap F_p$. This setup is illustrated in Figure 2. The points in the limit of Γ (namely, $\bar{\Gamma} \cup \{p_1, p_2\}$) are circled.

Fig. 2. The specialization of (E, R, S, Q) to $(E^\circ, R^\circ \cup F_p, S^\circ, Q^\circ)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let (E, R, S, Q) be a general instance of Setup* 3.2 *in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$. Then*

$$N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma_- \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}][\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2 \xrightarrow{+} R]$$

admits a specialization to

$$N^\circ := N_{E^\circ/B^\circ}[2\bar{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{+} N_{E^\circ/S^\circ}][x_1 \xrightarrow{+} \overline{x_1 x_2} \times L_1][x_2 \xrightarrow{+} \overline{x_1 x_2} \times L_2].$$

Proof. Since E does not meet Q_{sing}, we have

$$N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma_- \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}][\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2 \xrightarrow{+} R] \simeq N_{E/B}[2\Gamma_- \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}][\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2 \xrightarrow{+} R].$$

This bundle fits into a flat family $\mathcal{N}$ whose central fiber is

$$N := \mathcal{N}|_0 = N_{E^\circ \cup L_1 \cup L_2/B^\circ}[2\bar{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{+} N_{E^\circ/S^\circ}][p_1 + p_2 \xrightarrow{+} F_p].$$

The L_i are lines in the exceptional divisor of types $(0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$, respectively. In particular, their normal bundles in the exceptional divisor are trivial, and so their normal bundles in B° are $\mathcal{O}_{L_i}^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L_i}(-1)$. The restriction

$$N|_{L_i} \simeq N_{L_i/B^\circ}[x_i \xrightarrow{+} E^\circ][p_i \xrightarrow{+} F_p]$$

is obtained by making two positive modifications. Since the restriction of the projection from Q_{sing}° to E° has degree $2n$ (i.e., is equal to the degree of $\bar{E}$) by construction, E° must meet Q_{sing} at x_1 and x_2, both with multiplicity 1. In the blowup, E° is therefore transverse to the exceptional divisor at the x_i, so the positive modification at x_i is transverse to $\mathcal{O}_{L_i}^{\oplus(r-2)}$. Therefore,

$$N|_{L_i} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{L_i}^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L_i}(1).$$

To identify the positive subbundle, note that there is a unique subbundle of $N|_{L_i}$ that is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{L_i}(1)$, and that one such subbundle is $N_{L_i/\overline{x_1 x_2} \times L_i}(p_i)$.

Consider the modification

$$\mathcal{N}' := \mathcal{N}[L_1 \xrightarrow{+} N_{L_1/\overline{x_1 x_2} \times L_1}(p_1)][L_2 \xrightarrow{+} N_{L_2/\overline{x_1 x_2} \times L_2}(p_2)].$$

Away from the central fiber, we have $\mathcal{N}' \simeq \mathcal{N}$. The central fiber $\mathcal{N}'|_0$ therefore gives another flat limit of the bundle $N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma_- \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}][\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2 \xrightarrow{+} R]$. But by construction, $\mathcal{N}'|_0$ has trivial restriction to L_1 and L_2 by (1). Blowing down L_1 and L_2, we conclude that a flat limit of the bundles $N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma_- \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}][\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2 \xrightarrow{+} R]$ is therefore

$$N^\circ = \mathcal{N}'|_{E^\circ} \simeq N_{E^\circ/B^\circ}[2\overline{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{+} N_{E^\circ/S^\circ}][x_1 \xrightarrow{+} \overline{x_1 x_2} \times L_1][x_2 \xrightarrow{+} \overline{x_1 x_2} \times L_2]. \quad \blacksquare$$

Our final goal is to relate this to projection from the line $\overline{x_1 x_2}$. By construction, this projection map sends $(E^\circ, R^\circ, S^\circ, Q^\circ)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ to $(\overline{E}, \overline{R}, \overline{S}, \overline{Q})$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$. We accomplish this by rewriting N° in terms of the normal bundle of the proper transform of E° in

$$B_-^\circ := \mathrm{Bl}_{\overline{x_1 x_2}} Q^\circ.$$

Because $\overline{x_1 x_2} \subset Q_{\mathrm{sing}}^\circ$, there is a natural map from the exceptional divisor of B_-° to the exceptional divisor of B°. Write M_i for the preimage of $\overline{x_1 x_2} \times L_i$ in the exceptional divisor of B_-°. Then by (6) in Section 2.2, we have

$$N^\circ \simeq N_{E^\circ/B_-^\circ}[2\overline{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{+} N_{E^\circ/S^\circ}][x_1 \xrightarrow{+} M_1][x_2 \xrightarrow{+} M_2].$$

