

# Blow-up and Polynomial Decay of Solutions for a Viscoelastic Equation with a Nonlinear Source

*Yangping Xiong*

**Abstract.** In this paper we investigate the blow-up and decay phenomenon of solutions for a viscoelastic equation with a nonlinear source. Even for vanishing initial energy, we show the solution blows up in finite time. We also prove the solution decays under suitable conditions.

**Keywords.** Blow up, polynomially decay, viscoelastic

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** Primary 74D05, secondary 45K05, 35L15, 35B40

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following viscoelastic equation

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \Delta u(\tau) d\tau = |u|^\gamma u, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $u_0, u_1$  are two compactly supported functions and  $g$  is a positive nonincreasing function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . A special case without  $|u|^\gamma u$  was considered in [4], where it is shown that the energy of the solution decays exponentially and polynomially. There are many literatures regarding similar equations. For example, Messaoudi [3] and Tatar [6] considered

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \Delta u(\tau) d\tau + u_t |u_t|^{m-2} = |u|^{p-2} u, & u, x \in \Omega, t > 0 \\ u(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, & t \geq 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

---

Y. Xiong: Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, P. R. China; xiongyangping@gmail.com

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Zhou [1, 7–10] showed the blow-up, global existence and nonexistence of solutions to related equations. Recently, the asymptotic behavior for the wave equation was discussed in [5].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some preliminary results. Then, some blow-up criteria will be established in Section 3. In Section 4, we discuss the polynomial decay for this equation.

In this paper, we use  $\|\cdot\|_p$  to denote the  $L^p$ -norm.

## 2. Preliminaries

First, we define the corresponding energy to problem (1) as

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{\gamma + 2}\|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}, \quad (2)$$

here

$$\begin{aligned} (g \circ v)(t) &= \int_0^t g(t - \tau)\|v(t) - v(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau, \\ E'(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{2}g(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Hence, we can deduce that  $E(t) \leq E(0)$ .

Then, we denote:

(H1)  $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a differentiable function such that

$$1 - \int_0^\infty g(\tau)d\tau = l > 0, \quad t \geq 0.$$

(H2) There exists  $a > 0$  such that

$$g'(t) \leq -ag(t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *If we assume that  $\gamma < \frac{2}{n-2}$ , there exists a positive constant  $C > 1$  (throughout this paper,  $C$  denotes a generic positive constant, it may be different from line to line), such that*

$$\|u\|_{\gamma+2}^s \leq C (\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}), \quad (5)$$

with  $2 \leq s \leq \gamma+2$ , for any  $u$  being a solution to (1) on  $[0, T)$ . And consequently,

$$\|u\|_{\gamma+2}^s \leq C (H(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \|\nabla u\|_2^2),$$

with  $2 \leq s \leq \gamma + 2$  on  $[0, T)$  and here  $H(t) := -E(t)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\|u\|_{\gamma+2} \leq 1$ , we have  $\|u\|_{\gamma+2}^s \leq \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^2 \leq B^2 \|\nabla u\|_2^2$  is true. When  $\|u\|_{\gamma+2} > 1$ , we get  $\|u\|_{\gamma+2}^s \leq \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}$ . (5) follows from the definition of energy corresponding to the solution.  $\square$

The supremum of all  $T$  for which the solution exists on  $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is called the lifespan of the solution of (1). The lifespan is denoted by  $T^*$ . If  $T^* = \infty$ , we say the solution is global, while it is nonglobal if  $T^* < \infty$ , and we say that the solution blows up in finite time.

