



Yuji Sano · Carl Tipler

## Extremal metrics and lower bound of the modified K-energy

Received December 21, 2012 and in revised form May 20, 2013

**Abstract.** We provide a new proof of a result of X. X. Chen and G. Tian [5]: for a polarized extremal Kähler manifold, the minimum of the modified K-energy is attained at an extremal metric. The proof uses an idea of C. Li [16] adapted to the extremal metrics using some weighted balanced metrics.

**Keywords.** Kähler manifolds, extremal metrics, K-energy

### 1. Introduction

Extremal metrics were introduced by Calabi [1]. Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . An *extremal metric* is a critical point of the functional

$$g \mapsto \int_X (S(g))^2 \frac{\omega_g^n}{n!}$$

defined on Kähler metrics  $g$  representing the Kähler class  $[\omega]$ , where  $S(g)$  is the scalar curvature of the metric  $g$ . Constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics (CSCK for short), and in particular Kähler–Einstein metrics, are extremal metrics. In this work we will focus on the polarized case, assuming that there is an ample holomorphic line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$  with  $c_1(L) = [\omega]$ . In this special case, it has been conjectured by Yau in the Kähler–Einstein case [29], and then in the CSCK case by the work of Tian [27] and Donaldson [9], that the existence of a CSCK metric representing  $c_1(L)$  should be equivalent to a GIT stability of the pair  $(X, L)$ . This conjecture has been extended to extremal metrics by Székelyhidi [25] and Mabuchi [20].

Let  $(X, L)$  be a polarized Kähler manifold. Donaldson [8] has shown that if  $X$  admits a CSCK metric in  $c_1(L)$ , and if  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$  is discrete, then the CSCK metric can be approximated by a sequence of balanced metrics. This approximation result implies in particular the unicity of a CSCK metric in its Kähler class. This method has been adapted

---

Y. Sano: Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, 2-39-1, Kurokami, Chuo-ku, Kumamoto, 860-8555, Japan; e-mail: sano@sci.kumamoto-u.ac.jp

C. Tipler: Département de mathématiques, Université du Québec à Montréal, Case postale 8888, succursale centre-ville, Montréal (Québec), Canada H3C 3P8; e-mail: carl.tipler@cirget.ca

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):* Primary 53C55; Secondary 32Q26

by Mabuchi [19] to the extremal metric setting to prove unicity of an extremal metric up to automorphisms in a polarized Kähler class. Then, Chen and Tian [5] proved unicity of an extremal metric in its Kähler class up to automorphisms with no polarization assumption.

In a sequel to his work on balanced metrics [10], Donaldson shows that if  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$  is discrete, a CSMK metric is an absolute minimum of the Mabuchi energy  $E$ , or K-energy, introduced by Mabuchi [18]. The approximation result of Donaldson does not hold true for CSMK metrics if the automorphism group is not discrete. There are counter-examples of Ono, Yotsutani and the first author [21], or Della Vedova and Zuddas [6]. However, Li [16] managed to show that even if  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$  is not discrete, a CSMK metric would provide an absolute minimum of  $E$ .

By a theorem of Calabi [2], extremal metrics are invariant under a maximal connected compact subgroup  $G$  of the reduced automorphism group  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  [11]. Any two such compact groups are conjugate in  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  and the study of extremal metrics is done modulo one such group. In the extremal setting, the modified K-energy  $E^G$  (see Definition 2.5) plays the role of the K-energy for CSMK metrics. This functional has been introduced independently by Guan [14], Simanca [24] and Chen and Tian [5] and is defined on the space of  $G$ -invariant Kähler potentials with respect to a  $G$ -invariant metric. In [5], Chen and Tian prove that extremal metrics minimize the modified K-energy up to automorphisms of the manifold, with no polarization assumption. In this paper, we give a different proof of this result in the polarized case. We generalize Li's work to extremal metrics, using some weighted balanced metrics, which are called  $\sigma$ -balanced metrics (see Definition 2.6):

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(X, L)$  be a polarized Kähler manifold and  $G$  a maximal connected compact subgroup of the reduced automorphism group  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ . Then the minimum of the modified K-energy  $E^G$  is attained at each  $G$ -invariant extremal metric representing  $c_1(L)$ .*

The proof relies on two observations. We will consider a sequence of Fubini–Study metrics  $\omega_k$  associated to Kodaira embeddings of  $X$  into higher and higher dimension projective spaces. The first observation is that if we define  $\omega_k$  to be the metric associated to an extremal metric in  $c_1(L)$  by the map  $\text{Hilb}_k$  (see (2.3)), then  $\omega_k$  will be close to a  $\sigma$ -balanced metric. The second point is that  $\sigma$ -balanced metrics, if they exist, are minimum points of the functionals  $Z_k^\sigma$  (see (2.8)) that converge to the modified Mabuchi functional. Then a careful analysis of the convergence properties of the  $\omega_k$  and  $Z_k^\sigma$  yields the proof of our main result.

**Remark 1.2.** We mention that Guan [14] shows that extremal metrics are local minimum points, assuming the existence of  $C^2$ -geodesics in the space of Kähler potentials.

### 1.1. Plan of the paper

In Section 2, we review the definition of extremal metrics and recall quantization of CSMK metrics. We then introduce  $\sigma$ -balanced metrics and the relevant functionals. Then in Section 3, we prove the main theorem. In the Appendix, we collect some facts and proofs of properties of  $\sigma$ -balanced metrics.

## 2. Extremal metrics and quantization

### 2.1. Quantization

Let  $(X, L)$  be a polarized Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the space of smooth Kähler potentials with respect to a fixed Kähler form  $\omega \in c_1(L)$ :

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\phi \in C^\infty(X) \mid \omega_\phi := \omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial}\phi > 0\}.$$

For each  $k$ , we can consider the space  $\mathcal{H}_k$  of Hermitian metrics on  $L^{\otimes k}$ . To each  $h \in \mathcal{H}_k$  one associates a metric  $-\sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} \log(h)$  on  $X$ , identifying the spaces  $\mathcal{H}_k$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ . Write  $\omega_h$  for the curvature of the Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$ . If we fix a base metric  $h_0$  in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  such that  $\omega = \omega_{h_0}$ , the correspondence reads

$$\omega_\phi = \omega_{e^{-\phi}h_0} = \omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial}\phi.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{B}_k$  the space of positive definite Hermitian forms on  $H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ . Let  $N_k = \dim(H^0(X, L^{\otimes k}))$ . The space  $\mathcal{B}_k$  is identified with  $GL_{N_k}(\mathbb{C})/U(N_k)$  using the base metric  $h_0^k$ . This symmetric space comes with a metric  $d_k$  defined by the Riemannian metric:

$$(H_1, H_2)_h = \text{Tr}(H_1 H^{-1} \cdot H_2 H^{-1}).$$

There are maps

$$\text{Hilb}_k : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_k, \quad \text{FS}_k : \mathcal{B}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

defined by

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{H}, s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes k}), \quad \|s\|_{\text{Hilb}_k(h)}^2 = \int_X |s|_{h^k}^2 d\mu_h$$

and

$$\forall H \in \mathcal{B}_k, \quad \text{FS}_k(H) = \frac{1}{k} \log\left(\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_\alpha |s_\alpha|_{h_0^k}^2\right),$$

where  $\{s_\alpha\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$  with respect to  $H$  and  $d\mu_h = \omega_h^n/n!$  is the volume form. Note that  $\omega_{\text{FS}_k(H)}$  is the pull-back of the Fubini–Study metric on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N_k-1}$  under the projective embedding induced by  $\{s_\alpha\}$ . A result of Tian [26] states that any Kähler metric  $\omega_\phi$  in  $c_1(L)$  can be approximated by projective metrics, namely

