

# Double Positive Solutions of Three-Point Boundary Value Problems for $p$ -Laplacian Difference Equations

Zhimin He

**Abstract.** In this paper, by means of double fixed-point theorem in a cone, the existence of double positive solutions of three-point boundary value problems for  $p$ -Laplacian difference equations is considered.

**Keywords:** *Difference equation,  $p$ -Laplacian, boundary value problem, positive solution, double fixed-point theorem, cone*

**MSC 2000:** Primary 39A10, secondary 34B10, 34B18

## 1. Introduction

For notation, given  $a < b$  in  $Z$ , we employ intervals to denote discrete sets such as  $[a, b] = \{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$ ,  $[a, b) = \{a, a + 1, \dots, b - 1\}$ ,  $[a, \infty) = \{a, a + 1, \dots\}$ , etc. Let  $N \geq 1$  be fixed. In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of positive solutions of the following  $p$ -Laplacian difference equation

$$\Delta[\phi_p(\Delta u(t-1))] + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [1, N+1], \quad (1)$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

$$u(0) - B_0(\Delta u(\eta)) = 0, \quad \Delta u(N+1) = 0, \quad (2)$$

or

$$\Delta u(0) = 0, \quad u(N+2) + B_1(\Delta u(\eta)) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $\phi_p(s)$  is a  $p$ -Laplacian operator, i.e.,  $\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $(\phi_p)^{-1} = \phi_q$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $0 < \eta < N+1$  and

---

Zhimin He: Department of Applied Mathematics, Central South University, Changsha 410083, Hunan, People's Republic of China; [hezhimin@mail.csu.edu.cn](mailto:hezhimin@mail.csu.edu.cn)

This work was supported by NSF (No. 03JJY2001) of Hunan and Mathematical Tianyuan Foundation (No. A0324621) of People's Republic of China.

- (**H<sub>1</sub>**)  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is continuous ( $\mathbb{R}^+$  denotes the nonnegative reals );  
 (**H<sub>2</sub>**)  $a(t)$  is a positive valued function defined on  $[0, N + 2]$ ;  
 (**H<sub>3</sub>**)  $B_0(v)$  and  $B_1(v)$  are both continuous odd functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  and satisfies that there exist  $A, B > 0$  such that  $Bv \leq B_j(v) \leq Av$  for all  $v \geq 0, j = 0, 1$ .

We remark that by a solution  $u$  of (1), (2) (respectively (1), (3)), we mean  $u : [0, N + 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u$  satisfies (1) on  $[1, N + 1]$ , and  $u$  satisfies the boundary conditions (2) (respectively (3)). If  $\Delta^2 u(t - 1) \leq 0$  for  $t \in [1, N + 1]$ , then we say  $u(t)$  is concave on  $[0, N + 2]$ .

$p$ -Laplacian problems with two-point, three-point and multi-point boundary conditions for ordinary differential equations and finite difference equations have been studied extensively, see [1, 4 – 6, 9 – 16] and references therein. In this paper, by using a new double fixed-point theorem due to Avery and Henderson [3] in a cone, we prove that there exist at least double positive solutions of (1), (2) (respectively (1), (3)). To this end, in Section 2 we provide some background material from the theory of cones in Banach spaces, and we then state the double fixed-point theorem. In Section 3 and Section 4, by defining an appropriate Banach space and cones, we impose the growth conditions on  $f$  which allow us to apply the double fixed-point theorem in obtaining existence of double positive solutions of (1), (2) (respectively (1), (3)). Our results are discrete analogues of the recent paper by Liu and Ge [11].

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some background materials from the theory of cones in Banach spaces, and we then state the double fixed-point theorem for a cone preserving operator. The following definitions can be found in the book by Deimling [7] as well as in the book by Guo and Lakshmikantham [8].

**Definition 1.** Let  $E$  be a real Banach space. A nonempty, closed convex set  $P \subset E$  is called a *cone*, if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i)  $x \in P, \lambda \geq 0$  implies  $\lambda x \in P$ ;
- (ii)  $x, -x \in P$  implies  $x = 0$ .

Every cone  $P \subset E$  induces an *ordering* in  $E$  given by

$$x \leq_P y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad y - x \in P.$$

**Definition 2.** Given a cone  $P$  in a real Banach space  $E$ , a functional  $\psi : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *increasing* on  $P$ , provided  $\psi(x) \leq \psi(y)$  for all  $x, y \in P$  with  $x \leq_P y$ .