### 3. Blow-up phenomenon

Before presenting our blow-up criteria, let us recall the lemma first:

**Lemma 3.1** ([2]). *Suppose that  $\psi(t)$  is a twice continuously differential function satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \psi''(t) \geq C_0 \psi^{1+\alpha(t)}, & t > 0, C_0 > 0, \alpha > 0, \\ \psi(0) > 0, \psi'(0) > 0. \end{cases}$$

*Then  $\psi(t)$  blows up in finite time. Moreover, the blow-up time can be estimated explicitly.*

Just as in [9], the first main theorem in this section reads:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume that both of (H1) and (H2) hold,  $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{n-2}$ , if  $n > 2$ ;  $0 < \gamma$ , if  $n = 1, 2$ . Suppose  $\int_0^\infty g(\tau)d\tau < \frac{2\gamma}{2\gamma+1}$ . Then for any initial data  $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with compact support satisfying  $E(0) \leq 0$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0 u_1 dx > 0$ , the corresponding solution blows up in finite time.*

*Proof.* Defining  $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^2 dx$ , choosing suitable  $\delta > 0$  and differentiating twice, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi''(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{tt} u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_t|^2 dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u(t) \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \nabla u(\tau) d\tau dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\gamma+2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_t|^2 dx \tag{6} \\ &\geq \left( -1 - \delta + \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{4\delta} \left( \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\gamma+2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_t|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u(t) \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d\tau dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u(t) \int_0^t g(t-\tau) (\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(\tau)) d\tau dx + \left( \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\geq -\delta \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{4\delta} \left( \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \left( \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we exploit (2) to substitute for  $\|\nabla u\|_2^2$ , thus (6) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi''(t) &\geq -2 \frac{(1 + \delta - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)}{(1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)} E(t) + \left[ \frac{(1 + \delta - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)}{(1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)} + 1 \right] \|u_t\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \left[ 1 - \frac{(1 + \delta - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)}{(1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)} \cdot \frac{2}{\gamma + 2} \right] \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \tag{7} \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{(1 + \delta - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)}{(1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)} - \frac{1}{4\delta} \left( \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \right] (g \circ \nabla u)(t). \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\frac{(1 + \delta - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)}{(1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)} - \frac{1}{4\delta} \left( \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \geq 0, \quad 1 - \frac{(1 + \delta - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)}{(1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau)} \cdot \frac{2}{\gamma + 2} > 0,$$

inequality (7) becomes into  $\psi''(t) \geq \lambda \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}$ .

Since  $\text{supp}\{u_0(x), u_1(x)\} \subset B(L)$ , it follows that

$$\psi''(t) \geq \lambda 2^{\frac{\gamma+2}{2}} \psi^{\frac{\gamma+2}{2}}(t) (W_n)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} (t+L)^{-\frac{n\gamma}{2}},$$

where  $W_n$  is the volume of the unit ball. Then by Lemma 3.1, we see that the solution blows up in finite time.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume that both of (H1) and (H2) hold;  $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{n-2}$ , if  $n > 2$ ;  $0 < \gamma$ , if  $n = 1, 2$ . Suppose  $\int_0^\infty g(\tau) d\tau < \frac{\frac{\gamma+2}{2}-1}{\frac{\gamma+2}{2}-1+\frac{1}{2(\gamma+2)}}$  and  $E(0) < 0$ , then the solution blows up in finite time.*

*Proof.* By the definition

$$H(t) = -E(t) \quad \text{and} \quad H'(t) = -\frac{1}{2}(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{1}{2}g(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \geq 0,$$

we have  $0 < H(0) \leq H(t) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}$ . Moreover, we also define

$$L(t) = H^{1-\alpha}(t) + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx,$$

for  $\epsilon$  small to be choose later and  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{\gamma}{2(\gamma+2)}$ .

By differentiating the above equality and applying Young and Schwarz inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 L'(t) &= (1 - \alpha)H^{-\alpha}(t)H'(t) + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_t|^2 dx + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_{tt} dx, \\
 &= (1 - \alpha)H^{-\alpha}(t) \left( -\frac{1}{2}(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{1}{2}g(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_t|^2 dx \\
 &\quad + \epsilon \left( - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u(t) \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \nabla u(\tau) d\tau dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\gamma+2} dx \right) \\
 &\geq \epsilon \|u_t\|_2^2 - \epsilon \left( 1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
 &\quad + \epsilon \left[ (\gamma + 2)H(t) + \frac{\gamma + 2}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma + 2}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\gamma + 2}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \right] - \epsilon \delta (g \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{\epsilon}{4\delta} \left( \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
 &= \epsilon \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma + 2}{2} \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 + \epsilon (\gamma + 2)H(t) + \epsilon \left( \frac{\gamma + 2}{2} - \delta \right) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
 &\quad + \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma + 2}{2} - 1 \right) - \left( \frac{\gamma + 2}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{4\delta} \right) \left( \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \right] \|\nabla u\|_2^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

