

Gorenstein Modules Induced by Foxby Equivalence

by

Zhaoyong HUANG and Mingzhi SHENG

Abstract

Let R, S be arbitrary associative rings and C a semidualizing (R, S) -bimodule. For a subcategory \mathcal{H} (resp. \mathcal{T}) of the category of left R -modules (resp. left S -modules), we introduce \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein projective and flat modules (resp. \mathcal{T}_C -Gorenstein injective modules). Under certain conditions, we prove that the \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein projective dimension of any left R -module is at most n if and only if the projective dimension of any C -injective left S -module and the injective dimension of any module in \mathcal{H} are at most n . The dual result about the \mathcal{T}_C -Gorenstein injective dimension of modules also holds true. As a consequence, we get that the supremum of the C -Gorenstein projective dimensions of all left R -modules and that of the C -Gorenstein injective dimensions of all left S -modules are identical; and the maximum of the common value of the quantities and its symmetric common value is at least the supremum of the C -Gorenstein flat dimensions of all left R -modules. Moreover, we obtain some equivalent characterizations for the finiteness of the left and right injective dimensions of ${}_R C_S$ in terms of the properties of the projective and injective dimensions of modules relative to various classes of C -Gorenstein modules. As an application, we provide some support for the Wakamatsu tilting conjecture.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 18G25 (primary); 16E10 (secondary).

Keywords: C -Gorenstein classes, \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein projective dimension, \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein flat dimension, \mathcal{T}_C -Gorenstein injective dimension, C -Gorenstein global dimension, finite injective dimension.

§1. Introduction

Semidualizing modules and related Auslander and Bass classes in commutative rings were introduced by Foxby [12] and by Golod [16]. Then Holm and White

Communicated by S. Mochizuki. Received April 9, 2024. Revised January 20, 2025.

Z. Y. Huang: School of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu Province, 210093 Nanjing, P. R. China;

e-mail: huangzy@nju.edu.cn

M. Z. Sheng: School of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu Province, 210093 Nanjing, P. R. China;

e-mail: dg1821016@smail.nju.edu.cn, 1175529879@qq.com

[19] extended them to arbitrary associative rings. Many authors have studied the properties of semidualizing modules and related modules, see [12, 14, 16, 18, 19, 22, 23, 26, 29, 30, 31, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42] and the references therein. Let R and S be arbitrary rings and ${}_R C_S$ a semidualizing bimodule, and let $\mathcal{A}_C(S)$ and $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ be the Auslander and Bass classes with respect to C respectively. It was shown in [19, Theorem 1] that there exists the following Foxby equivalence:

$$\mathcal{A}_C(S) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{C \otimes_S -} \\ \sim \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_R(C, -)} \end{array} \mathcal{B}_C(R).$$

For other Foxby equivalences between some subclasses of $\mathcal{A}_C(S)$ and that of $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$, the reader is referred to [19, Theorem 1] and [30, Theorem 4.6]. Among various research areas on semidualizing modules, one basic theme is to extend the “absolute” classical results in homological algebra to the “relative” setting with respect to semidualizing modules.

One of our motivations comes from the following Gorenstein versions of two classical results: for any ring R , the left Gorenstein weak global dimension of R is at most the maximum of its left and right Gorenstein global dimensions ([5, Corollary 1.2 (1)]), and the Gorenstein weak global dimension of R is left and right symmetric ([7, Corollary 2.5]). On the other hand, as an extension of [2, Theorem 4.20], [20, Theorem] and [21, Theorem 1.4], the first-named author proved that a left and right Noetherian ring R is n -Gorenstein if and only if the Gorenstein projective (resp. injective, flat) dimension of any left R -module is at most n ([27, Theorem 1.2]). Another motivation for us comes from this work. We are interested in whether these results have relative counterparts with respect to semidualizing modules.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some terminology and some preliminary results. In Section 3, assume that R is an arbitrary ring and $\text{Mod } R$ is the category of left R -modules. Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be subcategories of $\text{Mod } R$ with \mathcal{D} additive, and let

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow Y^0 \rightarrow Y^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y^j \rightarrow \cdots$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod } R$. By using the \mathcal{E} -coproper \mathcal{D} -coresolutions of all X_i and the \mathcal{E} -proper \mathcal{D} -resolutions of all Y^j , we construct a grid-type commutative diagram (Theorem 3.3). This construction is crucial in studying the behavior of the projective and injective dimensions of modules relative to various classes of relative Gorenstein modules. As mentioned above, the symmetry of the Gorenstein weak global dimension of any ring was proved in [7, Corollary 2.5], which is a consequence of [9, Theorem 5.3]. Note that the latter one depends on the construction of

projective resolutions of certain modules by using the horseshoe lemma (see the proof of [9, Lemma 5.2] for details). However, the horseshoe lemma is inapplicable in the relative case. The above construction of ours not only overcomes this difficulty, but also gives some wider applications in the sequel.

Let R, S be arbitrary rings and ${}_R C_S$ a semidualizing bimodule, and let \mathcal{H} (resp. \mathcal{T}) be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ (resp. $\text{Mod } S$ -modules). In Section 4 we introduce \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein projective and flat modules (resp. \mathcal{T}_C -Gorenstein injective modules). In fact, our research will be conducted under this unified framework. Assume that \mathcal{T} is a resolving subcategory of the Auslander class $\mathcal{A}_C(S)$ and $\mathcal{H} := \{C \otimes_S T \mid T \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is precovering in $\text{Mod } R$ which is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands. Under certain conditions, we obtain some equivalent characterizations for the \mathcal{H} -Gorenstein flat dimension of any module being at most n (Proposition 4.5). Moreover, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.6). *For any $n \geq 0$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein projective dimension of any left R -module is at most n .*
- (2) *The projective dimension of any C -injective left S -module and the injective dimension of any module in \mathcal{H} are at most n .*
- (3) *The C -projective dimension of any injective left R -module and the C -injective dimension of any module in \mathcal{T} are at most n .*

Assume that \mathcal{H} is a coresolving subcategory of the Bass class $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{T} := \{\text{Hom}_R(C, H) \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is preenveloping in $\text{Mod } R$ which is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands. Then the dual of Theorem 1.1 about the \mathcal{T}_C -Gorenstein injective dimension of modules also holds true (Theorem 4.11). Note that under the assumption in either Theorem 4.6 or Theorem 4.11, there exists the following Foxby equivalence:

$$\mathcal{T} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{C \otimes_S -} \\ \sim \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_R(C, -)} \end{array} \mathcal{H}.$$

In Section 5 we give some applications of the above results. Under certain conditions, we establish the left and right symmetry of the C -Gorenstein flat dimension of any module being at most n (Theorem 5.2). In addition, we prove the following theorem, which is the C -version of [9, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.4). *For any $n \geq 0$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The C -Gorenstein projective dimension of any left R -module is at most n .*

- (2) *The C -Gorenstein injective dimension of any left S -module is at most n .*
- (3) *The projective dimension of any C -injective left S -module and the injective dimension of any C -projective left R -module are at most n .*
- (4) *The C -projective dimension of any injective left R -module and the C -injective dimension of any projective left S -module are at most n .*

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2, we get that the supremum of the C -Gorenstein projective dimensions of all left R -modules and that of the C -Gorenstein injective dimensions of all left S -modules are identical, and call the common value of these two quantities the *left C -Gorenstein global dimension* $G_C\text{-gldim}$ of R and S . Symmetrically, the *right C -Gorenstein global dimension* $G_C\text{-gldim}^{\text{op}}$ of R and S is defined. We prove that if either the flat dimension of any C -injective right R -module or $G_C\text{-gldim}^{\text{op}}$ is finite, then any C -projective left R -module is C -flat (Theorem 5.14).

For a module $M \in \text{Mod } R$, we use $G_C\text{-fd}_R M$ to denote the C -Gorenstein flat dimension of M . Set $\text{spclfc } R := \sup\{\text{the } C\text{-projective dimensions of all } C\text{-flat left } R\text{-modules}\}$. By using Theorem 5.14 and the relationship between $\text{spclfc } R$ and the C -Gorenstein projective dimension of any C -Gorenstein flat module (Lemma 5.17), we obtain the following result, which is the C -version of [5, Corollary 1.2 (1)] and part of [7, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 5.18). *It holds that*

- (1) $\sup\{G_C\text{-fd}_R M \mid M \in \text{Mod } R\} \leq \max\{G_C\text{-gldim}, G_C\text{-gldim}^{\text{op}}\}$;
- (2) *if S is a right Noetherian ring, then*

$$G_C\text{-gldim} \leq \sup\{G_C\text{-fd}_R M \mid M \in \text{Mod } R\} + \text{spclfc } R.$$

We give some equivalent characterizations for the finiteness of the left and right injective dimensions of ${}_R C_S$ in terms of the properties of the projective and injective dimensions of modules relative to some classes of C -Gorenstein modules as follows. It is the C -version of [27, Theorem 1.2], but the proof here is essentially not parallel to that of [27].

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 5.20). *Let R be a left and right Noetherian ring and $n \geq 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The left and right injective dimensions of ${}_R C_S$ are at most n .*
- (2) *The C -Gorenstein projective dimension of any left R -module is at most n .*
- (3) *The C -Gorenstein injective dimension of any left R -module is at most n .*
- (4) *The C -Gorenstein flat dimension of any left R -module is at most n .*

- (5) The C -strongly Gorenstein flat dimension of any left R -module is at most n .
- (6) The C -projectively coresolved Gorenstein flat dimension of any left R -module is at most n .
- (i)^{op} The opposite side version of (i) ($2 \leq i \leq 6$).

The Wakamatsu tilting conjecture states that if R and S are artin algebras, then the left and right injective dimensions of ${}_R C_S$ are identical ([5]). It still remains open. Recall that a left and right Noetherian ring R is called *Gorenstein* if its left and right self-injective dimensions are finite. As an application of Theorem 1.4, we prove that if R and S are Gorenstein rings, then the left and right injective dimensions of ${}_R C_S$ are identical (Theorem 5.22 (3)).

§2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all rings are arbitrary associative rings. Let R be a ring. We use $\text{Mod } R$ to denote the category of left R -modules, and all subcategories of $\text{Mod } R$ involved are full and closed under isomorphisms. We use $\mathcal{P}(R)$, $\mathcal{F}(R)$ and $\mathcal{I}(R)$ to denote the subcategories of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of projective, flat and injective modules respectively. For a module $M \in \text{Mod } R$, we use $\text{Add}_R M$ to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of direct summands of direct sums of copies of M , and use $\text{pd}_R M$, $\text{fd}_R M$ and $\text{id}_R M$ to denote the projective, flat and injective dimensions of M respectively.

Definition 2.1 ([10, 11]). Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$.

- (1) A homomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Mod } R$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ is called an \mathcal{X} -precover of Y if $\text{Hom}_R(X', f)$ is epic for any $X' \in \mathcal{X}$; and an \mathcal{X} -precover $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called an \mathcal{X} -cover of Y if any endomorphism $h: X \rightarrow X$ is an automorphism whenever $f = fh$. The subcategory \mathcal{X} is called *(pre)covering* in $\text{Mod } R$ if any module in $\text{Mod } R$ admits an \mathcal{X} -(pre)cover. Dually, the notions of an \mathcal{X} -(pre)envelope and a *(pre)enveloping subcategory* are defined.
- (2) $\mathcal{P}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions and kernels of epimorphisms. Dually, the notion of *coresolving subcategories* is defined.

Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\perp \mathcal{X} &:= \{A \in \text{Mod } R \mid \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(A, X) = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathcal{X}\}, \\ \mathcal{X}^\perp &:= \{A \in \text{Mod } R \mid \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(X, A) = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathcal{X}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{B} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$. We write

$$\mathcal{B}^\top := \{M \in \text{Mod } R \mid \text{Tor}_{\geq 1}^R(B, M) = 0 \text{ for any } B \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$. The \mathcal{X} -projective dimension $\mathcal{X}\text{-pd } M$ of M is defined as

$$\inf\{n \mid \text{there exists an exact sequence } 0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{in Mod } R \text{ with all } X_i \in \mathcal{X}\},$$

and set $\mathcal{X}\text{-pd } M = \infty$ if no such integer exists. Dually, the \mathcal{X} -injective dimension $\mathcal{X}\text{-id } M$ of M is defined as

$$\inf\{n \mid \text{there exists an exact sequence } 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X^0 \rightarrow X^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{in Mod } R \text{ with all } X^i \in \mathcal{X}\},$$

and set $\mathcal{X}\text{-id } M = \infty$ if no such integer exists. For any $n \geq 0$, we use $\mathcal{X}\text{-pd}^{\leq n}$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}\text{-id}^{\leq n}$) to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of modules with \mathcal{X} -projective (resp. \mathcal{X} -injective) dimension at most n .

§2.1. Relative preresolving and precoresolving subcategories

Let \mathcal{E} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$. Recall from [11] that a sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ is called $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}, -)$ -exact (resp. $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact) if it is exact after applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(E, -)$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_R(-, E)$) for any $E \in \mathcal{E}$.

Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be subcategories of $\text{Mod } R$. We recall some notions from [25]. The subcategory \mathcal{D} is called an \mathcal{E} -proper generator (resp. \mathcal{E} -coproper cogenerator) for \mathcal{X} if for any $X \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}, -)$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$)-exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow D \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{resp. } 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow D \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0)$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. The subcategory \mathcal{X} is called \mathcal{E} -preresolving (resp. \mathcal{E} -precoresolving) in $\text{Mod } R$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) \mathcal{X} admits an \mathcal{E} -proper generator (resp. \mathcal{E} -coproper cogenerator).
- (b) \mathcal{X} is closed under \mathcal{E} -proper (resp. \mathcal{E} -coproper) extensions, that is, for any $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}, -)$ -exact (resp. $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact) exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$, if $A_1, A_3 \in \mathcal{X}$, then $A_2 \in \mathcal{X}$.