Explicitly, the exceptional divisor of B_-° is isomorphic to $\overline{x_1 x_2} \times \overline{Q}$, with

$$M_i = \overline{x_1 x_2} \times \overline{M}_i,$$

where the $\overline{M}_i$ are the $(2n-3)$-planes of the rulings of $\overline{Q}$ corresponding to L_i.

Note that $\overline{x_1 x_2} \times p$ is contained in M_1 and M_2, and is contracted to the point $p \in \overline{Q}$ under projection. Moreover, $\overline{M}_i$ is transverse (not just quasi-transverse!) to $\overline{E}$ at x_i. Projection from $\overline{x_1 x_2}$ therefore induces the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{E^\circ}(1)(x_1 + x_2)^{\oplus 2} \to N^\circ \to N_{\overline{E}/\overline{Q}}[2\overline{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{+} N_{\overline{E}/\overline{S}}](x_1 + x_2) \to 0. \qquad (12)$$

6. Completing the proof in even genus

Let $g = 2n + 2$ be even. We consider the degenerate canonical curve $E \cup R \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ introduced in Section 3. In this section, we leverage the geometric description of the HN-filtration of $N_{E \cup R}|_R$ given in Section 3.1 and the semistability of $N_{E \cup R}|_E$ proved in Section 4 to prove that the normal bundle of a general canonical curve of even genus is semistable.

Let S, Σ_1, Σ_2, and Q be as in Setup 3.2. We first reduce to proving a bound on the slopes of certain subbundles of $N_{E \cup R/Q}|_E$.

Condition 6.1. For a general $E \cup R \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$, every subbundle $F \subseteq N_{E \cup R/Q}|_E$ with

$$N_{E \cup R/S}|_\Gamma \subset F|_\Gamma$$

satisfies

$$\mu(F) \leq 2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{rk}\, F}.$$

Condition 6.2. For a general $E \cup R \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$, every subbundle $F \subseteq N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$ satisfies

$$\mu(F) \leq 2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n} + \frac{2n+1}{\mathrm{rk}\, F}.$$

Lemma 6.3. *Condition* 6.2 *implies Condition* 6.1.

Proof. If F is a subbundle of $N_{E \cup R/Q}|_E = N_{E/Q}[\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$ with $N_{E \cup R/S}|_\Gamma \subset F|_\Gamma$, then the modification $F[\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$ is a subbundle of $N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$ with

$$\mu(F[\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]) = \mu(F) + \frac{2n+2}{\mathrm{rk}\, F}. \qquad \blacksquare$$

Proposition 6.4. *Suppose that Condition* 6.1 *is satisfied. Then $N_{E \cup R}$ is semistable.*

Proof. Let $\nu \colon E \sqcup R \to E \cup R$ denote the normalization, and $G \subseteq \nu^* N_{E \cup R}$ be any subbundle. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\mu(G|_R) \leq 2n + 3 + \frac{1}{\mathrm{rk}\, G} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(G|_E) \leq \mu(N_{E \cup R}|_E) = 2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n}.$$

Combining these, we have

$$\mu^{\mathrm{adj}}(G) \leq \mu(G) = \mu(G|_R) + \mu(G|_E) \leq 4n + 8 + \frac{3}{n} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{rk}\, G},$$

with the stronger bound

$$\mu^{\mathrm{adj}}(G) \leq 4n + 8 + \frac{3}{n} = \mu(N_{E \cup R})$$

unless G is actually a subbundle of $N_{E \cup R}$ and $N_{E \cup R/S}|_R \subset G|_R \subset N_{E \cup R/Q}|_R$. In other words, we are immediately done unless G is a subbundle of $N_{E \cup R}$ and $G|_R$ contains the positive factor $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(g + 2)$ and is contained in next piece of the HN-filtration $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(g + 2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(g + 1)^{\oplus(g-4)}$. We therefore assume that these hold. The restriction $G|_E$ is thus a subbundle of $N_{E \cup R}|_E$ with $N_{E \cup R/S}|_\Gamma \subset G|_\Gamma$. Write G' for the kernel of the map from $G|_E$ to $N_Q|_E$:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & N_{E \cup R/Q}|_E & \longrightarrow & N_{E \cup R}|_E & \longrightarrow & N_Q|_E \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(2) & \longrightarrow & 0. \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \nearrow & & & \\
& & \ker(G|_E \to N_Q|_E) = G' & \longrightarrow & G|_E & & & &
\end{array}
$$