According to the hypothesis in Theorem 3.3 and choosing  $0 < \delta < \frac{\gamma+2}{2}$ , such that

$$\frac{\gamma + 2}{2} - \delta > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{\gamma + 2}{2} - 1 \right) - \left( \frac{\gamma + 2}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{4\delta} \right) \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau > 0,$$

we can deduce that

$$L'(t) \geq C[H(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + (g \circ \nabla u)(t)].$$

Thanks to Hölder and Young inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} &\leq \|u\|_2^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \|u_t\|_2^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \\
 &\leq C \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \|u_t\|_2^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \\
 &\leq C (\|u\|_{\gamma+2}^s + \|u_t\|_2^2) \\
 &\leq C (\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} + \|u_t\|_2^2) \\
 &\leq C (H(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \|\nabla u\|_2^2),
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where  $2 \leq s = \frac{2}{1-2\alpha} \leq \gamma + 2$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(t) &= \left( H^{1-\alpha}(t) + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \left( H(t) + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \right) \\ &\leq C \left( H(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $L'(t) \geq \lambda L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(t)$ , where  $\lambda$  is a constant depending on  $C$  and  $\epsilon$ . Therefore  $L(t) = \left( L^{\frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(0) + \frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \lambda t \right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}$ . So  $L(t)$  goes to infinite as  $t$  tends to  $\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha \lambda L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(0)}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume that both of (H1) and (H2) hold, additionally,*

$$\|u_0\|_{\gamma+2} > \lambda_0 \equiv B_0^{\frac{-2}{\gamma}} \quad \text{and} \quad E(0) < E_0 = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \right) B_0^{\frac{-2(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}}.$$

Then

$$\|u\|_{\gamma+2} > \lambda_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u\|_2 > B_0^{\frac{-(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

where  $B_0 = \frac{B}{l^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  for  $\|u\|_{\gamma+2} \leq B\|\nabla u\|_2$ .

*Proof.* From (2) and the hypothesis, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \\ &\geq \frac{l}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \geq \frac{1}{2B_0^2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^2 - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $h(\xi) = \frac{1}{2B_0^2} \xi^2 - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \xi^{\gamma+2}$ ,  $\xi \geq 0$  and  $h(\xi)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} h(\xi) \text{ is strictly increasing on } [0, \lambda_0) \\ h(\xi) \text{ takes its maximum value } \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \right) B_0^{\frac{-2(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}} \text{ at } \lambda_0 \\ h(\xi) \text{ is strictly decreasing on } (\lambda_0, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Since  $E_0 > E(0) \geq E(t) \geq h(\|u\|_{\gamma+2})$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , there is no time  $t^*$  such that  $\|u(\cdot, t^*)\|_{\gamma+2} = \lambda_0$ . By the continuity of the  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\gamma+2}$ -norm with respect to the time variable, one has  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\gamma+2} > \lambda_0 = B_0^{\frac{-2}{\gamma}}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and consequently,

$$\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{l^{\frac{1}{2}} B_0} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\gamma+2} > \frac{1}{l^{\frac{1}{2}}} B_0^{\frac{-(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}} > B_0^{\frac{-(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}}.$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.4  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Assume that both of (H1) and (H2) hold,  $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{n-2}$ , if  $n > 2$ ;  $0 < \gamma$ , if  $n = 1, 2$ . Suppose that  $\int_0^\infty g(\tau)d\tau < \frac{\frac{\gamma+2}{2}-1}{\frac{\gamma+2}{2}-1+\frac{1}{2(\gamma+2)}}$ ,  $\|u_0\|_{\gamma+2} > \lambda_0$  and  $E(0) \leq E_0$ . Then the solution of (1) blows up in finite time.*