**Definition 3.** Given a nonnegative continuous functional  $\gamma$  on a cone  $P$  of a real Banach space  $E$ , we define, for each  $d > 0$ , the *level set*

$$P(\gamma, d) = \{x \in P \mid \gamma(x) < d\}.$$

The following double fixed-point theorem due to Avery and Henderson [3] will play an important role in the proof of our results. Applications of this fixed point theorem can be found in recent papers [2, 11, 12].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $P$  be a cone in a real Banach space  $E$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  be increasing, nonnegative, continuous functionals on  $P$ , and let  $\theta$  be a nonnegative, continuous functional on  $P$  with  $\theta(0) = 0$  such that for some  $c > 0$  and  $M > 0$ ,*

$$\gamma(x) \leq \theta(x) \leq \alpha(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\| \leq M\gamma(x)$$

for all  $x \in \overline{P(\gamma, c)}$ . Suppose there exist positive numbers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $a < b < c$  such that

$$\theta(\lambda x) \leq \lambda\theta(x) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad x \in \partial P(\theta, b),$$

and

$$T : \overline{P(\gamma, c)} \rightarrow P$$

is a completely continuous operator such that

- (i)  $\gamma(Tx) > c$  for all  $x \in \partial P(\gamma, c)$
- (ii)  $\theta(Tx) < b$  for all  $x \in \partial P(\theta, b)$
- (iii)  $P(\alpha, a) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\alpha(Tx) > a$  for all  $x \in \partial P(\alpha, a)$ .

Then  $T$  has at least two fixed points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  belonging to  $\overline{P(\gamma, c)}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a < \alpha(x_1) \quad &\text{with } \theta(x_1) < b \\ b < \theta(x_2) \quad &\text{with } \gamma(x_2) < c. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Solutions of (1) and (2) in a cone

In this section, by defining an appropriate Banach space and cones, we impose the growth conditions on  $f$  which allow us to apply the double fixed-point theorem in establishing the existence of double positive solutions of (1), (2). We note that, from the nonnegativity of  $a$  and  $f$ , a solution of (1), (2) is nonnegative and concave on  $[0, N + 2]$ .

Let

$$E = \{u \mid u : [0, N + 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\},$$

with norm  $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, N+2]} |u(t)|$ , then  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space. Define a cone  $P \subset E$  by

$$P = \left\{ u \in E \mid \begin{array}{l} u \text{ is concave and nonnegative valued} \\ \text{on } [0, N + 2], \text{ and } \Delta u(N + 1) = 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Lemma 1.** *If  $u \in P$ , then*

$$u(t) \geq \frac{t}{N+2} \|u\|, \quad t \in [0, N+2], \tag{4}$$

where  $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, N+2]} |u(t)|$ .

**Proof.** From the fact that  $u$  is concave on  $[0, N+2]$ , we see that  $\Delta u(t)$  is decreasing. Thus  $\Delta u(t) \geq \Delta u(N+1) = 0$  for  $t \in [0, N+1]$  and  $u(t)$  is increasing on  $[0, N+2]$ , that is,  $u(N+2) \geq u(t) \geq u(0) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, N+2]$ . So,  $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, N+2]} |u(t)| = u(N+2)$ .

Let

$$x(t) = u(t) - \frac{t}{N+2} \|u\|, \quad t \in [0, N+2]. \tag{5}$$

Then

$$\Delta^2 x(t-1) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [1, N+1], \tag{6}$$

and

$$x(0) \geq 0, \quad x(N+2) = 0. \tag{7}$$

From (6), (7) we get for  $t \in [0, N+2]$

$$x(t) = \frac{N+2-t}{N+2} x(0) + \frac{t}{N+2} x(N+2) - \sum_{s=1}^{N+1} G(t,s) \Delta^2 x(s-1) \geq 0, \tag{8}$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \frac{1}{N+2} \begin{cases} s(N+2-t), & 1 \leq s \leq t \leq N+2, \\ t(N+2-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq N+1. \end{cases}$$

From (5), (8) we obtain

$$u(t) \geq \frac{t}{N+2} \|u\| \quad \text{for } t \in [0, N+2].$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is complete. ■

Fix an integer  $l$  such that  $0 < \eta < l < N+2$ , and define the increasing, nonnegative continuous functionals  $\gamma$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\alpha$  on  $P$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(u) &= \min_{\eta \leq t \leq l} u(t) = u(\eta) \\ \theta(u) &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} u(t) = u(\eta) \\ \alpha(u) &= \min_{l \leq t \leq N+2} u(t) = u(l). \end{aligned}$$

