Limiting absorption principle, generalized eigenfunctions, and scattering matrix for Laplace operators with boundary conditions on hypersurfaces

Andrea Mantile, Andrea Posilicano, and Mourad Sini

Abstract. We provide a limiting absorption principle for the self-adjoint realizations of Laplace operators corresponding to boundary conditions on (relatively open parts Σ of) compact hypersurfaces $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For any of such self-adjoint operators we also provide the generalized eigenfunctions and the scattering matrix; both these objects are written in terms of operator-valued Weyl functions. We make use of a Kreĭn-type formula which provides the resolvent difference between the operator corresponding to self-adjoint boundary conditions on the hypersurface and the free Laplacian on the whole space \mathbb{R}^n . Our results apply to all standard examples of boundary conditions, like Dirichlet, Neumann, Robin, δ and δ' -type, either assigned on Γ or on $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 35P25, 35J15, 35J25, 47B25.

Keywords. Limiting absorption principle, scattering matrix, boundary conditions, selfadjoint extensions.

Contents

1	Introduction
2	Preliminaries
3	Self-adjoint Laplace operators with boundary conditions on
	hypersurfaces
4	The limiting absorption principle
5	Eigenfunction expansion and the scattering matrix
6	Examples: Boundary conditions on Γ
7	Examples: Boundary conditions on $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$
Re	ferences

1. Introduction

Given an open bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary Γ , let Δ° denote the not positive symmetric operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by the restriction of the Laplacian to $C^{\infty}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma)$. In the recent paper [29], we provided the complete family of self-adjoint extensions of Δ° and a Kreĭn-type formula giving the resolvent difference between any extension and the self-adjoint (free) Laplacian Δ with domain $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (we recall these results in Theorem 3.1). Some sub-families of extensions have been considered in [16] and [22] by a quadratic form approach and in [9] by quasi boundary triple theory. In particular, in [9, Section 4], Schatten-von Neumann estimates for the difference of the powers of the resolvent of the free and self-adjoint extensions corresponding to δ -type boundary conditions (supported either on Γ or on $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$) and δ' -type ones (supported on Γ) are provided; these give existence and completeness of the wave operators of the associated scattering systems. In [29, Theorems 4.10 and 4.11] we extended such kind of Schatten-von Neumann estimates to a larger class containing, for example, selfadjoint extensions corresponding to Dirichlet, Neumann, Robin, δ and δ' -type conditions, either assigned on Γ or on $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$, where Σ is relatively open with a Lipschitz boundary. To this concern we recall that estimates for the difference of the powers of the resolvents and their applications to scattering in exterior domains first appeared in the pioneering work by Birman [14].

Let us stress that, by the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^n = \Omega_{in} \cup \Gamma \cup \Omega_{ex}$, $\Omega_{in} \equiv \Omega$, $\Omega_{ex} := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}$, one has $\Delta^\circ = \Delta_{in}^{\min} \oplus \Delta_{ex}^{\min}$ and so one could obtain all selfadjoint extensions of Δ° corresponding to separating boundary conditions by using the results obtained by Grubb in [24] (building on previous work by Birman, Kreĭn and Višik, see [13], [28], and [39]) providing the whole family of selfadjoint extensions of $\Delta_{in/ex}^{\min}$; however such construction, broadened to include all self-adjoint extensions, would lead to a Kreĭn-type formula giving the resolvent difference between an extension $\widehat{\Delta}$ and the direct sum of the interior and exterior Dirichlet Laplacians $\Delta_{in}^D \oplus \Delta_{ex}^D$. This is not the right operator since we are interested in the study of the scattering system ($\widehat{\Delta}, \Delta$), where Δ denotes the free Laplacian on the whole \mathbb{R}^n ; whenever one considers semi-transparent boundary conditions (as the ones considered in [9] and in Section 6, Examples 6.4 and 6.5), or boundary conditions assigned only on $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$ (see Section 7), the choice of the Laplacian Δ as the operator representing the free propagation is the most natural one.

The first aim of this paper is to show that the Limiting Absorption Principle (LAP for short) holds for an ample class of self-adjoint extensions of the symmetric operator Δ° . This is accomplished by applying abstract results due to Walter Renger (see [35] and [36]) to our Kreĭn-type resolvent formula (see Theorem 4.2).

1445

As usual, LAP implies the absence of singular continuous spectrum (see Corollary 4.7). Even if interesting by itself, the result about the validity of LAP does not show that the resolvent Kreĭn formula itself survives in the limit. Such a limit resolvent formula is here provided in next Theorem 4.5. With these results at hands, in Section 5 we construct, for a given self-adjoint extension $\hat{\Delta}$ of Δ° , the couple of families of generalized eigenfunctions u_{ξ}^{\pm} related to the plane waves $u_{\xi}^{\circ}(x) = e^{i \xi \cdot x}$ with incoming (+) or outgoing (-) radiation conditions. Such eigenfunctions allow to define the corresponding Fourier type transforms F_{\pm} which diagonalize the self-adjoint extension; the wave operators for the scattering system ($\hat{\Delta}, \Delta$) are then given by $W_{\pm} = F_{\pm}^*F$, where *F* denotes the ordinary Fourier transform (see Theorem 5.4). Both the eigenfunctions u_{ξ}^{\pm} and the Fourier transforms F_{\pm} are expressed in terms of the Weyl functions appearing in the limit Kreĭn resolvent formula given in Theorem 4.5. Finally, in Theorem 5.6, using again the operatorvalued Weyl functions, we provide the kernel (proportional to the scattering amplitude) of $1 - S_k$, where S_k is the on-shell scattering operator.

In sections 6 and 7, we show how our LAP-based results can be applied to a wide class of self-adjoint operators which includes self-adjoint realizations of the Laplacian with Dirichlet, Neumann, Robin, δ and δ' -type boundary conditions assigned either on the whole Γ or on a relatively open subset with Lipschitz boundary $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$. We provide a representation of the scattering matrix S_k in terms of operator-valued Weyl functions evaluated on the traces at $(\Sigma \subset)\Gamma$ of the plane waves u_{ξ}° .

Our time-independent approach has been inspired by the work by Albeverio, Brasche and Koshmanenko [3], where LAP and Lippman-Schwinger equations are studied for finite-rank singular perturbations, and can be interpreted as an extension to the case of general boundary conditions and hypersurfaces of the paper [26] by Ikebe and Shimada concerning δ -type boundary conditions on a sphere (see also [38]). An alternative abstract approach, which do not use LAP but directly exploits the existence of limiting operator-valued Weyl-functions, has been developed in [10], [11] and [12] by Behrndt, Malamud and Neidhardt (the first two works concern the finite-rank case; see also [1], [4, Chapter 4]). In particular, in the recent paper [12], a representation of the scattering matrix in term of operator-valued Weyl functions is provided for couples of self-adjoint extension of a given symmetric operator under the hypothesis that their resolvent difference is trace-class. In our less abstract setting, which applies to Laplacian with boundary conditions on $(\Sigma \subset)\Gamma$, we do not need the trace-class condition and the results hold in any dimension. 1446

Let us remark that, once LAP and a Kreĭn's limit formula have been attained (see Theorems 4.2 and 4.5), a representation formula for the scattering matrix S_k can be obtained by using the Birman–Yafaev general scheme in stationary scattering theory (see e.g. [15], [40], [41]) together with the Birman-Kato invariance principle applied to the resolvent operators (see Remark 5.7 for more details). However we preferred to present here a less abstract proof following the classical scheme used in potential scattering theory (see e.g. [2], [5], [25], [37], and references therein).

We conclude the introduction with some remarks about our smoothness hypotheses on Γ . Such an hypothesis gives the existence of the wave operators (see [29, Theorems 4.11 and 4.12]) through asymptotic estimates on the eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrani operator on Γ (see [8, Lemma 4.7]). These estimates, obtained using pseudodifferential operator techniques, require smoothness; we presume that asymptotic estimates of this kind hold under a weaker $C^{1,1}$ (or at least C^{2}) hypothesis, but we did not find any proof of that in the literature. Since our result concerning existence of LAP does not require any smoothness hypothesis, conditional on the existence of wave operators, the general results here presented hold in the case Γ is an hypersurface of class $C^{1,1}$, as for the results presented in [29], while, as regards the explicit examples given in Section 7 considering boundary conditions on $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$, one needs more regularity (of the kind $C^{k,1}$, where k > 1 depends on the kind of boundary conditions used, see [29, Section 6]). In the series of papers [19]–[21], limited to the case in which n = 2 and Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions are assigned on the whole Γ , the authors provided a resolvent formula and a representation for the scattering matrix of the same kind of the ones here given in Examples 6.1 and 6.2, only assuming that the boundary Γ is a piecewise smooth curve. This suggests that our results, which hold for a quite larger class of boundary conditions, could be extended to include the case in which Γ is a planar curvilinear polygon (see e.g. [17] and [23] for elliptic boundary value problem in not smooth domains).

Acknowledgment. We thank the anonymous referees for the stimulating remarks, for the useful bibliographic suggestions and in particular for inspiring Remark 5.7.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Trace maps and boundary-layer operators. Here we recall some definitions and results about Sobolev spaces on subset of \mathbb{R}^n and single and double layer operators on their boundaries (see e.g. [32] and [30]).

Given $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open and bounded, with smooth boundary Γ , we adopt the notation: $\Omega_{in} = \Omega$, $\Omega_{ex} = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}$, while ν is the exterior unit normal to Γ . $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $H^s(\Omega_{in})$, $H^s(\Omega_{ex})$, $H^s(\Gamma)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, denote the usual scales of Sobolev-Hilbert spaces of function on \mathbb{R}^n , Ω_{in} , Ω_{ex} and Γ respectively. The zero and first-order traces on Γ are defined on smooth functions as

$$\gamma_0 u = u | \Gamma, \quad \gamma_1 u = v \cdot \nabla u | \Gamma,$$
(2.1)

and extend to the bounded linear operators

$$\gamma_0 \in \mathsf{B}(H^2(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)), \quad \gamma_1 \in \mathsf{B}(H^2(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)).$$
 (2.2)

We use the symbol Δ to denote the distributional Laplacian; its restriction to $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\Delta: H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

gives rise to a self-adjoint operator which describes the free propagation of waves in the whole space \mathbb{R}^n ; this will be our reference operator.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$, the single and double layer operators are defined by

$$SL_z = (\gamma_0(-\Delta + \bar{z})^{-1})^*, \quad DL_z = (\gamma_1(-\Delta + \bar{z})^{-1})^*,$$
 (2.3)

and by duality there follows

$$\mathrm{SL}_{z} \in \mathsf{B}(H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma), L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})), \quad \mathrm{DL}_{z} \in \mathsf{B}(H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})).$$
(2.4)

The integral kernel $R_z(x, y)$ of the resolvent $(-\Delta + z)^{-1}$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$, is given by $R_z(x, y) = \mathcal{G}_z(x - y)$, where

$$\mathscr{G}_{z}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\sqrt{z}}{2\pi \|x\|} \right)^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} K_{\frac{n}{2} - 1}(\sqrt{z} \|x\|), \quad \operatorname{Re}(\sqrt{z}) > 0, \qquad (2.5)$$

and K_{α} denotes the modified Bessel functions of second kind of order α . Thus, for $x \notin \Gamma$ and $\phi, \varphi \in L^2(\Gamma)$, one has

$$\operatorname{SL}_{z}\phi(x) = \int_{\Gamma} \mathscr{G}_{z}(x-y)\,\phi(y)\,d\sigma(y),\tag{2.6}$$

and

$$DL_z \varphi(x) = \int_{\Gamma} v(y) \cdot \nabla \mathscr{G}_z(x - y) \varphi(y) \, d\sigma(y), \qquad (2.7)$$

where σ denotes the surface measure.

A. Mantile, A. Posilicano, and M. Sini

Let us define $\gamma \in B(H^2(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma))$ by

 $\gamma u := \gamma_0 u \oplus \gamma_1 u$

and, for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0], G_z \in \mathsf{B}(H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ by

$$G_z := (\gamma (-\Delta + \bar{z})^{-1})^*;$$

equivalently

$$G_z(\phi \oplus \varphi) := \operatorname{SL}_z \phi + \operatorname{DL}_z \varphi.$$
(2.8)

For any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ and for any $\phi \oplus \varphi \in H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ one has

$$G_z(\phi \oplus \varphi) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma)$$
 and $((\Delta - z)G_z(\phi \oplus \varphi))(x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma.$

The one-sided trace maps

$$\gamma_i^{\natural} \in \mathsf{B}(H^2(\Omega_{\natural}), H^{\frac{3}{2}-i}(\Gamma)), \quad \natural = \mathrm{in}, \mathrm{ex}, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

defined on smooth (up to the boundary) functions by

$$\gamma_0^{\natural} u_{\natural} = u_{\natural} | \Gamma, \quad \gamma_1^{\natural} u_{\natural} = \nu \cdot \nabla u_{\natural} | \Gamma, \quad \natural = \text{in, ex,}$$
(2.9)

can be extended to

$$\hat{\gamma}_i^{\natural} \in \mathsf{B}(H^0_{\Delta}(\Omega_{\natural}), H^{-\frac{1}{2}-i}(\Gamma)), \quad \natural = \mathrm{in}, \mathrm{ex}, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

where

$$H^0_{\Delta}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{h}}) := \{ u_{\mathfrak{h}} \in L^2(\Omega_{\mathfrak{h}}) \colon \Delta u_{\mathfrak{h}} \in L^2(\Omega_{\mathfrak{h}}) \}$$
$$\| u_{\mathfrak{h}} \|^2_{H^0_{\Delta}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{h}})} := \| \Delta u_{\mathfrak{h}} \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_{\mathfrak{h}})} + \| u_{\mathfrak{h}} \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_{\mathfrak{h}})}.$$

Setting

$$\Delta_{\natural}^{\max} := \Delta | H^0_{\Delta}(\Omega_{\sharp}),$$

by the "half" Green formula (see [30, Theorem 4.4]), one has, for any $u \in H^1(\Omega_{\sharp}) \cap H^0_{\Lambda}(\Omega_{\sharp})$,

$$\langle -\Delta_{\natural}^{\max} u_{\natural}, u_{\natural} \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\natural})} = \|\nabla u_{\natural}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\natural})}^{2} + \epsilon_{\natural} \langle \hat{\gamma}_{1}^{\natural} u_{\natural}, \gamma_{0}^{\natural} u_{\natural} \rangle_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$\epsilon_{\natural} = \begin{cases} -1, & \natural = \text{in}, \\ +1, & \natural = \text{ex}. \end{cases}$$