*Proof.* We set  $G(t) = E_0 + H(t)$ , then  $G'(t) = -\frac{1}{2}(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{1}{2}g(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \geq 0$ , from which we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < G(t) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\gamma+2}\right) B_0^{\frac{-2(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}} + H(t) \\ &< \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\gamma+2}\right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + H(t) \\ &< C(\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + H(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$F(t) = G^{1-\alpha}(t) + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx,$$

then by direct computing, one can get

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &= (1-\alpha)G^{-\alpha}(t)G'(t) + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_t|^2 dx + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_{tt} dx, \\ &= (1-\alpha)G^{-\alpha}(t) \left(-\frac{1}{2}(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{1}{2}g(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^2\right) + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_t|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \epsilon \left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u(t) \int_0^t g(t-\tau)\nabla u(\tau)d\tau + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\gamma+2} dx\right), \\ &\geq \epsilon \|u_t\|_2^2 - \epsilon \left(1 - \int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau\right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \epsilon \left[(\gamma+2)H(t) + \frac{\gamma+2}{2}\|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma+2}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau\right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \frac{\gamma+2}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)\right] - \epsilon \delta (g \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{\epsilon}{4\delta} \left(\int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau\right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &= \epsilon \left(1 + \frac{\gamma+2}{2}\right) \|u_t\|_2^2 + \epsilon(\gamma+2)H(t) + \epsilon \left(\frac{\gamma+2}{2} - \delta\right) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\quad + \epsilon \left[\left(\frac{\gamma+2}{2} - 1\right) - \left(\frac{\gamma+2}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{4\delta}\right) \left(\int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau\right)\right] \|\nabla u\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the hypothesis in this theorem and choosing  $0 < \delta < \frac{\gamma+2}{2}$ , such that

$$\frac{\gamma+2}{2} - \delta > 0, \quad \left(\frac{\gamma+2}{2} - 1\right) - \left(\frac{\gamma+2}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{4\delta}\right) \int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau > 0,$$

it follows that

$$F'(t) \geq C[H(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + (g \circ \nabla u)(t)].$$

In view of (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(t) &= \left( G^{1-\alpha}(t) + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \left( G(t) + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \right) \\ &\leq C (H(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \|\nabla u\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$F'(t) \geq \lambda F^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(t), \tag{10}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a constant depending on  $C$  and  $\epsilon$ . A simple integration of (10) over  $(0, t)$  yields  $F(t) = \left( F^{\frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(0) + \frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \lambda t \right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}$ , which shows that  $F(t)$  blows up in time  $T^* \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha \lambda F^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(0)}$ .  $\square$

### 4. Polynomial decay

For extensive studies on decay rate for the wave equations given by Zhou [8], we establish the decay rate for a solution with positive initial energy, let us consider the following four lemmas first.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume that both of (H1) and (H2) hold. Suppose  $u(x, t)$  is the solution of (1) and let*

$$\Phi_1(t) := (1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^t G(t-\tau) |u(t) - u(\tau)|^2 d\tau dx,$$

where  $G(t) := e^{-\alpha t} \int_t^\infty e^{\alpha\tau} (-g'(\tau)) d\tau$ , then for any  $\delta_1 > 0$ , the following inequality is true:

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\Phi_1(t)] \leq -(1+t)^{-1} \left\{ \left[ 1 + (1+t) \left( \alpha - \frac{\overline{G}}{\delta_1} \right) \right] \Phi_1(t) - (g' \circ u)(t) - \delta_1 \|u_t\|_2^2 \right\}, \tag{11}$$

here  $\overline{G} = \int_0^\infty G(t) dt$ .