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{FS}_k \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi) = \phi$$

where the convergence is uniform on  $C^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Metrics satisfying

$$\text{FS}_k \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi) = \phi$$

are called *balanced* metrics, and the existence of such metrics is equivalent to the Chow stability of  $(X, L^k)$  by the results of Zhang [31] and Wang [28]. Let  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$  be the group of automorphisms of the pair  $(X, L)$ . From the work of Donaldson [8], if  $X$  admits

a CSMK metric in the Kähler class  $c_1(L)$ , and if  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$  is discrete, then there are balanced metrics  $\omega_{\phi_k}$  for  $k$  sufficiently large with

$$FS_k \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi_k) = \phi_k,$$

and these metrics converge to the CSMK metric on  $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$  bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

In the proof of these results, the density of state function plays a central role. For any  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $k > 0$ , let  $\{s_\alpha\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H^0(X, L^k)$  with respect to  $\text{Hilb}_k(\phi)$ . The  $k^{\text{th}}$  Bergman function of  $\phi$  is defined to be

$$\rho_k(\phi) = \sum_{\alpha} |s_{\alpha}|_{h^k}^2.$$

It is well known that a metric  $\phi \in \text{Hilb}_k(\mathcal{H})$  is balanced if and only if  $\rho_k(\phi)$  is constant. A key result in the study of balanced metrics is the following expansion:

**Theorem 2.1** ([3], [23], [26], [30]). *The following uniform expansion holds:*

$$\rho_k(\phi) = k^n + A_1(\phi)k^{n-1} + A_2(\phi)k^{n-2} + \dots$$

where  $A_1(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}S(\phi)$  is half of the scalar curvature of the Kähler metric  $\omega_\phi$  and for any  $l$  and  $R \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a constant  $C_{l,R}$  such that

$$\left\| \rho_k(\phi) - \sum_{j \leq R} A_j k^{n-j} \right\|_{C^l} \leq C_{l,R} k^{n-R}.$$

As a corollary, if  $\phi_k = FS_k \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)$ , then  $\phi_k - \phi = \frac{1}{k} \log(\rho_k(\phi)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular we have the convergence of metrics,

$$\omega_{\phi_k} = \omega_\phi + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}). \tag{2.1}$$

By integration over  $X$  we also deduce

$$\int_X \rho_k(\phi) d\mu_\phi = k^n \int_X d\mu_\phi + k^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} \int_X S(\phi) d\mu_\phi + \mathcal{O}(k^{n-2})$$

where  $S(\phi)$  is the scalar curvature of the metric  $g_\phi$  associated to the Kähler form  $\omega_\phi$ . Thus

$$N_k = k^n \text{Vol}(X) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(X) \underline{S} k^{n-1} + \mathcal{O}(k^{n-2}), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\underline{S} = 2n\pi \frac{c_1(L) \cup [\omega]^{n-1}}{[\omega]^n}$$

is the average of the scalar curvature and  $\text{Vol}(X)$  is the volume of  $(X, c_1(L))$ .

## 2.2. The relative setup

In order to find a canonical representative of a Kähler class, Calabi suggested [1] to look for minima of the functional

$$Ca : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi \mapsto \int_X (S(\phi) - \underline{S})^2 d\mu_\phi.$$

In fact, critical points for this functional are local minimum points, called extremal metrics. The associated Euler–Lagrange equation is equivalent to the fact that  $\text{grad}_{\omega_\phi}(S(\phi))$  is a holomorphic vector field, and constant scalar curvature metrics, CSCM for short, are extremal metrics.

By a theorem of Calabi [2], the connected component of the identity of the isometry group of an extremal metric is a maximal compact connected subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ . As all these maximal subgroups are conjugate, the quest for extremal metrics can be done modulo a fixed group action. Note that  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Aut}_0(X, L)$ , the connected component of the identity of  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$ . As we will see later, it will be useful to consider a less restrictive setup, working modulo a circle action. We then define the relevant functionals in a general situation, and we fix a compact subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}_0(X, L)$  and denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra.

**2.2.1. Space of potentials.** We extend the quantization tools to the extremal metrics setup.

Replacing  $L$  by a sufficiently large tensor power if necessary, we can assume that  $\text{Aut}_0(X, L)$  acts on  $L$  (see e.g. [15]). Then the  $G$ -action on  $X$  induces a  $G$ -action on the space  $H^0(X, L^k)$  of sections. This action in turn provides a  $G$ -action on the space  $\mathcal{B}_k$  of positive definite Hermitian forms on  $H^0(X, L^k)$ , and we define  $\mathcal{B}_k^G$  to be the subspace of  $G$ -invariant elements. The space  $\mathcal{B}_k^G$  is totally geodesic in  $\mathcal{B}_k$  for the distance  $d_k$ . Define  $\mathcal{H}^G$  to be the space of  $G$ -invariant potentials with respect to a  $G$ -invariant base point  $\omega$ . We see from their definitions that we have the induced maps

$$\text{Hilb}_k : \mathcal{H}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_k^G, \quad \text{FS}_k : \mathcal{B}_k^G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^G. \quad (2.3)$$

**2.2.2. Modified K-energy.** For a fixed metric  $g$ , we say that a vector field  $V$  is a *Hamiltonian vector field* if there is a real valued function  $f$  such that

$$V = J\nabla_g f \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \omega(V, \cdot) = -df.$$

For any  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^G$ , let  $P_\phi^G$  be the space of normalized (i.e. mean value zero) Killing potentials with respect to  $g_\phi$  whose corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields lie in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $\Pi_\phi^G$  be the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  to  $P_\phi^G$  given by the inner product

$$(f, g) \mapsto \int fg d\mu_\phi.$$

Note that  $G$ -invariant metrics satisfying  $S(\phi) - \underline{S} - \Pi_\phi^G S(\phi) = 0$  are extremal.

**Definition 2.2** ([13, Section 4.13]). The *reduced scalar curvature*  $S^G$  with respect to  $G$  is defined by

$$S^G(\phi) = S(\phi) - \underline{S} - \Pi_\phi^G S(\phi).$$

The *extremal vector field*  $V^G$  with respect to  $G$  is defined by the equation

$$V^G = \nabla_g(\Pi_\phi^G S(\phi))$$

for any  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{H}^G$  and does not depend on  $\phi$  (see e.g. [13, Proposition 4.13.1]).

**Remark 2.3.** Note that by definition the extremal vector field is real-holomorphic and lies in  $J\mathfrak{g}$  where  $J$  is the almost complex structure of  $X$ , while  $JV^G$  lies in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** When  $G = \{1\}$  we recover the normalized scalar curvature. When  $G$  is a maximal compact connected subgroup, or a maximal torus of  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ , we find the reduced scalar curvature and the usual extremal vector field initially defined by Futaki and Mabuchi [12].

We are now able to define the relative Mabuchi K-energy, introduced by Guan [14], Chen and Tian [5], and Simanca [24]:

**Definition 2.5** ([13, Section 4.13]). The *modified Mabuchi K-energy*  $E^G$  (relative to  $G$ ) is defined, up to a constant, as the primitive of the following one-form on  $\mathcal{H}^G$ :

$$\phi \mapsto -S^G(\phi) d\mu_\phi.$$

If  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^G$ , then the modified K-energy admits the expression

$$E^G(\phi) = - \int_X \phi \left( \int_0^1 S^G(t\phi) d\mu_{t\phi} dt \right).$$

As for CSCK metrics,  $G$ -invariant extremal metrics whose extremal vector field lie in  $J\mathfrak{g}$  are critical points of the relative Mabuchi energy.