Setting

$$H^{0}_{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^{n}\backslash\Gamma):=H^{0}_{\Delta}(\Omega_{\mathrm{in}})\oplus H^{0}_{\Delta}(\Omega_{\mathrm{ex}}),$$

the extended maps $\hat{\gamma}_i^{\natural}$ allow to define the bounded maps

$$\hat{\gamma}_i \in \mathsf{B}(H^0_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma), H^{-\frac{1}{2}-i}(\Gamma)), \quad i = 0, 1,$$

and

$$[\hat{\gamma}_i] \in \mathsf{B}(H^0_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma), H^{-\frac{1}{2}-i}(\Gamma)), \quad i = 0, 1,$$

by

$$\hat{\gamma}_i u := \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\gamma}_i^{\text{in}}(u|\Omega_{\text{in}}) + \hat{\gamma}_i^{\text{ex}}(u|\Omega_{\text{ex}})), \qquad (2.11)$$

and

$$[\hat{\gamma}_i]u = \hat{\gamma}_i^{\text{ex}}(u|\Omega_{\text{ex}}) - \hat{\gamma}_i^{\text{in}}(u|\Omega_{\text{in}}), \quad .$$
(2.12)

Notice that the maps $\gamma_i \in B(H^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma), H^{\frac{3}{2}-i}(\Gamma))$ defined by

$$\gamma_i := \hat{\gamma}_i | H^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma), \quad i = 0, 1, \quad H^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma) := H^2(\Omega_{\rm in}) \oplus H^2(\Omega_{\rm ex}),$$

coincide with the ones in (2.1) when restricted to $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The corresponding extension of the trace map γ is

$$\hat{\gamma} \in \mathsf{B}(H^0_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Gamma), H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)), \quad \hat{\gamma}u := (\hat{\gamma}_0 u) \oplus (\hat{\gamma}_1 u), \quad (2.13)$$

while the related jump map is

$$[\hat{\gamma}] \in \mathsf{B}(H^0_{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Gamma), H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)), \quad [\hat{\gamma}]u = (-[\hat{\gamma}_1]u) \oplus ([\hat{\gamma}_0]u).$$
(2.14)

Using the definition (2.8) and the mapping properties of the layer operators (see [29, Section 3.4] and the references therein), it results

$$G_z \in \mathsf{B}(H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), H^0_{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Gamma))$$
(2.15)

and so $[\hat{\gamma}]G_z \in B(H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma))$. More precisely, by the well known jumps relations for the layer operators, one has

$$[\hat{\gamma}]G_z = \mathbf{1}_{H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)\oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}.$$
(2.16)

2.2. Weighted spaces. We now introduce the family of weighted spaces $L^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, defined, for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, by

$$L^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) := \{ u \in L^{2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \colon \|u\|_{L^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} < +\infty \},$$
$$H^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) := \{ u \in H^{2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \colon \|u\|_{H^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} < +\infty \},$$

A. Mantile, A. Posilicano, and M. Sini

$$\|u\|_{L^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (1 + \|x\|^{2})^{\sigma} |u(x)|^{2} dx$$

$$\|u\|_{H^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} := \|u\|_{L^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} \|\partial_{x_{i}}u\|_{L^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \sum_{1 \le i, j \le n} \|\partial_{x_{i}x_{j}}^{2}u\|_{L^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}.$$

The spaces $L^2_{\sigma}(\Omega_{\rm in})$, $L^2_{\sigma}(\Omega_{\rm ex})$ and $H^2_{\sigma}(\Omega_{\rm in})$, $H^2_{\sigma}(\Omega_{\rm ex})$ are defined in a similar way. Since Ω is bounded, one has $L^2_{\sigma}(\Omega_{\rm in}) = L^2(\Omega_{\rm in})$, $H^2_{\sigma}(\Omega_{\rm in}) = H^2(\Omega_{\rm in})$, the equalities holding in the Banach space sense; thus

$$L^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\Omega_{\rm in}) \oplus L^2_{\sigma}(\Omega_{\rm ex})$$

and

$$H^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n}\backslash\Gamma) := H^{2}_{\sigma}(\Omega_{\mathrm{in}}) \oplus H^{2}_{\sigma}(\Omega_{\mathrm{ex}}) = H^{2}(\Omega_{\mathrm{in}}) \oplus H^{2}_{\sigma}(\Omega_{\mathrm{ex}}).$$

Then the trace operators can be extended to $H^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma)$, $\sigma < 0$, by

$$\gamma_0^{\mathrm{ex}} u_{\mathrm{ex}} := \gamma_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\chi u_{\mathrm{ex}}), \quad \gamma_1^{\mathrm{ex}} u_{\mathrm{ex}} := \gamma_1^{\mathrm{ex}}(\chi u_{\mathrm{ex}}),$$

where χ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{\text{comp}}(\Omega^c)$ and $\chi = 1$ on a neighborhood of Γ .

Remark 2.1. In the following, we use the shorthand notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote both the dualities $(H^{-s_1}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-s_2}(\Gamma)) - (H^{s_1}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{s_2}(\Gamma))$ and $L^2_{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n) - L^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3. Self-adjoint Laplace operators with boundary conditions on hypersurfaces

Let us consider the restriction $\Delta | \ker(\gamma)$. Since the kernel of γ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Delta | \ker(\gamma)$ is densely defined, closed and symmetric. Following the construction developed in [29] (to which we refer for more details and proofs), we next provide all the self-adjoint extensions of $\Delta | \ker(\gamma)$. The adjoint operator $(\Delta | \ker(\gamma))^*$ identifies with

$$\operatorname{dom}((\Delta | \operatorname{ker}(\gamma))^*) = H^0_{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Gamma), \quad (\Delta | \operatorname{ker}(\gamma))^* = \Delta_{\operatorname{in}}^{\operatorname{max}} \oplus \Delta_{\operatorname{ex}}^{\operatorname{max}}.$$

An alternative representation of $(\Delta | \ker(\gamma))^*$ is given by (see [29, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 4.2])

$$dom((\Delta | \ker(\gamma))^*) = \{u = u_\circ + G(\phi \oplus \varphi) : u_\circ \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \ \phi \oplus \varphi \in H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\}$$
$$\equiv \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : u_\circ := (u + \operatorname{SL}[\hat{\gamma}_1]u - \operatorname{DL}[\hat{\gamma}_0]u) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$
$$(\Delta | \ker(\gamma))^*u = \Delta u_\circ + G(\phi \oplus \varphi) = \Delta u - [\hat{\gamma}_1]u \ \delta_{\Gamma} - [\hat{\gamma}_0]u \ \nu \cdot \nabla \delta_{\Gamma}, \qquad (3.1)$$

where $G := G_1$ and the Schwartz distribution δ_{Γ} is defined by $\delta_{\Gamma}(u) := \int_{\Gamma} u(x) d\sigma(x)$.

Given an orthogonal projection $\Pi: H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \to H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$, the dual map $\Pi': H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \to H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ is an orthogonal projection as well and ran $(\Pi') = \operatorname{ran}(\Pi)'$. We say that the densely defined linear operator

$$\Theta: \operatorname{dom}(\Theta) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}(\Pi)' \to \operatorname{ran}(\Pi)$$

is self-adjoint whenever $\Theta = \Theta'$. Let the unitary maps Λ^s represent the duality mappings on $H^{s/2}(\Gamma)$ onto $H^{-s/2}(\Gamma)$; an explicit representation of Λ^s is given by $\Lambda^s = (-\Delta_{LB} + 1)^{s/2}$, where Δ_{LB} denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Γ . Equivalently Θ is self-adjoint whenever the operator $\tilde{\Theta} = \Theta(\Lambda^3 \oplus \Lambda)$, $\operatorname{dom}(\tilde{\Theta}) = (\Lambda^3 \oplus \Lambda)^{-1}\operatorname{dom}(\Theta)$, is a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space ran(Π).

We define the operator-valued Weyl function

$$\mathbb{C}\backslash (-\infty, 0] \ni z \mapsto M_z \in \mathsf{B}(H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma); H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma))$$

by $M_z := \gamma(G - G_z)$, i.e., using the block operator notation,

$$M_{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{0}(\mathrm{SL} - \mathrm{SL}_{z}) & \gamma_{0}(\mathrm{DL} - \mathrm{DL}_{z}) \\ \gamma_{1}(\mathrm{SL} - \mathrm{SL}_{z}) & \gamma_{1}(\mathrm{DL} - \mathrm{DL}_{z}) \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3.2)

Given the couple (Π, Θ) , Π an orthogonal projector and Θ self-adjoint, define the set

$$Z_{\Pi,\Theta} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] : \Theta + \Pi M_z \Pi' \in \mathsf{B}(\mathsf{ran}(\Pi), \mathsf{ran}(\Pi)') \}.$$
(3.3)

All self-adjoint extensions of $\Delta | \ker(\gamma)$ are provided by the following theorem (see [29, Theorem 4.4]):

Theorem 3.1. Any self-adjoint extension of $\Delta | \ker(\gamma)$ is of the kind

 $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} = (\Delta | \ker(\gamma))^* | \operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}),$

where $\Pi: H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \to H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ is an orthogonal projection, $\Theta: \operatorname{dom}(\Theta) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}(\Pi)' \to \operatorname{ran}(\Pi)$ is a self-adjoint operator and

$$dom(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta})$$

:= { $u = u_{\circ} + G(\phi \oplus \varphi)$: $u_{\circ} \in H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), \phi \oplus \varphi \in dom(\Theta), \ \Pi \gamma u_{\circ} = \Theta(\phi \oplus \varphi)$ }
= { $u \in H^{0}_{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \Gamma)$: $[\hat{\gamma}]u \in dom(\Theta), \ \Pi \gamma (u + SL[\hat{\gamma}_{1}]u - DL[\hat{\gamma}_{0}]u) = \Theta[\hat{\gamma}]u$ }

Moreover $Z_{\Pi,\Theta}$ is not void, $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R} \subseteq Z_{\Pi,\Theta} \subseteq \rho(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta})$, and the resolvent of the self-adjoint extension $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$ is given by the Krĕın's type formula

$$(-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} + z)^{-1} = (-\Delta + z)^{-1} + G_z \Pi' (\Theta + \Pi M_z \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma (-\Delta + z)^{-1}, \quad z \in Z_{\Pi,\Theta}.$$
(3.4)

Remark 3.2. Let us notice that the Π' 's appearing in formula (3.4) act there merely as the inclusion map Π' : ran $(\Pi)' \rightarrow H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$. This means that one does not need to know Π' explicitly: it suffices to know the subspace ran $(\Pi') = \operatorname{ran}(\Pi)'$.

Given the self-adjoint operator Θ : dom $(\Theta) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}(\Pi)' \to \operatorname{ran}(\Pi)$, we now introduce the following assumptions:

dom(
$$\Theta$$
) $\subseteq H^{s_1}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{s_2}(\Gamma), \quad s_1 > -\frac{3}{2}, \quad s_2 > -\frac{1}{2},$ (3.5)

$$\operatorname{dom}(\Theta) \subseteq H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)$$
(3.6)

and

$$\operatorname{dom}(f_{\widetilde{\Theta}}) \subseteq H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma), \qquad (3.7)$$

where $f_{\widetilde{\Theta}}$ is sesquilinear form associated to the self-adjoint operator in ran(Π) defined by $\widetilde{\Theta} := \Theta(\Lambda^3 \oplus \Lambda)$.

The next result gives informations on the spectrum and scattering of $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$; for the proof of such results we refer to [29, Lemma 4.10, Corollary 4.12, and Remark 4.14].

Theorem 3.3. Suppose Theorem 3.1 holds. Then,

1) assumption (3.5) implies

$$\sigma_{\rm ess}(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}) = (-\infty, 0];$$

2) *either assumption* (3.6) *or* (3.7) *gives the existence and completeness of the wave operators*

$$W_{\pm} := s \cdot \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{-it\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}} e^{it\Delta}, \quad \tilde{W}_{\pm} := s \cdot \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{-it\Delta} e^{it\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}} P_{\mathrm{ac}}.$$

i.e. the limits exists everywhere w.r.t. strong convergence, $\operatorname{ran}(W_{\pm}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{\mathrm{ac}}$, $\operatorname{ran}(\tilde{W}_{\pm}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W_{\pm}^* = \tilde{W}_{\pm}$, where $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{\mathrm{ac}}$ denotes the absolutely continuous subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$ and P_{ac} is the corresponding orthogonal projector. This then implies

$$\sigma_{\rm ac}(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}) = (-\infty, 0]. \tag{3.8}$$

Remark 3.4. Let us remark that hypothesis (3.6) holds in the case of global boundary conditions, i.e. assigned on whole boundary Γ (see Section 6), whereas hypothesis (3.7) holds in the case of local ones, i.e. assigned on $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$ (see Section 7).

Remark 3.5. Let us notice that the apparent discrepancy between the indices in (3.6) and (3.7) is due to the fact that the first one applies to operators acting between the dual pair (ran(Π)', ran(Π)) whereas the second one concerns sesquilinear forms in the space ran(Π); when written in terms of $\tilde{\Theta}$, condition (3.6) reads as dom($\tilde{\Theta}$) $\subseteq H^{\frac{7}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\Gamma)$.

Under hypothesis (3.6), it is possible to introduce an alternative description of $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$ (see [29, Corollary 4.8]):

Corollary 3.6. Let $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$ be defined according to Theorem 3.1 with Θ fulfilling (3.6). Define

$$B_{\Theta} := \Theta + \Pi \gamma G \Pi' : \operatorname{dom}(\Theta) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}(\Pi)' \longrightarrow \operatorname{ran}(\Pi).$$
(3.9)

Then

$$\operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}) = \{ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma) \colon [\gamma] u \in \operatorname{dom}(\Theta), \ \Pi \gamma u = B_{\Theta}[\gamma] u \}, \quad (3.10)$$

and, whenever $z \in Z_{\Pi,\Theta}$,

$$(-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} + z)^{-1} = (-\Delta + z)^{-1} + G_z \Pi' (B_\Theta - \Pi \gamma G_z \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma (-\Delta + z)^{-1}.$$
(3.11)

The results contained in Theorem 3.3 do not exclude the presence of negative eigenvalues embedded in the essential spectrum, an information that is relevant for the issues to be treated in the next sections. However, since the singular perturbations defining $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$ are compactly supported, an easy application of the unique continuation principle and Rellich's estimate give criteria for the absence of such eigenvalues. For successive notational convenience let us pose

$$E_{\Pi,\Theta}^{-} := \{ \lambda \in (-\infty, 0) : \lambda \notin \sigma_p(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}) \},\$$

so that absence of negative eigenvalues is equivalent to $E_{\Pi,\Theta}^- = (-\infty, 0)$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ be a closed set such that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \cup \operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subseteq \Gamma_0$ for any $\phi \oplus \phi \in \operatorname{dom}(\Theta) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}(\Pi)'$. If the open set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma_0$ is connected, then $E_{\Pi,\Theta}^- = (-\infty, 0)$. *Proof.* Suppose that there exist $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$ and $u_{\lambda} \in \text{dom}(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma_0)$ such that $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} u_{\lambda} = \lambda u_{\lambda}$. Then, by (3.1), $\Delta u_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda u_{\lambda}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma_0$. Thus, by the unique continuation principle (see e.g. [34, Theorem XIII.63]), $u_{\lambda} = 0$ a.e. whenever u_{λ} vanishes in the neighborhood of a single point $x_o \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma_0$. By (3.1) again, $(\Delta - \lambda)u_{\lambda} = 0$ outside some sufficiently large ball *B* containing Ω_{in} . Thus, by Rellich's estimate, one gets $u_{\lambda} | B^c = 0$ (see e.g. [31, Corollary 4.8]) and the proof is done.