*Proof.* Thanks to (H2), we know that for any  $\alpha < a$ ,

$$0 \leq \overline{G} = \int_0^\infty G(t) dt \leq \left( \frac{a}{a-\alpha} \right) \int_0^\infty g(t) dt < \infty.$$



By differentiating  $\Phi_1(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\Phi_1(t)] &= -(1+t)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^t G(t-\tau)|u(t)-u(\tau)|^2 d\tau dx \\ &\quad + (1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^t G'(t-\tau)|u(t)-u(\tau)|^2 d\tau dx \\ &\quad + 2(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^t G(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))u_t(t) d\tau dx \\ &= (1+t)^{-1} \left[ -\Phi_1(t) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^t G(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))u_t(t) d\tau dx \right] \\ &\quad + (1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^t [-\alpha G(t-\tau) + g'(t-\tau)] |u(t)-u(\tau)|^2 d\tau dx \\ &= -(1+t)^{-1} \Phi_1(t) - \alpha \Phi_1(t) \\ &\quad + (1+t)^{-1} \left[ (g' \circ u)(t) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_t \int_0^t G(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d\tau dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Young and Schwarz inequalities, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_t \int_0^t G(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d\tau dx \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_0^t G(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d\tau \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta_1} \int_0^t G(\tau) d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^t G(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))^2 d\tau dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\Phi_1(t)] \leq -(1+t)^{-1} \left\{ \left[ 1 + (1+t) \left( \alpha - \frac{\bar{G}}{\delta_1} \right) \right] \Phi_1(t) - (g' \circ u)(t) - \delta_1 \|u_t\|_2^2 \right\}.$$

Therefore, this completes the proof of this lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that both of (H1) and (H2) hold. Suppose  $u(x, t)$  is the solution of (1) and let*

$$\Phi_2(t) := (1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx,$$

then for any  $\delta_2 > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\Phi_2(t)] &\leq (1+t)^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{C}{4\delta_2} \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 - (1+t)^{-1} (l - C\delta_2) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \|u\|^{\gamma+2} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

is true.

*Proof.* Since  $\text{supp}\{u_0(x), u_1(x)\} \subset B(L)$ , we can get  $\|u_t\|_2 \leq C(L+t)\|\nabla u\|_2$ , which tells us that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx \leq C\|u\|_2\|u_t\|_2 \leq C(1+t)\|\nabla u\|_2\|u_t\|_2 \leq C(1+t)\left(\delta_2\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta_2}\|u_t\|_2^2\right).$$

By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\Phi_2(t)] &= (1+t)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx + (1+t)^{-1} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_t|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_{tt} dx \right] \\ &= (1+t)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx + (1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_t|^2 dx \\ &\quad + (1+t)^{-1} \left( - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u(t) \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d\tau dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\gamma+2} dx \right) \\ &\leq (1+t)^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{C}{4\delta_2} \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 - (1+t)^{-1}(l - C\delta_2)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u(t) \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d\tau dx \\ &\leq \delta_2\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)) d\tau \right|^2 dx + \bar{g}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \delta_2\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \bar{g}\|\nabla u\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (12) is established and this lemma holds. □

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume that both of (H1) and (H2) hold, and  $u(x, t)$  is the solution of (1). If we define*

$$\Phi_3(t) := -(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_t \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau))^2 d\tau dx,$$

then for any  $\delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4 > 0$ , there exists that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\Phi_3(t)] &\leq -(1+t)^{-1} \left( -C\delta_2 + \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 + 2(1+t)^{-1}\delta_3\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad - (1+t)^{-1} \frac{1}{4\delta_2}(g' \circ u)(t) + (1+t)^{-1} \left( \frac{C}{4\delta_2} + \frac{1}{2\delta_3} + 1 \right) \bar{g}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \quad (13) \\ &\quad + (1+t)^{-1}\delta_4\|u\|_{2(\gamma+1)}^{2(\gamma+1)} + (1+t)^{-1} \frac{1}{4\delta_4}(g \circ u)(t). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Similarly, differentiating  $\Phi_3(t)$  as before can lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\Phi_3(t)] &= (1+t)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_t \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))d\tau dx \\ &\quad - (1+t)^{-1} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{tt} \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))d\tau dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_t \int_0^t g'(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))d\tau dx + \left( \int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Combining with (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{tt} \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))d\tau dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau))d\tau dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_0^t g(t-\tau)\nabla u(\tau)d\tau \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau))d\tau \right] dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^\gamma u \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))d\tau dx. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Using Young and Schwarz inequalities again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_t \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))d\tau dx \\ &\leq \delta_2 \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))d\tau \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq C(1+t) \left( \delta_2 \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Applying the same method, it follows that