We see that  $\gamma(u) = \theta(u) \leq \alpha(u)$  for each  $u \in P$ . In addition, for each  $u \in P$ , Lemma 1 implies  $\gamma(u) = u(\eta) \geq \frac{\eta}{N+2} \|u\|$ . Thus,

$$\|u\| \leq \frac{N+2}{\eta} \gamma(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in P.$$

We also see that  $\theta(\lambda u) = \lambda\theta(u)$  for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $u \in \partial P(\theta, b)$ . For notational convenience, we denote  $\mu, \xi$  and  $\delta$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (B + l)\phi_q\left(\sum_{i=l}^{N+1} a(i)\right) \\ \xi &= A\phi_q\left(\sum_{i=\eta+1}^{N+1} a(i)\right) + \sum_{s=0}^{\eta-1} \phi_q\left(\sum_{i=s+1}^{N+1} a(i)\right) \\ \delta &= (B + \eta)\phi_q\left(\sum_{i=\eta+1}^{N+1} a(i)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $u(t)$  is a solution of (1) and (2), if and only if for  $t \in [0, N + 2]$

$$u(t) = B_0 \left( \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{N+1} a(i)f(u(i)) \right) \right) + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=s+1}^{N+1} a(i)f(u(i)) \right).$$

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$  are satisfied. Let*

$$0 < a < \frac{\mu}{\xi}b < \frac{\eta\mu}{(N + 2)\xi}c,$$

and suppose that  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (C<sub>1</sub>)  $f(w) > \phi_p(\frac{c}{\delta})$  for  $c \leq w \leq \frac{N+2}{\eta}c$
- (C<sub>2</sub>)  $f(w) < \phi_p(\frac{b}{\xi})$  for  $0 \leq w \leq \frac{N+2}{\eta}b$
- (C<sub>3</sub>)  $f(w) > \phi_p(\frac{a}{\mu})$  for  $a \leq w \leq \frac{N+2}{l}a$ .

Then, there exists at least two solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  of (1) and (2) such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &< \alpha(u_1) \quad \text{with } \theta(u_1) < b \\ b &< \theta(u_2) \quad \text{with } \gamma(u_2) < c. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Define a completely continuous summation operator  $T : P \rightarrow E$  by

$$(Tu)(t) = B_0 \left( \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{N+1} a(i)f(u(i)) \right) \right) + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=s+1}^{N+1} a(i)f(u(i)) \right) \quad (9)$$

for  $u \in P, t \in [0, N + 2]$ . We will seek fixed points of  $T$  in the cone  $P$ . For  $t \in [0, N + 2]$ , it is easy to see that  $(Tu)(t)$  satisfies (1), (2). So each fixed point of  $T$  in the cone  $P$  is a positive solution of (1), (2).

We now prove that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold with respect to  $T$ . Let  $u \in \partial P(\gamma, c)$ , then  $(Tu)(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, N + 2]$ . In addition,  $\Delta^2(Tu)(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \in [0, N]$ , and  $\Delta(Tu)(N + 1) = 0$ . This implies  $Tu \in P$ , and so  $T : P(\gamma, c) \rightarrow P$ .

To verify that (i) of Theorem 1 holds, we choose  $u \in \partial P(\gamma, c)$ . Then  $\gamma(u) = \min_{\eta \leq t \leq N+2} u(t) = u(\eta) = c$ . This implies  $u(t) \geq c$ ,  $\eta \leq t \leq N+2$ . Recalling that  $\|u\| \leq \frac{N+2}{\eta} \gamma(u) = \frac{N+2}{\eta} c$ , we have

$$c \leq u(t) \leq \frac{N+2}{\eta} c \quad \text{for } \eta \leq t \leq N+2.$$

As a consequence of (C<sub>1</sub>),  $f(u(s)) > \phi_p\left(\frac{c}{\delta}\right)$  for  $\eta \leq s \leq N+2$ . Since  $Tu \in P$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(Tu) &= (Tu)(\eta) \\ &= B_0 \left( \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{N+1} a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \right) + \sum_{s=0}^{\eta-1} \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=s+1}^{N+1} a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &> (B + \eta) \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{N+1} a(i) \right) \cdot \frac{c}{\delta} = c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (i) of Theorem 1 is satisfied.