Remark 3.8. Obviously, in the case $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$, one has that $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma = \Omega_{in} \cup \Omega_{ex}$ is not connected. However, if Ω_{ex} is connected then, by the same kind of reasonings as in the proof of Theorem 3.7, one gets $u_{\lambda}|\Omega_{ex} = 0$. Thus, if the boundary conditions appearing in dom $(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta})$ are such that

$$u|\Omega_{\text{ex}} = 0, \ (\Delta u - \lambda u)|\Omega_{\text{in}} = 0, \ u \in \text{dom}(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}) \implies u|\Omega_{\text{in}} = 0$$

then $E^-_{\Pi,\Theta} = (-\infty, 0)$. For example, two cases where this hypothesis holds are the δ - and δ' -interactions on Γ which corresponds to the semi-transparent boundary conditions

$$[\gamma_0]u = 0, \quad \alpha \gamma_0 u = [\gamma_1]u$$

and

$$[\gamma_1]u = 0, \quad \beta \gamma_1 u = [\gamma_0]u$$

respectively (see subsections 6.4 and 6.5).

4. The limiting absorption principle

We begin by recalling the limiting absorption principle for the self-adjoint operator representing the free Laplacian $\Delta: H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see e.g. [2, Section 4]):

Theorem 4.1. For any $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and for any $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, the limits

$$R_{-k^2}^{\pm} := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (-\Delta - (k^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}$$
(4.1)

exist in $B(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Setting $\mathbb{C}_{\pm} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \pm \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}$ and

$$R_z^{\pm} := \begin{cases} (-\Delta + z)^{-1}, & z \in \mathbb{C}_{\pm}, \\ R_{\lambda}^{\pm}, & \lambda \in (-\infty, 0) \end{cases}$$

the maps $z \mapsto R_z^{\pm}$ are continuous on $\mathbb{C}_{\pm} \cup (-\infty, 0)$ to $\mathsf{B}(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

The existence of the resolvent limits on the continuous spectrum have been discussed in [35],[36] for a wide class of operators including singular perturbations. The general results there provided allow to prove, in our case, a limiting absorption principle for $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$:

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$ be defined as in Theorem 3.1 and assume that it is bounded from above and that (3.5) holds true. Then $(-\infty, 0) \cap \sigma_p(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta})$ is a (possibly empty) discrete set of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity and the limits

$$R_{\Pi,\Theta,-k^2}^{\pm} := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left(-\Delta_{(\Pi,\Theta)} - (k^2 \pm i\epsilon) \right)^{-1}$$
(4.2)

exist in $B(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for all $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $-k^2 \in E^-_{\Pi,\Theta}$.

Proof. Let us at first show that the following four assumptions hold true for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$:

$$(-\Delta + z)^{-1} \in \mathsf{B}(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \tag{4.3}$$

$$(-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} + z)^{-1} \in \mathsf{B}(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \tag{4.4}$$

$$(-\Delta+z)^{-1} - (-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}+z)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\infty}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), L^2_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \beta > 2\alpha, \quad (4.5)$$

and for all compact subset $K \subset (0, +\infty)$ there exists a constant $c_K > 0$ such that, for any $k^2 \in K$,

$$\|R_{-k^{2}}^{\pm}u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq c_{K}\|u\|_{L^{2}_{2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \quad \text{for all } u \in L^{2}_{2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \cap \ker(R_{-k^{2}}^{+} - R_{-k^{2}}^{-}).$$
(4.6)

By [34, Lemma 1, page 170], for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$(-\Delta + z)^{-1} \in \mathsf{B}(L^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$
(4.7)

Therefore (4.3) holds true (see also [36, Lemma 5.2 and Remark 5.1]).

Introducing the equivalent norm in $H^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$|u|_{H^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(1 + ||x||^{2} \right)^{\sigma} |(-\Delta + 1)u(x)|^{2} dx,$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta+z)^{-1}u\|_{H^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} &= \|(-\Delta+1)(-\Delta+z)^{-1}u\|_{L^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + |1-z| \left\|(-\Delta+z)^{-1}u\right\|_{L^{2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

and by (4.7), one gets

$$(-\Delta + z)^{-1} \in \mathsf{B}(L^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$
(4.8)

Let $\chi \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\chi | \widetilde{\Omega} = 1$, $\widetilde{\Omega} \supset \overline{\Omega}$. Then the map $u \mapsto \chi u$ belongs to $\mathsf{B}(H^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and so, since $\gamma(\chi u) = \gamma u$, by (4.8) one gets

$$\gamma(-\Delta+z)^{-1} \in \mathsf{B}(L^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)).$$
(4.9)

and, by duality,

$$G_{z} = (\gamma(-\Delta + \bar{z})^{-1})' \in \mathsf{B}(H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), L^{2}_{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})).$$
(4.10)

Then, using (4.9) and (4.10) with $\sigma = \alpha$ and with $\sigma = -\alpha$ respectively, (4.4) follows from (3.4) and (4.7).

Assumption (3.5) implies that $\operatorname{ran}((\Theta + \Pi M_z \Pi')^{-1}) \subseteq H^{s_1}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{s_2}(\Gamma)$. Thus, by the compact embedding $H^{s_1}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{s_2}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$, one gets

$$(\Theta + \Pi M_z \Pi')^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\infty}(\operatorname{ran}(\Pi), \operatorname{ran}(\Pi'))$$
(4.11)

(see the proof of Lemma 4.10 in [29] for more details). Therefore, by (3.4), using (4.9) and (4.10) with $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = -\beta$ respectively, one obtains (4.5).

Finally, (4.6) holds true by [7, Corollary 5.7(b)].

Assumptions (4.3)-(4.6) permit us to apply the abstract results provided in [35]: hypothesis (T1) and (E1) in [35, page 175] corresponds to our (4.1), (4.6) and (4.5) respectively; then, by [35, Proposition 4.2], the latters imply hypotheses (LAP) and (E) in [35, page 166], i.e. (4.1) again and

$$(-\Delta+z)^{-1} - (-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}+z)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\infty}(L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n})),$$

and hypothesis (T) in [35, page 168], a technical variant of (4.6). By [35, Theorem 3.5], these last hypotheses, together with the assumption that $-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$ is bounded from below and (4.3)-(4.4) (i.e. hypothesis (OP) in [35, page 165]), finally give the content of the theorem.

Remark 4.3. Since the map defined in (4.9) has closed range (it is surjective), $G_z \in B(H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), L^2_{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ defined in (4.10) is injective and has closed range by the closed range theorem. Hence, by [27, Theorem 5.2, page 231], for any $z \in \rho(A)$ there exists $c_z > 0$ such that

$$\|G_{z}(\phi \oplus \varphi)\|_{L^{2}_{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \ge c_{z}(\|\phi\|_{H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}^{2} + \|\varphi\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^{2})$$
(4.12)

for all $\phi \oplus \varphi \in H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 4.4. For any $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and for any $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, the limits

$$G_{-k^2}^{\pm} := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} G_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \tag{4.13}$$

exist in $\mathsf{B}(H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))$ and

$$G_{-k^2}^{\pm} = G_z + (z+k^2)R_{-k^2}^{\pm}G_z, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0],$$
(4.14)

$$(G_{-k^2}^{\pm})' = \gamma R_{-k^2}^{\mp}.$$
(4.15)

The function $G_{-k^2}^{\pm}(\phi \oplus \varphi)$ solves, in the distribution space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma)$ and for any $\phi \oplus \varphi \in H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$, the equation

$$(\Delta + k^2)G^{\pm}_{-k^2}(\phi \oplus \varphi) = 0$$

Moreover there exist $c_{k^2}^{\pm} > 0$ such that

$$\left\|G_{-k^{2}}^{\pm}(\phi\oplus\varphi)\right\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \ge c_{k^{2}}^{\pm}(\left\|\phi\right\|_{H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}^{2} + \left\|\varphi\right\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^{2}).$$
(4.16)

Proof. Let $\chi \in C^{\infty}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\chi | \tilde{\Omega} = 1, \tilde{\Omega} \supset \bar{\Omega}$. Then the map $u \mapsto \chi u$ belongs to $B(H^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and so, by Theorem 4.1, the limits

$$\gamma R^{\pm}_{-k^2} = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \gamma (-\Delta - (k^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}$$
(4.17)

exist in $\mathsf{B}(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma))$. Then the relations

$$G_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} = (\gamma(-\Delta - k^2 \mp i\epsilon))'$$

= $G_z + (z + k^2 \mp i\epsilon)(-\Delta - (k^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}G_z$

(see [33, Lemma 2.1]), and $G_z \in B(H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma), L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}))$ (use (4.10) with $\sigma = -\alpha$), give (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15).

Since

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \|(\Delta + (k^2 \pm i\epsilon))u - (\Delta + k^2)u\|_{L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0, \quad u \in H^2_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

one has

$$R^{\pm}_{-k^2}(-\Delta - k^2)u = u, \quad u \in H^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$
(4.18)

Thus, for any test function $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma) \equiv C^{\infty}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma) \subset H^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one obtains

$$\begin{split} \langle (\Delta + k^2) G_{-k^2}^{\pm}(\phi \oplus \varphi), u \rangle &= \langle G_{-k^2}^{\pm}(\phi \oplus \varphi), (\Delta + k^2) u \rangle \\ &= \langle (\gamma R_{-k^2}^{\mp})'(\phi \oplus \varphi), (\Delta + k^2) u \rangle \\ &= -\langle \phi \oplus \varphi, \gamma R_{-k^2}^{\pm}(\Delta + k^2) u \rangle \\ &= -\langle \phi \oplus \varphi, \gamma u \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

By (4.18) and the surjectivity of $\gamma: H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$, the map $\gamma R^{\pm}_{\mu_2}: L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$

is surjective: given $\phi \oplus \varphi \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ one has $\gamma R^{\pm}_{-k^2}v = \phi \oplus \varphi$, where $v = -(\Delta + k^2)\chi u$ and $\gamma \chi u = \gamma u = \phi \oplus \varphi$, $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, by the closed range theorem, the range of $G^{\pm}_{-k^2}$ is closed; since $G^{\pm}_{-k^2}$ is injective (it is the dual of a surjective map), [27, Theorem 5.2, page 231] gives (4.16).

While interesting, Theorem 4.2 gives no answer to the obvious question: "does Kreĭn's formula survive in the limit $\epsilon \downarrow 0$?" That is given by the following

Theorem 4.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2, for any $-k^2 \in E_{\Pi,\Theta}^-$, the limits

$$M_{-k^2}^{\pm} := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)}, \tag{4.19}$$

$$L^{\pm}_{\Pi,\Theta,-k^2} := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1}$$
(4.20)

exist in $B(H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma))$ and $B(\operatorname{ran}(\Pi), \operatorname{ran}(\Pi'))$ respectively and

$$M_{-k^2}^{\pm} = M_z - (z+k^2)\gamma R_{-k^2}^{\pm}G_z, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0].$$
(4.21)

The linear operator $\Theta + \prod M^{\pm}_{-k^2} \prod'$ has a bounded inverse

$$L^{\pm}_{\Pi,\Theta,-k^2} = (\Theta + \Pi M^{\pm}_{-k^2} \Pi')^{-1}$$
(4.22)

and

$$R_{\Pi,\Theta,-k^2}^{\pm} - R_{-k^2}^{\pm} = G_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi' (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma R_{-k^2}^{\pm}.$$
 (4.23)

Moreover the map

$$z \mapsto R_{\Pi,\Theta,z}^{\pm} := \begin{cases} (-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} + z)^{-1}, & z \in \mathbb{C}_{\pm}, \\ R_{\Pi,\Theta,\lambda}^{\pm}, & \lambda \in E_{\Pi,\Theta}^{-}, \end{cases}$$

is continuous on $\mathbb{C}_{\pm} \cup E^{-}_{\Pi,\Theta}$ to $\mathsf{B}(L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n})).$

Proof. By [33, equation (5)], the operator family M_z , $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$, satisfies the identity

$$M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} = M_z - (z + k^2 \mp i\epsilon)\gamma(-\Delta - (k^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}G_z$$

Since, by (4.10), $G_z \in \mathsf{B}(H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma), L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, the norm convergence of $M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)}$ to $M^{\pm}_{-k^2}$ is consequence of Theorem 4.1. This gives (4.19) and (4.21).

By Theorem 4.2, the limits

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} G_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi'(\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma (-\Delta - (k^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}$$
(4.24)

exist in $B(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and, by Lemma 4.4, the limits

$$G_{-k^2}^{\pm} = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} G_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$(G_{-k^2}^{\mp})' = \gamma R_{-k^2}^{\pm} = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \gamma (-\Delta - (k^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}$$
(4.26)

exist in $B(H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma), L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))$ and $B(L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma))$ respectively. According to (4.12) and (4.16), there exist $\tilde{c}_{k^{2}}^{\pm} > 0$ such that, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{split} \|G_{-(k^{2}\pm i\epsilon)}\Pi'(\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^{2}\pm i\epsilon)}\Pi')^{-1}\Pi\gamma(-\Delta - (k^{2}\pm i\epsilon))^{-1}u\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &\geq \tilde{c}_{k^{2}}^{\pm}\|(\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^{2}\pm i\epsilon)}\Pi')^{-1}\Pi\gamma(-\Delta - (k^{2}\pm i\epsilon))^{-1}u\|_{H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)\oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the operator norm in B(*X*, *Y*), the Hilbert spaces *X* and *Y* varying according to the case. Then, by (4.24), one has

$$\sup_{\epsilon>0} \left\| \left(\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi'\right)^{-1} \Pi \gamma (-\Delta - (k^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1} \right\| < +\infty.$$

and, by duality,

$$\sup_{\epsilon>0} \| G_{-(k^2\pm i\epsilon)} \Pi'(\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2\pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1} \| < +\infty$$

Thus, by

$$\begin{split} \|G_{-(k^{2}\pm i\epsilon)}\Pi'(\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^{2}\pm i\epsilon)}\Pi')^{-1}\Pi(\gamma(-\Delta - (k^{2}\pm i\epsilon))^{-1} - \gamma R_{-k^{2}}^{\pm})\| \\ &\leq (\sup_{\epsilon>0} \|G_{-(k^{2}\pm i\epsilon)}\Pi'(\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^{2}\pm i\epsilon)}\Pi')^{-1}\|) \\ & \|\gamma(-\Delta - (k^{2}\pm i\epsilon))^{-1} - \gamma R_{-k^{2}}^{\pm}\| \end{split}$$

and by (4.24) and (4.26) one has that the limits

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} G_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi'(\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma R^{\pm}_{-k^2}$$
(4.27)

exist in $B(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and coincide with the ones given in (4.24). Since the map $\gamma R^{\pm}_{-k^2}$ is surjective (see the end of the proof of Lemma 4.4), by [27, Theorem 5.2, page 231] there exists $\hat{c}^{\pm}_{-k^2} > 0$ such that

$$\|\gamma R_{-k^{2}}^{\pm}u\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\oplus H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} \geq \hat{c}_{-k^{2}}^{\pm}\|u\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \ker(\gamma R_{-k^{2}}^{\pm})^{\perp}.$$