An \mathcal{E} -preresolving (resp. \mathcal{E} -precoresolving) subcategory \mathcal{X} is called \mathcal{E} -resolving (resp. \mathcal{E} -coresolving) if the following condition is satisfied:

(c) For any $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}, -)$ -exact (resp. $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact) exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$, if both $A_2, A_3 \in \mathcal{X}$ (resp. $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{X}$), then $A_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ (resp. $A_3 \in \mathcal{X}$).

If $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}(R)$), then \mathcal{E} -resolving (resp. \mathcal{E} -coresolving) subcategories are exactly resolving (resp. coresolving) subcategories.

Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{D} be subcategories of $\text{Mod } R$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D} := \{ & M \in \text{Mod } R \mid \text{there exists a } \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}, -)\text{-exact exact sequence} \\ & \cdots \rightarrow D_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \\ & \text{in } \text{Mod } R \text{ with all } D_i \text{ in } \mathcal{D} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Dually, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D} := \{ & M \in \text{Mod } R \mid \text{there exists a } \text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})\text{-exact exact sequence} \\ & 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow D^0 \rightarrow D^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow D^i \rightarrow \cdots \\ & \text{in } \text{Mod } R \text{ with all } D^i \text{ in } \mathcal{D} \}. \end{aligned}$$

For later use, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{E} be subcategories of $\text{Mod } R$.*

(1) *Assume that \mathcal{X} is \mathcal{E} -precoresolving in $\text{Mod } R$ admitting an \mathcal{E} -coproper cogenerator \mathcal{D} . If $\mathcal{D}\text{-pd}^{\leq n}$ is closed under direct summands for any $n \geq 0$, then we have*

$$\mathcal{X}\text{-pd } A = \mathcal{D}\text{-pd } A$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$.

(2) *Assume that \mathcal{X} is \mathcal{E} -preresolving in $\text{Mod } R$ admitting an \mathcal{E} -proper generator \mathcal{D} . If $\mathcal{D}\text{-id}^{\leq n}$ is closed under direct summands for any $n \geq 0$, then we have*

$$\mathcal{X}\text{-id } A = \mathcal{D}\text{-id } A$$

for any $A \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{X}$.

Proof. (1) It is clear that $\mathcal{X}\text{-pd } A \leq \mathcal{D}\text{-pd } A$ for any $A \in \text{Mod } R$. Now suppose $A \in \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{X}\text{-pd } A = n < \infty$. By [25, Theorem 4.7], there exists an exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{D}\text{-pd } Y \leq n - 1$. Since the proof of [25, Theorem 4.7] was not presented there, we prove the existence of the exact sequence (2.1) for the

reader's convenience. We proceed by induction on n . The case for $n = 0$ is trivial. If $n = 1$, then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with $X_0, X_1 \in \mathcal{X}$. Since \mathcal{D} is an \mathcal{E} -coproper cogenerator for \mathcal{X} , there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X'_1 \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with $X'_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}$. Consider the following push-out diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \dashrightarrow & Y & \dashrightarrow & X & \dashrightarrow & A \dashrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 & & X'_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & X'_1 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

By [24, Lemma 2.4(2)], the middle column in this diagram is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact. Since \mathcal{X} is \mathcal{E} -precoresolving, we have $X \in \mathcal{X}$, and thus the middle row in the above diagram is as desired. Now suppose $n \geq 2$. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow Y_0 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{X} -pd $Y_0 \leq n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow X'_0 \rightarrow Y_0 \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with $X'_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{D} -pd $Y_1 \leq n - 2$. Since there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X'_0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow X''_0 \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with $X_0'' \in \mathcal{X}$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}$, we obtain the following push-out diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & X_0' & \longrightarrow & Y_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \dashrightarrow & Y_1 & \dashrightarrow & D & \dashrightarrow & Y \dashrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & X_0'' = = = X_0'' & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Diagram 2.1

The middle row in this diagram implies $\mathcal{D}\text{-pd } Y \leq n - 1$. From the exact sequence (2.2) and the rightmost column in the above diagram we obtain the following push-out diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_0 & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \dashrightarrow & Y & \dashrightarrow & X & \dashrightarrow & A \dashrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 & & & & X_0'' = = = X_0'' & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Diagram 2.2

By [24, Lemma 2.4(2)], the rightmost column in Diagram 2.1, and hence the middle column in Diagram 2.2, is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact. Since \mathcal{X} is \mathcal{E} -precoresolving, we have $X \in \mathcal{X}$, and thus the middle row in Diagram 2.2 is the desired exact sequence.

Since there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow D \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$, we obtain the following push-out diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \dashrightarrow & Y & \dashrightarrow & D & \dashrightarrow & Y' \dashrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & X' & = & X' \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0.
 \end{array}$$

By the middle row in this diagram, we have $\mathcal{D}\text{-pd } Y' \leq n$. Since $A \in \mathcal{X}^\perp$, the rightmost column in the above diagram splits and A is a direct summand of Y' . Furthermore, since $\mathcal{D}\text{-pd}^{\leq n}$ is closed under direct summands, we have $\mathcal{D}\text{-pd } A \leq n$.

(2) It is dual to (1). □

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} be subcategories of $\text{Mod } R$.*

- (1) *If $\mathcal{D} \subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{E}$, then \mathcal{D} is an \mathcal{E} -coproper cogenerator for ${}^\perp \mathcal{E} \cap \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$. Furthermore, if \mathcal{D} is additive and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{E}$, then ${}^\perp \mathcal{E} \cap \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ is \mathcal{E} -precoresolving in $\text{Mod } R$.*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\perp$, then \mathcal{D} is an \mathcal{E} -proper generator for $\mathcal{E}^\perp \cap \widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$. Furthermore, if \mathcal{D} is additive and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\perp \cap \mathcal{E}$, then $\mathcal{E}^\perp \cap \widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ is \mathcal{E} -preresolving in $\text{Mod } R$.*

Proof. (1) Set $\mathcal{X} =: {}^\perp \mathcal{E} \cap \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$. Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow D \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and $X' \in \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$. Since $\mathcal{D} \subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{E}$, we have $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and $X' \in {}^\perp \mathcal{E}$. Thus $X' \in {}^\perp \mathcal{E} \cap \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ and \mathcal{D} is an \mathcal{E} -coproper cogenerator for \mathcal{X} .

If $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$, then it is easy to see that $\widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ is closed under \mathcal{E} -coproper extensions by [24, Lemma 3.1 (2)]. Thus, if $\mathcal{D} \subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{E}$, then ${}^\perp \mathcal{E} \cap \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ is \mathcal{E} -precoresolving in $\text{Mod } R$.

(2) It is dual to (1). □

§2.2. Semidualizing bimodules and related module classes

We say that a module $M \in \text{Mod } R$ admits a *degreewise finite R -projective resolution* if there exists an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all P_i finitely generated projective.

Definition 2.4 ([1, 19]). Let R and S be arbitrary rings. An $(R\text{-}S)$ -bimodule ${}_R C_S$ is called *semidualizing* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a1) ${}_R C$ admits a degreewise finite R -projective resolution.
- (a2) C_S admits a degreewise finite S^{op} -projective resolution.
- (b1) The homothety map ${}_R R_R \xrightarrow{R\gamma} \text{Hom}_{S^{\text{op}}}(C, C)$ is an isomorphism.
- (b2) The homothety map ${}_S S_S \xrightarrow{\gamma_S} \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism.
- (c1) $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(C, C) = 0$.
- (c2) $\text{Ext}_{S^{\text{op}}}^{\geq 1}(C, C) = 0$.

Recall from [40] that a module $T \in \text{Mod } R$ is called *generalized tilting* if the following conditions are satisfied: (1) ${}_R T$ admits a degreewise finite R -projective resolution, (2) $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(T, T) = 0$, and (3) ${}_R R \in \overline{\text{cores}_{\text{add}_R T} \text{add}_R T}$, where $\text{add}_R T$ is the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of direct summands of finite direct sums of ${}_R T$. Generalized tilting modules are usually called *Wakamatsu tilting modules*; see [4, 31]. Note that a bimodule ${}_R C_S$ is semidualizing if and only if ${}_R C$ is Wakamatsu tilting with $S = \text{End}({}_R C)$, and if and only if C_S is Wakamatsu tilting with $R = \text{End}(C_S)$ ([42, Corollary 3.2]). Typical examples of semidualizing bimodules include the free module of rank one and the dualizing module over a Cohen–Macaulay local ring. For more examples of semidualizing bimodules, the reader is referred to [19, 38, 41].

In the following, R and S are arbitrary rings and we fix a semidualizing bimodule ${}_R C_S$. We write

$$(-)_* := \text{Hom}(C, -),$$

and write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_C(R) &:= \{C \otimes_S P \mid P \in \mathcal{P}(S)\}, & \mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}}) &:= \{P' \otimes_R C \mid P' \in \mathcal{P}(R^{\text{op}})\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_C(R) &:= \{C \otimes_S F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}(S)\}, & \mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}}) &:= \{F' \otimes_R C \mid F' \in \mathcal{F}(R^{\text{op}})\}, \\ \mathcal{I}_C(S) &:= \{I_* \mid I \in \mathcal{I}(R)\}, & \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) &:= \{I'_* \mid I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})\}. \end{aligned}$$

The modules in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})$), $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})$) and $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$) are called *C -projective*, *C -flat* and *C -injective* respectively. When ${}_R C_S =$

${}_R R_R$, C -projective, C -flat and C -injective modules are exactly projective, flat and injective modules respectively.

Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$. Then we have a canonical evaluation homomorphism

$$\theta_M: C \otimes_S M_* \rightarrow M$$

defined by $\theta_M(x \otimes f) = f(x)$ for any $x \in C$ and $f \in M_*$. The module M is called C -coreflexive if θ_M is an isomorphism (see [38]). We use $\text{Cor}_C(R)$ to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of C -coreflexive modules.

Let $N \in \text{Mod } S$. Then we have a canonical evaluation homomorphism

$$\mu_N: N \rightarrow (C \otimes_S N)_*$$

defined by $\mu_N(y)(x) = x \otimes y$ for any $y \in N$ and $x \in C$. The module N is called *adjoint C -coreflexive* if μ_N is an isomorphism. We use $\text{Acot}_C(S)$ to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } S$ consisting of adjoint C -coreflexive modules.

Definition 2.5 ([19]). Let C be a semidualizing (R, S) -bimodule.

(1) The *Auslander class* $\mathcal{A}_C(S)$ with respect to C consists of all left S -modules N satisfying

- (A1) $N \in C_S^\top$;
- (A2) $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(C, C \otimes_S N) = 0$;
- (A3) $N \in \text{Acot}_C(S)$.

(2) The *Bass class* $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ with respect to C consists of all left R -modules M satisfying

- (B1) $M \in {}_R C^\perp$;
- (B2) $\text{Tor}_{\geq 1}^S(C, M_*) = 0$;
- (B3) $M \in \text{Cor}_C(R)$.

The *Auslander class* $\mathcal{A}_C(R^{\text{op}})$ in $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ and the *Bass class* $\mathcal{B}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ in $\text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$ are defined symmetrically.

Lemma 2.6. *It holds that*

- (1) $\text{fd}_S I_* = \mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-pd } I$ and $\text{pd}_S I_* = \mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } I$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$;
- (2) $\text{fd}_{R^{\text{op}}} I'_* = \mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } I'$ and $\text{pd}_{R^{\text{op}}} I'_* = \mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } I'$ for any $I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})$.

Proof. (1) Let I be an injective left R -module. Since $I \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ by [19, Lemma 4.1], we have $\mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-pd } I = \text{fd}_S I_*$ and $\text{pd}_S I_* = \mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } I$ by [39, Lemma 2.6 (1)(2)].

(2) It is the symmetric version of (1). \square

Recall from [13] that a module $N \in \text{Mod } S$ is called *weak flat* if $\text{Tor}_1^S(X, N) = 0$ for any right S -module X admitting a degreewise finite S^{op} -projective resolution; and a module $M \in \text{Mod } R$ is called *weak injective* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, M) = 0$ for any left R -module X admitting a degreewise finite R -projective resolution. Symmetrically, the notions of weak flat modules in $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ and weak injective modules in $\text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$ are defined. In [6], weak flat modules and weak injective modules are called *level modules* and *absolutely clean modules* respectively.

We use $\mathcal{WF}(S)$ (resp. $\mathcal{WI}(R)$) to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } S$ (resp. $\text{Mod } R$) consisting of weak flat (resp. weak injective) modules, and use $\mathcal{WF}(R^{\text{op}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{WI}(S^{\text{op}})$) to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ (resp. $\text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$) consisting of weak flat (resp. weak injective) modules. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{WF}_C(R) &:= \{C \otimes_S F \mid F \in \mathcal{WF}(S)\} \text{ and } \mathcal{WF}_C(S^{\text{op}}) := \{F' \otimes_R C \mid F' \in \mathcal{WF}(R^{\text{op}})\}, \\ \mathcal{WI}_C(S) &:= \{I_* \mid I \in \mathcal{WI}(R)\} \text{ and } \mathcal{WI}_C(R^{\text{op}}) := \{I'_* \mid I' \in \mathcal{WI}(S^{\text{op}})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.7 ([39, Lemma 2.5 (1)], [37, Corollary 3.5 (2)] and [14, Corollary 2.3]). *It holds that*

- (1) $\mathcal{P}(S) \cup \mathcal{I}_C(S) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(S) \cup \mathcal{I}_C(S)$
 $\subseteq \mathcal{WF}(S) \cup \mathcal{I}_C(S)$
 $\subseteq \mathcal{A}_C(S) \subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{I}_C(S) \cap \text{Acot}_C(S);$
- (2) $\mathcal{I}(R) \cup \mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(R) \cup \mathcal{F}_C(R)$
 $\subseteq \mathcal{I}(R) \cup \mathcal{WF}_C(R)$
 $\subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_C(R)^\perp \cap \text{Cor}_C(R).$

Let \mathcal{B} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$. Recall that a sequence in $\text{Mod } R$ is called $(\mathcal{B} \otimes_R -)$ -*exact* if it is exact after applying the functor $B \otimes_R -$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$. The following notions were introduced by Holm and Jørgensen [18] over commutative rings. The following are their non-commutative versions.