If $G|_E \neq G'$, then the map $G|_E/G' \to \mathcal{O}_E(2)$ factors through $\mathcal{O}_E(2)(-\Gamma)$, which is stable of slope $2n + 2$. On the other hand, the kernel $G' \subseteq N_{E \cup R/Q}|_E$ has slope

$$\mu(G') \leq 2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{rk}\, G'} \leq 2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{rk}\, G}$$

by Condition 6.1. Thus $G|_E$ also has slope bounded by $2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{rk}\, G}$. Hence,

$$\mu(G) = \mu(G|_R) + \mu(G|_E) \leq \left(2n + 3 + \frac{1}{\mathrm{rk}\, G}\right) + \left(2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{rk}\, G}\right)$$
$$= \mu(N_{E \cup R}),$$

and $N_{E \cup R}$ is semistable. $\blacksquare$

Our goal is therefore to prove that Condition 6.1 holds for all $n \geq 3$. In fact, we will prove that Condition 6.2 holds for all $n \geq 3$, since this implies that Condition 6.1 holds. While Condition 6.2 is stated for *all* subbundles of $N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$, it suffices to check the slope bound for the finitely many Harder–Narasimhan pieces.

Lemma 6.5. *Let N be a vector bundle on an irreducible curve C with HN-filtration*

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_m = N.$$

Assume that $B(r, d)$ is any (affine) linear function whose coefficient of d is nonnegative. If $B(0, 0) \leq 0$ and $B(\mathrm{rk}\, V_i, \deg V_i) \leq 0$ for all i, then $B(\mathrm{rk}\, F, \deg F) \leq 0$ for all subbundles $F \subset N$.

Proof. The points

$$\{(0, -\infty), (0, 0), (\mathrm{rk}\, V_1, \deg V_1), \dots, (\mathrm{rk}\, V_m, \deg V_m)\}$$

form the vertices of a convex polygon in the (r, d) plane. For any subbundle $F \subseteq N$, the pair $(\mathrm{rk}\, F, \deg F)$ is in this polygon. The assumption that $B(r, d) \leq 0$ for all vertices implies that it is also true for any point of the convex polygon. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 6.6. *Suppose that for each HN-piece V of $N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$, we have*

$$\mu(V) \leq 2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n} + \frac{2n + 1}{\mathrm{rk}\, V}.$$

Then Condition 6.2 holds.

Proof. Apply Lemma 6.5 with

$$B(r, d) = d - \left(2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n}\right)r - (2n + 1). \qquad \blacksquare$$

The final input is the specialization of (E, R, S, Q) constructed in Section 5, giving rise to the exact sequence (12). Using this, we will prove the following numerical proposition, which is the heart of our inductive proof.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $n > 3$. If Condition 6.2 holds in $\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$, then it holds in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$.*

Proof. We will use the notation and results of Section 5. In particular, let $(\bar{E}, \bar{R}, \bar{S}, \bar{Q})$ be a general instance of Setup 3.2 in $\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$. Let x_1, x_2 be points on $\bar{E}$ such that $\bar{f}_1(x_1) = \bar{f}_2(x_2)$. Then in Section 5, we constructed a specialization $(E^\circ, R^\circ \cup F_p, S^\circ, Q^\circ)$ of a general instance (E, R, S, Q) of Setup 3.2 in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$, such that E° meets Q°_{sing} in the points x_1, x_2. We write $\hat{x}_1$, $\hat{x}_2$ for points on E limiting to x_1, x_2.