Setting

$$\Phi_{\epsilon} := G_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi' (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi,$$

we have

$$\sup_{\{0\}\neq\phi\oplus\varphi\in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\oplus H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)} \frac{\|(\Phi_{\epsilon_{1}}-\Phi_{\epsilon_{2}})\phi\oplus\varphi\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}}{\|\phi\oplus\varphi\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\oplus H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}}$$
$$= \sup_{u\in\ker(\gamma R^{\pm}_{-k^{2}})^{\perp}} \frac{\|(\Phi_{\epsilon_{1}}-\Phi_{\epsilon_{2}})\gamma R^{\pm}_{-k^{2}}u\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}}{\|\gamma R^{\pm}_{-k^{2}}u\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\oplus H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)}}$$
$$\leq \sup_{u\in\ker(\gamma R^{\pm}_{-k^{2}})^{\perp}} \frac{\|(\Phi_{\epsilon_{1}}-\Phi_{\epsilon_{2}})\gamma R^{\pm}_{-k^{2}}u\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}}{\hat{c}^{\pm}_{-k^{2}}\|u\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}}.$$

Hence, by (4.27), the limits

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} G_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi' (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi$$
(4.28)

exist in B(ran(Π), $L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and, by duality, the limits

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma (-\Delta - (k^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}$$
(4.29)

exist in $B(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), \operatorname{ran}(\Pi'))$. By (4.12) and (4.16), it results

$$\begin{split} \left\| G_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi'(\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi(\phi \oplus \varphi) \right\|_{L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\ &\geq \tilde{c}_{k^2}^{\pm} \| (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi(\phi \oplus \varphi) \|_{H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2 \end{split}$$

and so (4.28) gives

$$\sup_{\epsilon>0} \left\| \left(\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi'\right)^{-1} \right\| < +\infty.$$
(4.30)

Therefore, by (4.29), one gets that the limits

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma R_{-k^2}^{\pm}$$
(4.31)

exist in $B(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), \operatorname{ran}(\Pi'))$ and coincide with the ones given by (4.29). Since $\gamma R^{\pm}_{-k^2}$ is surjective, proceeding as above one gets the existence of the limits

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1}$$
(4.32)

with respect to the operator norm in $B(ran(\Pi), ran(\Pi'))$. Finally, taking the limit $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ in the identities

$$\begin{split} (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1} (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi') \\ &= (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi') (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')^{-1} \\ &= 1, \end{split}$$

one gets

$$(\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi')^{-1} (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi') = (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi') (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi')^{-1} = 1.$$

By Theorem 4.1, the map $z \mapsto \gamma R_z^{\pm}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{C}_{\pm} \cup (-\infty, 0)$ to $\mathsf{B}(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma))$; by duality, $z \mapsto G_z^{\pm} = (\gamma R_z^{\pm})'$ is continuos on $\mathbb{C}_{\pm} \cup (-\infty, 0)$ to $\mathsf{B}(H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. By (4.21), $z \mapsto M_z^{\pm}$ is continuos on $\mathbb{C}_{\pm} \cup (-\infty, 0)$ to $\mathsf{B}(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma))$ and so, by (4.30), the map $z \mapsto (\Theta + \Pi M_z^{\pm} \Pi')^{-1}$ is continuos on $\mathbb{C}_{\pm} \cup (-\infty, 0)$ to $\mathsf{B}(\operatorname{ran}(\Pi), \operatorname{ran}(\Pi'))$. In conclusion $z \mapsto R_{\Pi,\Theta,z}^{\pm}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{C}_{\pm} \cup (-\infty, 0)$ to $\mathsf{B}(L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Corollary 4.6. *If, in addition to hypotheses inTheorem* 4.5*, hypothesis* (3.6) *holds, then the Krein type formula* (4.23) *can be re-written as*

$$R_{\Pi,\Theta,-k^2}^{\pm} - R_{-k^2}^{\pm} = G_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi' (B_{\Theta} - \Pi \gamma G_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma R_{-k^2}^{\pm}.$$
 (4.33)

Proof. By hypothesis (3.6), one has

$$\operatorname{ran}(G_z)|\operatorname{dom}(\Theta) \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma).$$

Then, by (4.14) and (4.17), the operator

$$\gamma G^{\pm}_{-k^2}$$
: dom $(\Theta) \longrightarrow H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$

is well defined and, for any $\phi \oplus \varphi \in \text{dom}(\Theta)$, the limits

$$\gamma G^{\pm}_{-k^2}(\phi \oplus \varphi) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \gamma G_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)}(\phi \oplus \varphi)$$
(4.34)

exists in $H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$. Thus, for any $\phi \oplus \varphi \in \text{dom}(\Theta)$ and for any $-k^2 \in E_{\Pi,\Theta}^-$, one has

$$\begin{split} (\Theta + \Pi M^{\pm}_{-k^2} \Pi')(\phi \oplus \varphi) &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (\Theta + \Pi M_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')(\phi \oplus \varphi) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (B_{\Theta} - \Pi \gamma G_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} \Pi')(\phi \oplus \varphi) \\ &= (B_{\Theta} - \Pi \gamma G^{\pm}_{-k^2} \Pi')(\phi \oplus \varphi). \end{split}$$

Corollary 4.7. Under the hypotheses in Theorem 4.2, $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$ has empty singular continuous spectrum, i.e.

$$L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) = L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})_{\mathrm{ac}} \oplus L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})_{\mathrm{pp}},$$

where $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{ac}$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{pp}$ denote the absolutely continuous and pure point subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$.

Proof. We follow standard arguments (see e.g. [2, Theorem 6.1]): let E_{λ} the spectral resolution of $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$ and let $u \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\perp}_{pp}$. Then, for any compact interval $[a, b] \subset E^-_{\Pi,\Theta}$ one has, by Stone's formula, by the continuity of $z \mapsto R^{\pm}_{\Pi,\Theta,z}$ and by Lebesgue's dominated converge theorem,

$$\begin{split} \langle (E_b - E_a)u, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \langle ((-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} + \lambda - i\epsilon)^{-1} - (-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} + \lambda + i\epsilon)^{-1})u, u \rangle \, d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \langle (R_{\Pi,\Theta,\lambda}^- - R_{\Pi,\Theta,\lambda}^+)u, u \rangle \, d\lambda \end{split}$$

so that $\langle E_{\lambda}u, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is differentiable on $E^-_{\Pi,\Theta}$ and

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \langle E_{\lambda} u, u \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\langle (R_{\Pi,\Theta,\lambda}^{-} - R_{\Pi,\Theta,\lambda}^{+}) u, u \right\rangle$$

for all $u \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since it is known that the set of functions for which $\langle E_{\lambda}u, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is differentiable is a closed set, in conclusion $\langle E_{\lambda}u, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is differentiable for any $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{pp}^{\perp}$.

5. Eigenfunction expansion and the scattering matrix

All over this section we suppose that the assumptions in Theorem 4.2 hold true. We then consider the extension $\tilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta}$ of the self-adjoint operator

$$\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$$
: dom $(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

to the larger space $L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha > 0$, given by

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta} &: \operatorname{dom}(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta}) \subseteq L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \widetilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta} u &:= \Delta u_{\circ} + G(\phi \oplus \varphi) = \Delta u - [\widehat{\gamma}_1] u \, \delta_{\Gamma} - [\widehat{\gamma}_0] u \, v \cdot \nabla \delta_{\Gamma}, \\ \operatorname{dom}(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta}) &:= \{ u = u_{\circ} + G(\phi \oplus \varphi) : \\ u_{\circ} \in H^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), \, \phi \oplus \varphi \in \operatorname{dom}(\Theta), \, \Pi \gamma u_{\circ} = \Theta(\phi \oplus \varphi) \}. \end{split}$$

By Theorem 4.2 and (3.1) one has

$$\operatorname{graph}(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta}) \cap (L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \operatorname{graph}(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}).$$

Such an operator $\tilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta}$ allows the introduction of the generalized eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$:

Theorem 5.1. Let $\tilde{u}_k \in L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ be a generalized eigenfunction of $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$ with eigenvalue $-k^2 \in E^-_{\Pi,\Theta}$, i.e. \tilde{u}_k belongs to dom $(\tilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta})$ and solves the equation

 $(\tilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta} + k^2)\tilde{u}_k = 0.$

Then

$$\tilde{u}_k = u_k + G_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi' (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma u_k,$$

where $u_k \in H^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a generalized eigenfunction of $\Delta: H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with eigenvalue $-k^2$.

Proof. Let us set $\tilde{u}_k = u_\circ + G(\phi \oplus \varphi)$, with $u_\circ \in H^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi \oplus \varphi \in \text{dom}(\Theta)$ such that $\Pi \gamma u_\circ = \Theta(\phi \oplus \varphi)$. Then $(\tilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta} + k^2)\tilde{u}_k = 0$ gives

 $(\Delta + k^2)u_{\circ} = -(1 + k^2)G(\phi \oplus \varphi).$

Since ran(*G*) $\subset L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can apply $R^{\pm}_{-k^2}$ to both sides of the above relation; thus

$$u_{\circ} = u_k + (1+k^2)R^{\pm}_{-k^2}G(\phi \oplus \varphi),$$

where $u_k \in H^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is any solution of the equation $(\Delta + k^2)u_k = 0$. Imposing the boundary conditions we obtain, by (4.21) and by $M_1 = 0$,

$$\Pi \gamma u_{\circ} = \Pi \gamma u_{k} - \Pi M_{-k^{2}}^{\pm}(\phi \oplus \varphi) = \Theta(\phi \oplus \varphi),$$

i.e.

$$\phi \oplus \varphi = (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma u_k.$$

The proof is then concluded by using (4.14) with z = 1.

Remark 5.2. Under hypothesis (3.6), by Corollary 3.6, one can alternatively define

 $\operatorname{dom}(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta}) := \{ u \in L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma) \colon [\gamma] u \in \operatorname{dom}(\Theta), \ \Pi \gamma u = B_{\Theta}[\gamma] u \}$

and so

$$\tilde{u}_k = u_k + G_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi' (B_\Theta - \Pi \gamma G_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma u_k.$$

Before stating the next results we recall the following definition: let u solve the Helmholtz equation $(\Delta + k^2)u = 0$ on the exterior of some bounded domain; we say that u satisfies the (\pm) Sommerfeld radiation condition whenever

$$\lim_{\|x\| \to +\infty} \|x\|^{(n-1)/2} (\hat{x} \cdot \nabla \pm ik) u(x) = 0$$

hold uniformly in $\hat{x} := x/||x||$. The plus sign corresponds to an inward wave and the minus one corresponds to a outward wave.

Lemma 5.3. 1) the functions $G_{-k^2}^{\pm}(\phi \oplus \varphi)$ satisfy the (\pm) Sommerfeld radiation condition.

2) If $u \in \ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta} + k^2)$, $-k^2 \in E^-_{\Pi,\Theta}$, satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition, then u = 0.

Proof. By (4.15),

$$G_{-k^2}^{\pm}(\phi \oplus \varphi) = \operatorname{SL}_{-k^2}^{\pm} \phi + \operatorname{DL}_{-k^2}^{\pm} \varphi,$$

where

$$\mathrm{SL}_{-k^2}^{\pm} := (\gamma_0 R_{-k^2}^{\mp})', \quad \mathrm{DL}_{-k^2}^{\pm} := (\gamma_1 R_{-k^2}^{\mp})'.$$

By (2.5) and $K_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{\pi}{2} i^{\alpha+1} H_{\alpha}^{(1)}(iz)$, where $H_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ denotes the Hankel function of first kind of order α , it results

$$\mathscr{G}_{-(k^{2}\pm i\epsilon)}(x) = \frac{i}{4} \left(\frac{\sqrt{k^{2}\mp i\epsilon}}{2\pi \|x\|}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} H^{(1)}_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\sqrt{k^{2}\mp i\epsilon} \|x\|), \quad \text{Im } \sqrt{k^{2}\mp i\epsilon} > 0.$$

Therefore, by $\sqrt{k^2 \mp i\epsilon} = \mp |k| + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{|k|} + o(\epsilon)$ for any $k \neq 0$,

$$\mathscr{G}_{-k^{2}}^{\pm}(x) := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathscr{G}_{-(k^{2} \pm i\epsilon)}(x) = \frac{i}{4} \left(\frac{\mp |k|}{2\pi \|x\|} \right)^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} H_{\frac{n}{2} - 1}^{(1)}(\mp |k| \|x\|).$$

Thus, for any fixed $k \neq 0$, one gets (see e.g. [5, Appendix 1])

$$\sup_{\epsilon>0} |\mathscr{G}_{-(k^2\pm i\epsilon)}(x)| \le c(||x||^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)} + ||x||^{-(n-2)}).$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, for any bounded and compactly supported u and v,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \langle u, (R_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)} - \tilde{R}^{\pm}_{-k^2}) v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0,$$

where $\widetilde{R}_{-k^2}^{\pm}$ denotes the operator with integral kernel given by $\mathscr{G}_{-k^2}^{\pm}(x-y)$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, $R_{-k^2}^{\pm} = \widetilde{R}_{-k^2}^{\pm}$ and so, if ϕ and φ are in $L^2(\Gamma)$ and $x \notin \Gamma$,

$$SL_{-k^{2}}^{\pm}\phi(x) = \int_{\Gamma} \mathscr{G}_{-k^{2}}^{\pm}(x-y)\,\phi(y)\,d\sigma(y)$$
(5.1)

and

$$\mathrm{DL}_{-k^2}^{\pm} \varphi(x) = \int_{\Gamma} \nu(y) \cdot \nabla \mathscr{G}_{-k^2}^{\pm}(x-y) \varphi(y) \, d\sigma(y). \tag{5.2}$$

Then, by the behavior of $H_{\alpha}^{(1)}(x)$ and $\frac{d}{dx}H_{\alpha}^{(1)}(x)$ as $||x|| \to +\infty$, there follows that $\operatorname{SL}_{-k^2}^{\pm} \phi = \mathscr{G}_{-k^2}^{\pm} *(\phi \, \delta_{\Gamma})$ and $\operatorname{DL}_{-k^2}^{\pm} \varphi = \mathscr{G}_{-k^2}^{\pm} *(\phi \, \nu \nabla \delta_{\Gamma})$, hence $G_{-k^2}^{\pm}(\phi \oplus \varphi)$ satisfy the (\pm) Sommerfeld radiation condition (see e.g. [18, Lemma 7, Subsection 7d, Section 8, Chapter II]).

2) Let us suppose that $u \neq 0$. Then, by Theorem 5.1,

$$u = u_k + G_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi' (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma u_k,$$

where $u_k \in H^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a generalized eigenfunction of Δ with eigenvalue $-k^2$. Then, by 1), *u* satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition if and only if u_k does. By Green's formula on the ball of radius *R*, since $(\Delta + k^2)u_k(x) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, one has

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\int_{\|x\|=R} \bar{u}_k(x)\,\hat{x}\cdot\nabla u_k(x)d\sigma(x)\right) = 0.$$

Thus, by [30, Lemma 9.9], if u_k satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition then $u_k(x) = 0$ for any ||x|| > R. Since *R* is arbitrary, this gives $u_k = 0$, contradicting our assumption $u \neq 0$.