Definition 2.8 ([30, 34]). Let C be a semidualizing (R, S) -bimodule.

- (1) A module $M \in \text{Mod } R$ is called *C -Gorenstein projective* if

$$M \in {}^\perp \mathcal{P}_C(R) \cap \overline{\text{cores}_{\mathcal{P}_C(R)} \mathcal{P}_C(R)}.$$

Symmetrically, the notion of *C -Gorenstein projective modules* in $\text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$ is defined.

- (2) A module $M \in \text{Mod } R$ is called *C-Gorenstein flat* if $M \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$ and there exists an $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes_R -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q^0 \rightarrow Q^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q^i \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all Q^i in $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$. Symmetrically, the notion of *C-Gorenstein flat modules* in $\text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$ is defined.

- (3) A module $N \in \text{Mod } S$ is called *C-Gorenstein injective* if

$$N \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)^\perp \cap \overline{\text{res}_{\mathcal{I}_C(S)} \mathcal{I}_C(S)}.$$

Symmetrically, the notion of *C-Gorenstein injective modules* in $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ is defined.

We use $\mathcal{GP}_C(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{GF}_C(R)$) to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of *C-Gorenstein projective* (resp. *flat*) modules, and use $\mathcal{GI}_C(S)$ to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } S$ consisting of *C-Gorenstein injective* modules. Symmetrically, we use $\mathcal{GP}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{GF}_C(S^{\text{op}})$) to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$ consisting of *C-Gorenstein projective* (resp. *flat*) modules, and use $\mathcal{GI}_C(R^{\text{op}})$ to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ consisting of *C-Gorenstein injective* modules. When ${}_R C_S = {}_R R_R$, *C-Gorenstein projective*, *flat* and *injective* modules are exactly *Gorenstein projective*, *flat* and *injective* modules respectively ([11, 17]).

For a subcategory \mathcal{X} of $\text{Mod } R$ (or $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$), we write

$$\mathcal{X}^+ := \{X^+ \mid X \in \mathcal{X}\},$$

where $(-)^+ = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ with \mathbb{Z} the additive group of integers and \mathbb{Q} the additive group of rational numbers.

Lemma 2.9. *It holds that*

- (1) $\mathcal{F}_C(R) \subseteq {}^\perp[\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+] = \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$;
- (2) $\mathcal{P}(R) \cup \mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$.

Proof. (1) The former inclusion follows from [34, Lemma 4.13], and the latter equality follows from [15, Lemma 2.16 (b)].

(2) Note that the former assertion has been proved in [43, Proposition 2.6] in the commutative case and the argument there is also valid in the non-commutative case. For the latter assertion, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ and that the inclusion $\mathcal{F}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$ follows from (1) and the definition of *C-Gorenstein flat modules*. \square

By Lemma 2.9 (1) and [15, Lemma 2.16 (a)], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{GF}_C(R) &= {}^\perp[\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+] \cap \overline{\text{cores}_{\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+} \mathcal{F}_C(R)}, \\ \mathcal{GF}_C(S^{\text{op}}) &= {}^\perp[\mathcal{I}_C(S)^+] \cap \overline{\text{cores}_{\mathcal{I}_C(S)^+} \mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})}.\end{aligned}$$

§3. A construction of a grid-type commutative diagram

In this section, R is an arbitrary ring. Let

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow Y^0 \rightarrow Y^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y^j \rightarrow \cdots$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod } R$. By using special coresolutions of all X_i and special resolutions of all Y^j , we will construct a grid-type commutative diagram, which plays a crucial role in the sequel. We begin with the following observation.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$, and let*

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{f} X_2 \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod } R$ with $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

(1) *Assume that (3.1) is $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{D}, -)$ -exact and*

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow W^0 \xrightarrow{g^0} D^0 \xrightarrow{g^1} D^1 \xrightarrow{g^2} \cdots \xrightarrow{g^i} D^i \xrightarrow{g^{i+1}} \cdots$$

is an exact sequence in $\text{Mod } R$ with all $D^i \in \mathcal{D}$. If (3.2) is both $\text{Hom}_R(-, D)$ -exact and $\text{Hom}_R(-, X_2)$ -exact, then it is also $\text{Hom}_R(-, X_1)$ -exact.

(2) *Assume that (3.1) is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{D})$ -exact and*

$$(3.3) \quad \cdots \rightarrow D_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence in $\text{Mod } R$ with all $D_i \in \mathcal{D}$. If (3.3) is both $\text{Hom}_R(D, -)$ -exact and $\text{Hom}_R(X_1, -)$ -exact, then it is also $\text{Hom}_R(X_2, -)$ -exact.

Proof. (1) For any $i \geq 1$, let $W^i = \text{Im } g^i$ and let $g^i = \lambda^i \pi^i$ be the epic-monic decomposition of g^i with $\pi^i: D^{i-1} \twoheadrightarrow W^i$ and $\lambda^i: W^i \rightarrow D^i$. Note that (3.1) is $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{D}, -)$ -exact and (3.2) is both $\text{Hom}_R(-, D)$ -exact and $\text{Hom}_R(-, X_2)$ -exact by assumption. So for any $i \geq 0$, we get the following commutative diagram with

exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(W^{i+1}, X_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(D^i, X_1) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(\lambda^i, X_1)} & \text{Hom}_R(W^i, X_1) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(W^{i+1}, D) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(D^i, D) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(W^i, D) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \text{Hom}_R(W^{i+1}, f) & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Hom}_R(W^i, f) \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(W^{i+1}, X_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(D^i, X_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(W^i, X_2) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \\
& & & & 0, & &
\end{array}$$

where $\lambda^0 = g^0$. Then each $\text{Hom}_R(W^i, f)$ is epic. Thus by the snake lemma, each $\text{Hom}_R(\lambda^i, X_1)$ is epic and the assertion follows.

(2) It is dual to (1). □

For the sake of simplicity, we introduce the following notions.

Definition 3.2. Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} be subcategories of $\text{Mod } R$. Let $X \in \text{Mod } R$. A module $B \in \text{Mod } R$ is said to satisfy the $(X, \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$ -coproper property if any $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow D^0 \rightarrow D^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow D^i \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all D^i in \mathcal{D} is $\text{Hom}_R(-, B)$ -exact; dually, the module B is said to satisfy the $(\widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}, X)$ -proper property if any $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}, -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow D_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all D_i in \mathcal{D} is $\text{Hom}_R(B, -)$ -exact.

In the following result, we construct certain (co)resolutions of modules, which form a grid-type commutative diagram. It is crucial in studying the behavior of the projective and injective dimensions of modules relative to various classes of C -Gorenstein modules.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ be subcategories of $\text{Mod } R$ such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{E}'$ and \mathcal{D} is additive, and let

$$(3.4) \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} X_i \xrightarrow{f_i} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{\delta} Y^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} Y^1 \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha^j} Y^j \xrightarrow{\alpha^{j+1}} \cdots$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod } R$ with $X_i \in \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ and $Y^j \in \widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{D}$ for any $i, j \geq 0$. Set $M := \text{Im } \delta$ and let $\delta = \alpha^0 f_0$ be the epic-monic decomposition of δ with $f_0: X^0 \rightarrow M$ and $\alpha^0: M \rightarrow Y^0$. If one of the following two conditions is satisfied,

- (1) Y^j satisfies the $(X_i, \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$ -coproper property for any $i, j \geq 0$,
- (2) X_i satisfies the $(\widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{D}, Y^j)$ -proper property for any $i, j \geq 0$,

then there exists the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & f_{i+1} & & & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_i & \longrightarrow & D_i^0 & \longrightarrow & D_i^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & D_i^j & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & f_i & & & & & & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
 & & f_2 & & & & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & D_1^0 & \longrightarrow & D_1^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & D_1^j & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & f_1 & & & & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \longrightarrow & D_0^0 & \longrightarrow & D_0^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & D_0^j & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & f_0 & & & & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} & Y^0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} & Y^1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha^j} & Y^j & \xrightarrow{\alpha^{j+1}} \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 &
 \end{array}$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all D_i^j in \mathcal{D} , such that all rows but the bottom one are $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact and all columns but the leftmost one are $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}', -)$ -exact.

Proof. (1) Set $K_i := \text{Im } f_i$ and $M^i := \text{Im } \alpha^i$ for any $i \geq 0$. Since $X_0 \in \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ and Y^j satisfies the $(X_0, \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$ -coproper property for any $j \geq 0$, there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact exact sequence

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow X_0 \xrightarrow{e^0} D^0 \rightarrow X'_0 \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with $D^0 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $X'_0 \in \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$, which is also $\text{Hom}_R(-, Y^j)$ -exact for any $j \geq 0$. So there exists a homomorphism $h^0 \in \text{Hom}_R(D^0, Y^0)$ such that

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & K_1 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \xrightarrow{e^0} & D^0 & \longrightarrow & X'_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow h^0 & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} & Y^0 & \longrightarrow & M^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Since $Y^0 \in \widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{D}$, there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}', -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow Y_0^0 \rightarrow D_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} Y^0 \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Y_0^0 \in \widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{D}$. Then we get the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \dashrightarrow & K_1 & \dashrightarrow & K_1^0 & \dashrightarrow & K_1^{(1)} \dashrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} e^0 \\ \alpha^0 \end{pmatrix}} & D_0^0 & \longrightarrow & X_0^{(1)} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow (h^0, g_0) & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} & Y^0 & \longrightarrow & M^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0,
 \end{array}$$

Diagram 3.1 (1)

where $D_0^0 = D^0 \oplus D_0 (\in \mathcal{D})$ and $X_0^{(1)} = X'_0 \oplus D_0$. By the exact sequence (3.5), we have $X'_0 \in \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$, and hence $X_0^{(1)} \in \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$. It is easy to see that the middle row in Diagram 3.1 (1) is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact and $\text{Hom}_R(-, Y^j)$ -exact for any $j \geq 0$

and that the middle column is $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}', -)$ -exact. Moreover, the middle column yields the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_0^0 & \longrightarrow & K_1^0 & \longrightarrow & D^0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow h^0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_0^0 & \longrightarrow & D_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & Y^0 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since the lower row is $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}', -)$ -exact, it follows from [24, Lemma 2.4 (1)] that the upper row is also $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}', -)$ -exact, and hence $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{D}, -)$ -exact as $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E}'$. It implies that the upper row splits and $K_1^0 \cong Y_0^0 \oplus D^0$, which yields $K_1^0 \in \widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{D}$. Since $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ and Y^0 satisfies the $(X_i, \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$ -coproper property for any $i \geq 0$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 (1) and the exact sequence (3.6) that Y_0^0 , and hence K_1^0 , satisfies the $(X_i, \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$ -coproper property for any $i \geq 0$.

Since Y^1 satisfies the $(X_0, \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$ -coproper property, it follows from the middle row in Diagram 3.1 (1) that Y^1 also satisfies the $(X_0^{(1)}, \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$ -coproper property. Similar to the above argument, we get the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \dashrightarrow & K_1^{(1)} & \dashrightarrow & K_1^1 & \dashrightarrow & K_1^{(2)} \dashrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X_0^{(1)} & \longrightarrow & D_0^1 & \longrightarrow & X_0^{(2)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M^1 & \longrightarrow & Y^1 & \longrightarrow & M^2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0, \end{array}$$

Diagram 3.1 (2)

such that the middle row is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact and the middle column is $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}', -)$ -exact, and such that $K_1^1 \in \widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{D}$ satisfies the $(X_i, \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$ -coproper property for any $i \geq 0$.

Continuing this process and splicing Diagrams 3.1 (1), 3.1 (2), ... from left to right, we get the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1 & \longrightarrow & K_1^0 & \longrightarrow & K_1^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & K_1^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \longrightarrow & D_0^0 & \longrightarrow & D_0^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & D_0^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} & Y^0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} & Y^1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha^j} & Y^j & \xrightarrow{\alpha^{j+1}} & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Diagram 3.2 (1)

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all D_0^j in \mathcal{D} , such that the middle row is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact and all columns but the leftmost one are $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}', -)$ -exact, and such that $K_1^j \in \widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{D}$ satisfies the $(X_i, \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$ -coproper property for any $i, j \geq 0$.

Similar to the above argument, we get the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_2 & \longrightarrow & K_2^0 & \longrightarrow & K_2^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & K_2^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & D_1^0 & \longrightarrow & D_1^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & D_1^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1 & \longrightarrow & K_1^0 & \longrightarrow & K_1^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & K_1^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Diagram 3.2 (2)

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all D_1^j in \mathcal{D} , such that the middle row is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{E})$ -exact and all columns but the leftmost one are $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}', -)$ -exact, and such that $K_2^j \in \widetilde{\text{res}}_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{D}$ satisfies the $(X_i, \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$ -coproper property for any $i, j \geq 0$.