Applying Corollary 6.6, it suffices to check that Condition 6.2 holds for each piece of the HN-filtration of $N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$. Since the HN-pieces are natural, their degrees are multiples of $2n + 2$ by Lemma 2.7. Let $F_0 \subset N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$ be any such sub-bundle of rank r and degree which is a multiple of $2n + 2$. Let $F \subset N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma - \hat{x}_1 - \hat{x}_2 \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$ be the intersection of F_0 with $N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma - \hat{x}_1 - \hat{x}_2 \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$. We have

$$\mu(F_0) \leq \mu(F) + \frac{2}{\mathrm{rk}\, F},$$

so it suffices to show that

$$\mu(F) \leq 2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n} + \frac{2n - 1}{\mathrm{rk}\, F}.$$

We now utilize the specialization constructed in Section 5. Write N° for the bundle appearing in Lemma 5.1, which is a flat limit of the bundle $N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma - \hat{x}_1 - \hat{x}_2 \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$. This bundle sits in the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{E^\circ}(1)(x_1 + x_2)^{\oplus 2} \to N^\circ \xrightarrow{\phi} N_{\bar{E}/\bar{Q}}[2\bar{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{+} N_{\bar{E}/\bar{S}}](x_1 + x_2) \to 0.$$

Let $F^\circ \subseteq N^\circ$ be the saturation of the flat limit of F. Then $\mathrm{rk}\, F^\circ = \mathrm{rk}\, F = r$ and $\deg F^\circ \geq \deg F$.

Case 1: *F° intersects* $\ker \phi$ *nontrivially.* We obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to F' \to F^\circ \to F'' \to 0,$$

where

$$F' \subset \mathcal{O}_{E^\circ}(1)(x_1 + x_2)^{\oplus 2} \quad \text{and} \quad F'' \subset N_{\bar{E}/\bar{Q}}[2\bar{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{+} N_{\bar{E}/\bar{S}}](x_1 + x_2).$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{E^\circ}(1)(x_1 + x_2)^{\oplus 2}$ is semistable, we have that

$$\mu(F') \leq \mu(\mathcal{O}_{E^\circ}(1)(x_1 + x_2)^{\oplus 2}) = 2n + 4.$$

By our inductive hypothesis, we have that

$$\mu(F'') \leq 2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n - 1} + \frac{2n - 1}{\mathrm{rk}\, F''}.$$

We conclude that

$$\mu(F^\circ) \leq \frac{1}{r}(2n + 4) + \frac{r - 1}{r}\left(2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n - 1} + \frac{2n - 1}{r - 1}\right)$$
$$= 2n + 5 + \frac{3r - 2 - n}{r(n - 1)} + \frac{2n - 1}{r}. \tag{13}$$

If $n \geq 3$, then $6n - 3 \leq n^2 + 2n$. Since $r \leq \mathrm{rk}(N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]) = 2n - 1$, we have the inequality $3r \leq n^2 + 2n$, which implies that

$$\frac{3r - 2 - n}{r(n-1)} \leq \frac{3}{n} \quad \text{if } n \geq 3.$$

Substituting into (13), we get

$$\mu(F) \leq \mu(F^\circ) \leq 2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n} + \frac{2n-1}{r},$$

which proves the proposition when F intersects the kernel of ϕ nontrivially.

Case 2: F° *is isomorphic to its image under* ϕ. Identifying F° with its image under ϕ, we have

$$F^\circ \subset N_{\bar{E}/\bar{Q}}[2\bar{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{+} N_{\bar{E}/\bar{S}}](x_1 + x_2) \quad \text{and} \quad r \leq 2n - 3.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we have that

$$\mu(F^\circ) \leq 2n + 5 + \frac{3}{n-1} + \frac{2n-1}{r},$$

and hence for the general fiber we have

$$\deg(F_0) \leq \deg(F) + 2 \leq \deg(F^\circ) + 2 \leq (2n+5)r + \frac{3r}{n-1} + 2n + 1.$$

We would instead like to show the stronger inequality

$$\deg(F_0) \leq (2n+5)r + \frac{3r}{n} + 2n + 1. \tag{14}$$

To do this, we will use the fact that naturality of the HN-pieces implies that $2n + 2$ divides $\deg(F_0)$. If there is no integer k satisfying the inequality

$$\frac{3r}{n} < k \leq \frac{3r}{n-1}, \tag{15}$$

then (14) holds, as $\deg(F_0)$ is an integer. Hence, we assume that there is an integer k satisfying (15).