By Theorem 5.1 and by considering the usual family of generalized eigenfunctions $u_{\xi}^{\circ} \in H^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), \alpha > \frac{n}{2}$, of $\Delta: H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \subset L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \to L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ given by the plane waves

$$u_{\xi}^{\circ}(x) := e^{i\,\xi\cdot x},$$

one obtains the two families of generalized eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$ defined by

$$u_{\xi}^{\pm} := u_{\xi}^{\circ} + G_{-k^{2}}^{\mp} \Pi'(\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^{2}}^{\mp} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma u_{\xi}^{\circ}, \quad k = \|\xi\|, \ -k^{2} \in E_{\Pi,\Theta}^{-}$$

Remark 5.4. Since $ran(R^{\pm}_{-k^2}) \subseteq H^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by (2.16) and (4.14), one has

$$[\gamma]G_{-k^2}^{\pm} = \mathbf{1}_{H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)\oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}.$$

Thus, since $[\gamma]u_{\xi}^{\circ} = 0$, one gets

$$[\gamma]u_{\xi}^{\pm} = (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^2}^{\mp} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma u_{\xi}^{\circ},$$
(5.3)

and so the functions $u_{\xi}^{\pm} \in \operatorname{dom}(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta})$ solve the Lippmann-Schwinger type equation

$$u_{\xi}^{\pm} = u_{\xi}^{\circ} + G_{-k^2}^{\mp}[\gamma] u_{\xi}^{\pm}.$$
 (5.4)

Let us now define, for any $u \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{split} F_{\pm}^{\circ}u(\xi) &:= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \overline{u_{\xi}^{\pm}}(x) \, u(x) \, dx \\ &= Fu(\xi) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left\langle (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^{2}}^{\mp} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma u_{\xi}^{\circ}), \gamma R_{-k^{2}}^{\pm} u \right\rangle \\ &= Fu(\xi) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left\langle [\gamma] u_{\xi}^{\pm}, \gamma R_{-k^{2}}^{\pm} u \right\rangle, \end{split}$$

where *F* denotes the Fourier transform and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the $(H^{-s_1}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-s_2}(\Gamma)) - (H^{s_1}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{s_2}(\Gamma))$ duality. Next theorem provides the main properties of the maps F_+° :

Theorem 5.5. 1) The F_{\pm}° extend to bounded operators $F_{\pm} \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $\ker(F_{\pm}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{\text{pp}}$ and $F_{\pm}|L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{\text{ac}}$ are unitary onto $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2) Let P_{ac} be the orthogonal projection onto $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{ac}$, then

$$(F_{\pm}P_{\mathrm{ac}}\,\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}u)(\xi) = -\|\xi\|^2 F_{\pm}u(\xi) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathrm{dom}(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}).$$

3) Assume either (3.6) or (3.7) holds, so that the wave operators

$$W_{\pm} := s \cdot \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{-it \Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}} e^{it \Delta}$$

exist and are complete; then

$$W_{\pm} = F_{\pm}^* F. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. 1) We adapt to our framework the reasonings in [2, Section 6] (see also [25]). By (3.4), one has, for any $z \in Z_{\Pi,\Theta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (F(-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}+z)^{-1}u)(\xi) \\ &= (\|\xi\|^2+z)^{-1}Fu(\xi) + (FG_z\Pi'(\Theta+\Pi M_z\Pi')^{-1}\Pi\gamma(-\Delta+z)^{-1}u)(\xi) \\ &= (\|\xi\|^2+z)^{-1}Fu(\xi) \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle (\Theta+\Pi M_{\bar{z}}\Pi')^{-1}\gamma(-\Delta+\bar{z})^{-1}u_{\xi}^{\circ}, \gamma(-\Delta+z)^{-1}u) \right\rangle \\ &= (\|\xi\|^2+z)^{-1}\check{u}_z(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\breve{u}_{z}(\xi) := Fu(\xi) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \langle (\Theta + \Pi M_{\bar{z}} \Pi')^{-1} \gamma u_{\xi}^{\circ}, \gamma (-\Delta + z)^{-1} u) \rangle.$$

Then, for $u \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we set

$$\breve{u}_{-k^2}^{\pm}(\xi) := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \breve{u}_{-(k^2 \pm i\epsilon)}(\xi).$$

By the Theorems 4.1 and 4.5, such a definition is well posed and

$$\breve{u}_{-k^2}^{\pm}(\xi) = Fu(\xi) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \langle (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^2}^{\pm} \Pi')^{-1} \gamma u_{\xi}^{\circ}, \gamma R_{-k^2}^{\pm} u \rangle,$$

so that, for any $u \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\breve{u}_{-\|\xi\|^2}^{\pm}(\xi) = F_{\pm}^{\circ}u(\xi).$$

Then, one has (see [2, p. 191] for the reasonings that allow the exchange $\lim f = \int \lim$)

$$\begin{split} \langle (E_b - E_a)u, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \int_a^b \| (-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} + (\lambda \pm i\epsilon))^{-1} u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 d\lambda \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \int_a^b \| F(-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} + \lambda \pm i\epsilon)^{-1} u) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 d\lambda \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \int_a^b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} | \| \xi \|^2 + \lambda \pm i\epsilon |^{-2} | \breve{u}_{\lambda \pm i\epsilon}(\xi) |^2 d\xi \right) d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \int_a^b | \| \xi \|^2 + \lambda \pm i\epsilon |^{-2} | \breve{u}_{\lambda \pm i\epsilon}(\xi) |^2 d\lambda \right) d\xi. \end{split}$$

By the known properties of the Poisson integral (see e.g. [2, equation (6.16)]),

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} | \, \|\xi\|^{2} + \lambda \pm i \, \epsilon \, |^{-2} | \breve{u}_{\lambda \pm i \, \epsilon}(\xi) |^{2} \, d\lambda \\ &= \begin{cases} |\breve{u}_{-\|\xi\|^{2}}(\xi)|^{2}, & a < -\|\xi\|^{2} < b, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{split}$$
(5.6)

Therefore

$$\langle (E_b - E_a)u, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{a < -\|\xi\|^2 < b} |\check{u}_{-\|\xi\|^2}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

$$= \int_{a < -\|\xi\|^2 < b} |F_{\pm}^{\circ}u(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$
(5.7)

and so, if P_{ac} denotes the orthogonal projector onto $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{ac}$, for any $u \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ one has

$$\|P_{\mathrm{ac}} u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} = \langle P_{\mathrm{ac}} u, u \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F_{\pm}^{\circ} u(\xi)|^{2} d\xi = \|F_{\pm}^{\circ} u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}.$$
 (5.8)

This shows that F_{\pm}° can be extended by continuity to a bounded map $F_{\pm} \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. By (5.8), one gets ker $(F_{\pm}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{pp}$ and F_{\pm} is an isometry from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{ac}$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 3.3, ran $(W_{\pm}^*) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and so ran $(F_{\pm}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ will be a consequence of (5.5) which will be proven below.

2) By (5.7) and by the polarization identity, for any $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{ac}$,

$$\langle E_{\lambda}u,v\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \int_{-\|\xi\|^{2} < \lambda} \overline{F_{\pm}u}(\xi) F_{\pm}v(\xi) d\xi$$

and so, for any $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)_{\mathrm{ac}} \cap \mathrm{dom}(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta})$,

$$\begin{split} \langle \Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \lambda \langle E_\lambda u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, d\lambda \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\xi\|^2 \overline{F_{\pm} u}(\xi) F_{\pm} v(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &= -\langle \|\cdot\|^2 F_{\pm} u, F_{\pm} v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{split}$$

3) We equivalently show that $F_{\pm}W_{\pm}u = Fu$ for any u in the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions. Let define $W_{\pm}(t) := P_{ac} e^{-it\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}} e^{it\Delta}$. Since we are assuming the existence of the strong limits which define W_{\pm} , such limits

can be replaced by the Abelian ones (see e.g. Corollary 14 and Lemma 15 in [6, Section 6.1.2]); therefore

$$F_{\pm}W_{\pm}u = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0\pm} \epsilon \int_{0}^{\pm \infty} e^{-\epsilon t} F_{\pm}W_{\pm}(t)u \, dt$$
$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0\pm} \int_{0}^{\pm \infty} e^{-\epsilon t} \frac{d}{dt} F_{\pm}W_{\pm}(t)u \, dt + F_{\pm}u$$

The map F_{\pm} diagonalize $P_{ac} \Delta_{\Pi,\Theta}$, thus

$$(F_{\pm}W_{\pm}(t)u)(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \langle u_{\xi}^{\pm}, e^{it(\Delta + \|\xi\|^2)}u \rangle$$

(here and below $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the $L^2_{-\alpha} - L^2_{\alpha}$ duality). Since $(\Delta + \|\xi\|^2)u^{\circ}_{\xi} = 0$ and $u^{\pm}_{\xi} = u^{\circ}_{\xi} + G^{\mp}_{-\|\xi\|^2}[\gamma]u^{\pm}_{\xi}$, we get

$$\begin{split} e^{-\epsilon t} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(F_{\pm} W_{\pm}(t) u \right)(\xi) \\ &= \frac{i e^{-\epsilon t}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle u_{\xi}^{\pm}, \left(\Delta + \|\xi\|^2 \right) e^{i t (\Delta + \|\xi\|^2)} u \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{i e^{-\epsilon t}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} (\left\langle (\Delta + \|\xi\|^2) u_{\xi}^{\circ}, e^{i t (\Delta + \|\xi\|^2)} u \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle G_{-\|\xi\|^2}^{\mp}[\gamma] u_{\xi}^{\pm}, \left(\Delta + \|\xi\|^2 \right) e^{i t (\Delta + \|\xi\|^2)} u \right\rangle) \\ &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle G_{-\|\xi\|^2}^{\mp}[\gamma] u_{\xi}^{\pm}, \left(\Delta + \|\xi\|^2 \right) e^{i t (\Delta + \|\xi\|^2 + i\epsilon)} u \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle G_{-\|\xi\|^2}^{\mp}[\gamma] u_{\xi}^{\pm}, \\ & \left(\Delta + \|\xi\|^2 \right) (\Delta + \|\xi\|^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1} e^{i t (\Delta + \|\xi\|^2 + i\epsilon)} u \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Therefore, a.e. (eventually taking the limit along a subsequence)

$$\begin{aligned} (F_{\pm}W_{\pm}u)(\xi) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0\pm} \int_{0}^{\pm \infty} e^{-\epsilon t} \frac{d}{dt} \left(F_{\pm}W_{\pm}(t)u \right)(\xi) dt + F_{\pm}u(\xi) \\ &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0\pm} \left\langle G^{\mp}_{-\|\xi\|^{2}}[\gamma]u^{\pm}_{\xi}, (\Delta + \|\xi\|^{2})(\Delta + \|\xi\|^{2} + i\epsilon)^{-1}u \right\rangle + F_{\pm}u(\xi) \\ &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle G^{\mp}_{-\|\xi\|^{2}}[\gamma]u^{\pm}_{\xi}, u \right\rangle + F_{\pm}u(\xi) \\ &= Fu(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now introduce the scattering operator $S := W_+^* W_-$, so that, by $W_{\pm} = F_{\pm}^* F$, one gets $FSF^* = F_+ F_-^*$. The scattering matrix

$$S_k: L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

where \mathbb{S}^{n-1} denotes the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n , is then defined by the relation

$$S_k(Fu)_k = (FSu)_k, \quad (Fu)_k(\hat{\xi}) := Fu(k\hat{\xi})$$

Therefore

$$S_k(F_-u)_k = (F_+u)_k.$$

The next results shows how the kernel (proportional to the scattering amplitude) of the linear operator $1 - S_k$ can be expressed in terms of the limit Weyl functions $\Theta + \prod M_{-k^2}^{\pm} \prod'$; here μ denotes Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Theorem 5.6. Assume either (3.6) or (3.7) holds, so that W_{\pm} and hence S_k exist. Then, for any k > 0 such that $-k^2 \in E_{\prod,\Theta}^-$,

$$S_k f(\hat{\xi}) = f(\hat{\xi}) - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} s_k(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\xi}') f(\hat{\xi}') d\mu(\hat{\xi}'),$$

where

$$s_{k}(\hat{\xi},\hat{\xi}') := \frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle \Pi \gamma u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{\circ}, (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^{2}}^{-}\Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{\circ} \rangle$$
$$= \frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle (\Theta + \Pi M_{-k^{2}}^{+}\Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{\circ}, \Pi \gamma u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{\circ} \rangle.$$

In the case (3.6) holds, one also has the equivalent representation

$$s_{k}(\hat{\xi},\hat{\xi}') = \frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle \Pi \gamma u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{\circ}, (B_{\Theta} - \Pi \gamma G_{-k^{2}}^{-} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{\circ} \rangle$$
$$= \frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle (B_{\Theta} - \Pi \gamma G_{-k^{2}}^{+} \Pi')^{-1} \Pi \gamma u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{\circ}, \Pi \gamma u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{\circ} \rangle.$$

Proof. Here we follow the same strategy as in [37] and [5]. By the definition of S_k we only need to show that, for any $u \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one has

$$(F_{+}u)_{k}(\hat{\xi}) = (F_{-}u)_{k}(\hat{\xi}) - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} s_{k}(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\xi}') (F_{-}u)_{k}(\hat{\xi}') d\mu(\hat{\xi}').$$
(5.9)

Let us define the auxiliary functions

$$v_{k\hat{\xi}} := u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{-} - u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{+} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} s_k(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\xi}') \, u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{-} \, d\mu(\hat{\xi}').$$

By (5.4),

$$\begin{split} v_{k\hat{\xi}} &= G^+_{-k^2}[\gamma] u^-_{k\hat{\xi}} - G^-_{-k^2}[\gamma] u^+_{k\hat{\xi}} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} s_k(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\xi}') \ G^+_{-k^2}[\gamma] u^-_{k\hat{\xi}'} \, d\mu(\hat{\xi}') \\ &- \frac{i}{4\pi} \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \gamma u^\circ_{k\hat{\xi}'}, [\gamma] u^+_{k\hat{\xi}} \rangle u^\circ_{k\hat{\xi}'} \, d\mu(\hat{\xi}'). \end{split}$$

By

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \bar{u}_{k\hat{\xi}}^{\circ}(x) \, u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{\circ}(y) \, d\mu(\hat{\xi}) = 4\pi i \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right)^{n-2} (\mathscr{G}_{-k^2}^{-}(x-y) - \mathscr{G}_{-k^2}^{+}(x-y))$$

(see [5, formula (15)]) and by (5.1) and (5.2), one gets

$$-\frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \gamma u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{\circ}, [\gamma] u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{+} \rangle \, u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{\circ} \, d\mu(\hat{\xi}') = (G_{-k^2}^{-} - G_{-k^2}^{+})[\gamma] u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{+}$$

and so

$$v_{k\hat{\xi}} = G^+_{-k^2}[\gamma] v_{k\hat{\xi}}.$$

Therefore, by 1) in Lemma 5.3, $v_{k\hat{\xi}}$ satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition. Since $u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{\pm} \in \ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta} + k^2)$, one has $v_{k\hat{\xi}} \in \ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{\Pi,\Theta} + k^2)$. Thus, by 2) in Lemma 5.3, $v_{k\hat{\xi}} = 0$ and so

$$u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{+} = u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{-} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} s_k(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\xi}') \, u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{-} \, d\mu(\hat{\xi}').$$

Considering the duality product of both the left and right functions with $u \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one gets (5.9) and the proof is done.