Continuing this process and splicing Diagrams 3.2 (1), 3.2 (2), ... from bottom to top, we get the desired commutative diagram.

(2) It is similar to (1). □

It is trivial that in the exact sequence (3.4), if

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Y^0 \rightarrow Y^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y^j \rightarrow \dots$$

is an injective coresolution of M , then condition (1) in Theorem 3.3 is satisfied, and if

$$\dots \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is a projective resolution of M , then condition (2) in Theorem 3.3 is satisfied.

§4. C -Gorenstein modules

From now on, assume that R and S are arbitrary rings and ${}_R C_S$ is a semidualizing bimodule. We introduce the following notions, which are useful in providing unified proofs of related results.

Definition 4.1. We define the following notions:

(1) Let \mathcal{H} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$. A module $M \in \text{Mod } R$ is called \mathcal{H} -Gorenstein projective if $M \in {}^\perp \mathcal{H}$ and there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{H})$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow G^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G^i \rightarrow \dots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all G^i in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$. Symmetrically, the notion of \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein projective modules in $\text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$ is defined.

(2) Let \mathcal{H} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$. A module $M \in \text{Mod } R$ is called \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein flat if $M \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$ and there exists an $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes_R -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H^0 \rightarrow H^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H^i \rightarrow \dots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all H^i in \mathcal{H} . Symmetrically, the notion of \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein flat modules in $\text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$ is defined.

(3) Let \mathcal{T} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } S$. A module $N \in \text{Mod } S$ is called \mathcal{T}_C -Gorenstein injective if $N \in \mathcal{T}^\perp$ and there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{T}, -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } S$ with all E_i in $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$. Symmetrically, the notion of \mathcal{T}_C -Gorenstein injective modules in $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ is defined.

Let \mathcal{H} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$. We use $\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{H})$ to denote the subcategories of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein projective modules and \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein flat modules respectively. We have

$$\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H}) = {}^\perp \mathcal{H} \cap \widetilde{\text{cores}_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{P}_C(R)}.$$

By Lemma 2.9 (1) and [15, Lemma 2.16 (a)], we have

$$\mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{H}) = {}^\perp [\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+] \cap \widetilde{\text{cores}_{\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+} \mathcal{H}}.$$

Let \mathcal{T} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } S$. We use $\mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{T})$ to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } S$ consisting of \mathcal{T}_C -Gorenstein injective modules. We have

$$\mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}^\perp \cap \widetilde{\text{res}_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{I}_C(S)}.$$

§4.1. \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein flat and projective dimensions

In this subsection, assume that \mathcal{T} is a resolving subcategory of $\mathcal{A}_C(S)$ and

$$\mathcal{H} := \{C \otimes_S T \mid T \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

which is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands. By [36, Lemma 2.4 (3)], there exists the following Foxby equivalence:

$$\text{Acot}_C(S) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{C \otimes_S -} \\ \xleftarrow[\sim]{(-)_*} \end{array} \text{Cor}_C(R),$$

which induces the following Foxby equivalence:

$$\mathcal{T} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{C \otimes_S -} \\ \xleftarrow[\sim]{(-)_*} \end{array} \mathcal{H}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *It holds that*

- (1) $\mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_C(R)^\perp$;
- (2) \mathcal{H} is a $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -resolving subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ with a $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -proper generator $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$;
- (3) the subcategory $\mathcal{H}\text{-pd}^{\leq n}$ is closed under direct summands for any $n \geq 0$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.7 (2), we have $\mathcal{B}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_C(R)^\perp$. Since $\mathcal{P}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_C(S)$, the assertion follows easily.

(2) It follows from [35, Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 3.9] and [29, Lemma 3.5 (1)].

(3) Since \mathcal{H} is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands, the assertion follows from the former two assertions and [25, Corollary 3.9]. \square

A module $M \in \text{Mod } R$ is said to *admit an infinite \mathcal{D} -coproper coresolution* if there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{D})$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow D^0 \rightarrow D^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow D^i \rightarrow \dots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all $D^i \in \mathcal{D}$; dually, the module M is said to *admit an infinite \mathcal{D} -proper resolution* if there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{D}, -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow D_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all $D_i \in \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 4.3. *If $M \in \text{Mod } R$ with \mathcal{H} -pd $M \leq n$ with $n \geq 0$, then M admits an infinite $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -proper resolution*

$$\dots \rightarrow G_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$, such that $\text{Im}(G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.2 (2) and [25, Theorem 3.6]. \square

The following lemma is a consequence of Theorem 3.3, which plays a crucial role in the sequel.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$, and let*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} P_i \xrightarrow{f_i} \dots \xrightarrow{f_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be a projective resolution of M in $\text{Mod } R$. If \mathcal{H} -pd $I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, then there exists an exact sequence

$$(4.1) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n+2}} P_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} P_n \xrightarrow{f_0} K_n^0 \xrightarrow{f^1} K_n^1 \xrightarrow{f^2} \dots \xrightarrow{f^j} K_n^j \xrightarrow{f^{j+1}} \dots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all K_n^j in \mathcal{H} .

Proof. Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ and let

$$(4.2) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} P_i \xrightarrow{f_i} \dots \xrightarrow{f_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\delta} I^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} I^1 \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha^j} I^j \xrightarrow{\alpha^{j+1}} \dots$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod } R$ with all P_i in $\mathcal{P}(R)$ and all I^j in $\mathcal{I}(R)$, such that $M = \text{Im } \delta$. By Lemma 2.9 (2), all P_i are in $\mathcal{GP}_C(R) \subseteq \text{cores}_{\mathcal{P}_C(R)} \mathcal{P}_C(R)$.

By assumption, we have \mathcal{H} -pd $I^j \leq n$ for any $j \geq 0$. The assertion for the case $n = 0$ is trivial. Now suppose $n \geq 1$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that all I^j are in $\text{res}_{\mathcal{P}_C(R)} \mathcal{P}_C(R)$. Then by Theorem 3.3 (1), there exists the following commutative

diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_n & \longrightarrow & K_n^0 & \longrightarrow & K_n^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & K_n^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & Q_{n-1}^0 & \longrightarrow & Q_{n-1}^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Q_{n-1}^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow f_{n-1} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \\
 & & \downarrow f_2 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & Q_1^0 & \longrightarrow & Q_1^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Q_1^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & Q_0^0 & \longrightarrow & Q_0^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Q_0^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & I^0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} & I^1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\alpha^j} & I^j & \xrightarrow{\alpha^{j+1}} & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all Q_i^j in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ and $K_n = \text{Im } f_n$, such that all columns but the leftmost one are $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{P}_C(R), -)$ -exact. It follows from Lemma 4.2 (1)(2) and [25, Theorem 3.8 (1)] that all K_n^j are in \mathcal{H} . From the exact sequence (4.2) and the top row in the above diagram, we get the desired exact sequence (4.1) such that $K_n = \text{Im } f_n$. \square

Under certain conditions, we obtain some equivalent characterizations for the \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein flat dimension of any module being at most n .

Proposition 4.5. *For any $n \geq 0$, consider the following conditions:*

- (1) $\mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{H})\text{-pd } M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.
- (2) $\mathcal{H}\text{-pd } I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, and $\text{fd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \leq n$ for any $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$.
- (3) $\mathcal{T}\text{-pd } E \leq n$ for any $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)$, and $\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } I' \leq n$ for any $I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})$.

We have (2) \Leftrightarrow (3).

If $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$ (equivalently $\mathcal{H} \subseteq {}^\perp[\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+]$), then (2) \Rightarrow (1), and if further $\mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{H})$ is closed under $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+$ -coproper extensions, then the above three conditions are equivalent.

Proof. Because $\mathcal{I}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(S^{\text{op}})$, we get (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) by [29, Theorem 3.2].

In the case where $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$, we will prove (2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ and let

$$\dots \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} P_i \xrightarrow{f_i} \dots \xrightarrow{f_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be a projective resolution of M in $\text{Mod } R$. By (2), we have \mathcal{H} -pd $I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$. Then from Lemma 4.4 we get an exact sequence

$$(4.3) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n+2}} P_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} P_n \xrightarrow{f_0} K_n^0 \xrightarrow{f^1} K_n^1 \xrightarrow{f^2} \dots \xrightarrow{f^i} K_n^i \xrightarrow{f^{i+1}} \dots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all K_n^i in \mathcal{H} , such that $\text{Im } f_0 \cong \text{Im } f_n$.

Let $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$. By (2), we have $\text{fd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \leq n$. Since $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$, applying the functor $E' \otimes_R -$ to the exact sequence (4.3), it is easy to see that each $\text{Im } f_i$ and each $\text{Im } f^i$ are in E'^\top . It follows that the exact sequence (4.3) is $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes_R -)$ -exact. So $\text{Im } f_0$, and hence $\text{Im } f_n$, is in $\mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{H})$. This yields $\mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{H})$ -pd $M \leq n$.

Finally, suppose that $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$ (equivalently $\mathcal{H} \subseteq {}^\perp[\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+]$) and $\mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{H})$ is closed under $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+$ -coproper extensions, then $\mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{H})$ is $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+$ -precoresolving in $\text{Mod } R$ admitting an $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+$ -coproper cogenerator \mathcal{H} . We will prove (1) \Rightarrow (2).

Let $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$. Since $\mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$, it follows from (1) and dimension shifting that $\text{Tor}_{\geq n+1}^R(E', M) = 0$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$, and so $\text{fd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \leq n$. On the other hand, let $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$. Then $\mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{H})$ -pd $I \leq n$ by (1). Since the class \mathcal{H} -pd $\leq m$ is closed under direct summands for any $m \geq 0$ by Lemma 4.2 (3), it follows from Lemma 2.2 (1) that \mathcal{H} -pd $I = \mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{H})$ -pd $I \leq n$. \square

In the following result, we give some equivalent characterizations for the \mathcal{H}_C -Gorenstein projective dimension of any module being at most n .

Theorem 4.6. *For any $n \geq 0$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})$ -pd $M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.
- (2) $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd $I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, and $\text{id}_R H \leq n$ for any $H \in \mathcal{H}$.
- (3) $\text{pd}_S E \leq n$ for any $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)$, and $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$ -id $T \leq n$ for any $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Because $\mathcal{I}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, we get (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) by [29, Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.4].

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ and let

$$\dots \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} P_i \xrightarrow{f_i} \dots \xrightarrow{f_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be a projective resolution of M in $\text{Mod } R$. By (2), we have $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$. Then from Lemma 4.4(1) we get an exact sequence

$$(4.4) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n+2}} P_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} P_n \xrightarrow{f_0} K_n^0 \xrightarrow{f^1} K_n^1 \xrightarrow{f^2} \dots \xrightarrow{f^i} K_n^i \xrightarrow{f^{i+1}} \dots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all K_n^i in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$, such that $\text{Im } f_0 \cong \text{Im } f_n$.

Let $H \in \mathcal{H}$. By (2), we have $\text{id}_R H \leq n$. Since

$$\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_C(R)^\perp$$

by Lemma 2.7(2), applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, H)$ to the exact sequence (4.4), it is easy to see that each $\text{Im } f_i$ and each $\text{Im } f^i$ are in ${}^\perp H$. It follows that the exact sequence (4.4) is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{H})$ -exact. So $\text{Im } f_0$, and hence $\text{Im } f_n$, is in $\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})$. This yields $\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})\text{-pd } M \leq n$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{H}$, it follows from (1) and dimension shifting that $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq n+1}(M, H) = 0$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$, and so $\text{id}_R H \leq n$.

It is trivial that $\mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{H}$ by [39, Lemma 2.5(1)], we have that $\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})$ is \mathcal{H} -precoresolving in $\text{Mod } R$ admitting a \mathcal{H} -coproper cogenerator $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ by Lemma 2.3(1). Since the class $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd}^{\leq m}$ is closed under direct summands for any $m \geq 0$ by Lemma 4.2(3), it follows from (1) and Lemma 2.2(1) that $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } I = \mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})\text{-pd } I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$. \square

We give a sufficient condition for a module in ${}^\perp \mathcal{H}$ to be also in $\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})$.

Proposition 4.7. *If $M \in {}^\perp \mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})\text{-pd } M < \infty$, then $M \in \mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Let $M \in {}^\perp \mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})\text{-pd } M = n < \infty$. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all G_i in $\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})$ ($\subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{H}$). Since $M \in {}^\perp \mathcal{H}$, this exact sequence is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{H})$ -exact. For any $0 \leq i \leq n$, there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{H})$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_i \rightarrow Q_i^0 \rightarrow Q_i^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Q_i^j \rightarrow \dots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all Q_i^j in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ ($\subseteq \mathcal{H}$). By [24, Theorem 3.4], we get the following two $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{H})$ -exact exact sequences:

$$(4.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_i^{i+1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_i^{i+2} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_i^{i+3} \rightarrow \dots,$$

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow Q_n^0 \rightarrow Q_{n-1}^0 \bigoplus Q_n^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=2}^n Q_i^{i-2} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_i^{i-1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_i^i \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, the exact sequence (4.6) splits, and hence $Q \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$. It follows from the exact sequence (4.5) that $M \in \widetilde{\text{cores}}_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{P}_C(R)$, and thus $M \in \mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{H})$. \square

§4.2. \mathcal{T}_C -Gorenstein injective dimension

In this subsection, assume that \mathcal{H} is a coresolving subcategory of $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ and

$$\mathcal{T} := \{H_* \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

which is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands. As in the beginning of Section 4.1, there exists the following Foxby equivalence:

$$\mathcal{T} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{C \otimes_S -} \\ \xleftarrow[\sim]{(-)_*} \end{array} \mathcal{H}.$$

The proofs of the following three results are completely dual to those of Lemmas 4.2–4.4 respectively, so we omit them.