First, suppose $n \geq 6$. We claim that the width of interval (15) is strictly less than 1. Indeed, since $r \leq 2n - 3$ and $n \geq 6$,

$$\frac{3r}{n-1} - \frac{3r}{n} = \frac{3r}{n^2 - n} \leq \frac{6n - 9}{n^2 - n} < 1.$$

If (14) does not hold, then

$$3r + k - 1 \equiv (2n+5)r + k + 2n + 1 = \deg(F_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{2n+2},$$

i.e., we may write $3r + k - 1 = (2n+2)\ell$ for an integer ℓ. Plugging this back into (15) and subtracting 2ℓ from each term, we obtain

$$\frac{2\ell - k + 1}{n} < k - 2\ell \leq \frac{4\ell - k + 1}{n-1}.$$

If $k - 2\ell \leq 0$, the left inequality is violated. If $k - 2\ell \geq 1$, then the left fraction is non-positive, which contradicts our observation that the width of this interval is strictly less than 1.

For $4 \leq n \leq 5$, we complete the proof by checking directly that there is no integer k satisfying the conditions

$$\frac{3r}{n} < k \leq \frac{3r}{n-1}, \quad 0 < r \leq 2n \quad \text{and} \quad 3r + k - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n+2}. \tag{16}$$

The following tables summarize the possible values of k for each value of r and compute $3r + k - 1 \pmod{2n+2}$ in the two cases $n = 4$ (Table 1) and $n = 5$ (Table 2), respectively.

r	1	2	3	4	5	6
k	1	2	3	4	4 or 5	5 or 6
$3r + k - 1 \pmod{10}$	3	7	1	5	8 or 9	2 or 3

Tab. 1. Case $n = 4$.

r	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
k	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	2	3	$\emptyset$	4	5	5 or 6
$3r + k - 1 \pmod{12}$			10	2		9	1	4 or 5

Tab. 2. Case $n = 5$.

We see that when $n > 3$, there is no integer k satisfying (16). ∎

To finish, it suffices to deal with the base case.

Proposition 6.8. *Condition* 6.2 *holds in* $\mathbb{P}^7$.

Proof. In this case, $n = 3$ and we want that every subbundle F of $N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$ has slope at most $12 + \frac{7}{\mathrm{rk}\, F}$. To prove this, we use the normal bundle exact sequence for $E \subset S \subset Q$. Since S is the complete intersection of Σ_1 and Σ_2 in Q, we have that

$$N_{S/Q}|_E \simeq N_{S/\Sigma_1}|_E \oplus N_{S/\Sigma_2}|_E.$$

Since 4 is not divisible by 8, by Lemma 2.7, the maps of degree 4 giving rise to the scrolls Σ_1 and Σ_2 are exchanged by monodromy. Hence, the two scrolls are exchanged by monodromy, and therefore the two bundles $N_{S/\Sigma_i}|_E$ have degree 24 and the same profile of Jordan–Hölder factors. We will first show that $N_{S/\Sigma_i}|_E$ is semistable of slope 12.

The bundle $N_E[\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}] = N_E[\xrightarrow{+} R]$ has slope 12 and satisfies interpolation by [14] (in the language of that paper, this is the inductive hypothesis $I(8, 1, 7, 0, 1)$ and the tuple $(8, 1, 7, 0, 1)$ is good). Because any bundle with integer slope that satisfies interpolation is semistable, this bundle is semistable (see, for example, [16, Remark 1.6]). Consider the normal bundle exact sequence

$$0 \to N_{E/S}(\Gamma) \to N_{E/Q}[\Gamma \to N_{E/S}] \to [N_{S/Q}|_E \simeq N_{S/\Sigma_1}|_E \oplus N_{S/\Sigma_2}|_E] \to 0.$$

The line subbundle $N_{E/S}(\Gamma)$ has degree 8. First suppose that one (and hence both) of $N_{S/\Sigma_i}|_E$ had a line subbundle of slope at least 15. Then the full preimage in $N_{E/Q}[\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$ would be a bundle of slope at least $\frac{38}{3} = 12 + \frac{2}{3}$. Since this is also a subbundle of $N_E[\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$, it contradicts the semistability of $N_E[\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}]$. Hence, every line subbundle of $N_{S/\Sigma_i}|_E$ is of degree at most 14. It suffices, therefore, to rule out the possibility that $N_{S/\Sigma_i}|_E$ is a direct sum of line bundles of degrees 14, 10 or 13, 11. In either of these cases, the sum of the two positive subbundles would be of degree 28 or 26. Since 8 does not divide 28 or 26, this is impossible by Lemma 2.7. Hence, $N_{S/\Sigma_i}|_E$ is semistable.