Remark 5.7. Given $\mu \in (0, +\infty) \cap \rho(\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta})$, let W^{μ}_{\pm} denote the wave operators for the scattering couple $((-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} + \mu)^{-1}, (-\Delta + \mu)^{-1})$. Since both W_{\pm} and W^{μ}_{\pm} exist and are complete, by the Birman-Kato invariance principle one gets $W^{\mu}_{\pm} = W_{\pm}$. By (3.4) one has

$$(-\Delta_{\Pi,\Theta} + \mu)^{-1} - (-\Delta + \mu)^{-1} = G_{\mu}\Pi'(\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu}\Pi')^{-1}\Pi G'_{\mu}.$$
 (5.10)

The Birman–Yafaev general scheme in stationary scattering theory (see e.g. [15], [40], and [41]), conditional on the existence of the limit operator

$$B_{\lambda}^{+} := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} B_{\lambda + i\epsilon}, \quad B_{z} := \Pi G_{\mu}' ((-\Delta + \mu)^{-1} - z)^{-1} G_{\mu} \Pi'$$

and of the inverse $(1 + B_{\lambda}^{+}(\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu}\Pi')^{-1})^{-1}$, allows the representation formula for the scattering matrix S_{λ}^{μ} , corresponding to the scattering operator $S^{\mu} = (W_{+}^{\mu})^{*}W_{-}^{\mu}$, given by (see e.g. [41, equation (2.8)])

$$S_{\lambda}^{\mu} = 1 - 2\pi i L_{\lambda} \Pi' (\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu} \Pi')^{-1} (1 + B_{\lambda}^{+} (\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu} \Pi')^{-1})^{-1} \Pi L_{\lambda}'.$$
(5.11)

Here

$$\begin{split} L_{\lambda} &: H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \oplus H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}), \\ (L_{\lambda}(\phi \oplus \varphi))(\hat{\xi}) &:= \frac{1}{\lambda} [(F_{0}G_{\mu}(\phi \oplus \varphi))(\lambda)](\hat{\xi}) \end{split}$$

is defined in terms of the unitary map $F_0: L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^2((0, \mu^{-1}); L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ such that the operator $F_0(-\Delta + \mu)^{-1}F_0^*$ acts as multiplication by λ , i.e.

$$[(F_0 u)(\lambda)](\hat{\xi}) := \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \mu\right)^{\frac{n-2}{4}} (Fu)\left(\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\xi}\right)$$

By the identities

$$((-\Delta + \mu)^{-1} - z)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{z} \left(1 + \frac{1}{z} \left(-\Delta + \mu - \frac{1}{z} \right)^{-1} \right),$$

$$G'_{\mu} (-\Delta + \mu - \frac{1}{z})^{-1} = z (G'_{\mu - \frac{1}{z}} - G'_{\mu}),$$

$$M_{\mu - \frac{1}{z}} = M_{\mu} - \frac{1}{z} G'_{\mu - \frac{1}{z}} G_{\mu},$$

one obtains

$$\begin{split} (\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu} \Pi')^{-1} (1 + B_{z} (\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu} \Pi')^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu} \Pi' - B_{z})^{-1} \\ &= \left(\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu} \Pi' - \Pi G'_{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z^{2}} (-\Delta + \mu - \frac{1}{z})^{-1}\right) G_{\mu} \Pi'\right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu} \Pi' - \frac{1}{z} \Pi G'_{\mu} G_{\mu} \Pi' - \frac{1}{z} \Pi (G'_{\mu - \frac{1}{z}} - G'_{\mu}) G_{\mu} \Pi'\right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu} \Pi' - \frac{1}{z} \Pi G'_{\mu - \frac{1}{z}} G_{\mu} \Pi'\right)^{-1} \\ &= (\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu - \frac{1}{z}} \Pi')^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by Theorems 4.2 and 4.5, both B_{λ}^+ and $(1 + B_{\lambda}^+ (\Theta + \Pi M_{\mu} \Pi')^{-1})^{-1}$ are well defined and

$$S^{\mu}_{\lambda} = 1 - 2\pi i L_{\lambda} \Pi' \left(\Theta + \Pi M^{+}_{\mu - \frac{1}{\lambda}} \Pi' \right)^{-1} \Pi L'_{\lambda}, \quad \mu - \frac{1}{\lambda} \in E^{-}_{\Pi,\Theta}.$$
(5.12)

In case Theorems 4.2 and 4.5 were not available, using the results contained in [40, Chapter 7, Sections 4 and 6], the representation formula (5.11) could be still obtained under Kato-smoothness or trace-class hypotheses on the resolvent difference (5.10). However for the models we are here considering, the trace-class condition is not always fulfilled while checking the smoothness property may be a substantial problem (see e.g. [40, Chapter 7, Section 4, Proposition 1]).

Finally, using the correspondence $S_{\lambda}^{\mu} = S_k$, which holds whenever $\mu - \frac{1}{\lambda} = -k^2$ (see [40, Section 6, Chapter 2]), and the identity

$$(L_{\lambda}(\phi\oplus\varphi))(\hat{\xi}) = \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda} \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda}-\mu\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{4}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \langle \gamma u^{\circ}_{(\lambda^{-1}-\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{\xi}}, \phi\oplus\varphi \rangle,$$

one gets that (5.12) matches the formula provided in Theorem 5.6.

6. Examples: Boundary conditions on Γ

In this section we apply our results to self-adjoint realizations of the Laplacian with various kind of boundary conditions on Γ . For more details on such models we refer to [29, Section 5]. In particular, by the results given there, hypothesis (3.6) holds for all the examples presented here. As regards the semi-boundedness hypotheses required in Theorem 4.2, the semi-boundedness of the operators Δ_D and Δ_N in subsections 6.1 and 6.2 is clear, semi-boundedness of Δ_R in subsection 6.3 is provided in [29, Remark 5.2] and semiboundedness of $\Delta_{\alpha,\delta}$ and $\Delta_{\beta,\delta'}$ in subsections 6.4 and 6.5 is provided in [9, Theorem 3.16] (see also the next Section, the proofs being essentially the same). In the following, in order to simplify the exposition, we suppose that Ω_{ex} is connected.

6.1. Dirichlet boundary conditions. Let us consider the self-adjoint extension Δ_D corresponding to Dirichlet boundary conditions on the whole Γ ; it is given by the direct sum $\Delta_D = \Delta_{in}^D \oplus \Delta_{ex}^D$, where the self-adjoint operators Δ_{in}^D and Δ_{ex}^D are defined by $\Delta_{in}^D := \Delta |\text{dom}(\Delta_{in}^D) \text{ and } \Delta_{ex}^D := \Delta |\text{dom}(\Delta_{ex}^D)$, with domains $\text{dom}(\Delta_{in}^D) = \{u_{in} \in H^2(\Omega_{in}): \gamma_0^{in}u_{in} = 0\}$ and $\text{dom}(\Delta_{ex}^D) = \{u_{ex} \in H^2(\Omega_{ex}): \gamma_0^{ex}u_{ex} = 0\}$. Since

$$dom(\Delta_{in}^{D}) \oplus dom(\Delta_{ex}^{D}) = \{ u \in H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \Gamma) : [\gamma_{0}]u = 0, \gamma_{0}u = 0 \}$$
$$= \{ u \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \cap H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \Gamma) : \gamma_{0}u = 0 \},$$

that corresponds, in Corollary 3.6, to the choice $\Pi(\phi \oplus \varphi) := \phi \oplus 0$, and $B_{\Theta} = 0$. Thus (see [29, Subsection 5.1])

$$(\Delta_{\rm in}^D \oplus \Delta_{\rm ex}^D)u = \Delta u - [\gamma_1]u\,\delta_{\Gamma}$$

and, by $(\gamma_0 \operatorname{SL}_z)^{-1} = P_z^{\operatorname{in}} - P_z^{\operatorname{ex}}$, where P_z^{in} and P_z^{ex} denote the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators for $\Omega_{\operatorname{in}}$ and $\Omega_{\operatorname{ex}}$ respectively (see e.g. [29, equation (5.4)]), one has, for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$,

$$(-(\Delta_{in}^D \oplus \Delta_{ex}^D) + z)^{-1} = (-\Delta + z)^{-1} + SL_z (P_z^{ex} - P_z^{in}) \gamma_0 (-\Delta + z)^{-1}.$$

Then, by Theorem 5.6, one has, for any k > 0 such that $-k^2 \notin \sigma(\Delta_{in}^D)$,

$$s_k(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\xi}') = \frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle (P_{-k^2}^{\text{ex}} - P_{-k^2}^{\text{in}})^+ \gamma_0 u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{\circ}, \gamma_0 u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{\circ} \rangle,$$

where

$$(P_{-k^2}^{\text{ex}} - P_{-k^2}^{\text{in}})^+ := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (P_{-k^2 + i\epsilon}^{\text{ex}} - P_{-k^2 + i\epsilon}^{\text{in}}) = -(\gamma_0 \operatorname{SL}_{-k^2}^+)^{-1}.$$

Such a limit exists in $B(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma), H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma))$ by Theorem 4.5. Notice that, restricted to the case n = 2, similar formulae have been obtained (without the smoothness condition on Γ) in [19, Theorems 5.3 and 5.6].

6.2. Neumann boundary conditions. Let us consider the self-adjoint extension Δ^N corresponding to Neumann boundary conditions on the whole Γ ; it is given by the direct sum $\Delta_N = \Delta_{in}^N \oplus \Delta_{ex}^N$, where the self-adjoint operators Δ_{in}^N and Δ_{ex}^N are defined by $\Delta_{in}^N := \Delta |\operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{in}^N) |$ and $\Delta_{ex}^N := \Delta |\operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{ex}^N)$, with domains $\operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{in}^N) = \{u_{in} \in H^2(\Omega_{in}): \gamma_1^{in}u_{in} = 0\}$ and $\operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{ex}^N) = \{u_{ex} \in H^2(\Omega_{ex}): \gamma_1^{ex}u_{ex} = 0\}$. Since

$$\operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{\operatorname{in}}^N) \oplus \operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{\operatorname{ex}}^N) = \{ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma) \colon [\gamma_1] u = \gamma_1 u = 0 \},\$$

that corresponds, in Corollary 3.6, to the choice $\Pi(\phi \oplus \varphi) := 0 \oplus \varphi$, and $B_{\Theta} = 0$. Thus (see [29, Subsection 5.2])

$$(\Delta_{\mathrm{in}}^N \oplus \Delta_{\mathrm{ex}}^N)u = \Delta u - [\gamma_0]u \, v \cdot \nabla \delta_{\Gamma},$$

and, by $(\gamma_1 DL_z)^{-1} = Q_z^{ex} - Q_z^{in}$, where Q_z^{in} and Q_z^{ex} denote the Neumann-to-Dirichlet operators for Ω_{in} and Ω_{ex} respectively (see e.g. [29, equation (5.7)]), one has, for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$,

$$(-(\Delta_{in}^N \oplus \Delta_{ex}^N) + z)^{-1} = (-\Delta + z)^{-1} + DL_z(Q_z^{in} - Q_z^{ex})\gamma_1(-\Delta + z)^{-1}.$$

Then, by Theorem 5.6, one has, for any k > 0 such that $-k^2 \notin \sigma(\Delta_{in}^N)$,

$$s_k(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\xi}') = \frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle (Q_{-k^2}^{\text{in}} - Q_{-k^2}^{\text{ex}})^+ \gamma_1 u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{\circ}, \gamma_1 u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{\circ} \rangle,$$

where

$$(Q_{-k^2}^{\text{in}} - Q_{-k^2}^{\text{ex}})^+ := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (Q_{-k^2 + i\epsilon}^{\text{in}} - Q_{-k^2 + i\epsilon}^{\text{ex}}) = -(\gamma_1 \operatorname{DL}_{-k^2}^+)^{-1}.$$

Such a limits exists in $B(H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma))$ by Theorem 4.5. Notice that, restricted to the case n = 2, similar formulae have been obtained (without the smoothness condition on Γ) in [21, Theorems 4.2 and 4.3].

6.3. Robin boundary conditions. Let us consider the self-adjoint extension Δ_R corresponding to Robin boundary conditions on the whole Γ ; it is given by the direct sum $\Delta_R = \Delta_{in}^R \oplus \Delta_{ex}^R$, where

$$\Delta_{\text{in}}^{R} := \Delta |\text{dom}(A_{\text{in}}^{R}), \quad \Delta_{\text{ex}}^{R} := \Delta |\text{dom}(\Delta_{\text{ex}}^{R}),$$

$$dom(\Delta_{in}^{R}) = \{u_{in} \in dom(\Delta_{in}^{max}): \gamma_{1}^{in}u_{in} = b_{in} \gamma_{0}^{in}u_{in}\},\$$

$$dom(\Delta_{ex}^{R}) = \{u_{ex} \in dom(\Delta_{ex}^{max}): \gamma_{1}^{ex}u_{ex} = b_{ex} \gamma_{0}^{ex}u_{ex}\}.$$

Here b_{in} and b_{ex} are real-valued multipliers in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$. Since, in case $b_{ex}(x) \neq b_{in}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Gamma$, the domain of $\Delta_{in}^R \oplus \Delta_{ex}^R$ is given by

$$dom(\Delta_{in}^{R} \oplus \Delta_{ex}^{R}) = \left\{ u \in H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \Gamma): \\ (b_{ex} - b_{in})\gamma_{0}u = [\gamma_{1}]u - \frac{1}{2}(b_{ex} + b_{in})[\gamma_{0}]u, \\ (b_{ex} - b_{in})\gamma_{1}u = \frac{1}{2}(b_{ex} + b_{in})[\gamma_{1}]u - b_{ex}b_{in}[\gamma_{0}]u \right\}.$$

that corresponds, in Corollary 3.6, to the choice $\Pi = 1$ and $B_{\Theta} = B_R$, where

$$B_R = -\frac{1}{[b]} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \langle b \rangle \\ \langle b \rangle & b_{\text{ex}} b_{\text{in}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \langle b \rangle := \frac{1}{2} (b_{\text{ex}} + b_{\text{in}}), \quad [b] := b_{\text{ex}} - b_{\text{in}},$$

Thus (see [29, Subsection 5.3])

$$(\Delta_{\mathrm{in}}^{R} \oplus \Delta_{\mathrm{ex}}^{R})u = \Delta u - \frac{4}{[b]} \left(\left(\langle b \rangle \gamma_{1}u - b_{\mathrm{ex}}b_{\mathrm{in}}\gamma_{0}u \right) \delta_{\Gamma} + \left(\gamma_{1}u - \langle b \rangle \gamma_{0}u \right) v \cdot \nabla \delta_{\Gamma} \right)$$

and, for any $z \in \rho(\Delta_{in}^R) \cap \rho(\Delta_{ex}^R) \cap \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$,

$$(-(\Delta_{in}^{R} \oplus \Delta_{ex}^{R}) + z)^{-1}$$

= $(-\Delta + z)^{-1} - G_{z} \begin{bmatrix} 1/[b] + \gamma_{0} \operatorname{SL}_{z} & \langle b \rangle / [b] + \gamma_{0} \operatorname{DL}_{z} \\ \langle b \rangle / [b] + \gamma_{1} \operatorname{SL}_{z} & b_{+}b_{-} / [b] + \gamma_{1} \operatorname{DL}_{z} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \gamma (-\Delta + z)^{-1},$

where $G_z(\phi \oplus \varphi) = \operatorname{SL}_z \phi + \operatorname{DL}_z \varphi$. Let us notice that the case in which one has the same Robin boundary conditions on both sides of Γ corresponds to the choice $b_{\text{ex}} = b_{\circ} = -b_{\text{in}}$.