Let  $u \in \partial P(\theta, b)$ . Then  $\theta(u) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} u(t) = u(\eta) = b$ . This implies  $0 \leq u(t) \leq b$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq \eta$ , and since  $u \in P$ , we have  $b \leq u(t) \leq \|u\| = u(N+2)$  for  $\eta \leq t \leq N+2$ . Note that  $\|u\| \leq \frac{N+2}{\eta} \gamma(u) = \frac{N+2}{\eta} \theta(u) = \frac{N+2}{\eta} b$ . So,

$$0 \leq u(t) \leq \frac{N+2}{\eta} b \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq N+2.$$

From (C<sub>2</sub>) we have  $f(u(s)) < \phi_p\left(\frac{b}{\xi}\right)$  for  $0 \leq s \leq N+2$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(Tu) &= (Tu)(\eta) \\ &= B_0 \left( \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{N+1} a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \right) + \sum_{s=0}^{\eta-1} \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=s+1}^{N+1} a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\leq A \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{N+1} a(i) f(u(i)) \right) + \sum_{s=0}^{\eta-1} \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=s+1}^{N+1} a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &< \left( A \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{N+1} a(i) \right) + \sum_{s=0}^{\eta-1} \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=s+1}^{N+1} a(i) \right) \right) \cdot \frac{b}{\xi} = b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (ii) of Theorem 1 is satisfied.

We now prove that (iii) of Theorem 1 is also satisfied. We note that  $u(t) = \frac{a}{2}$ ,  $t \in [0, N+2]$ , is a member of  $P(\alpha, a)$  and  $\alpha(u) = \frac{a}{2} < a$ . So  $P(\alpha, a) \neq \emptyset$ .

Now, let  $u \in \partial P(\alpha, a)$ . Then  $\alpha(u) = \min_{l \leq t \leq N+2} u(t) = u(l) = a$ . Recalling that  $\|u\| \leq \frac{N+2}{l} \gamma(u) \leq \frac{N+2}{l} \alpha(u) = \frac{N+2}{l} a$ , we have

$$a \leq u(t) \leq \frac{N+2}{l} a \quad \text{for } l \leq t \leq N+2.$$

From assumption  $(C_3)$ , we get  $f(u(s)) > \phi_p\left(\frac{a}{\mu}\right)$  for  $l \leq s \leq N+2$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(Tu) &= (Tu)(l) \\ &= B_0 \left( \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{N+1} a(i)f(u(i)) \right) \right) + \sum_{s=0}^{l-1} \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=s+1}^{N+1} a(i)f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\geq B\phi_q \left( \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{N+1} a(i)f(u(i)) \right) + \sum_{s=0}^{l-1} \phi_q \left( \sum_{i=s+1}^{N+1} a(i)f(u(i)) \right) \\ &> (B+l)\phi_q \left( \sum_{i=l}^{N+1} a(i) \right) \cdot \frac{a}{\mu} = a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Theorem 1 implies that  $T$  has at least two fixed points  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , belonging to  $\overline{P(\gamma, c)}$ , which are positive solutions of (1) and (2) such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &< \alpha(u_1) \quad \text{with } \theta(u_1) < b \\ b &< \theta(u_2) \quad \text{with } \gamma(u_2) < c. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. ■

### 4. Solutions of (1) and (3) in a cone

In this section, we use the double fixed-point theorem to establish the existence of double positive solutions of (1), (3).

Consider the Banach space

$$E = \{u \mid u : [0, N+2] \rightarrow R\},$$

with norm  $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, N+2]} |u(t)|$ , and define a cone  $P_1 \subset E$  by

$$P_1 = \left\{ u \in E \mid \begin{array}{l} u \text{ is concave and nonnegative valued} \\ \text{on } [0, N+2], \text{ and } \Delta u(0) = 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $u \in P_1$ , then*

$$u(t) \geq \frac{N+2-t}{N+2} \|u\|, \quad t \in [0, N+2], \tag{10}$$

where  $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, N+2]} |u(t)|$ .

**Proof.** From the fact that  $u$  is concave on  $[0, N + 2]$ , we see that  $\Delta u$  is decreasing. Thus  $\Delta u(t) \leq \Delta u(0) = 0$  for  $t \in [0, N + 1]$  and  $u(t)$  is decreasing on  $[0, N + 2]$ , that is,  $u(0) \geq u(t) \geq u(N + 2) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, N + 2]$ . So,  $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, N+2]} |u(t)| = u(0)$ .