Lemma 4.8. *It holds that*

- (1) $\mathcal{I}_C(S) \subseteq \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_C(S) \subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{I}_C(S)$;
- (2) \mathcal{T} is an $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$ -coresolving subcategory of $\text{Mod } S$ with an $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$ -coproper cogenerator $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$;
- (3) the subcategory $\mathcal{T}\text{-id}^{\leq n}$ is closed under direct summands for any $n \geq 0$.

The proof of Lemma 4.10 needs to use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.9. *If $N \in \text{Mod } S$ with $\mathcal{T}\text{-id } N \leq n$ with $n \geq 0$, then N admits an infinite $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$ -coproper coresolution*

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^i \rightarrow \dots$$

in $\text{Mod } S$, such that $\text{Im}(E^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n) \in \mathcal{T}$.

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $N \in \text{Mod } S$, and let*

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow I^0 \xrightarrow{g^1} I^1 \xrightarrow{g^2} \dots \xrightarrow{g^i} I^i \xrightarrow{g^{i+1}} \dots$$

be an injective coresolution of N in $\text{Mod } S$. If $\mathcal{T}\text{-id } P \leq n$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, then there exists an exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{g^{i+1}} T_i^n \xrightarrow{g_i} \dots \xrightarrow{g_2} T_1^n \xrightarrow{g_1} T_0^n \xrightarrow{g^0} I^n \xrightarrow{g^{n+1}} I^{n+1} \xrightarrow{g^{n+2}} \dots$$

in $\text{Mod } S$ with all T_i^n in \mathcal{T} .

In the following result, we give some equivalent characterizations for the \mathcal{T}_C -Gorenstein injective dimension of any module being at most n . It is dual to Theorem 4.6, but we still give the proof for the reader's convenience.

Theorem 4.11. *For any $n \geq 0$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{T})\text{-id } N \leq n$ for any $N \in \text{Mod } S$.
- (2) $\text{id}_R Q \leq n$ for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$, and $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } H \leq n$ for any $H \in \mathcal{H}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{I}_C(S)\text{-id } P \leq n$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, and $\text{pd}_S T \leq n$ for any $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Because $\mathcal{P}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_C(S)$ and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, we get (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) by [29, Propositions 4.1 and 4.3].

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $N \in \text{Mod } S$ and let

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow I^0 \xrightarrow{g^1} I^1 \xrightarrow{g^2} \dots \xrightarrow{g^i} I^i \xrightarrow{g^{i+1}} \dots$$

be an injective coresolution of N in $\text{Mod } S$. By (3), we have $\mathcal{I}_C(S)\text{-id } P \leq n$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(S)$. Then from Lemma 4.10 we get an exact sequence

$$(4.7) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{g^{i+1}} T_i^n \xrightarrow{g_i} \dots \xrightarrow{g_2} T_1^n \xrightarrow{g_1} T_0^n \xrightarrow{g^0} I^n \xrightarrow{g^{n+1}} I^{n+1} \xrightarrow{g^{n+2}} \dots$$

in $\text{Mod } S$ with all T_i^n in $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$, such that $\text{Im } g^0 \cong \text{Im } g^n$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}$. By (3), we have $\text{pd}_S T \leq n$. Since

$$\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_C(S) \subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{I}_C(S)$$

by Lemma 2.7(1), applying the functor $\text{Hom}_S(T, -)$ to the exact sequence (4.7), it is easy to see that each image in this exact sequence is in T^\perp . It follows that the exact sequence (4.7) is $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{T}, -)$ -exact. So $\text{Im } g^0$, and hence $\text{Im } g^n$, is in $\mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{T})$. This yields $\mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{T})\text{-id } N \leq n$.

(1) \Rightarrow (3). Let $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Since $\mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}^\perp$, it follows from (1) and dimension shifting that $\text{Ext}_S^{\geq n+1}(T, N) = 0$ for any $N \in \text{Mod } S$, and so $\text{pd}_S T \leq n$.

It is trivial that $\mathcal{I}_C(S) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. Since $\mathcal{I}_C(S) \subseteq \mathcal{T}^\perp$ by Lemma 2.7 (1), we have that $\mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{T})$ is \mathcal{T} -preresolving in $\text{Mod } S$ admitting a \mathcal{T} -proper generator $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$ by Lemma 2.3 (2). Since the class $\mathcal{I}_C(S)\text{-id}^{\leq m}$ is closed under direct summands for any $m \geq 0$ by Lemma 4.8 (3), it follows from (1) and Lemma 2.2 (2) that $\mathcal{I}_C(S)\text{-id } P = \mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{T})\text{-id } P \leq n$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(S)$. \square

We give a sufficient condition for a module in \mathcal{T}^\perp to be also in $\mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{T})$ as follows. It is dual to Proposition 4.7.

Proposition 4.12. *If $N \in \mathcal{T}^\perp$ with $\mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{T})\text{-id } N < \infty$, then $N \in \mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{T})$.*

§5. Applications

§5.1. Usual C -Gorenstein modules

Following customary notation, we write

$$\begin{aligned} G_C\text{-pd}_R M &:= \mathcal{GP}_C(R)\text{-pd } M, & G_C\text{-fd}_R M &:= \mathcal{GF}_C(R)\text{-pd } M, \\ G_C\text{-id}_{R^{\text{op}}} M &:= \mathcal{GI}_C(R^{\text{op}})\text{-id } M, & G_C\text{-pd}_{S^{\text{op}}} N &:= \mathcal{GP}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } N, \\ G_C\text{-fd}_{S^{\text{op}}} N &:= \mathcal{GF}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } N, & G_C\text{-id}_S N &:= \mathcal{GI}_C(S)\text{-id } N. \end{aligned}$$

Following the notation below Definition 4.1, we have

$$\mathcal{GF}_C(R) = \mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{F}_C(R)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{GF}_C(S^{\text{op}}) = \mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})).$$

Lemma 5.1. *It holds that*

- (1) *if S is a right coherent ring, then $\mathcal{GF}_C(R)$ is closed under extensions;*
- (2) *if R is a left coherent ring, then $\mathcal{GF}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ is closed under extensions.*

Proof. (1) Let S be a right coherent ring, and let

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod } R$ with $M_1, M_3 \in \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$. Then

$$0 \rightarrow M_3^+ \rightarrow M_2^+ \rightarrow M_1^+ \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence in $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$. By [27, Theorem 4.17 (2)], we have $M_1^+, M_3^+ \in \mathcal{GI}_C(R^{\text{op}})$. Then $M_2^+ \in \mathcal{GI}_C(R^{\text{op}})$ by [27, Remark 4.4 (3)(b)], which implies $M_2 \in \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$ by [27, Theorem 4.17 (2)] again.

- (2) It is the symmetric version of (1). \square

Under certain conditions, we establish the left and right symmetry of the C -Gorenstein flat dimension of any module being at most n .

Theorem 5.2. *For any $n \geq 0$, consider the following conditions:*

- (1) $G_C\text{-fd}_R M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.
- (2) $G_C\text{-fd}_{S^{\text{op}}} N \leq n$ for any $N \in \text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-pd } I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, and $\text{fd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \leq n$ for any $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$.
- (4) $\text{fd}_S E \leq n$ for any $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)$, and $\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } I' \leq n$ for any $I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})$.

We have (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (2). Furthermore, it holds that

- (a) if $\mathcal{GF}_C(R)$ is closed under $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+$ -coproper extensions, then (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4);
- (b) if $\mathcal{GF}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ is closed under $\mathcal{I}_C(S)^+$ -coproper extensions, then (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4);
- (c) if R is a left coherent ring and S is a right coherent ring, then the conditions (1)–(4) are equivalent.

Proof. By Lemma 2.9 (1), we have $\mathcal{F}_C(R) \subseteq {}^\perp[\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+] = \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$. It is trivial that $\mathcal{F}(S)$ is resolving, and note that $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands by [19, Proposition 5.1 (a)]. Then assertions (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) and (a) follow from Proposition 4.5 by setting $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{F}(S)$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}_C(R)$. Symmetrically, we get assertions (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (2) and (b). Assertion (c) follows from assertions (a), (b) and Lemma 5.1. \square

When ${}_R C_S = {}_R R_R$, we write

$$G\text{-fd}_R M := G_C\text{-fd}_R M \quad \text{and} \quad G\text{-fd}_{R^{\text{op}}} N := G_C\text{-fd}_{S^{\text{op}}} N.$$

Corollary 5.3 ([7, Theorem 2.4]). *For any $n \geq 0$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $G\text{-fd}_R M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.
- (2) $G\text{-fd}_{R^{\text{op}}} N \leq n$ for any $N \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$.
- (3) $\text{fd}_R E \leq n$ for any $E \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, and $\text{fd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \leq n$ for any $E' \in \mathcal{I}(R^{\text{op}})$.

Proof. Since the class of Gorenstein flat left (resp. right) R -modules is closed under extensions by [33, Theorem 4.11], the assertion follows from Theorem 5.2 by putting ${}_R C_S = {}_R R_R$. \square

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{GP}_C(R) &= \mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{P}_C(R)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{GP}_C(S^{\text{op}}) = \mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})), \\ \mathcal{GI}_C(S) &= \mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{I}_C(S)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{GI}_C(R^{\text{op}}) = \mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})).\end{aligned}$$

In the following result, we show that the C -Gorenstein projective dimension of any left R -module is at most n if and only if the C -Gorenstein injective dimension of any left S -module is at most n .

Theorem 5.4. *The following hold for any $n \geq 0$:*

(1) *The following statements are equivalent:*

(1.1) $G_C\text{-pd}_R M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.

(1.2) $G_C\text{-id}_S N \leq n$ for any $N \in \text{Mod } S$.

(1.3) $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, and $\text{id}_R H \leq n$ for any $H \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$.

(1.4) $\text{pd}_S E \leq n$ for any $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)$, and $\mathcal{I}_C(S)\text{-id } T \leq n$ for any $T \in \mathcal{P}(S)$.

(2) *The following statements are equivalent:*

(2.1) $G_C\text{-pd}_{S^{\text{op}}} N' \leq n$ for any $N' \in \text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$.

(2.2) $G_C\text{-id}_{R^{\text{op}}} M' \leq n$ for any $M' \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$.

(2.3) $\mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } I' \leq n$ for any $I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})$, and $\text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} H' \leq n$ for any $H' \in \mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})$.

(2.4) $\text{pd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \leq n$ for any $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$, and $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})\text{-id } T' \leq n$ for any $T' \in \mathcal{P}(R^{\text{op}})$.

Proof. (1) It is trivial that $\mathcal{P}(S)$ is resolving, and note that $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands by [19, Proposition 5.1 (b)]. Then the assertion (1.1) \Leftrightarrow (1.3) \Leftrightarrow (1.4) follows from Theorem 4.6 by setting $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{P}(S)$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{P}_C(R)$. On the other hand, it is trivial that $\mathcal{I}(R)$ is coresolving, and note that $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$ is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands by [19, Proposition 5.1 (c)]. Then the assertion (1.2) \Leftrightarrow (1.3) \Leftrightarrow (1.4) follows from Theorem 4.11 by setting $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{I}(R)$ and $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{I}_C(S)$.

(2) It is the symmetric version of (1). □

We introduce the C -versions of strongly Gorenstein flat modules and projectively coresolved Gorenstein flat modules as follows.

Definition 5.5. Let C be a semidualizing (R, S) -bimodule.

(1) A module $M \in \text{Mod } R$ is called *C -strongly Gorenstein flat* if

$$M \in {}^\perp \mathcal{F}_C(R) \cap \overline{\text{cores}_{\mathcal{F}_C(R)} \mathcal{P}_C(R)}.$$

Symmetrically, the notion of *C-strongly Gorenstein flat modules* in $\text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$ is defined.

- (2) A module $M \in \text{Mod } R$ is called *C-projectively coresolved Gorenstein flat* if $M \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$ and there exists an $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes_R -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q^0 \rightarrow Q^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q^i \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all Q^i in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$. Symmetrically, the notion of *C-projectively coresolved Gorenstein flat modules* in $\text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$ is defined.

We use $\mathcal{SGF}_C(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{PGF}_C(R)$) to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of *C-strongly Gorenstein flat modules* (resp. *C-projectively coresolved Gorenstein flat modules*). Symmetrically, we use $\mathcal{SGF}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{PGF}_C(S^{\text{op}})$) to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$ consisting of *C-strongly Gorenstein flat modules* (resp. *C-projectively coresolved Gorenstein flat modules*). When ${}_R C_S = {}_R R_R$, *C-strongly Gorenstein flat modules* and *C-projectively coresolved Gorenstein flat modules* are exactly strongly Gorenstein flat modules [8] and projectively coresolved Gorenstein flat modules [33] respectively. Following the notation below Definition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{SGF}_C(R) &= \mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{F}_C(R)) & \text{and} & & \mathcal{SGF}_C(S^{\text{op}}) &= \mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})), \\ \mathcal{PGF}_C(R) &= \mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{P}_C(R)) & \text{and} & & \mathcal{PGF}_C(S^{\text{op}}) &= \mathcal{GF}_C(\mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.6. *The following hold:*

- (1) *For any $n \geq 0$, consider the following conditions:*
- (1.1) $\mathcal{PGF}_C(R)$ -pd $M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.
 - (1.2) $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd $I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, and $\text{fd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \leq n$ for any $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$.
 - (1.3) $\text{pd}_S E \leq n$ for any $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)$, and $\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ -pd $I' \leq n$ for any $I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})$.