Then, by Theorem 5.6, one has, for any k > 0 such that $-k^2 \notin \sigma(\Delta_{in}^R)$,

$$s_k(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\xi}')$$

$$= -\frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1/[b] + \gamma_0 \operatorname{SL}_{-k^2}^+ & \langle b \rangle / [b] + \gamma_0 \operatorname{DL}_{-k^2}^+ \\ \langle b \rangle / [b] + \gamma_1 \operatorname{SL}_{-k^2}^+ & b_+ b_- / [b] + \gamma_1 \operatorname{DL}_{-k^2}^+ \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \gamma u_{k\xi'}^{\circ}, \gamma u_{k\xi}^{\circ} \right\rangle.$$

6.4. δ -interactions. Here we consider the self-adjoint extension corresponding to the choice $\Pi(\phi \oplus \varphi) = \phi \oplus 0$ and $\Theta(\phi \oplus \varphi) = -(\phi/\alpha + \gamma_0 \operatorname{SL} \phi) \oplus 0$, where α is a real-valued multiplier in $H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)$ such that $1/\alpha \in L^{\infty}(\Gamma)$. Such a kind of self-adjoint extensions correspond to the boundary conditions $\alpha\gamma_0 u = [\gamma_1]u$ and so one obtains the self-adjoint extensions usually called " δ -interactions on Γ " (see [16], [9] and references therein). By Corollary 3.6 (see [29, Subsection 5.4]), one gets the self-adjoint extension

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\delta} u = \Delta u - \alpha \gamma_0 u \,\delta_{\Gamma},$$

dom $(\Delta_{\alpha,\delta})$:= { $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma)$: $\alpha \gamma_0 u = [\gamma_1] u$ };

its resolvent is given by

$$(-\Delta_{\alpha,\delta} + z)^{-1} = (-\Delta + z)^{-1} - SL_z((1/\alpha) + \gamma_0 SL_z)^{-1}\gamma_0(-\Delta + z)^{-1}$$
$$= (-\Delta + z)^{-1} - SL_z(1 + \alpha\gamma_0 SL_z)^{-1}\alpha\gamma_0(-\Delta + z)^{-1}.$$

Then, by Theorem 5.6 and Remark 3.8, one has, for any k > 0,

$$s_{k}(\hat{\xi},\hat{\xi}') = -\frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle (1 + \alpha \gamma_{0} \operatorname{SL}_{-k^{2}}^{+})^{-1} \alpha \gamma_{0} u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{\circ}, \gamma_{0} u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{\circ} \rangle.$$

6.5. δ' -interactions. Here we consider the self-adjoint extension corresponding to the choice $\Pi(\phi \oplus \varphi) = 0 \oplus \varphi$ and $\Theta(\phi \oplus \varphi) = 0 \oplus (\varphi/\beta - \gamma_1 DL\varphi)$, where β is a real-valued multiplier in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ such that $1/\beta \in L^{\infty}(\Gamma)$. Such a kind of self-adjoint extensions correspond to the boundary conditions $\beta\gamma_1 u = [\gamma_0]u$ and so one obtains the self-adjoint extensions usually called " δ' -interactions on Γ " (see [9] and references therein). By Corollary 3.6 (see [29, Subsection 5.5]), one gets the self-adjoint extension

$$\Delta_{\beta,\delta'} u = \Delta u - \beta \gamma_1 u \, v \cdot \nabla \delta_{\Gamma},$$

$$\operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{\beta,\delta'}) := \{ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Gamma) : [\gamma_1] u = 0, \ \beta \gamma_1 u = [\gamma_0] u \};$$

Its resolvent is given by

$$(-\Delta_{\beta,\delta'} + z)^{-1} = (-\Delta + z)^{-1} + DL_z((1/\beta) - \gamma_1 DL_z)^{-1}\gamma_1(-\Delta + z)^{-1}$$
$$= (-\Delta + z)^{-1} + DL_z(1 - \beta\gamma_1 DL_z)^{-1}\beta\gamma_1(-\Delta + z)^{-1}.$$

Then, by Theorem 5.6 and Remark 3.8, one has, for any k > 0,

$$s_k(\hat{\xi},\hat{\xi}') = \frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle (1-\beta\gamma_1 \operatorname{DL}_{-k^2}^+)^{-1}\beta\gamma_1 u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^\circ, \gamma_1 u_{k\hat{\xi}}^\circ \rangle.$$

7. Examples: Boundary conditions on $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$

In this section we consider boundary conditions supported on a relatively open part $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$ with Lipschitz boundary. For more details and proof regarding such models we refer to [29, Section 6]. In particular, by the results given there, hypothesis (3.7) holds for all the examples presented here; moreover, the semiboundedness hypothesis required in Theorem 4.2 holds true as well: this point is next discussed case-by-case. In order to apply Theorem 3.7, so to simplify the exposition, we suppose that $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Sigma}$ is connected.

In the following, given $X \subset \Gamma$ closed, we use the definition

$$H_X^s(\Gamma) := \{ \phi \in H^s(\Gamma) : \operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subseteq X \}.$$

Given $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$ relatively open of class $\mathbb{C}^{0,1}$, we denote by Π_{Σ} the orthogonal projector in the Hilbert space $H^{s}(\Gamma)$, s > 0, such that $\operatorname{ran}(\Pi_{\Sigma}) = H^{s}_{\Sigma^{c}}(\Gamma)^{\perp}$. One has $\operatorname{ran}(\Pi'_{\Sigma}) = H^{-s}_{\overline{\Sigma}}(\Gamma)$, where $\Pi'_{\Sigma} = \Lambda^{2s} \Pi_{\Sigma} \Lambda^{-2s}$ is the dual projection. In the following, we use the identifications $H^{s}_{\Sigma^{c}}(\Gamma)^{\perp} \simeq H^{s}(\Sigma)$ and $H^{-s}_{\overline{\Sigma}}(\Gamma) \simeq H^{s}(\Sigma)'$. In particular, by the former, the orthogonal projection Π_{Σ} can be identified with the restriction map $R_{\Sigma}: H^{s}(\Gamma) \to H^{s}(\Sigma), R_{\Sigma}\phi := \phi | \Sigma$.

7.1. Dirichlet boundary conditions. We denote by $\Delta_{D,\Sigma}$ the self-adjoint extension corresponding to the orthogonal projector defined by $\Pi(\phi \oplus \varphi) := (\Pi_{\Sigma}\phi)\oplus 0 \equiv (\phi|\Sigma)\oplus 0$ and to the self-adjoint operator $\Theta(\phi\oplus\varphi):=(-\Theta_{D,\Sigma}\phi)\oplus 0$,

$$\Theta_{D,\Sigma}: \operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{D,\Sigma}) \subseteq H_{\overline{\Sigma}}^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \to H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma), \quad \Theta_{D,\Sigma}\phi := (\gamma_0 \operatorname{SL} \phi) |\Sigma|$$
$$\operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{D,\Sigma}) := \{\phi \in H_{\overline{\Sigma}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma): (\gamma_0 \operatorname{SL} \phi) |\Sigma \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)\}.$$

By Theorem 3.1 (see [29, Subsection 6.1]),

$$\Delta_{D,\Sigma} u = \Delta u - [\hat{\gamma}_1] u \,\delta_{\overline{\Sigma}},\tag{7.1}$$

$$dom(\Delta_{D,\Sigma}) = \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^0_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma) : \\ [\hat{\gamma}_1] u \in dom(\Theta_{D,\Sigma}), \, (\gamma_0^{in} u) | \Sigma = (\gamma_0^{ex} u) | \Sigma = 0 \}$$

is self-adjoint and

$$(-\Delta_{D,\Sigma}+z)^{-1} = (-\Delta+z)^{-1} - \operatorname{SL}_z \Pi'_{\Sigma} (R_{\Sigma}\gamma_0 \operatorname{SL}_z \Pi'_{\Sigma})^{-1} R_{\Sigma}\gamma_0 (-\Delta+z)^{-1}.$$

Denoting by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{-1,1}$ the $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) - H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ duality, for any

$$u \in \operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{D,\Sigma}) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

one has, by (7.1) and $\langle \delta_{\Sigma}, u \rangle_{-1,1} = 0$ whenever $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma_0 u) \subseteq \Sigma^c$,

$$\langle -\Delta_{D,\Sigma} u, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \langle -\Delta u, u \rangle_{-1,1} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$$

and so $\Delta_{D,\Sigma} \leq 0$.

By Theorems 5.6 and 3.7, one gets, for any k > 0,

$$s_k(\hat{\xi},\hat{\xi}') = -\frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle (R_\Sigma \gamma_0 \operatorname{SL}_{-k^2}^+ \Pi'_\Sigma)^{-1} R_\Sigma \gamma_0 u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^\circ, R_\Sigma \gamma_0 u_{k\hat{\xi}}^\circ \rangle.$$

7.2. Neumann boundary conditions. We denote by $\Delta_{N,\Sigma}$ the self-adjoint extension corresponding to the orthogonal projector defined by

$$\Pi(\phi \oplus \varphi) := 0 \oplus (\Pi_{\Sigma} \varphi) \equiv 0 \oplus (\varphi | \Sigma)$$

and to the self-adjoint operator $\Theta(\phi \oplus \varphi) := 0 \oplus (-\Theta_{N,\Sigma}\varphi)$,

$$\Theta_{N,\Sigma}: \operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{N,\Sigma}) \subseteq H_{\overline{\Sigma}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma), \quad \Theta_{N,\Sigma}\varphi = (\gamma_1 \operatorname{DL}\varphi)|\Sigma,$$
$$\operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{N,\Sigma}) := \{\varphi \in H_{\overline{\Sigma}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma): (\gamma_1 \operatorname{DL}\varphi)|\Sigma \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)\}.$$

By Theorem 3.1 (see [29, Subsection 6.2]),

$$\Delta_{N,\Sigma} u = \Delta u - [\gamma_0] u \, v \cdot \nabla \delta_{\overline{\Sigma}},\tag{7.2}$$

$$\operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{N,\Sigma}) = \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Sigma}) \cap H^0_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma) : \\ [\gamma_0] u \in \operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{N,\Sigma}), [\hat{\gamma}_1] u = 0, \ (\hat{\gamma}_1^{\operatorname{in}} u) | \Sigma = (\hat{\gamma}_1^{\operatorname{ex}} u) | \Sigma = 0 \}$$

is self-adjoint and

$$(-\Delta_{N,\Sigma} + z)^{-1}$$

= $(-\Delta + z)^{-1} - \operatorname{DL}_z \Pi'_{\Sigma} (R_{\Sigma} \hat{\gamma}_1 \operatorname{DL}_z \Pi'_{\Sigma})^{-1} R_{\Sigma} \gamma_1 (-\Delta + z)^{-1}.$

By Green's formula (2.10), for any

$$u \in \operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{N,\Sigma}) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma) \cap H^0_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma)$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -\Delta_{N,\Sigma} u, u \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} &= \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2} + \langle \hat{\gamma}_{1} u, [\gamma_{0}] u \rangle_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \\ &= \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

and so $\Delta_{N,\Sigma} \leq 0$.

Then, by Theorems 5.6 and 3.7, one gets, for any k > 0,

$$s_k(\hat{\xi},\hat{\xi}') = -\frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle (R_\Sigma \hat{\gamma}_1 \operatorname{DL}_{-k^2}^+ \Pi'_\Sigma)^{-1} R_\Sigma \gamma_1 u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^\circ, R_\Sigma \gamma_1 u_{k\hat{\xi}}^\circ \rangle.$$

7.3. Robin boundary conditions. We denote by $\Delta_{R,\Sigma}$ the self-adjoint extension corresponding to the orthogonal projector defined by $\Pi(\phi \oplus \varphi) := \Pi_{\Sigma}^{\oplus}(\phi \oplus \varphi) = (\Pi_{\Sigma}\phi) \oplus (\Pi_{\Sigma}\varphi) \equiv R_{\Sigma}^{\oplus}(\phi \oplus \varphi) := (\phi|\Sigma) \oplus (\varphi|\Sigma)$ and to the self-adjoint operator $\Theta := -\Theta_{R,\Sigma}$,

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{R,\Sigma} : \operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{R,\Sigma}) &\subseteq H_{\overline{\Sigma}}^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H_{\overline{\Sigma}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma) \oplus H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma), \\ \Theta_{R,\Sigma}(\phi,\varphi) &= (((1/[b] + \gamma_0 \operatorname{SL})\phi + (\langle b \rangle / [b] + \hat{\gamma}_0 \operatorname{DL})\varphi) |\Sigma) \\ &\oplus (((\langle b \rangle / [b] + \hat{\gamma}_1 \operatorname{SL})\phi + (b_{\operatorname{ex}} b_{\operatorname{in}} / [b] + \hat{\gamma}_1 \operatorname{DL})\varphi) |\Sigma), \end{split}$$

$$\operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{R,\Sigma}) := \{(\phi, \varphi) \in L^2_{\overline{\Sigma}}(\Gamma) \times H^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\overline{\Sigma}}(\Gamma): \\ ((1/[b] + \gamma_0 \operatorname{SL})\phi + (\langle b \rangle / [b] + \hat{\gamma}_0 \operatorname{DL})\varphi) | \Sigma \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma), \\ ((\langle b \rangle / [b] + \hat{\gamma}_1 \operatorname{SL})\phi + (b_{\operatorname{ex}} b_{\operatorname{in}} / [b] + \hat{\gamma}_1 \operatorname{DL})\varphi) | \Sigma \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma) \}.$$

Here b_{in} and b_{ex} satisfy the same hypotheses as in Subsection 6.3 and $b_{in} > b_{ex}$. By Theorem 3.1 (see [29, Subsection 6.3]),

$$\Delta_{R,\Sigma} u = \Delta u - \frac{4}{[b]} ((\langle b \rangle \gamma_1 u - b_{ex} b_{in} \gamma_0 u) \delta_{\overline{\Sigma}} + (\gamma_1 u - \langle b \rangle \gamma_0 u) v \cdot \nabla \delta_{\overline{\Sigma}}),$$

$$\operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{R,\Sigma}) = \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \overline{\Sigma}) \cap H^0_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Gamma) : [\hat{\gamma}] u \in \operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{R,\Sigma}),$$

$$(\gamma_1^{in} u - b_{in} \gamma_0^{in} u) | \Sigma = (\gamma_1^{ex} u - b_{ex} \gamma_0^{ex} u) | \Sigma = 0 \}$$

is self-adjoint and

$$(-\Delta_{R,\Sigma} + z)^{-1} - (-\Delta + z)^{-1}$$

= $-G_z (\Pi_{\Sigma}^{\oplus})' \left(R_{\Sigma}^{\oplus} \begin{bmatrix} 1/[b] + \gamma_0 \operatorname{SL}_z & \langle b \rangle / [b] + \gamma_0 \operatorname{DL}_z \\ \langle b \rangle / [b] + \gamma_1 \operatorname{SL}_z & b_+ b_- / [b] + \gamma_1 \operatorname{DL}_z \end{bmatrix} (\Pi_{\Sigma}^{\oplus})' \right)^{-1}$
 $R_{\Sigma}^{\oplus} \gamma (-\Delta + z)^{-1},$

where $(\Pi_{\Sigma}^{\oplus})'$ is the orthogonal projection onto $H_{\overline{\Sigma}}^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \oplus H_{\overline{\Sigma}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ and G_z is defined in (2.8).