Let

$$y(t) = u(t) - \frac{N + 2 - t}{N + 2} \|u\|, \quad t \in [0, N + 2]. \quad (11)$$

Then

$$\Delta^2 y(t - 1) \leq 0, \quad t \in [1, N + 1], \quad (12)$$

and

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(N + 2) \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

From (12), (13) we get

$$y(t) = \frac{N + 2 - t}{N + 2} y(0) + \frac{t}{N + 2} y(N + 2) - \sum_{s=1}^{N+1} G(t, s) \Delta^2 y(s - 1) \geq 0 \quad (14)$$

for  $t \in [0, N + 2]$ , where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{N + 2} \begin{cases} s(N + 2 - t), & 1 \leq s \leq t \leq N + 2 \\ t(N + 2 - s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq N + 1. \end{cases}$$

From (11), (14) we obtain

$$u(t) \geq \frac{N + 2 - t}{N + 2} \|u\|, \quad t \in [0, N + 2].$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is complete. ■

Fix an integer  $r$  such that  $0 < r < \eta$ , and define the increasing, nonnegative, continuous functionals  $\gamma$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$  on  $P_1$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(u) &= \min_{r \leq t \leq \eta} u(t) = u(\eta) \\ \theta(u) &= \max_{\eta \leq t \leq N+2} u(t) = u(\eta) \\ \alpha(u) &= \min_{0 \leq t \leq r} u(t) = u(r). \end{aligned}$$

We see that, for each  $u \in P_1$ ,  $\gamma(u) = \theta(u) \leq \alpha(u)$ . In addition, for each  $u \in P_1$ ,  $\gamma(u) = u(\eta) \geq \frac{N+2-\eta}{N+2} \|u\|$ . Thus,

$$\|u\| \leq \frac{N + 2}{N + 2 - \eta} \gamma(u), \quad u \in P_1.$$



We also see that  $\theta(\lambda u) = \lambda\theta(u)$  for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $u \in \partial P_1(\theta, b)$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= (B + N + 2 - r)\phi_q\left(\sum_{i=1}^r a(i)\right) \\ \xi_1 &= A\phi_q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} a(i)\right) + \sum_{s=\eta}^{N+1} \phi_q\left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i)\right) \\ \delta_1 &= (B + N + 2 - \eta)\phi_q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} a(i)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $u(t)$  is a solution of (1) and (3), if and only if for  $t \in [0, N + 2]$

$$u(t) = B_1 \left( \phi_q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} a(i)f(u(i))\right) \right) + \sum_{s=t}^{N+1} \phi_q\left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i)f(u(i))\right).$$

In analogy to the existence results of the previous section, we have the following theorem for positive solutions of (1) and (3).

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$  are satisfied. Let*

$$0 < a < \frac{\mu_1}{\xi_1}b < \frac{(N + 2 - \eta)\mu_1}{(N + 2)\xi_1}c,$$

and suppose that  $f$  satisfies the following conditions

- (D<sub>1</sub>)  $f(w) > \phi_p(\frac{c}{\delta_1})$  for  $c \leq w \leq \frac{N+2}{N+2-\eta}c$
- (D<sub>2</sub>)  $f(w) < \phi_p(\frac{b}{\xi_1})$  for  $0 \leq w \leq \frac{N+2}{N+2-\eta}b$
- (D<sub>3</sub>)  $f(w) > \phi_p(\frac{a}{\mu_1})$  for  $a \leq w \leq \frac{N+2}{N+2-r}a$ .

Then, there exists at least two solutions of (1) and (3) such that

$$\begin{aligned} a < \alpha(u_1) & \quad \text{with } \theta(u_1) < b \\ b < \theta(u_2) & \quad \text{with } \gamma(u_2) < c. \end{aligned}$$

### 5. Example

In this section, we present an example to explain our result. Consider the  $p$ -Laplacian difference equation

$$\Delta[\phi_p(\Delta u(t - 1))] + f(u(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [1, 99], \tag{15}$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

$$u(0) - 2\Delta u(45) = 0, \quad \Delta u(99) = 0, \tag{16}$$

where  $p = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $q = 3$ ,  $a(t) \equiv 1$ ,  $A = B = 2$ ,  $\eta = 45$ ,  $N = 98$ , and

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} 0.4, & 0 \leq u \leq \frac{1}{9} \cdot 10^6 \\ 0.4 + \frac{9u-10^6}{8 \cdot 10^5}, & \frac{1}{9} \cdot 10^6 \leq u \leq 2 \cdot 10^5 \\ 1.4, & u \geq 2 \cdot 10^5. \end{cases}$$

Then, the system (15), (16) has at least two positive solutions.