2.2.3. *The  $\sigma$ -balanced metrics.* We present a generalization of balanced metrics adapted to the relative setting of extremal metrics.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\sigma_k(t)$  be a one-parameter subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_0(X, L^k)$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\phi$  is a  $k^{\text{th}}$   $\sigma_k$ -balanced metric if

$$\omega_{kFS_k \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)} = \sigma_k(1)^* \omega_{k\phi}. \tag{2.4}$$

Conjecturally, the  $\sigma$ -balanced metrics would provide a generalization of the notion of balanced metric and would approximate an extremal Kähler metric. Indeed, in one direction, assume that we are given  $\sigma_k$ -balanced metrics  $\omega_{\phi_k}$  with  $\sigma_k \in \text{Aut}_0(X, L^k)$  such that the  $\omega_k$  converge to  $\omega_\infty$ . Suppose that the vector fields  $k \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \sigma_k(t)$  converge to a vector field  $V_\infty \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ . A simple calculation implies that  $\omega_\infty$  must be extremal.

We now define the functionals that play the role of finite-dimensional versions of the modified Mabuchi K-energy on  $\mathcal{B}_k^G$  and  $FS_k(\mathcal{B}_k^G)$  respectively. First define  $I_k = \log \circ \det$  on  $\mathcal{B}_k^G$ . This functional is defined up to an additive constant when we see  $\mathcal{B}_k^G$  as a space of positive Hermitian matrix once a suitable basis of  $H^0(X, L^k)$  is fixed. It is shown in [4] that  $I_k$  gives a quantization of the Aubin functional  $I$ . However, in the extremal case, we need a modified version of the Aubin functional defined by the first author in order to fit with the balanced metrics. Let  $V \in Lie(\text{Aut}_0(X, L))$  and denote by  $\sigma(t)$  the associated one-parameter subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_0(X, L)$ . Define up to a constant for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$  the function  $\psi_{\sigma, \phi}$  by

$$\sigma(1)^* \omega_\phi = \omega_\phi + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{\sigma, \phi}. \tag{2.5}$$

We will see how to suitably choose a normalization constant for these potentials. We then consider a modified  $I$  functional defined up to a constant by its differential:

$$\delta I^\sigma(\phi)(\delta\phi) = \int_X \delta\phi (1 + \Delta_\phi) e^{\psi_{\sigma, \phi}} d\mu_\phi$$

where  $\Delta_\phi = -g_\phi^{i\bar{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}$  is the complex Laplacian of  $g_\phi$ . We will also need to consider the potentials  $\phi$  as metrics on the tensor powers  $L^{\otimes k}$ , we thus consider the normalized vector fields  $V_k = -V/(4k)$  and the associated one-parameter groups  $\sigma_k(t)$ . We choose the normalization

$$\int_X \exp(\psi_{\sigma_k, \phi}) d\mu_\phi = \frac{N_k}{k^n}. \tag{2.6}$$

Then we define, for each  $k$ ,

$$\delta I_k^\sigma(\phi)(\delta\phi) = \int_X k \delta\phi (1 + \Delta_\phi/k) e^{\psi_{\sigma_k, \phi} k^n} d\mu_\phi.$$

**Remark 2.7.** If  $\sigma$  is the identity, we recover the usual Aubin functional.

**Remark 2.8.** This one-form integrates along paths in  $\mathcal{H}^G$  to a functional  $I_k^\sigma(\phi)$  on  $\mathcal{H}^G$ , which is independent of the path used from 0 to  $\phi$ . The proof of this fact is given in the Appendix (Proposition 4.1).

We define  $\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{H}^G$  and  $Z_k^\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{B}_k^G$  by

$$\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma = I_k \circ \text{Hilb}_k + I_k^\sigma, \tag{2.7}$$

$$Z_k^\sigma = I_k^\sigma \circ FS_k + I_k - k^n \log(k^n) \text{Vol}(X). \tag{2.8}$$

We will show in the following that these functionals converge to the modified K-energy in some sense. Note also that  $\sigma_k$ -balanced metrics are critical points for  $\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma$  (Proposition 3.4), and if  $FS_k(H_k)$  is a  $\sigma_k$ -balanced metric for some  $H_k \in \mathcal{B}_k^G$ , then  $H_k$  is a minimum point for  $Z_k^\sigma$  (Proposition 3.9).

### 3. Minima of the modified K-energy

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. For the convenience of the reader we first give a sketch of the proof.

We will choose a special group  $G$  corresponding to the Killing field  $JV^*$  associated to the extremal vector field  $V^*$  of the extremal Kähler metric  $\omega^* = \omega_{\phi^*}$ . We know that the metrics  $\omega_k^* = \omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_k^*$  with Kähler potentials  $\phi_k^* = FS_k \circ Hilb_k(\phi^*)$  converge to  $\omega^*$  ([26], [3] and [30]). We begin our proof by showing that the functionals  $\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma$  converge to the modified Mabuchi functional on the space  $\mathcal{H}^G$ . Then we show that  $Z_k^\sigma \circ Hilb_k$  and  $\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma$  converge to the same functional, thus  $Z_k^\sigma$  gives a quantization of the modified Mabuchi functional and we reduce our problem to studying the minimum points of  $Z_k^\sigma$ . However, the metrics  $\omega_k^*$  constructed above are not in general critical points of  $Z_k^\sigma$ , as there is no reason for these metrics to be  $\sigma_k$ -balanced. We use instead an idea of Li [16] relying on the Bergman kernel expansion to show that these metrics  $\omega_k^*$  are almost  $\sigma_k$ -balanced in the sense that  $Hilb_k(\omega_k^*)$  is a minimum point of the functional  $Z_k^\sigma$  up to an error which goes to zero when  $k$  tends to infinity.

Let  $V^*$  be the extremal vector field of the class  $c_1(L)$ . In the polarized case, the vector field  $JV^*$  generates a periodic action [12] by a one-parameter subgroup of automorphisms of  $(X, L)$ . Fix  $G$  to be the one-parameter subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$  associated to  $JV^*$ . This group is isomorphic to  $S^1$  or trivial by the theorem of Futaki and Mabuchi [12]. This will be a group of isometries for each of our metrics.

**Remark 3.1.** The modified K-energy  $E^{G_m}$  is defined to be the modified Mabuchi functional with respect to a maximal compact connected subgroup  $G_m$  of  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$ . Assume that  $G$  is contained in such a  $G_m$ . Then  $E^{G_m}$  is equal to  $E^G$  when restricted to the space of  $G_m$ -invariant potentials. Indeed, the projection of any  $G_m$ -invariant scalar curvature to the space of holomorphy potentials of  $\text{Lie}(G_m)$  gives a potential for the extremal vector field by definition. Thus a minimum point of  $E^G$  which is invariant under the  $G_m$ -action, such as an extremal metric, will be a minimum point of the usual modified Mabuchi functional.

Let  $\sigma_k$  be the element of  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$  associated to the vector field  $-V^*/(4k)$ . We will also need to define for each  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{H}^G$  the function  $\theta(\phi)$  to be the normalized (i.e. mean value zero) holomorphy potential of the vector field  $V^*$  with respect to the metric  $\omega_\phi$ :

$$g_\phi(V^*, \cdot) = d\theta(\phi)$$

or

$$\theta(\phi) = \Pi_\phi^G(S(\phi)).$$

#### 3.1. The functionals $\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma$ converge to $E^G$

In this section we prove the following fact:

**Proposition 3.2.** *There are constants  $c_k$  such that*

$$\frac{2}{k^n} \mathcal{L}_k^\sigma + c_k \rightarrow E^G$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where the convergence is uniform on  $C^l(X, \mathbb{R})$  bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{H}^G$ .