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau))d\tau dx \leq \delta_3 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_3} (g \circ \nabla u)(t); \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_t \int_0^t g'(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))d\tau dx \leq \delta_2 \|u_t\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{4\delta_2} (g' \circ u)(t); \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_0^t g(t-\tau)\nabla u(\tau)d\tau \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau))d\tau \right] dx \\ &\leq \bar{g}\delta_3 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4\delta_3} \right) \bar{g} (g \circ \nabla u)(t); \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^\gamma u \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau))d\tau dx \leq \delta_4 \|u\|_{2(\gamma+1)}^{2(\gamma+1)} + \frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_4} (g \circ u)(t). \end{aligned} \right. \quad (17)$$

Combining (14)–(17) and using  $\bar{g} < 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\Phi_3(t)] &\leq -(1+t)^{-1} \left( -C\delta_2 + \int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 + 2(1+t)^{-1}\delta_3\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad - (1+t)^{-1} \frac{1}{4\delta_2}(g' \circ u)(t) + (1+t)^{-1} \left( \frac{C}{4\delta_2} + \frac{1}{2\delta_3} + 1 \right) \bar{g}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\quad + (1+t)^{-1}\delta_4\|u\|_{2(\gamma+1)}^{2(\gamma+1)} + (1+t)^{-1} \frac{1}{4\delta_4}(g \circ u)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus Lemma 4.3 is proved. □

Now, the last lemma is presented as

**Lemma 4.4.** *Assume that both (H1) and (H2) hold. Let*

$$F(t) := E(t) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \Phi_i(t), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{18}$$

then

$$\xi_1 E(t) \leq F(t) \leq \xi_2 [E(t) + \Phi_1(t)], \tag{19}$$

provided any positive constants  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  are small enough.

*Proof.* We can compute directly that

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\gamma+2}\|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} + \alpha_1 \Phi_1(t) + \alpha_2(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx \\ &\quad - \alpha_3(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_t \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau))d\tau dx. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

According to Schwartz and Young inequalities, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx \leq \frac{1}{4\delta_5}\|u\|_2^2 + \delta_5\|u_t\|_2^2 \leq C(1+t) \left( \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u_t\|_2^2 \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_t \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau))d\tau dx &\leq \delta_2\|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_2}(g \circ u)(t) \\ &\leq C(1+t) \left( \frac{\bar{g}}{4}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (20) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(t) &\leq \alpha_1 \Phi_1(t) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + C(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)\right) \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_3 C \bar{g}}{2}\right) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau\right) + \frac{\alpha_2 C}{2}\right] \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{\gamma + 2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \\
 &\leq \xi_2 [E(t) + \Phi_1(t)].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{21}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(t) &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + C(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)\right) \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(l - \frac{\alpha_2 C}{2}\right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_3 C \bar{g}}{2}\right) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{\gamma + 2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \\
 &\geq \xi_1 E(t).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{22}$$

Combining (21) and (22), this completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, our result is

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let both of (H1) and (H2) hold; and  $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{n-2}$ , if  $n > 2$ ;  $0 < \gamma$ , if  $n = 1, 2$ . If the initial data satisfy  $\|u_0\|_{\gamma+2} < \lambda_0 \equiv B_0^{\frac{-2}{\gamma}}$  and  $E(0) < E_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\gamma+2}\right) B_0^{\frac{-2(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}}$  there exist two positive constants  $K$  and  $k$  such that*

$$E(t) \leq K(1 + t)^{-k}.$$

*Proof.* Direct differentiation of (18), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'(t) &= E'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \Phi'_i(t) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \Phi'_i(t) \\
 &\leq -\frac{a}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \Phi'_i(t).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{23}$$

Because of (H1), for any  $t \geq t_0 > 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \geq \int_0^{t_0} g(\tau) d\tau = g_0 > 0.$$