**Proof.** Choose  $a = 10^4$ ,  $b = 5 \cdot 10^4$ ,  $c = 2 \cdot 10^5$  and  $l = 50$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= 52\phi_3\left(\sum_{i=50}^{99} a(i)\right) = 130000 \\ \xi &= 2\phi_3\left(\sum_{i=46}^{99} a(i)\right) + \sum_{s=0}^{44} \phi_3\left(\sum_{i=s+1}^{99} a(i)\right) = 280227 \\ \delta &= 47\phi_3\left(\sum_{i=46}^{99} a(i)\right) = 137052. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $0 < a < \frac{\mu}{\xi}b < \frac{\eta\mu}{(N+2)\xi}c$ , and  $f$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) &> \phi_p\left(\frac{c}{\delta}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot 10^5}{137052}} \approx 1.208 \quad \text{for } 2 \cdot 10^5 \leq w \leq \frac{4}{9} \cdot 10^6 \\ f(w) &< \phi_p\left(\frac{b}{\xi}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{5 \cdot 10^5}{280227}} \approx 0.422 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq w \leq \frac{1}{9} \cdot 10^6 \\ f(w) &> \phi_p\left(\frac{a}{\mu}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{10^4}{130000}} \approx 0.277 \quad \text{for } 10^4 \leq w \leq 2 \cdot 10^4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Theorem 2, the problem (15), (16) has at least two positive solutions  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 10^4 &< \min_{t \in [50, 100]} u_1(t) && \text{with } \max_{t \in [0, 45]} u_1(t) < 5 \cdot 10^4 \\ 5 \cdot 10^4 &< \max_{t \in [0, 45]} u_2(t) && \text{with } \min_{t \in [45, 50]} u_2(t) < 2 \cdot 10^5. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

## References

- [1] Agarwal, R. P., Lü, H. and D. O'Regan: *Eigenvalues and the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 266 (2002), 383 – 400.
- [2] Avery, R. I., Chyan, C. J. and J. Henderson: *Twin solutions of a boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations and finite difference equations*. Comput. Math. Appl. 42 (2001), 695 – 704.

- [3] Avery, R. I. and J. Henderson: *Twin positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces*. Comm. Appl. Nonlin. Anal. 8 (2001), 27 – 36.
- [4] Avery, R. I. and J. Henderson: *Existence of three positive pseudo-symmetric solutions for a one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 277 (2003), 395 – 404.
- [5] Avery, R. I. and J. Henderson: *Existence of three positive pseudo-symmetric solutions for a one dimensional discrete  $p$ -Laplacian*. J. Difference Equations. Appl. 10 (2004), 529 – 539.
- [6] Cabada, A.: *Extremal solutions for the difference  $\phi$ -Laplacian problem with nonlinear functional boundary conditions*. Comput. Math. Appl. 42 (2001), 593 – 601.
- [7] Deimling, K.: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. New York: Springer 1985.
- [8] Guo, D. and V. Lakshmikantham: *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*. New York: Academic Press 1988.
- [9] He, Z. M.: *On the existence of positive solutions of  $p$ -Laplacian difference equations*. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 161 (2003), 193 – 201.
- [10] Kong, L. B. and J. Y. Wang: *Multiple positive solutions for the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian*. Nonlinear Analysis 42 (2000), 1327 – 1333.
- [11] Liu, Y. and W. Ge: *Multiple positive solutions to three-point boundary value problem with  $p$ -Laplacian operator*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 277 (2003), 293 – 302.
- [12] Liu, Y. and W. Ge: *Twin positive solutions of boundary value problems for finite difference equations with  $p$ -Laplacian operator*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 278 (2003), 551 – 561.
- [13] Sun, W. P. and W. G. Ge: *The existence of positive solutions for a class of nonlinear boundary value problems (in Chinese)*. Acta. Math. Sinica. 44 (2001), 577 – 580.
- [14] Wang, H. Y.: *On the number of positive solutions of nonlinear systems*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 281 (2003), 287 – 306.
- [15] Wang, J. Y.: *The existence of positive solutions for the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 2275 – 2283.
- [16] Wang, J. Y. and D. W. Zheng: *On the existence of positive solutions to a three-point boundary value problem for the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian*. Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 77 (1997), 477 – 479.

Received 29.02.2004; in revised form 17.09.2004