We have (1.1) \Leftrightarrow (1.2) \Leftrightarrow (1.3). Furthermore, if $\mathcal{PGF}_C(R)$ is closed under $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+$ -coproper extensions, then all these three conditions are equivalent.

- (2) *For any $n \geq 0$, consider the following conditions:*
- (2.1) $\mathcal{PGF}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ -pd $N \leq n$ for any $N \in \text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$.
 - (2.2) $\mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ -pd $I' \leq n$ for any $I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})$, and $\text{fd}_S E \leq n$ for any $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)$.
 - (2.3) $\text{pd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \leq n$ for any $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$, and $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -pd $I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$.

We have (2.1) \Leftrightarrow (2.2) \Leftrightarrow (2.3). Furthermore, if $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ is closed under $\mathcal{I}_C(S)^+$ -coproper extensions, then all these three conditions are equivalent.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_C(R) \subseteq {}^\perp[\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+] = \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top.$$

Then the assertion follows from Proposition 4.5 by setting $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{P}(S)$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{P}_C(R)$.

(2) It is the symmetric version of (1). \square

Proposition 5.7. *The following hold for any $n \geq 0$.*

(1) *The following statements are equivalent:*

(1.1) $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -pd $M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.

(1.2) $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd $I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, and $\text{id}_R H \leq n$ for any $H \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$.

(1.3) $\text{pd}_S E \leq n$ for any $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)$, and $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$ -id $T \leq n$ for any $T \in \mathcal{F}(S)$.

(2) *The following statements are equivalent:*

(2.1) $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ -pd $N \leq n$ for any $N \in \text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$.

(2.2) $\mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ -pd $I' \leq n$ for any $I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})$, and $\text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} H' \leq n$ for any $H' \in \mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})$.

(2.3) $\text{pd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \leq n$ for any $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$, and $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$ -id $T' \leq n$ for any $T' \in \mathcal{F}(R^{\text{op}})$.

Proof. (1) It follows from Theorem 4.6 by setting $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{F}(S)$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}_C(R)$.

(2) It is the symmetric version of (1). \square

§5.2. Other C -Gorenstein modules

In the following result, we show that the $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_C(\mathcal{B}_C(R))$ -projective dimension of any left R -module is at most n if and only if the $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}_C(\mathcal{A}_C(S))$ -injective dimension of any left S -module is at most n .

Theorem 5.8. *The following hold for any $n \geq 0$.*

(1) *The following statements are equivalent:*

(1.1) $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_C(\mathcal{B}_C(R))$ -pd $M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.

(1.2) $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}_C(\mathcal{A}_C(S))$ -id $N \leq n$ for any $N \in \text{Mod } S$.

(1.3) $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd $I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, and $\text{id}_R B \leq n$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$.

(1.4) $\text{pd}_S E \leq n$ for any $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)$, and $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$ -id $A \leq n$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_C(S)$.

(1.5) $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd $B \leq n$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, and $\text{id}_R Q \leq n$ for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$.

(1.6) $\text{pd}_S A \leq n$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_C(S)$, and $\mathcal{I}_C(S)$ -id $P \leq n$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(S)$.

(2) The following statements are equivalent:

(2.1) $\mathcal{GP}_C(\mathcal{B}_C(S^{\text{op}}))$ -pd $M' \leq n$ for any $M' \in \text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$.

(2.2) $\mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{A}_C(R^{\text{op}}))$ -id $N' \leq n$ for any $N' \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$.

(2.3) $\mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ -pd $I' \leq n$ for any $I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})$, and $\text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} B' \leq n$ for any $B' \in \mathcal{B}_C(S^{\text{op}})$.

(2.4) $\text{pd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \leq n$ for any $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$, and $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$ -id $A' \leq n$ for any $A' \in \mathcal{A}_C(R^{\text{op}})$.

(2.5) $\mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ -pd $B' \leq n$ for any $B' \in \mathcal{B}_C(S^{\text{op}})$, and $\text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} Q' \leq n$ for any $Q' \in \mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})$.

(2.6) $\text{pd}_{R^{\text{op}}} A' \leq n$ for any $A' \in \mathcal{A}_C(R^{\text{op}})$, and $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$ -id $P' \leq n$ for any $P' \in \mathcal{P}(R^{\text{op}})$.

Proof. By [19, Theorem 6.2], we have that $\mathcal{A}_C(S)$ is resolving. By [26, Theorem 3.3(2)] and [19, Proposition 4.2(a)], we have that $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ is covering in $\text{Mod } R$ and closed under finite direct sums and direct summands. Now the assertion (1.1) \Leftrightarrow (1.3) \Leftrightarrow (1.4) follows from Theorem 4.6 by setting $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{A}_C(S)$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{B}_C(R)$.

By [19, Theorem 6.2], we have that $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ is coresolving. By [26, Theorem 3.5(1)] and [19, Proposition 4.2(a)], we have that $\mathcal{A}_C(S)$ is preenveloping in $\text{Mod } S$ and closed under finite direct sums and direct summands. Now the assertion (1.2) \Leftrightarrow (1.5) \Leftrightarrow (1.6) follows from Theorem 4.11 by setting $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{A}_C(S)$.

(1.3) \Rightarrow (1.5). Since $\mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ by Lemma 2.7(2), we have $\text{id}_R Q \leq n$ for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ by (1.3). Now let $B \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. Then $\text{id}_R B \leq n$ by (1.3), and thus there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I^n \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all I^i in $\mathcal{I}(R)$. Since $B \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)^\perp$ by Lemma 2.7(2), applying the functor $(-)_*$ to the above exact sequence yields the exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow B_* \rightarrow I^0_* \rightarrow I^1_* \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I^n_* \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } S$. By (1.3), we have $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd $I^i \leq n$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n$. Since all I^i are in $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ by Lemma 2.7(2), it follows from [29, Proposition 4.1] that $\text{pd}_S I^i_* \leq n$

for any $0 \leq i \leq n$. By the exact sequence (5.1), we have $\text{pd}_S B_* \leq n$. Thus $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } B \leq n$ by [29, Proposition 4.1] again.

(1.5) \Rightarrow (1.3). Since $\mathcal{I}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ by Lemma 2.7 (2), we have $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ by (1.5). Now let $B \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. Then $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } B \leq n$ by (1.5), and hence there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all Q_i in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$. By (1.5), we have $\text{id}_R Q_i \leq n$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n$, and hence $\text{id}_R B \leq n$.

(2) It is the symmetric version of (1). □

When ${}_R C_S = {}_R R_R$, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{B}_C(R) = \text{Mod } R = \mathcal{A}_C(S)$, and hence

$$\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_C(\mathcal{B}_C(R)) = \mathcal{P}(R) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}_C(\mathcal{A}_C(S)) = \mathcal{I}(R).$$

It yields

$$\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_C(\mathcal{B}_C(R))\text{-pd } M = \text{pd}_R M \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}_C(\mathcal{A}_C(S))\text{-id } M = \text{id}_R M$$

for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$. Thus, putting ${}_R C_S = {}_R R_R$ in Theorem 5.8, from the equivalence (1.1) \Leftrightarrow (1.2) we get the following well-known classical result (cf. [32, Theorem 8.14]).

Corollary 5.9. *For any ring R , we have*

$$\sup\{\text{pd}_R M \mid M \in \text{Mod } R\} = \sup\{\text{id}_R M \mid M \in \text{Mod } R\}.$$

The common value of the quantities is known as the left global dimension of R .

Proposition 5.10. *The following hold for any $n \geq 0$.*

(1) *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1.1) $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_C(\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}_C(R))\text{-pd } M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.
- (1.2) $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, and $\text{id}_R H \leq n$ for any $H \in \mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}_C(R)$.
- (1.3) $\text{pd}_S E \leq n$ for any $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)$, and $\mathcal{I}_C(S)\text{-id } T \leq n$ for any $T \in \mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}(S)$.

(2) *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (2.1) $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_C(\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}}))\text{-pd } N \leq n$ for any $N \in \text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$.
- (2.2) $\mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } I' \leq n$ for any $I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})$, and $\text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} H' \leq n$ for any $H' \in \mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})$.

$$(2.3) \text{ pd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \leq n \text{ for any } E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}), \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})\text{-id } T' \leq n \text{ for any } T' \in \mathcal{WF}(R^{\text{op}}).$$

Proof. It follows from [13, Proposition 2.6 (2)] that $\mathcal{WF}(S)$ is resolving, and note that $\mathcal{WF}_C(R)$ is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands by [14, Proposition 2.8]. Then the assertion follows from Theorem 4.6 by setting $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{WF}(S)$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{WF}_C(R)$.

(2) It is the symmetric version of (1). \square

Proposition 5.11. *The following hold for any $n \geq 0$.*

(1) *The following statements are equivalent:*

$$(1.1) \mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{WI}_C(S))\text{-id } N \leq n \text{ for any } N \in \text{Mod } S.$$

$$(1.2) \text{id}_R Q \leq n \text{ for any } Q \in \mathcal{P}_C(R), \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } H \leq n \text{ for any } H \in \mathcal{WI}(R).$$

$$(1.3) \mathcal{I}_C(S)\text{-id } P \leq n \text{ for any } P \in \mathcal{P}(S), \text{ and } \text{pd}_S T \leq n \text{ for any } T \in \mathcal{WI}_C(S).$$

(2) *The following statements are equivalent:*

$$(2.1) \mathcal{GI}_C(\mathcal{WI}_C(R^{\text{op}}))\text{-id } M' \leq n \text{ for any } M' \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}.$$

$$(2.2) \text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} Q' \leq n \text{ for any } Q' \in \mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}}), \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } H' \leq n \text{ for any } H' \in \mathcal{WI}(S^{\text{op}}).$$

$$(2.3) \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})\text{-id } P' \leq n \text{ for any } P' \in \mathcal{P}(R^{\text{op}}), \text{ and } \text{pd}_{R^{\text{op}}} T' \leq n \text{ for any } T' \in \mathcal{WI}_C(R^{\text{op}}).$$

Proof. (1) It follows from [13, Proposition 2.6 (1)] that $\mathcal{WI}(R)$ is coresolving, and note that $\mathcal{WI}_C(S)$ is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands by [14, Proposition 2.8]. Then the assertion follows from Theorem 4.11 by setting $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{WI}(R)$ and $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{WI}_C(S)$.

(2) It is the symmetric version of (1). \square

§5.3. C -Gorenstein global dimension

In the following result, assertion (1) follows from Theorem 5.2, and assertion (2) follows from Corollary 5.3.

Corollary 5.12. *It holds that*

(1) *if R is a left coherent ring and S is a right coherent ring, then*

$$\sup\{\text{G}_C\text{-fd}_R M \mid M \in \text{Mod } R\} = \sup\{\text{G}_C\text{-fd}_{S^{\text{op}}} N \mid N \in \text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}\};$$

(2) ([7, Corollary 2.5]) *we have*

$$\sup\{\mathrm{G}\text{-fd}_R M \mid M \in \mathrm{Mod} R\} = \sup\{\mathrm{G}\text{-fd}_{R^{\mathrm{op}}} N \mid N \in \mathrm{Mod} R^{\mathrm{op}}\}.$$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.4, we get the following corollary, which is the C -version of [5, Theorem 1.1].

Corollary 5.13. *It holds that*

- (1) $\sup\{\mathrm{G}_C\text{-pd}_R M \mid M \in \mathrm{Mod} R\} = \sup\{\mathrm{G}_C\text{-id}_S N \mid N \in \mathrm{Mod} S\};$
- (2) $\sup\{\mathrm{G}_C\text{-pd}_R M \mid M \in \mathrm{Mod} S^{\mathrm{op}}\} = \sup\{\mathrm{G}_C\text{-id}_S N \mid N \in \mathrm{Mod} R^{\mathrm{op}}\}.$

We call the common value of the quantities in Corollary 5.13 (1) and (2) the *left C -Gorenstein global dimension* and *right C -Gorenstein global dimension* of R and S respectively, and denote them by $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-gldim}$ and $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-gldim}^{\mathrm{op}}$ respectively.

A well-known open question is whether or when a Gorenstein projective module is Gorenstein flat. The C -version of this question is also meaningful. As an application of Theorem 5.4, we get the following result.

Theorem 5.14. *If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then $\mathcal{GP}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$:*

- (1) $\mathrm{fd}_{R^{\mathrm{op}}} E' < \infty$ for any $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\mathrm{op}})$.
- (2) $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-gldim}^{\mathrm{op}} < \infty$.

Proof. (1) Let $G \in \mathcal{GP}_C(R)$ and let

$$(5.2) \quad \cdots \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow G^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G^i \rightarrow \cdots$$

be a $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_C(R))$ -exact exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod} R$ with all P_i projective and all G^i in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$, such that $G \cong \mathrm{Im}(P_0 \rightarrow G^0)$. Let $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\mathrm{op}})$. By Lemma 2.9, we have that each G^i is in E'^{\top} . Since $\mathrm{fd}_{R^{\mathrm{op}}} E' < \infty$ by assumption, using dimension shifting it is easy to see that the image of each homomorphism in the exact sequence (5.2) is also in E'^{\top} . It follows that (5.2) is $(E' \otimes_R -)$ -exact, and thus $G \in \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$.

(2) If $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-gldim}^{\mathrm{op}} < \infty$, then by Theorem 5.4 (2), we have $\mathrm{fd}_{R^{\mathrm{op}}} E' \leq \mathrm{pd}_{R^{\mathrm{op}}} E' < \infty$ for any $E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\mathrm{op}})$, and thus the assertion follows from (1). \square

We need the following easy observation.