Inserting (11)–(13) into (23), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'(t) \leq & -(1+t)^{-1} \left[ 1 + (1+t) \left( \alpha - \frac{\bar{G}}{\delta_1} \right) \right] \alpha_1 \Phi_1(t) \\
 & - (1+t)^{-1} \left( \frac{\alpha_3}{4\delta_2} - \alpha_1 \right) (g' \circ u)(t) \\
 & - (1+t)^{-1} \left\{ \frac{a}{2} - \bar{g} \left[ \frac{\alpha_2}{4\delta_2} + \alpha_3 \left( \frac{C}{4\delta_2} + \frac{1}{2\delta_3} + 1 \right) \right] \right\} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
 & - (1+t)^{-1} [\alpha_2(l - C\delta_2) - 2\delta_3\alpha_3] \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
 & - (1+t)^{-1} \left[ \alpha_3(g_0 - C\delta_2) - \alpha_2 \left( 1 + \frac{C}{4\delta_2} \right) - \alpha_1\delta_1 \right] \|u_t\|_2^2 \\
 & + (1+t)^{-1} \alpha_2 \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} + (1+t)^{-1} \alpha_3 \delta_4 \|u\|_{2(\gamma+1)}^{2(\gamma+1)} + (1+t)^{-1} \frac{\alpha_3}{4\delta_4} (g \circ u)(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3),  $E'(t) \leq 0$ , it follows that

$$E(t) \leq E(0) < E_0 = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \right) B_0^{\frac{-2(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}}. \tag{24}$$

We claim that  $\|u\|_{\gamma+2} < \lambda_0$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Suppose not, thanks to the continuity of  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\gamma+2}$ -norm, then there exists a  $t_0$  such that  $\|u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{\gamma+2} = \lambda_0$ . But from (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(t_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_t(\cdot, t_0)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^{t_0} g(\tau) d\tau \right) \|\nabla u(\cdot, t_0)\|_2^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t_0) - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \|u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^{t_0} g(\tau) d\tau \right) \|\nabla u(\cdot, t_0)\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \|u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \\
 &\geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \right) B_0^{\frac{-2(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}} = E_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (24). On the other hand, for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{1 - \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau} \left( 2E(t) - (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{2}{\gamma+2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} - \|u_t\|_2^2 \right) \\
 &< \frac{1}{l} \left( 2E(t) + \frac{2}{\gamma+2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \right) \\
 &< \frac{1}{l} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma+2} \right) B_0^{\frac{-2(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}} + \frac{2}{\gamma+2} B_0^{\frac{-2(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}} \right] = \frac{1}{l} B_0^{\frac{-2(\gamma+2)}{\gamma}},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{2(\gamma+1)}^{2(\gamma+1)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_2^{2\gamma} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 < B_0^{2(\gamma+1)} \frac{B_0^{-2(\gamma+2)}}{l^\gamma} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 = \frac{C}{l^\gamma} B_0^{-2(\gamma+2)} \|\nabla u\|_2^2.$$

Next, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) \leq & -(1+t)^{-1} \left[ 1 + (1+t) \left( \alpha - \frac{\bar{G}}{\delta_1} \right) \right] \alpha_1 \Phi_1(t) \\ & - (1+t)^{-1} \left[ a \left( \alpha_1 - \frac{\alpha_3}{4\delta_2} \right) - \frac{\alpha_3}{4\delta_4} \right] (g \circ u)(t) \\ & - (1+t)^{-1} \left\{ \frac{a}{2} - \bar{g} \left[ \frac{\alpha_2}{4\delta_2} + \alpha_3 \left( \frac{C}{4\delta_2} + \frac{1}{2\delta_3} + 1 \right) \right] \right\} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \quad (25) \\ & - (1+t)^{-1} \left[ \alpha_2(l - C\delta_2) - 2\delta_3\alpha_3 - \frac{C}{l^\gamma} B_0^{-2(\gamma+2)} \alpha_3\delta_4 \right] \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ & - (1+t)^{-1} \left[ \alpha_3(g_0 - C\delta_2) - \alpha_2 \left( 1 + \frac{C}{4\delta_2} \right) - \alpha_1\delta_1 \right] \|u_t\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose suitable  $\delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4$ , such that