*Proof.* We show that

$$\frac{2}{k^n} \delta \mathcal{L}_k^\sigma \rightarrow \delta E^G$$

uniformly on  $C^l(X, \mathbb{R})$  bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{H}^G$ . First we compute  $\delta \mathcal{L}_k^\sigma$ . Following [10], we have

$$\delta(I_k \circ \text{Hilb}_k)_\phi(\delta\phi) = - \int_X \delta\phi(\Delta_\phi + k) \rho_k(\phi) d\mu_\phi$$

and by definition

$$\delta(I_k^\sigma)_\phi(\delta\phi) = k^n \int_X \delta\phi(k + \Delta_\phi) e^{\psi_k(\phi)} d\mu_\phi$$

where we set  $\psi_k(\cdot) = \psi_{\sigma_k, \dots}$ . Then

$$\delta(\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma)_\phi(\delta\phi) = - \int_X \delta\phi(\Delta_\phi + k) (\rho_k(\phi) - k^n e^{\psi_k(\phi)}) d\mu_\phi. \quad (3.1)$$

We need an expansion for the potential  $\psi_k$ :

$$\psi_k(\phi) = \frac{\theta(\phi) + \underline{S}}{2k} + \mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1}),$$

whose proof is postponed to Lemma 3.3. Then by the expansions of  $\psi_k(\phi)$  and  $\rho_k(\phi)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_\phi + k)(\rho_k(\phi) - k^n e^{\psi_k(\phi)}) &= k^n (\Delta_\phi + k) \\ &\quad \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{S(\phi)}{2k} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) - 1 - \frac{\theta(\phi) + \underline{S}}{2k} + \mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1}) \right) \\ &= k^n \left( \frac{S(\phi) - \underline{S} - \theta(\phi)}{2} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\delta(\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma)_\phi}{k^n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \delta E_\phi^G.$$

As the expansions of  $\psi_k(\phi)$  and  $\rho_k(\phi)$  are uniform on bounded subsets of  $C^l(X, \mathbb{R})$ , the result follows.  $\square$

The following lemma will be useful:

**Lemma 3.3.** *The following expansion holds uniformly in  $C^l(X, \mathbb{R})$  for  $l \gg 1$ :*

$$\psi_k(\phi) = \frac{\theta(\phi) + \underline{S}}{2k} + \mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1}) \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1})$  denotes  $k^{-1}$  times a function  $\varepsilon(k)$  on  $X$  with  $\varepsilon(k) \rightarrow 0$  in  $C^l(X, \mathbb{R})$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* By definition

$$\sigma_k(1)^*\omega(\phi) - \omega(\phi) = \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial}\psi_k(\phi),$$

so

$$\sigma_1(1/k)^*\omega(\phi) - \omega(\phi) = \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial}\psi_k(\phi),$$

where  $\sigma_1(1/k)$  is equal to  $\exp(-\frac{1}{4k}V^*)$ . Dividing by  $1/k$ , and letting  $k$  go to infinity, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{-\frac{1}{4}V^*}\omega(\phi) = \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k\psi_k(\phi).$$

Then by Cartan's formula,

$$\mathcal{L}_{-\frac{1}{4}V^*}\omega(\phi) = -\frac{1}{4}d\omega_\phi(V^*, \cdot) = -\frac{1}{4}dg_\phi(V^*, J\cdot),$$

and by definition of holomorphy potentials,

$$\mathcal{L}_{-\frac{1}{4}V^*}\omega(\phi) = -\frac{1}{4}dd^c\theta(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial}\theta(\phi),$$

thus

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k\psi_k(\phi) = \frac{\theta(\phi) + c}{2}$$

for some constant  $c$ . By the normalization (2.6) of the function  $\psi_k(\phi)$  we deduce

$$\frac{N_k}{k^n} = \int_X \exp(\psi_{\sigma_k, \phi}) d\mu_\phi = \int_X \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\phi) + c}{2k} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})\right) d\mu_\phi.$$

Recall that we choose  $\theta(\phi)$  normalized to have mean value zero. Using formula (2.2) to expand  $N_k = \dim(H^0(X, L^k))$ , we conclude that  $c = \underline{S}$ .  $\square$

From the above computations we also deduce the following:

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$  be a  $k^{\text{th}}$   $\sigma_k$ -balanced metric. Then  $\phi$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* By equation (2.4) of  $\sigma_k$ -balanced metrics and by definition (2.5) of  $\psi_k(\phi)$  we deduce

$$\rho_k(\phi) = C \exp(\psi_k(\phi))$$

for some constant  $C$ . Integrating over  $X$  and using the expansions (2.2) and (3.2) we deduce

$$\rho_k(\phi) = k^n \exp(\psi_k(\phi)).$$

The result follows from the computation of the differential of  $\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma$  (equation (3.1)).  $\square$

A direct computation implies the similar result for  $Z_k^\sigma$  (see Corollary 3.9).

3.2. Comparison of  $Z_k^\sigma$  and  $\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma$

The aim of this section is to show that  $Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k$  and  $\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma$  converge to the same functional. We will need the following two lemmas:

**Lemma 3.5.** *The second derivative of  $I_k^\sigma$  along a path  $\phi_s \in \mathcal{H}^G$  is equal to*

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} I_k^\sigma(\phi_s) = k^n \int_X \left( \phi'' - \frac{1}{2} |d\phi'|^2 \right) (k + \Delta_{\phi_s}) e^{\psi_k(\phi_s)} d\mu_{\phi_s}.$$

*Proof.* The proof of this result is given in the Appendix, Section 4.2. □

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^G$ . Then there exists an integer  $k_0$ , depending on  $\phi$ , such that for each  $k \geq k_0$ , the functional  $I_k^\sigma$  is concave along the path*

$$[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^G, \quad s \mapsto \phi + \frac{s}{k} \log(\rho_k(\phi)).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.5, the second derivative of  $I_k^\sigma$  along the path  $\phi_k(s) = \phi + \frac{s}{k} \log(\rho_k(\phi))$  is

$$k^n \int_X \left( \phi_k'' - \frac{1}{2} |d\phi_k'|^2 \right) (k + \Delta_{\phi_k(s)}) e^{\psi_k(\phi_k(s))} d\mu_{\phi_k(s)}.$$

As  $\phi_k' = \frac{1}{k} \log(\rho_k(\phi))$  and  $\phi_k'' = 0$ , this expression simplifies:

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} I_k^\sigma(\phi_k(s)) = -k^n \int_X \frac{1}{2} \left| d \frac{1}{k} \log(\rho_k(\phi)) \right|^2 (k + \Delta_{\phi_k(s)}) e^{\psi_k(\phi_k(s))} d\mu_{\phi_k(s)}.$$

We compute the leading term in the above expression as  $k$  goes to infinity. To simplify notation, let  $T_k(\phi) = FS_k \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)$ . Note that  $\omega_{\phi_1} = \omega_{T_k(\phi)}$ . From (2.1),

$$\omega_{\phi_0} - \omega_{\phi_1} = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}).$$

Thus we have the estimates

$$\Delta_{\phi_k(s)} = \Delta_\phi + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}), \quad d\mu_{\phi_k(s)} = d\mu_\phi + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}), \quad \psi_k(\phi_k(s)) = \psi_k(\phi) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}).$$

Hence

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} I_k^\sigma(\phi_k(s)) = -k^n \int_X \frac{1}{2} \left| d \frac{1}{k} \log(\rho_k(\phi)) \right|^2 (k + \Delta_\phi) e^{\psi_k(\phi)} d\mu_\phi + \mathcal{O}(k^{n-4}).$$

From this we deduce that the leading term as  $k$  tends to infinity is

$$-\frac{k^{n-3}}{8} \int_X |dS(\phi)|^2 d\mu_\phi < 0,$$

where once again we used the expansions of the Bergman kernel and of  $\psi_k(\phi)$  from Lemma 3.3. □

Now we can prove the main result of this section:

**Proposition 3.7.** *For each potential  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^G$ , we have*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-n} (\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi) - Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)) = 0,$$