We now turn to the normal bundle exact sequence involving the double modification

$$0 \to N_{E/S}(2\Gamma) \to N_{E/Q}[2\Gamma \xrightarrow{+} N_{E/S}] \to [N_{S/Q}|_E \simeq N_{S/\Sigma_1}|_E \oplus N_{S/\Sigma_2}|_E] \to 0,$$

and consider how F sits with respect to this sequence. If F does not contain $N_{E/S}(2\Gamma)$, then $\mu(F) \leq 12 \leq 12 + \frac{7}{\operatorname{rk} F}$. If F contains $N_{E/S}(2\Gamma)$, then

$$\mu(F) \leq 16\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{rk} F}\right) + 12\left(\frac{\operatorname{rk} F - 1}{\operatorname{rk} F}\right) \leq 12 + \frac{4}{\operatorname{rk} F} \leq 12 + \frac{7}{\operatorname{rk} F}. \qquad \blacksquare$$

References

[1] Aprodu, M., Farkas, G., Ortega, A.: Restricted Lazarsfeld–Mukai bundles and canonical curves. In: Development of moduli theory — Kyoto 2013, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 69, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 303–322 (2016) Zbl 1373.14013 MR 3586511

[2] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M.: The Picard groups of the moduli spaces of curves. Topology **26**, 153–171 (1987) Zbl 0625.14014 MR 895568

[3] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M.: Calculating cohomology groups of moduli spaces of curves via algebraic geometry. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **88**, 97–127 (1998) Zbl 0991.14012 MR 1733327

[4] Atanasov, A., Larson, E., Yang, D.: Interpolation for normal bundles of general curves. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **257**, v+105 pp. (2019) Zbl 1471.14004 MR 3908670

[5] Bruns, G.: The normal bundle of canonical genus 8 curves. arXiv:1703.06213v2 (2017)

[6] Cela, A., Pandharipande, R., Schmitt, J.: Tevelev degrees and Hurwitz moduli spaces. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **173**, 479–510 (2022) Zbl 1507.14042 MR 4497967

[7] Coskun, I., Larson, E., Vogt, I.: Stability of normal bundles of space curves. Algebra Number Theory **16**, 919–953 (2022) Zbl 1498.14086 MR 4467126

[8] Ein, L., Lazarsfeld, R.: Stability and restrictions of Picard bundles, with an application to the normal bundles of elliptic curves. In: Complex projective geometry (Trieste, 1989/Bergen, 1989), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 179, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 149–156 (1992) Zbl 0768.14012 MR 1201380

[9] Farkas, G., Larson, E.: The minimal resolution property for points on general curves. To appear in Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4). arXiv:2209.11308 (2024)

[10] Farkas, G., Lian, C.: Linear series on general curves with prescribed incidence conditions. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **22**, 2857–2877 (2023) Zbl 1527.14067 MR 4653760

[11] Hardy, G. H., Wright, E. M.: An introduction to the theory of numbers. 5th ed., The Clarendon Press, New York (1979) Zbl 0423.10001 MR 568909

[12] Harer, J.: The second homology group of the mapping class group of an orientable surface. Invent. Math. **72**, 221–239 (1983) Zbl 0533.57003 MR 700769

[13] Hartshorne, R., Hirschowitz, A.: Smoothing algebraic space curves. In: Algebraic geometry, Sitges (Barcelona), 1983, Lecture Notes in Math. 1124, Springer, Berlin, 98–131 (1985) Zbl 0574.14028 MR 805332

[14] Larson, E., Vogt, I.: Interpolation for Brill–Noether curves. Forum Math. Pi **11**, article no. e25 (2023) Zbl 1528.14035 MR 4653767

[15] Schröer, S.: The strong Franchetta conjecture in arbitrary characteristics. Internat. J. Math. **14**, 371–396 (2003) Zbl 1059.14058 MR 1984659

[16] Vogt, I.: Interpolation for Brill–Noether space curves. Manuscripta Math. **156**, 137–147 (2018) Zbl 1396.14028 MR 3783570

[17] Zamora, A. G.: On the variety of quadrics of rank four containing a projective curve. Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8) **2**, 453–462 (1999) Zbl 0955.14021 MR 1706548