Lemma 5.15. *It holds that*

- (1) *a module $M \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ if and only if $M^+ \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\mathrm{op}})$;*

- (2) if S is a right Noetherian ring, then a module $N \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$ if and only if $N^+ \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$.

Proof. (1) It follows from [27, Theorem 4.17 (1)].

(2) Let $N \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$. If $N \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$, then $N^+ \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ by [39, Lemma 2.3 (2)]. Conversely, if $N^+ \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$, then $N^{++} \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$ by (1). Since N is a pure submodule of N^{++} by [15, Corollary 2.21 (b)], it follows from [19, Lemma 5.2 (b)] that $N \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$. \square

In the following result, we establish the relationship among some kinds of C -Gorenstein modules, in which the first assertion is the C -version of [28, Theorem 2].

Lemma 5.16. *The following hold:*

- (1) If S is a right Noetherian ring, then $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R) = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_C(R)$, with equality when $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } X < \infty$ for any $X \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$.
- (3) Assume that one of the following conditions is satisfied:
 - (3.1) S is a right Noetherian ring and $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } X < \infty$ for any $X \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$.
 - (3.2) R is a left Noetherian ring and S is a right Noetherian ring with $\text{id}_R C < \infty$.

Then

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R) = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R) = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R).$$

Proof. Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$, and let

$$(5.3) \quad \cdots \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow Q^0 \rightarrow Q^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q^i \rightarrow \cdots$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod } R$ with all P_i projective and all Q^i in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$, such that $M \cong \text{Im}(P_0 \rightarrow Q^0)$. Set $M^i := \text{Im}(Q^i \rightarrow Q^{i+1})$ for any $i \geq 0$.

(1) Suppose $M \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R)$. In this case, the exact sequence (5.3) may be assumed to be $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{F}_C(R))$ -exact. Since $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+ \subseteq \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ by Lemma 5.15 (2), the exact sequence (5.3) is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+)$ -exact, and hence $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes -)$ -exact. This yields $M \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R)$.

Conversely, suppose $M \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R)$. In this case, the exact sequence (5.3) may be assumed to be $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes -)$ -exact. By Lemma 5.15 and [6, Theorem A.6], as part of (5.3), the complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0$$

is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{F}_C(R))$ -exact, which implies that the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is also $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{F}_C(R))$ -exact. Thus $M \in {}^\perp \mathcal{F}_C(R)$.

Consider the $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes -)$ -exact (equivalently $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+)$ -exact) exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q^0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Let

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_i^0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1^0 \rightarrow P_0^0 \rightarrow Q^0 \rightarrow 0$$

be a projective resolution of Q^0 in $\text{Mod } R$. It is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+)$ -exact by [34, Lemma 4.13]. Then, according to [24, Theorem 3.6], we get the following $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+)$ -exact (equivalently $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes -)$ -exact) exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_i \oplus P_{i+1}^0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \oplus P_1^0 \rightarrow P_0^0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow 0,$$

which is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{F}_C(R))$ -exact by Lemma 5.15 and [6, Theorem A.6] again. This yields $M^0 \in {}^\perp \mathcal{F}_C(R)$. Similarly, we get $M^i \in {}^\perp \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ for any $i \geq 1$. It follows that the exact sequence (5.3) is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{F}_C(R))$ -exact, and thus $M \in \mathcal{SGF}_C(R)$.

(2) It is trivial that $\mathcal{SGF}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_C(R)$. Conversely, let $M \in \mathcal{GP}_C(R)$. In this case, the exact sequence (5.3) may be assumed to be $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_C(R))$ -exact. Then $M \in {}^\perp \mathcal{P}_C(R)$. Suppose $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } X < \infty$ for any $X \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$. Then $M \in {}^\perp \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ by dimension shifting. Note that all M^i are in $\mathcal{GP}_C(R)$ by [30, Corollary 2.10]. Then, similarly, we get $M^i \in {}^\perp \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ for any $i \geq 0$. It follows that the exact sequence (5.3) is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{F}_C(R))$ -exact, and thus $M \in \mathcal{SGF}_C(R)$.

(3) It is trivial that $\mathcal{PGF}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$. So, the case for (3.1) follows immediately from (1) and (2). On the other hand, when R is a left Noetherian ring with $\text{id}_R C < \infty$, it follows from [39, Corollary 3.2] and [3, Theorem 1.1] that $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } X < \infty$ for any $X \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$, and thus the case for (3.2) follows from the former assertion. \square

We write

$$\text{splcfc } R := \sup\{\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } M \mid M \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)\}.$$

Lemma 5.17. *If S is a right Noetherian ring, then*

$$\text{G}_C\text{-pd}_R M \leq \text{splcfc } R$$

for any $M \in \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$.

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$. Then there exists an $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes_R -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$(5.4) \quad \cdots \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F^j \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all P_i projective and all F^j in $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$, such that $M \cong \text{Im}(P_0 \rightarrow F^0)$.

Suppose $\text{spclfc } R = t < \infty$. Then for any $j \geq 0$, we have $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd $F^j \leq t$, and hence $F^j \in \overline{\text{res}_{\mathcal{P}_C(R)} \mathcal{P}_C(R)}$ by Lemma 4.3. On the other hand, by Lemma 5.16 (3.1), we have

$$P_i \in \mathcal{GP}_C(R) = \mathcal{SGF}_C(R) \subseteq \overline{\text{cores}_{\mathcal{F}_C(R)} \mathcal{P}_C(R)}$$

for any $i \geq 0$. Then by Theorem 3.3 (2), we get the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_t & \longrightarrow & K_t^0 & \longrightarrow & K_t^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & K_t^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_{t-1} & \longrightarrow & G_{t-1}^0 & \longrightarrow & G_{t-1}^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & G_{t-1}^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & G_1^0 & \longrightarrow & G_1^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & G_1^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & G_0^0 & \longrightarrow & G_0^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & G_0^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & F^0 & \longrightarrow & F^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F^j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all G_i^j in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$, such that the middle t rows are $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{F}_C(R))$ -exact and all columns but the leftmost one are $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{P}_C(R), -)$ -exact. By Lemma 5.15 (2), the middle t rows are $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^+)$ -exact, equivalently

$(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes_R -)$ -exact. The exact sequence (5.4) implies that the leftmost column and the bottom row in this diagram are $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes_R -)$ -exact. Notice that all G_i^j and F^j are in $\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$ by Lemma 2.9, so all columns starting with the second column in the above diagram are $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes_R -)$ -exact by dimension shifting. Thus we conclude that all columns in this diagram are $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes_R -)$ -exact, and hence the top row is also $(\mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}}) \otimes_R -)$ -exact.

Since $M \in \mathcal{GF}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$, we have $K_t \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$. Since $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd $F^j \leq t$ for any $j \geq 0$, it follows from Lemma 4.2 (2) (with $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{P}_C(R)$) and [25, Theorem 3.8 (1)] that all K_t^j are in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$, and thus $K_t \in \mathcal{PGF}_C(R)$. Thus by Lemma 5.16 (3.1), we have $K_t \in \mathcal{GP}_C(R)$ and $\text{G}_C\text{-pd}_R M \leq t$. \square

In the following result, assertions (1) and (2) are the C -versions of [5, Corollary 1.2 (1)] and part of [7, Theorem 3.3] respectively.

Theorem 5.18. *It holds that*

- (1) $\sup\{\text{G}_C\text{-fd}_R M \mid M \in \text{Mod } R\} \leq \max\{\text{G}_C\text{-gldim}, \text{G}_C\text{-gldim}^{\text{op}}\}$;
- (2) *if S is a right Noetherian ring, then*

$$\text{G}_C\text{-gldim} \leq \sup\{\text{G}_C\text{-fd}_R M \mid M \in \text{Mod } R\} + \text{spclfc } R.$$

Proof. (1) Suppose $\max\{\text{G}_C\text{-gldim}, \text{G}_C\text{-gldim}^{\text{op}}\} = n < \infty$. Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$. Then $\text{G}_C\text{-pd}_R M \leq n$ and there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all G_i in $\mathcal{GP}_C(R)$. By Theorem 5.14, we have that all G_i are in $\mathcal{GF}_C(R)$, and thus $\text{G}_C\text{-fd}_R M \leq n$. The assertion follows.

(2) Suppose $\sup\{\text{G}_C\text{-fd}_R M \mid M \in \text{Mod } R\} = s < \infty$ and $\text{spclfc } R = t < \infty$. Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ and let

$$0 \rightarrow G_s \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod } R$ with all G_i in $\mathcal{GF}_C(R)$. By Lemma 5.17, we have $\text{G}_C\text{-pd}_R G_i \leq t$ for any $0 \leq i \leq s$. By [27, Theorem 3.2 and Remark 4.4 (3)(a)], it is easy to get $\text{G}_C\text{-pd}_R M \leq s + t$, and thus $\text{G}_C\text{-gldim} \leq s + t$. \square

§5.4. Finite injective dimension

Lemma 5.19. *The following hold:*

- (1) *Let R be a left Noetherian ring. Then we have*

$$(1.1) \quad \text{id}_R C = \sup\{\text{fd}_{R^{\text{op}}} E' \mid E' \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})\} = \sup\{\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } I' \mid I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})\};$$

(1.2) if $\text{id}_R C = n < \infty$ and $M \in \text{Mod } R$ with $\mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-pd } M < \infty$, then $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } M \leq n$.

(2) Let S be a right Noetherian ring. Then we have

(2.1) $\text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} C = \sup\{\text{fd}_S E \mid E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)\} = \sup\{\mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-pd } I \mid I \in \mathcal{I}(R)\}$;

(2.2) if $\text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} C = n < \infty$ and $N \in \text{Mod } S^{\text{op}}$ with $\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } N < \infty$, then $\mathcal{P}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } N \leq n$.

Proof. (1) In (1.1), the first equality follows from [22, Lemma 17.2.4 (2)], and the second one follows from Lemma 2.6 (2).

(1.2) Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ with $\mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-pd } M = m < \infty$. By Lemma 4.3, there exists an exact sequence

$$(5.5) \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} G_i \xrightarrow{f_i} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} G_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} G_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all G_i in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$, such that $\text{Im } f_m \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$. By [38, Proposition 3.4 (1)], we have that $\text{Im } f_m$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of a direct limit of a family of modules in which each is a finite direct sum of copies of ${}_R C$. Then $\text{id}_R \text{Im } f_m \leq \text{id}_R C = n$ by [3, Theorem 1.1].

We claim that $m \leq n$. Otherwise, if $m > n$, then $\text{Ext}_R^m(M, \text{Im } f_m) = 0$. Notice that $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(G_i, \text{Im } f_m) = 0$ for any $i \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.7 (2), so applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, \text{Im } f_m)$ to the exact sequence (5.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Im } f_{m-1}, \text{Im } f_m) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^2(\text{Im } f_{m-2}, \text{Im } f_m) \cong \cdots \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^{m-1}(\text{Im } f_1, \text{Im } f_m) \cong \text{Ext}_R^m(M, \text{Im } f_m) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im } f_m \rightarrow G_{m-1} \rightarrow \text{Im } f_{m-1} \rightarrow 0$$

splits and $G_{m-1} \cong \text{Im } f_m \oplus \text{Im } f_{m-1}$. Then $\text{Im } f_{m-1} \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ by [19, Proposition 5.1 (b)], and thus $\mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-pd } M \leq m - 1$, which is a contradiction. The claim is proved. Then $\text{Im } f_{n+1} \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$. By using an argument similar to above, we get $\text{Im } f_n \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } M \leq n$.

(2) It is the symmetric version of (1). □

Let R be a left Noetherian ring and S a right Noetherian ring. By [23, Theorem 2.7], we have that $\text{id}_R C = \text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} C$ if both of them are finite. In the following result, we give some equivalent characterizations for the finiteness of $\text{id}_R C$ and $\text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} C$ in terms of the properties of the projective and injective dimensions of modules relative to some classes of C -Gorenstein modules. It is the C -version of [27, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 5.20. *Let R be a left Noetherian ring and S a right Noetherian ring. Then for any $n \geq 0$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{id}_R C = \text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} C \leq n$.
 - (2) $\text{G}_C\text{-pd}_R M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.
 - (3) $\text{G}_C\text{-id}_S N \leq n$ for any $N \in \text{Mod } S$.
 - (4) $\text{G}_C\text{-fd}_R M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.
 - (5) $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-pd } M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.
 - (6) $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-pd } M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.
- (i)^{op} *Symmetric version of (i) with $2 \leq i \leq 6$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 5.19 (1.1)(2.1), we have (1) \Leftrightarrow (4). By Theorem 5.4 (1), we have (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). By Lemma 5.16 (1)(2), we have (6) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1). By (2) and Theorem 5.4 (1), we have $\text{id}_R C \leq n$ and $\text{fd}_S E \leq \text{pd}_S E \leq n$ for any $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)$. By Lemma 5.19 (2.1), we have $\text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} C \leq n$.

(1) + (4) \Rightarrow (5). By (4) and Theorem 5.2, we have that $\mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-pd } I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ and that $\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})\text{-pd } I' \leq n$ for any $I' \in \mathcal{I}(S^{\text{op}})$. It follows from (1) and Lemma 5.19 (1.2) that $\mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-pd } I \leq n$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 5.6 (1).

By symmetry, the proof is finished. \square

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 5.20.

Corollary 5.21. *Let R be a left Noetherian ring and S a right Noetherian ring with $\text{id}_R C = \text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} C < \infty$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (1) $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R) = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R) = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_C(R) = {}^\perp\mathcal{P}_C(R) = {}^\perp\mathcal{F}_C(R)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}_C(S) = \mathcal{I}_C(S)^\perp$.
- (3) $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R) = \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 5.16 (3.2), we have $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R) = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R) = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_C(R)$. By Theorem 5.20, we have $\text{G}_C\text{-pd}_R M < \infty$ and $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-pd } M < \infty$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$. It follows from Proposition 4.7 that $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_C(R) = {}^\perp\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_C(R) = {}^\perp\mathcal{F}_C(R)$.