*Proof.* By definition,

$$k^{-n} (\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi) - Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)) = -k^{-n} (I_k^\sigma(T_k(\phi)) - I_k^\sigma(\phi) - k^n \log(k^n) \text{Vol}(X))$$

where  $T_k = FS_k \circ \text{Hilb}_k$ . From Lemma 3.6, for  $k$  large enough, the functional  $I_k^\sigma$  is concave along the path

$$s \mapsto \phi + \frac{s}{k} \log(\rho_k(\phi))$$

going from  $\phi$  to  $T_k(\phi)$  in  $\mathcal{H}^G$ . Thus

$$(\delta I_k^\sigma)_\phi \left( \frac{1}{k} \log \rho_k(\phi) \right) \geq I_k^\sigma(T_k(\phi)) - I_k^\sigma(\phi) \geq (\delta I_k^\sigma)_{T_k(\phi)} \left( \frac{1}{k} \log \rho_k(\phi) \right). \tag{3.3}$$

We deduce from the definitions that

$$k^{-n} (\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi) - Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)) \geq -k^{-n} (\delta I_k^\sigma)_\phi \left( \frac{1}{k} \log \rho_k(\phi) \right) + \log(k^n) \text{Vol}(X), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -k^{-n} (\delta I_k^\sigma)_{T_k(\phi)} \left( \frac{1}{k} \log \rho_k(\phi) \right) + \log(k^n) \text{Vol}(X) \\ \geq k^{-n} (\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi) - Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and it remains to show that the right hand side of (3.4) and the left hand side of (3.5) tend to zero. First,

$$\begin{aligned} k^{-n} (\delta I_k^\sigma)_\phi \left( \frac{1}{k} \log \rho_k(\phi) \right) - \log(k^n) \text{Vol}(X) \\ = \int_X \left( \frac{1}{k} \log(\rho_k(\phi)) \right) (k + \Delta_\phi) e^{\psi_k(\phi)} d\mu_\phi - \text{Vol}(X) \log(k^n) \\ = \int_X \left( \log(k^n) + \frac{S(\phi)}{2k} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta_\phi}{k} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\theta(\phi) + S}{2k} + \mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1}) \right) d\mu_\phi \\ - \text{Vol}(X) \log(k^n) \end{aligned}$$

by the expansion of the Bergman kernel and Lemma 3.3. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} k^{-n} (\delta I_k^\sigma)_\phi \left( \frac{1}{k} \log \rho_k(\phi) \right) - \log(k^n) \text{Vol}(X) \\ = \text{Vol}(X) \log(k^n) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}) - \text{Vol}(X) \log(k^n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we did not make use of the fact that the derivative  $\delta I_k^\sigma$  was evaluated at  $\phi$ , so the above argument extends to the last term of the inequality (3.5), evaluated at  $T_k(\phi)$ , which thus tends to zero as well.  $\square$

3.3. The metrics  $Hilb_k(\omega^*)$  are almost  $\sigma$ -balanced

**Lemma 3.8.** *The functional  $Z_k^\sigma$  is convex along geodesics in  $\mathcal{B}_k^G$ .*

*Proof.* We follow [10, proof of Proposition 1] (also [22, Lemma 3.1]). Here we abbreviate the subscript  $k$ . Take a geodesic  $\{H(s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  in  $\mathcal{B}^G$ . By choosing an appropriate orthonormal basis  $\{\tau_\alpha\}$  of  $H(0)$ , one can represent  $H(s)$  by

$$H(s) = \text{diag}(e^{2\lambda_\alpha s}), \quad \lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

with respect to the basis  $\{\tau_\alpha\}$ . We denote the associated one-parameter subgroup of  $SL(H^0(M, L))$  by  $\varrho(s)$ . We define the Kähler potential  $\phi_s = FS(H(s))$  by

$$\phi_s = \log\left(\frac{\sum_\alpha |\varrho(s) \cdot \tau_\alpha|^2}{\sum_\beta |\tau_\beta|^2}\right).$$

First of all, we will show the first variation of  $Z^\sigma$  along  $\phi_s$ . From (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dZ^\sigma}{ds}(s) &= \int_X \phi'_s (1 + \Delta_{FS(H(s))}) e^{\psi_s} d\mu_{FS(H(s))} \\ &= \int_X \left( \phi'_s e^{\psi_s} + \frac{d}{ds} e^{\psi_s} \right) d\mu_{FS(H(s))} \\ &= \int_X \left\{ \frac{\sum_\alpha 2\lambda_\alpha |\varrho(s) \cdot \tau_\alpha|^2}{\sum_\beta |\varrho(s) \cdot \tau_\beta|^2} \frac{\sum_\gamma |\varrho(s) \cdot \sigma^* \tau_\gamma|^2}{\sum_\beta |\tau_\beta|^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{\sum_\gamma |\varrho(s) \cdot \sigma^* \tau_\gamma|^2}{\sum_\beta |\varrho(s) \cdot \tau_\beta|^2} \right) \right\} d\mu_{FS(H(s))} \\ &= \int_X \frac{\sum_\alpha 2\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha |\varrho(s) \cdot \sigma^* \tau_\alpha|^2}{\sum_\beta |\varrho(s) \cdot \tau_\beta|^2} d\mu_{FS(H(s))}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where  $\psi_s$  denotes  $\psi_{\sigma, FS(H(s))}$ . In (3.7),  $H(s)$  is represented by

$$H(s) = \text{diag}(e^{2\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha s}), \quad \lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum_\alpha \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha = 0,$$

with respect to the basis  $\{\sigma^* \tau_\alpha\}$ . Let

$$\phi'_s := \frac{\sum_\alpha 2\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha |\varrho(s) \cdot (\sigma^* \tau_\alpha)|^2}{\sum_\beta |\varrho(s) \cdot \tau_\beta|^2}.$$

Then

$$\frac{d^2 Z^\sigma}{ds^2}(0) = \int_X \{\phi''_0 - (\nabla \phi'_0, \nabla \phi'_0)\} d\mu_{FS(H(0))}. \tag{3.8}$$

Here we denote the connection of type  $(1, 0)$  by  $\nabla$ . Following [10], it is sufficient to prove that the integrand of (3.8) is equal to

$$\sum_\alpha |(\nabla \phi'_0, \nabla(\sigma^* \tau_\alpha)) - (2\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha - \phi'_0)(\sigma^* \tau_\alpha)|^2_{FS(H(0))} \tag{3.9}$$

pointwise on  $X$ . Expanding out (3.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} |(\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla(\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}))|_{FS(H(0))}^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha} (2\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha} - \phi'_0) ((\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla(\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha})), \sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}) \\ + \sum_{\alpha} (2\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha} - \phi'_0)^2 |\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}|_{FS(H(0))}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

The second term of (3.10) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \sum_{\alpha} (2\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha} - \phi'_0) (\nabla\phi'_0, (\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}, \nabla(\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}))) \\ = -2 \sum_{\alpha} (2\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha} - \phi'_0) (\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla(|\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}|_{FS(H(0))}^2)) \\ = -2(\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla\phi'_0) + 2\phi'_0(\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla e^{\psi_0}) \\ = -2(\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla\phi'_0) + 2\phi'_0\psi'_0 e^{\psi_0} \\ = -2(\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla\phi'_0) + 2\phi'_0(\phi'_0 - \phi'_0 e^{\psi_0}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Above, we use (4.3) of the Appendix and

$$\psi'_0 e^{\psi_0} = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} e^{\psi_s} = \phi'_0 - \phi'_0 e^{\psi_0}.$$

The third term of (3.10) is equal to

$$\sum_{\alpha} 4\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha}^2 |\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}|_{FS(H(0))}^2 - 2\phi'_0\phi'_0 + (\phi'_0)^2 e^{\psi_0}. \quad (3.12)$$

Substituting (3.11) and (3.12) into (3.10) shows that (3.10) is equal to

$$\sum_{\alpha} |(\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla(\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}))|_{FS(H(0))}^2 - 2(\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla\phi'_0) - (\phi'_0)^2 e^{\psi_0} + \sum_{\alpha} 4\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha}^2 |\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}|_{FS(H(0))}^2.$$