$$\delta_2 < \min \left\{ \frac{g_0}{C}, \frac{l}{C} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_3 \left( 2\delta_3 + \frac{CB_0^{-2(\gamma+2)}\delta_4}{l^\gamma} \right)}{l - C\delta_2} < \alpha_2 < \frac{\alpha_3(g_0 - C\delta_2)}{1 + \frac{C}{4\delta_2}},$$

which implies that

$$\alpha_2(l - C\delta_2) - 2\delta_3\alpha_3 - \frac{C}{l^\gamma} B_0^{-2(\gamma+2)} \alpha_3\delta_4 > 0, \quad \alpha_3(g_0 - C\delta_2) - \alpha_2 \left( 1 + \frac{C}{4\delta_2} \right) = k_1 > 0.$$

Moreover, let  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  be small enough,

$$\frac{a}{2} - \bar{g} \left[ \frac{\alpha_2}{4\delta_2} + \alpha_3 \left( \frac{C}{4\delta_2} + \frac{1}{2\delta_3} + 1 \right) \right] > 0.$$

Then, we choose  $\alpha_1$  large enough, i.e.,  $\alpha_1 - \frac{\alpha_3}{4\delta_2} > 0$  and  $a \left( \alpha_1 - \frac{\alpha_3}{4\delta_2} \right) - \frac{\alpha_3}{4\delta_4} > 0$ , and  $\delta_1$  small enough so that  $k_1 - \alpha_1\delta_1 > 0$ .

Therefore, if  $a$  in (4) is large enough so that  $a > \alpha > \frac{1}{\delta_1} \bar{G}$ , consequently (25) becomes

$$F'(t) \leq -C(1+t)^{-1} [E(t) + \Phi_1(t)] \leq \frac{-C}{\xi_2} (1+t)^{-1} F(t), \quad (26)$$

for all  $t \geq t_0$ . Integrating (26) over  $(t_0, t)$ , yields

$$F(t) \leq \frac{F(t_0)(1+t_0)^{\frac{C}{\xi_2}}}{(1+t)^{\frac{C}{\xi_2}}}.$$

Due to (19), we finish the proof. □

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to express sincere gratitude to the referees for their careful reading and constructive suggestions on the manuscript. This work is partially supported by Zhejiang Innovation Project (Grant No. T200905). 10971197).

## References

- [1] Chen, W. and Zhou, Y., Global nonexistence for a semilinear Petrovsky equation. *Nonlin. Anal.* 70 (2009), 3203 – 3208.
- [2] Liu, X. and Zhou, Y., Global nonexistence of solutions to a semilinear wave equation in the Minkowski space. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 21 (2008), 849 – 854.
- [3] Messaoudi, S. A., Blow-up of positive-initial-energy solutions of a nonlinear viscoelastic hyperbolic equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 320 (2006), 902 – 915.
- [4] Messaoudi, S. A., General decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341 (2008), 1457 – 1467.
- [5] Nishihara, K. and Zhai, J., Asymptotic behaviors of solutions for time dependent damped wave equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 360 (2009), 412 – 421.
- [6] Tatar, N., On a large class of kernels yielding exponential stability in viscoelasticity. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 215 (2009), 2298 – 2306.
- [7] Zhou, Y., Global nonexistence for a quasilinear evolution equation with critical lower energy. *Arch. Inequal. Appl.* 2 (2004), 41 – 47.
- [8] Zhou, Y., Global existence and nonexistence for a nonlinear wave equation with damping and source terms. *Math. Nachr.* 278 (2005), 1341 – 1358.
- [9] Zhou, Y., A blow-up result for a nonlinear wave equation with damping and vanishing initial energy in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . *Appl. Math. Lett.* 18 (2005), 281 – 286.
- [10] Zhou, Y., Global nonexistence for a quasilinear evolution equation with a generalized Lewis function. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* 24 (2005), 179 – 187.

Received January 27, 2011