(2) By Theorem 5.20, we have $\text{G}_C\text{-id}_S N < \infty$ for any $N \in \text{Mod } S$. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 4.12.

(3) Let $\text{id}_R C = \text{id}_{S^{\text{op}}} C = n < \infty$ and let $M \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})^\top$. By Theorem 5.20, we have $\text{G}_C\text{-id}_{R^{\text{op}}} M^+ \leq n$. Let $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\text{op}})$. It follows from [15, Lemma 2.16 (b)]

that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{R^{\mathrm{op}}}^i(E, M^+) \cong [\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(E, M)]^+ = 0$$

for any $i \geq 1$, that is, $M^+ \in \mathcal{I}_C(R^{\mathrm{op}})^\perp$. Then $M^+ \in \mathcal{GI}_C(R^{\mathrm{op}})$ by the symmetric version of (2), and thus $M \in \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$ by [27, Theorem 4.17 (2)]. \square

Recall that a left and right Noetherian ring R is called n -Gorenstein with $n \geq 0$ if $\mathrm{id}_R R = \mathrm{id}_{R^{\mathrm{op}}} R \leq n$. The Wakamatsu tilting conjecture states that if R and S are artin algebras, then the left and right injective dimensions of ${}_R C_S$ are identical ([4]). It still remains open. The following result provides some support for this conjecture.

Theorem 5.22. *It holds that*

- (1) *if R is an n -Gorenstein ring, then $\mathrm{id}_R C \leq n$ if and only if $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-pd}_R M \leq n$ for any $M \in \mathrm{Mod} R$;*
- (2) *if S is an n -Gorenstein ring, then $\mathrm{id}_{S^{\mathrm{op}}} C \leq n$ if and only if $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-pd}_{S^{\mathrm{op}}} N \leq n$ for any $N \in \mathrm{Mod} S^{\mathrm{op}}$;*
- (3) *if R and S are Gorenstein rings, then $\mathrm{id}_R C = \mathrm{id}_{S^{\mathrm{op}}} C$.*

Proof. (1) We first prove the sufficiency. Let $M \in \mathrm{Mod} R$. Then $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-pd}_R M \leq n$ and there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\mathrm{Mod} R$ with all G_i in $\mathcal{GP}_C(R)$. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, C)$ to it yields

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^{n+i}(M, C) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(G_n, C) = 0$$

for any $i \geq 1$, and thus $\mathrm{id}_R C \leq n$.

In the following, we prove the necessity. Let $M \in \mathrm{Mod} R$ and let

$$0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod} R$ with all P_i projective. By dimension shifting, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(K_n, X) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^{n+i}(M, X) = 0$$

for any $X \in \mathrm{Mod} R$ with $\mathrm{id}_R X \leq n$ and $i \geq 1$. Since $\mathrm{id}_R C \leq n$, we have $\mathrm{id}_R Q \leq n$ for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ by [3, Theorem 1.1], and so $K_n \in {}^\perp \mathcal{P}_C(R)$.

Since R is an n -Gorenstein ring, the Gorenstein projective dimension of M is at most n by [27, Theorem 1.2], and hence K_n is Gorenstein projective. Thus there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P^i \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all P^i in $\mathcal{P}(R)$. Since $\mathcal{P}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_C(R)$, there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_C(R))$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P^i \rightarrow Q_0^i \rightarrow Q_1^i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q_j^i \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$ with all Q_j^i in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ for any $i, j \geq 0$. By [24, Theorem 3.8], we get the following exact sequence:

$$(5.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow Q_0^0 \xrightarrow{f^1} Q_1^0 \oplus Q_0^1 \xrightarrow{f^2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f^m} \bigoplus_{i=0}^m Q_{m-i}^i \xrightarrow{f^{m+1}} \cdots$$

in $\text{Mod } R$. By [19, Proposition 5.1 (b)], we have all $\bigoplus_{i=0}^m Q_{m-i}^i$ are in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$. Then we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Im } f^m, Q) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(\text{Im } f^{m+n}, Q) = 0$$

for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ and $m \geq 1$, which implies that the exact sequence (5.6) is $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_C(R))$ -exact. Thus $K_n \in \mathcal{GP}_C(R)$ and $\text{G}_C\text{-pd}_R M \leq n$.

(2) It is the symmetric version of (1).

(3) Suppose $\text{id}_R C < \infty$. In this case, we may suppose that R is an n -Gorenstein ring and $\text{id}_R C \leq n$ for some $n \geq 0$. By (1) and Theorem 5.20, we have $\text{id}_{\text{Sop}} C = \text{id}_R C \leq n$. Symmetrically, if $\text{id}_{\text{Sop}} C < \infty$, then $\text{id}_R C = \text{id}_{\text{Sop}} C$ by (2) and Theorem 5.20. \square

Acknowledgments

The research was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12371038, 12171207). The authors thank the referee for very detailed and useful suggestions.

References

- [1] T. Araya, R. Takahashi and Y. Yoshino, [Homological invariants associated to semi-dualizing bimodules](#), J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **45** (2005), 287–306. [Zbl 1096.16001](#) [MR 2161693](#)
- [2] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 94, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1969. [Zbl 0204.36402](#) [MR 0269685](#)
- [3] H. Bass, [Injective dimension in Noetherian rings](#), Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1962), 18–29. [Zbl 0126.06503](#) [MR 0138644](#)
- [4] A. Beligiannis and I. Reiten, [Homological and homotopical aspects of torsion theories](#), Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **188** (2007), no. 883, viii+207. [Zbl 1124.18005](#) [MR 2327478](#)
- [5] D. Bennis and N. Mahdou, [Global Gorenstein dimensions](#), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 461–465. [Zbl 1205.16007](#) [MR 2557164](#)
- [6] D. Bravo, J. Gillespie and M. Hovey, [The stable module category of a general ring](#), 2014, [arXiv:1405.5768v1](#)

- [7] L. W. Christensen, S. Estrada and P. Thompson, [Gorenstein weak global dimension is symmetric](#), *Math. Nachr.* **294** (2021), 2121–2128. [Zbl 1537.16008](#) [MR 4371287](#)
- [8] N. Ding, Y. Li and L. Mao, [Strongly Gorenstein flat modules](#), *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **86** (2009), 323–338. [Zbl 1200.16010](#) [MR 2529328](#)
- [9] I. Emmanouil, [On the finiteness of Gorenstein homological dimensions](#), *J. Algebra* **372** (2012), 376–396. [Zbl 1286.13014](#) [MR 2990016](#)
- [10] E. E. Enochs, [Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents](#), *Israel J. Math.* **39** (1981), 189–209. [Zbl 0464.16019](#) [MR 0636889](#)
- [11] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, [Relative homological algebra. Volume 1](#), extended edn., De Gruyter Exp. Math. 30, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2011. [Zbl 1238.13001](#) [MR 2857612](#)
- [12] H.-B. Foxby, [Gorenstein modules and related modules](#), *Math. Scand.* **31** (1972), 267–284 (1973). [Zbl 0272.13009](#) [MR 0327752](#)
- [13] Z. Gao and Z. Huang, [Weak injective covers and dimension of modules](#), *Acta Math. Hungar.* **147** (2015), 135–157. [Zbl 1363.18011](#) [MR 3391518](#)
- [14] Z. Gao and T. Zhao, [Foxby equivalence relative to \$C\$ -weak injective and \$C\$ -weak flat modules](#), *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **54** (2017), 1457–1482. [Zbl 1393.18008](#) [MR 3691933](#)
- [15] R. Göbel and J. Trlifaj, [Approximations and endomorphism algebras of modules. Volume 1](#), extended edn., De Gruyter Exp. Math. 41, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012. [Zbl 1292.16001](#) [MR 2985554](#)
- [16] E. S. Golod, [\$G\$ -dimension and generalized perfect ideals \(Russian\)](#), *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **165** (1984), 62–66. [Zbl 0577.13008](#) [MR 0752933](#)
- [17] H. Holm, [Gorenstein homological dimensions](#), *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **189** (2004), 167–193. [Zbl 1050.16003](#) [MR 2038564](#)
- [18] H. Holm and P. Jørgensen, [Semi-dualizing modules and related Gorenstein homological dimensions](#), *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **205** (2006), 423–445. [Zbl 1094.13021](#) [MR 2203625](#)
- [19] H. Holm and D. White, [Foxby equivalence over associative rings.](#), *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **47** (2007), 781–808. [Zbl 1154.16007](#) [MR 2413065](#)
- [20] M. Hoshino, [Algebras of finite self-injective dimension](#), *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **112** (1991), 619–622. [Zbl 0737.16003](#) [MR 1047011](#)
- [21] C. Huang and Z. Huang, [Torsionfree dimension of modules and self-injective dimension of rings](#), *Osaka J. Math.* **49** (2012), 21–35. [Zbl 1244.16007](#) [MR 2903252](#)
- [22] Z. Huang, [Wakamatsu tilting modules, \$U\$ -dominant dimension, and \$k\$ -Gorenstein modules](#), in *Abelian groups, rings, modules, and homological algebra*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 249, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006, 183–202. [Zbl 1102.16006](#) [MR 2229112](#)
- [23] Z. Huang, [Generalized tilting modules with finite injective dimension](#), *J. Algebra* **311** (2007), 619–634. [Zbl 1130.16008](#) [MR 2314727](#)
- [24] Z. Huang, [Proper resolutions and Gorenstein categories](#), *J. Algebra* **393** (2013), 142–169. [Zbl 1291.18022](#) [MR 3090064](#)
- [25] Z. Huang, [Homological dimensions relative to preresolving subcategories](#), *Kyoto J. Math.* **54** (2014), 727–757. [Zbl 1408.18035](#) [MR 3276415](#)
- [26] Z. Huang, [Duality pairs induced by Auslander and Bass classes](#), *Georgian Math. J.* **28** (2021), 867–882. [Zbl 1481.18019](#) [MR 4343132](#)
- [27] Z. Huang, [Homological dimensions relative to preresolving subcategories II](#), *Forum Math.* **34** (2022), 507–530. [Zbl 1524.18028](#) [MR 4388349](#)
- [28] A. Iacob, [Projectively coresolved Gorenstein flat and Ding projective modules](#), *Comm. Algebra* **48** (2020), 2883–2893. [Zbl 1479.16004](#) [MR 4115324](#)
- [29] Y.-N. Li and Z. Huang, [Homological dimensions under Foxby equivalence](#), *Kodai Math. J.* **48** (2025), 11–33. [Zbl 08045493](#) [MR 4888201](#)

- [30] Z. Liu, Z. Huang and A. Xu, [Gorenstein projective dimension relative to a semidualizing bimodule](#), *Comm. Algebra* **41** (2013), 1–18. [Zbl 1287.16015](#) [MR 3010518](#)
- [31] F. Mantese and I. Reiten, [Wakamatsu tilting modules](#), *J. Algebra* **278** (2004), 532–552. [Zbl 1075.16006](#) [MR 2071651](#)
- [32] J. J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, second edn., Universitext, Springer, New York, 2009. [Zbl 1157.18001](#) [MR 2455920](#)
- [33] J. Šaroch and J. Šťovíček, [Singular compactness and definability for \$\Sigma\$ -cotorsion and Gorenstein modules](#), *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **26** (2020), article no. 23. [Zbl 1444.16010](#) [MR 4076700](#)
- [34] W. Song, T. Zhao and Z. Huang, [Duality pairs induced by one-sided Gorenstein subcategories](#), *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **43** (2020), 1989–2007. [Zbl 1432.18012](#) [MR 4061463](#)
- [35] X. Tang and Z. Huang, [Homological aspects of the dual Auslander transpose](#), *Forum Math.* **27** (2015), 3717–3743. [Zbl 1405.16004](#) [MR 3420357](#)
- [36] X. Tang and Z. Huang, [Coreflexive modules and semidualizing modules with finite projective dimension](#), *Taiwanese J. Math.* **21** (2017), 1283–1324. [Zbl 1401.18037](#) [MR 3732907](#)
- [37] X. Tang and Z. Huang, [Homological aspects of the adjoint cotranspose](#), *Colloq. Math.* **150** (2017), 293–311. [Zbl 1397.18032](#) [MR 3719463](#)
- [38] X. Tang and Z. Huang, [Homological aspects of the dual Auslander transpose, II](#), *Kyoto J. Math.* **57** (2017), 17–53. [Zbl 1394.16003](#) [MR 3621778](#)
- [39] X. Tang and Z. Huang, [Homological invariants related to semidualizing bimodules](#), *Colloq. Math.* **156** (2019), 135–151. [Zbl 1446.16002](#) [MR 3911706](#)
- [40] T. Wakamatsu, [On modules with trivial self-extensions](#), *J. Algebra* **114** (1988), 106–114. [Zbl 0646.16025](#) [MR 0931903](#)
- [41] T. Wakamatsu, [Stable equivalence for self-injective algebras and a generalization of tilting modules](#), *J. Algebra* **134** (1990), 298–325. [Zbl 0726.16009](#) [MR 1074331](#)
- [42] T. Wakamatsu, [Tilting modules and Auslander’s Gorenstein property](#), *J. Algebra* **275** (2004), 3–39. [Zbl 1076.16006](#) [MR 2047438](#)
- [43] D. White, [Gorenstein projective dimension with respect to a semidualizing module](#), *J. Commut. Algebra* **2** (2010), 111–137. [Zbl 1237.13029](#) [MR 2607104](#)