Since

$$\phi''_0 = \sum_{\alpha} 4\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha}^2 |\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}|_{FS(H(0))}^2 - \phi'_0\phi'_0,$$

it remains to prove that

$$\sum_{\alpha} |(\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla(\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}))|_{FS(H(0))}^2 = (\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla\phi'_0) + (\phi'_0)^2 e^{\psi_0} - \phi'_0\phi'_0. \quad (3.13)$$

In the computation in (3.11), we found

$$-(\phi'_0)^2 e^{\psi_0} + \phi'_0\phi'_0 = (\nabla\phi'_0, \phi'_0 \nabla e^{\psi_0}).$$

Hence, (3.13) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\alpha} |(\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla(\sigma^*\tau_{\alpha}))|_{FS(H(0))}^2 = (\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla\phi'_0) - (\nabla\phi'_0, \phi'_0 \nabla e^{\psi_0}). \quad (3.14)$$

This follows from the definition of the restriction  $\omega_{FS(H(0))}$  of the Fubini–Study metric. To see (3.14), recall that the Fubini–Study metric is given by

$$\frac{\sum_i dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^i}{1 + \sum |z^k|^2} = \frac{(\sum \bar{z}^i dz^i) \wedge (\sum z^j d\bar{z}^j)}{1 + \sum |z^k|^2}$$

in the coordinate chart  $U_0 = \{(1, z^2, \dots, z^N) \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N-1}\}$ . Then

$$|(\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla\tau_\alpha)|^2_{FS(H(0))} = \frac{(\lambda_\alpha^2 + (\phi'_0)^2 - 2\phi'_0\lambda_\alpha)|\tau_\alpha|^2}{\sum_\beta |\tau_\beta|^2}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$(\nabla\phi'_0, \nabla|\tau_\alpha|^2_{FS(H(0))}) = \frac{(\lambda_\alpha - \phi'_0)|\tau_\alpha|^2}{\sum_\beta |\tau_\beta|^2}, \tag{3.16}$$

$$(\nabla\phi'_0, \phi'_0 \nabla|\tau_\alpha|^2_{FS(H(0))}) = \frac{\phi'_0\lambda_\alpha|\tau_\alpha|^2 - (\phi'_0)^2|\tau_\alpha|^2}{\sum_\beta |\tau_\beta|^2}. \tag{3.17}$$

We get (3.14) by substituting (3.15) into the left hand side of (3.14), and (3.16) and (3.17) into the right hand side of (3.14).  $\square$

The following corollary is fundamental for understanding the idea of this paper, although we do not use as it stands in the proof of the main theorem.

**Corollary 3.9.** *If  $FS_k(H_k)$  is a  $\sigma_k$ -balanced metric for some  $H_k \in \mathcal{B}_k^G$ , then  $H_k$  is a minimum point of  $Z_k^\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{B}_k^G$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $H_k$  is a  $\sigma_k$ -balanced metric,  $\{c(\sigma^*\tau_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  is an orthonormal basis with respect to  $T(H_k)$  for some  $c > 0$ . From (3.7),  $H_k$  is a critical point of  $Z_k^\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{B}_k^G$ . From Lemma 3.8, this is an absolute minimum point of  $Z_k^\sigma$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^G$ . Then there are functions  $\varepsilon_\phi(k)$  such that*

$$k^{-n}(Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)) \geq k^{-n}(Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi^*)) + \varepsilon_\phi(k)$$

and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_\phi(k) = 0$  in  $C^l(X, \mathbb{R})$  for  $l \gg 1$ .

*Proof.* We follow Li’s proof of [16, Lemma 3.3], adapted to our more general setting. Below,  $C$  will stand for a constant depending on  $\phi, \phi^*$  and the volume of the polarized manifold  $(X, L)$ , but independent of  $k$ . The precise value of this constant might change but it will not be important for us.

Set  $H_k^* = \text{Hilb}_k(\phi^*)$  and  $H_k = \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)$ . We choose an orthonormal basis  $\{\tau_\alpha^{(k)}\}$  of  $H_k^*$  in which  $H_k^*$  is represented by the identity and

$$H_k = \text{diag}(e^{2\lambda_\alpha^{(k)}}).$$

Then evaluating  $H_k$  on the orthonormal vectors  $e^{\lambda_\alpha^{(k)}} \tau_\alpha^{(k)}$  gives

$$e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^{(k)}} = \int_X |\tau_\alpha^{(k)}|_{h_0^k}^2 d\mu_0. \tag{3.18}$$

Comparing the metrics we have the existence of  $C > 0$  such that

$$C^{-k}h_{\phi^*}^k \leq h_0^k \leq C^k h_{\phi^*}^k,$$

from which we deduce with (3.18) the following estimate:

$$|\lambda_\alpha^{(k)}| \leq Ck. \tag{3.19}$$

Consider the one-parameter subgroup

$$s \mapsto H_k(s) = \text{diag}(e^{2s\lambda_\alpha^{(k)}})$$

of  $\mathcal{B}_k^G$ . It is a geodesic that goes from  $H_k^*$  to  $H_k$  in  $\mathcal{B}_k^G$ , thus by Lemma 3.8,

$$k^{-n}(Z_k^\sigma(H_k) - Z_k^\sigma(H_k^*)) \geq k^{-n} f_k'(0) \quad \text{with} \quad f_k(s) = Z_k^\sigma(H_k(s)).$$

We need to show that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-n} f_k'(0) = 0$ . By a straightforward computation,

$$k^{-n} f_k'(0) = 2k^{-n} \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha^{(k)} - \frac{2}{k} \int_X \frac{\rho_k^\lambda}{\rho_k} (k + \Delta) e^{\psi_k} d\mu$$

where  $\rho_k^\lambda = \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha^{(k)} |\tau_\alpha^{(k)}|_{h_0^k}^2$  and the quantities  $\rho_k$ ,  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi_k$  and  $d\mu$  are computed with respect to the extremal metric  $\omega_{\phi^*}$ . Then

$$2^{-1}k^{-n} f_k'(0) = k^{-n} \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha^{(k)} - \int_X \frac{\rho_k^\lambda}{\rho_k} e^{\psi_k} d\mu - \frac{1}{k} \int_X \frac{\rho_k^\lambda}{\rho_k} \Delta e^{\psi_k} d\mu. \tag{3.20}$$

We first show that the last term in of (3.20) tends to zero. First note that  $|\rho_k^\lambda/\rho_k| \leq Ck$  from (3.19), thus

$$\left| \frac{1}{k} \int_X \frac{\rho_k^\lambda}{\rho_k} \Delta e^{\psi_k} d\mu \right| \leq C \int_X |\Delta e^{\psi_k}| d\mu,$$

and using Lemma 3.3 we deduce that this term goes to zero as  $k$  tends to infinity.

Then consider the second term on the right hand side of (3.20). Using the expansions of  $\psi_k$  and  $\rho_k$  we deduce that

$$\rho_k^{-1} e^{\psi_k} = k^{-n} \left( 1 - \frac{S}{2k} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\theta + \underline{S}}{2k} + \mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1}) \right).$$

Here we use our crucial assumption, that  $\omega_{\phi^*}$  is extremal, so  $S = \theta + \underline{S}$  and thus

$$\rho_k^{-1} e^{\psi_k} = k^{-n} (1 + \mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1})).$$

Then

$$\int_X \frac{\rho_k^\lambda}{\rho_k} e^{\psi_k} d\mu = \int_X \frac{\rho_k^\lambda}{k^n} (1 + \mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1})) d\mu.$$



As

$$\int_X \frac{\rho_k^\lambda}{k^n} d\mu = k^{-n} \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha^{(k)},$$

the only remaining term to control at infinity in  $k^{-n} f'_k(0)$  is

$$\int_X \frac{\rho_k^\lambda}{k^n} \mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1}) d\mu.$$

Using (3.19), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\rho_k^\lambda}{k^n} \mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1}) \right| \leq CkN_k k^{-n} |\mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1})|.$$

By (2.2),  $N_k k^{-n}$  is bounded and as  $\mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1}) = k^{-1} \epsilon(k)$  with some  $\epsilon(k) \rightarrow 0$ , we conclude that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \frac{\rho_k^\lambda}{k^n} \mathcal{O}_0(k^{-1}) d\mu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-n} f'_k(0) = 0. \quad \square$$

### 3.4. Conclusion: proof of Theorem 1.1

We show the following stronger theorem, which implies Theorem 1.1 by Remark 3.1:

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $(X, L)$  be a polarized manifold that carries extremal metrics representing  $c_1(L)$ . The modified Mabuchi functional with respect to the  $G$ -action induced by the extremal vector field of  $c_1(L)$  attains its minimum at extremal metrics.*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^G$  and let  $\phi^*$  be the potential of an extremal metric. Since

$$\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi) = Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi) + (\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi) - Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)),$$

by Proposition 3.10 we have

$$\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi) \geq Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi^*) + k^n \varepsilon_\phi(k) + (\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi) - Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi) &\geq \mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi^*) + (Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi^*) - \mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi^*)) \\ &\quad + k^n \varepsilon_\phi(k) + (\mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi) - Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

To conclude, from Proposition 3.7,

$$k^{-n} (Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi^*) - \mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi^*)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad k^{-n} (Z_k^\sigma \circ \text{Hilb}_k(\phi) - \mathcal{L}_k^\sigma(\phi)) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k$  tends to infinity. So does  $\varepsilon_\phi(k)$  by construction (see Proposition 3.10). Thus the result follows from Proposition 3.2, after multiplying by  $k^{-n}$  and letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.21).  $\square$

### 4. Appendix

We give the proof of the results concerning  $\sigma$ -balanced metrics. We denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  any of the following Hermitian pairings:

$$\begin{aligned} T^*X \times (T^*X \times L) &\rightarrow L, & L \times (T^*X \times L) &\rightarrow T^*X, \\ L \times L &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}, & T^*X \times T^*X &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \end{aligned}$$

obtained from  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\omega_\phi$ . We denote the connection of type  $(1, 0)$  on the holomorphic tangent bundle  $T'X$  by  $\nabla$ .

#### 4.1. The definition of $I^\sigma$

**Proposition 4.1.**  $I^\sigma(\phi)$  is independent of the choice of a path from 0 to  $\phi$ .

*Proof.* Since  $I^\sigma(\phi)$  satisfies the cocycle property

$$I^\sigma(\phi_1, \phi_3) = I^\sigma(\phi_1, \phi_2) + I^\sigma(\phi_2, \phi_3)$$

by definition, it is sufficient to prove that  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} I^\sigma(\phi_{0,0}, \phi_{t,s})$  is symmetric with respect to  $s$  and  $t$  for any family of paths

$$\{\Phi = \phi_{t,s} \mid (s, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \phi_{0,s} = \phi_{1,s} \equiv 0\}$$

in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} I^\sigma(\phi_{0,0}, \phi_{t,s}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_X \left( (1 + \Delta_\Phi) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right) e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} d\mu_\Phi \\ &= \int_X \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Delta_\Phi \right) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right) e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} d\mu_\Phi + \int_X \left( (1 + \Delta_\Phi) \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial s \partial t} \right) e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} d\mu_\Phi \\ &+ \int_X \left( (1 + \Delta_\Phi) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right) \left( \frac{\partial e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}}}{\partial s} \right) d\mu_\Phi - \int_X \left( (1 + \Delta_\Phi) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right) e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} \left( \Delta_\Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s} \right) d\mu_\Phi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

The first term on the right hand side of (4.1) is

$$\int_X \left( \nabla \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}, \nabla \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s} \right) e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} d\mu_\Phi,$$

which is symmetric. The second term is obviously symmetric. The third term is

$$\int_X \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \left( \nabla \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}, \nabla \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s} \right) e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} d\mu_\Phi + \int_X \left( \Delta_\Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right) \left( \nabla \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}, \nabla \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s} \right) e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} d\mu_\Phi. \tag{4.2}$$

Here we use the following equality.

**Lemma 4.2.**

$$\frac{\partial \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}}{\partial s} = \left( \nabla \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}, \nabla \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s} \right). \tag{4.3}$$

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be the gradient vector field of  $\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s}$ , i.e.,

$$v = \text{grad}_{\omega_\Phi} \left( \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s} \right) = \sum_{i,j} g^{i\bar{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j} \left( \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}. \tag{4.4}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\sigma(1)^* \omega_\Phi - \omega_\Phi) &= L_v (\sigma(1)^* \omega_\Phi - \omega_\Phi) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} d\iota_v \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{\sigma,\Phi} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \left( \nabla \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}, \nabla \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $L_v$  is the Lie derivative along  $v$ . Now, there exists some constant  $c$  such that

$$\frac{\partial \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}}{\partial s} = \left( \nabla \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}, \nabla \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s} \right) + c. \tag{4.5}$$

Recall that  $\int_X \psi_{\sigma,\Phi} d\mu_\Phi$  is constant with respect to  $s, t$  by normalization of  $\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}$ . Since

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_X \psi_{\sigma,\Phi} d\mu_\Phi = \int_X \left( \frac{\partial \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}}{\partial s} - \left( \nabla \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}, \nabla \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s} \right) \right) d\mu_\Phi,$$

the constant  $c$  in (4.5) is zero. Hence, (4.3) is proved. □

The fourth term on the right hand side of (4.1) is

$$- \int_X e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t} \Delta_\Phi \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s} d\mu_\Phi - \int_X e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} \Delta_\Phi \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t} \Delta_\Phi \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s} d\mu_\Phi. \tag{4.6}$$

The sum of the first term in (4.2) and the first term in (4.6) is

$$- \int_X \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t} \left( \Delta_\Phi \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s} + \left( \nabla \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}, \nabla \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s} \right) \right) e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} d\mu_\Phi.$$

This is symmetric, because the operator  $\Delta_\Phi + (\nabla \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}, \nabla)$  is self-adjoint with respect to the weighted volume form  $e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} d\mu_\Phi$ . The remainder is the second term in (4.2), equal to

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_X \left( \nabla \bar{\nabla} \psi_{\sigma,\Phi}, \nabla \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t} \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s} \right) e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} d\mu_\Phi \\ - \int_X \left( \nabla \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t}, \nabla \psi_{\sigma,\Phi} \right) \left( \nabla \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s}, \nabla \psi_{\sigma,\Phi} \right) e^{\psi_{\sigma,\Phi}} d\mu_\Phi, \end{aligned}$$

which is symmetric. □

4.2. Second derivative of  $I_k^\sigma$

*Proof of Lemma 3.5.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} I_k^\sigma(\phi_s) &= k^n \frac{d}{ds} \int_X (k + \Delta_\phi) \phi' e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi \\ &= k^n \int_X (\nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi', \nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi') e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi + k^n \int_X (k + \Delta_\phi) \phi'' e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi \\ &\quad + k^n \int_X ((k + \Delta_\phi) \phi') \psi'_{\sigma,\phi} e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi - k^n \int_X ((k + \Delta_\phi) \phi') e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} \Delta_\phi \phi' d\mu_\phi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

From (4.3), the third term on the right hand side of (4.7) is equal to

$$k^n \int_X ((k + \Delta_\phi) \phi') (\nabla \psi_{\sigma,\phi}, \nabla \phi') e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi. \tag{4.8}$$

By integration by parts, the fourth term in (4.7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &-k^{n+1} \int_X |\nabla \phi'|^2 e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi - k^{n+1} \int_X \phi' e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} (\nabla \psi_{\sigma,\phi}, \nabla \phi') d\mu_\phi \\ &-k^n \int_X (\nabla \Delta_\phi \phi', \nabla \phi') e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi - k^n \int_X (\Delta_\phi \phi') (\nabla \psi_{\sigma,\phi}, \nabla \phi') e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Note that the sum of the second and fourth terms in (4.9) cancels (4.8). The third term in (4.9) is

$$\begin{aligned} &-k^n \int_X (\nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi', \nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi') e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi - k^n \int_X (\nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi', \nabla \psi_{\sigma,\phi} \bar{\nabla} \phi') e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi \\ &= -k^n \int_X (\nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi', \nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi') e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi - k^n \int_X |\nabla \phi'|^2 \Delta_\phi \psi_{\sigma,\phi} e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi \\ &\quad + k^n \int_X |\nabla \phi'|^2 |\nabla \psi_{\sigma,\phi}|^2 e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi \\ &= -k^n \int_X (\nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi', \nabla \bar{\nabla} \phi') e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi - k^n \int_X |\nabla \phi'|^2 \Delta_\phi e^{\psi_{\sigma,\phi}} d\mu_\phi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Substituting (4.8)–(4.10) into (4.7), we get the desired conclusion. □

*Acknowledgments.* The first author is supported by MEXT, Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), No. 22740041. The part of the article concerning  $\sigma$ -balanced metrics was presented by the first author during the 2011 Complex Geometry and Symplectic Geometry Conference held in the University of Science and Technology in China. He would like to thank the organizers for the invitation and kind hospitality. The second author would like to thank Hongnian Huang, Vestislav Apostolov and Andrew Clarke for useful discussions and their interest in this work, as well as Song Sun and Valentino Tosatti for their encouragement.

## References

- [1] Calabi, E.: Extremal Kähler metrics. In: Seminar on Differential Geometry, S. T. Yau (ed.), Ann. of Math. Stud. 102, Princeton Univ. Press, 259–290 (1982) [Zbl 0487.53057](#) [MR 0645743](#)
- [2] Calabi, E.: Extremal Kähler metrics II. In: Differential Geometry and Complex Analysis, I. Chavel and H. M. Farkas (eds.), Springer, 95–114 (1985) [Zbl 0574.58006](#) [MR 0780039](#)
- [3] Catlin, D.: The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian. In: Analysis and Geometry in Several Complex Variables (Katata, 1997), Trends Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1–23 (1999) [Zbl 0941.32002](#) [MR 1699887](#)
- [4] Chen, X., Sun, S.: Space of Kähler metrics (V)—Kähler quantization. In: Metric and Differential Geometry, Progr. Math. 297, Birkhäuser/Springer, 19–41 (2012) [Zbl 1252.53084](#) [MR 3220438](#)
- [5] Chen, X., Tian, G.: Geometry of Kähler metrics and foliations by holomorphic discs. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **107**, 1–107 (2008) [Zbl 1182.32009](#) [MR 2434691](#)
- [6] Della Vedova, A., Zuddas, F.: Scalar curvature and asymptotic Chow stability of projective bundles and blowups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364**, 6495–6511 (2012) [Zbl 1288.32033](#) [MR 2958945](#)
- [7] Donaldson, S. K.: Remarks on gauge theory, complex geometry and 4-manifold topology. In: M. Atiyah and D. Jagolnitzer (eds.), Fields Medallists' Lectures, World Sci., 384–403 (1997) [MR 1622931](#)
- [8] Donaldson, S. K.: Scalar curvature and projective embeddings. I. J. Differential Geom. **59**, 479–522 (2001) [Zbl 1052.32017](#) [MR 1916953](#)
- [9] Donaldson, S. K.: Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties. J. Differential Geom. **62**, 289–349 (2002) [Zbl 1074.53059](#) [MR 1988506](#)
- [10] Donaldson, S. K.: Scalar curvature and projective embeddings. II. Quart. J. Math. **56**, 345–356 (2005) [Zbl 1159.32012](#) [MR 2161248](#)
- [11] Fujiki, A.: On automorphism groups of compact Kähler manifolds. Invent. Math. **44**, 225–258 (1978) [Zbl 0367.32004](#) [MR 0481142](#)
- [12] Futaki, A., Mabuchi, T.: Bilinear forms and extremal Kähler vector fields associated with Kähler classes. Math. Ann. **301**, 199–210 (1995) [Zbl 0831.53042](#) [MR 1314584](#)
- [13] Gauduchon, P.: Calabi's Extremal Metrics: An Elementary Introduction. Book in preparation (2011)
- [14] Guan, D.: On modified Mabuchi functional and Mabuchi moduli space of Kähler metrics on toric bundles. Math. Res. Lett. **6**, 547–555 (1999) [Zbl 0968.53050](#) [MR 1739213](#)
- [15] Kobayashi, S.: Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry. Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 70, Springer, New York (1972) [Zbl 0246.53031](#) [MR 0355886](#)
- [16] Li, C.: Constant scalar curvature Kähler metric obtains the minimum of K-energy. Int. Math. Res. Notices **2011**, no. 9, 2161–2175 [Zbl 1236.32017](#) [MR 2806561](#)
- [17] Lichnerowicz, A.: Géométrie des groupes de transformations. Travaux et recherches math. 3, Dunod (1958) [Zbl 0096.16001](#) [MR 0124009](#)
- [18] Mabuchi, T.: K-energy maps integrating Futaki invariants. Tohoku Math. J. **38**, 575–593 (1986) [Zbl 0619.53040](#) [MR 0867064](#)
- [19] Mabuchi, T.: Uniqueness of extremal Kähler metrics for an integral Kähler class. Int. J. Math. **15**, 531–546 (2004) [Zbl 1058.32017](#) [MR 2078878](#)
- [20] Mabuchi, T.: Relative stability and extremal metrics. J. Math. Soc. Japan **66**, 535–563 (2014) [Zbl 1301.53072](#) [MR 3201825](#)

- [21] Ono, H., Sano, Y., Yotsutani, N.: An example of an asymptotically Chow unstable manifold with constant scalar curvature. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **62**, 1265–1287 (2012) [Zbl 1255.53057](#) [MR 3025743](#)
- [22] Phong, D. H., Sturm, J.: Stability, energy functionals, and Kähler–Einstein metrics. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **11**, 565–597 (2003) [Zbl 1098.32012](#) [MR 2015757](#)
- [23] Ruan, W.-D.: Canonical coordinates and Bergmann metrics. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **6**, 589–631 (1998) [Zbl 0917.53026](#) [MR 1638878](#)
- [24] Simanca, S. R.: A K-energy characterization of extremal Kähler metrics. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128**, 1531–1535 (2000) [Zbl 0951.58019](#) [MR 1664359](#)
- [25] Székelyhidi, G.: Extremal metrics and K-stability. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **39**, 76–84 (2007) [Zbl 1111.53057](#) [MR 2303522](#)
- [26] Tian, G.: On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* **32**, 99–130 (1990) [Zbl 0706.53036](#) [MR 1064867](#)
- [27] Tian, G.: Kähler–Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature. *Invent. Math.* **137**, 1–37 (1997) [Zbl 0892.53027](#) [MR 1471884](#)
- [28] Wang, X. Moment map, Futaki invariant and stability of projective manifolds. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **12**, 1009–1037 (2004) [Zbl 1086.53101](#) [MR 2103309](#)
- [29] Yau, S.-T.: Open problems in geometry, In: *Differential Geometry: Partial Differential Equations on Manifolds* (Los Angeles, CA, 1990), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 54, Amer. Math. Soc., 1–28 (1993) [Zbl 0801.53001](#) [MR 1216573](#)
- [30] Zelditch, S.: Szegő kernels and a theorem of Tian. *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **1998**, no. 6, 317–331 [Zbl 0922.58082](#) [MR 1616718](#)
- [31] Zhang, S.: Heights and reductions of semi-stable varieties. *Compos. Math.* **104**, 77–105 (1996) [Zbl 0924.11055](#) [MR 1420712](#)