Remark 7.1. By [29, Remark 6.15], $\Delta_{R,\Sigma}$ depends only on Σ , $b_{in}|\Sigma$ and $b_{ex}|\Sigma$. Thus, by considering $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\tilde{\Omega}_{in} = \tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega_{ex}$, $\Omega_{in} \subset \tilde{\Omega}_{ex} = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\tilde{\Omega}}$ and $\Sigma \subset \tilde{\Gamma} = \partial \tilde{\Omega}$, it is possible to convert the assumption $b_{in} > b_{ex}$ into $b_{ex} > b_{in}$. By Green's formula (2.10) and by Ehrling's lemma, for any $u \in \text{dom}(\Delta_{R,\Sigma}) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma) \cap H^0_{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma)$ one has (here the Sobolev index *s* belongs to $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $B \supseteq \Omega_{\text{in}}$ is an open ball)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -\Delta_{R,\Sigma} u, u \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ &= \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2} \\ &- \langle b_{\text{in}} \gamma_{0}^{\text{in}} u_{\text{in}}, \gamma_{0}^{\text{in}} u_{\text{in}} \rangle_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} + \langle b_{\text{ex}} \gamma_{0}^{\text{ex}} u_{\text{ex}}, \gamma_{0}^{\text{ex}} u_{\text{ex}} \rangle_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \\ &\geq \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2} \\ &- (\| b_{\text{in}} \|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)} + \| b_{\text{ex}} \|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)}) (\| \gamma_{0}^{\text{in}} u_{\text{in}} \|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} + \| \gamma_{0}^{\text{ex}} u_{\text{ex}} \|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}) \\ &\geq \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2} \\ &- c(\| b_{\text{in}} \|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)} + \| b_{\text{ex}} \|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)}) (\| u_{\text{in}} \|_{H^{s}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \| u_{\text{ex}} \|_{H^{s}(B \cap \Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2}) \\ &\geq \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2} \\ &- c(\| b_{\text{in}} \|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)} + \| b_{\text{ex}} \|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)}) \\ &\quad (\epsilon(\| u_{\text{in}} \|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \| u_{\text{ex}} \|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2}) + c_{\epsilon} \| u \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}) \end{aligned}$$

and so and so $\Delta_{R,\Sigma} \leq \kappa_{\epsilon}$. Then, by Theorems 5.6 and 3.7, one gets, for any k > 0,

$$s_{k}(\hat{\xi},\hat{\xi}') = -\frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \\ \left\langle \left(R_{\Sigma}^{\oplus} \begin{bmatrix} 1/[b] + \gamma_{0} \operatorname{SL}_{-k^{2}}^{+} & \langle b \rangle / [b] + \gamma_{0} \operatorname{DL}_{-k^{2}}^{+} \\ \langle b \rangle / [b] + \gamma_{1} \operatorname{SL}_{-k^{2}}^{+} & b_{+}b_{-}/[b] + \gamma_{1} \operatorname{DL}_{-k^{2}}^{+} \end{bmatrix} (\Pi_{\Sigma}^{\oplus})' \right)^{-1} \\ R_{\Sigma}^{\oplus} \gamma u_{k\xi'}^{\circ}, \\ R_{\Sigma}^{\oplus} \gamma u_{k\xi}^{\circ} \right\rangle.$$

7.4. δ -interactions. We denote by $\Delta_{\alpha,\delta,\Sigma}$ the self-adjoint extension corresponding to the orthogonal projector defined by $\Pi(\phi \oplus \varphi) := (\Pi_{\Sigma}\phi) \oplus 0 \equiv (\phi|\Sigma) \oplus 0$ and to the self-adjoint operator $\Theta(\phi \oplus \varphi) := (-\Theta_{\alpha,D,\Sigma}\phi) \oplus 0$,

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{\alpha,D,\Sigma} &: \operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{\alpha,D,\Sigma}) \subseteq H_{\overline{\Sigma}}^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma), \\ \Theta_{\alpha,D,\Sigma}\phi &:= ((1/\alpha + \gamma_0 \operatorname{SL})\phi)|\Sigma, \\ \operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{\alpha,D,\Sigma}) &:= \{\phi \in L^2_{\overline{\Sigma}}(\Gamma) : ((1/\alpha + \gamma_0 \operatorname{SL})\phi)|\Sigma \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)\}. \end{split}$$

Here α satisfies the same hypothesis as in Subsection 6.4 and we further require that it has constant sign on (each component of) Γ . By Theorem 3.1 (see [29, Subsection 6.4]; notice a misprint in [29, Corollary 6.21]: the condition $[\gamma_0]u = 0$, implying $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is missing in dom $(\Delta_{\alpha,\delta,\Sigma})$),

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\delta,\Sigma} u = \Delta u - \alpha \gamma_0 u \,\delta_{\overline{\Sigma}},$$

$$\operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{\alpha,\delta,\Sigma}) = \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^{2-}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Sigma}) \cap H^0_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma) :$$

$$[\gamma_1] u \in \operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{\alpha,D,\Sigma}),$$

$$(\alpha \gamma_0 u - [\gamma_1] u) | \Sigma = 0 \}$$

is self-adjoint and

$$(-\Delta_{\alpha,\delta,\Sigma}+z)^{-1}u$$

= $(-\Delta+z)^{-1} - \operatorname{SL}_{z} \Pi'_{\Sigma} (R_{\Sigma}(1+\alpha\gamma_{0}\operatorname{SL}_{z})\Pi'_{\Sigma})^{-1}R_{\Sigma}\alpha\gamma_{0}(-\Delta+z)^{-1}.$

For any $u \in \text{dom}(\Delta_{\alpha,\delta,\Sigma})$, by Ehrling's lemma, one has (here the Sobolev index *s* belongs to $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -\Delta_{\alpha,\delta,\Sigma} u, u \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} &= \langle -\Delta u, u \rangle_{-1,1} + \langle \alpha \gamma_{0} u, \gamma_{0} u \rangle_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \\ &= \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \langle \alpha \gamma_{0} u, \gamma_{0} u \rangle_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \\ &\geq \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} - \| \alpha \|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)} \| \gamma_{0} u \|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} \\ &\geq \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} - c \| \alpha \|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)} \| u \|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2} \\ &\geq \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} - c \| \alpha \|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)} (\epsilon \| u \|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + c_{\epsilon} \| u \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}) \\ &\geq -\kappa_{\epsilon} \| u \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

and so $\Delta_{\alpha,\delta,\Sigma} \leq \kappa_{\epsilon}$. By Theorems 5.6 and 3.7, one gets, for any k > 0,

$$s_k(\hat{\xi},\hat{\xi}') = -\frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle (R_{\Sigma}(1+\alpha\gamma_0 \operatorname{SL}_z)\Pi'_{\Sigma})^{-1} R_{\Sigma}\alpha\gamma_0 u^{\circ}_{k\hat{\xi}'}, R_{\Sigma}\gamma_0 u^{\circ}_{k\hat{\xi}} \rangle.$$

7.5. δ' -interaction. We denote by $\Delta_{\beta,\delta',\Sigma}$ the self-adjoint extension corresponding to the orthogonal projector defined by $\Pi(\phi \oplus \varphi) := 0 \oplus (\Pi_{\Sigma} \varphi) \equiv 0 \oplus (\varphi | \Sigma)$ and to the self-adjoint operator $\Theta(\phi \oplus \varphi) := 0 \oplus (-\Theta_{\beta,N,\Sigma})\varphi$,

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{\beta,N,\Sigma} &: \operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{\beta,N,\Sigma}) \subseteq H_{\overline{\Sigma}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma), \\ \Theta_{\beta,N,\Sigma}\phi &:= ((-1/\beta + \hat{\gamma}_1 \operatorname{DL})\phi)|\Sigma, \\ \operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{\beta,N,\Sigma}) &:= \{\varphi \in H_{\overline{\Sigma}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) : ((-1/\beta + \hat{\gamma}_1 \operatorname{DL})\varphi)|\Sigma \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)\}. \end{split}$$

Here β satisfies the same hypothesis as in Subsection 6.5. By Theorem 3.1 (see [29, Subsection 6.5]; notice a misprint in [29, Corollary 6.26]: the condition $[\hat{\gamma}_1]u = 0$ is missing in dom $(\Delta_{\beta,\delta',\Sigma})$),

$$\Delta_{\beta,\delta',\Sigma} u = \Delta u - \beta \gamma_1 u \, v \cdot \nabla \delta_{\overline{\Sigma}},$$

$$\operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{\beta,\delta',\Sigma}) = \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Sigma}) \cap H^0_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma): [\gamma_0] u \in \operatorname{dom}(\Theta_{\beta,N,\Sigma}), \ [\hat{\gamma}_1] u = 0, \\ (\beta \hat{\gamma}_1 u - [\gamma_0] u) | \Sigma = 0 \}$$

is self-adjoint and its resolvent is given by

$$(-\Delta_{\beta,\delta',\Sigma}+z)^{-1}u$$

= $(-\Delta+z)^{-1} + \mathrm{DL}_z \Pi'_{\Sigma} (R_{\Sigma}(1-\beta\hat{\gamma}_1 \mathrm{DL}_z)\Pi'_{\Sigma})^{-1} R_{\Sigma}\beta\gamma_1 (-\Delta+z)^{-1}.$

By Green's formula (2.10) and by Ehrling's lemma, for any

$$u \in \operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{\beta,\delta',\Sigma}) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Gamma) \cap H^0_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Gamma)$$

one has (here the Sobolev index *s* belongs to $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $B \supseteq \Omega_{in}$ is an open ball)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -\Delta_{\beta,\delta',\Sigma} u, u \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ &= \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2} + \langle (1/\beta)[\gamma_{0}]u, [\gamma_{0}]u \rangle_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \\ &\geq \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2} \\ &- 2 \|1/\beta\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)}(\|\gamma_{0}^{\text{in}}u_{\text{in}}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} + \|\gamma_{0}^{\text{ex}}u_{\text{ex}}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}) \\ &\geq \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2} \\ &- c \|1/\beta\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)}(\|u_{\text{in}}\|_{H^{s}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \|u_{\text{ex}}\|_{H^{s}(B\cap\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2}) \\ &\geq \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2} \\ &- c \|1/\beta\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)}(\epsilon(\|u_{\text{in}}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\text{in}})}^{2} + \|u_{\text{ex}}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\text{ex}})}^{2}) + c_{\epsilon}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}) \end{aligned}$$

and so and so $\Delta_{\beta,\delta',\Sigma} \leq \kappa_{\epsilon}$. Then, by Theorems 5.6 and 3.7, one gets, for any k > 0,

$$s_k(\hat{\xi},\hat{\xi}') = -\frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n-2} \langle (R_{\Sigma}(1-\beta\hat{\gamma}_1 \operatorname{DL}_{-k^2}^+)\Pi'_{\Sigma})^{-1} R_{\Sigma}\beta\gamma_1 u_{k\hat{\xi}'}^{\circ}, R_{\Sigma}\gamma_1 u_{k\hat{\xi}}^{\circ} \rangle.$$

References

- V. M. Adamyan and B. S. Pavlov, Zero-radius potentials and M. G. Kreĭn's formula for generalized resolvents. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov.* (*LOMI*) 149 (1986), *Issled. Lineĭn. Teor. Funktsiĭ.* XV, 7–23, 186. In Russian. English translation, *J. Soviet Math.* 42 (1988), no. 2, 1537–1550. MR 0849291 Zbl 0606.47013
- [2] S. Agmon, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 2 (1975), no. 2, 151–218. MR 0397194 Zbl 0315.47007
- [3] S. Albeverio, J. Brasche, and V. Koshmanenko, Lippmann-Schwinger equation in the singular perturbation theory. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* 3 (1997), no. 1, 1–27. MR 1771469 Zbl 0941.47011
- [4] S. Albeverio and P. Kurasov, *Singular perturbations of differential operators*. Solvable Schrödinger type operators. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 271. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. MR 1752110 Zbl 0945.47015
- [5] P. Alsholm and G. Schmidt, Spectral and scattering theory for Schrödinger operators. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 40 (1971) 281–311. MR 0279631 Zbl 0226.35076
- [6] H. Baumgärtel and M. Wollenberg, *Mathematical scattering theory*. Mathematische Lehrbücher und Monographien, II. Abteilung: Mathematische Monographien, 59. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. MR 0781534 Zbl 0536.47007
- [7] M. Ben-Artzi and A. Devinatz, The limiting absorption principle for partial differential operators. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 66 (1987), no. 364. MR 0878907 Zbl 0624.35068
- [8] J. Behrndt, M. Langer, and V. Lotoreichik, Spectral estimates for resolvent differences of self-adjoint elliptic operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 77 (2013), no. 1, 1–37. MR 3090162 Zbl 1311.47059
- [9] J. Behrndt, M. Langer, and V. Lotoreichik, Schrödinger operators with δ- and δ'potentials supported on hypersurfaces. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 14 (2013), no. 2, 385–423. MR 3028043 Zbl 1275.81027
- [10] J. Behrndt, M. M. Malamud and H. Neidhardt, Scattering matrices and Weyl functions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 97 (2008), no. 3, 568–598. MR 2448240 Zbl 1161.47008
- [11] J. Behrndt, M. M. Malamud, and H. Neidhardt, Finite rank perturbations, scattering matrices and inverse problems. In J. Behrndt, K.-H. Förster, and C. Trunk (eds.), *Recent advances in operator theory in Hilbert and Krein spaces*. Papers from the 7th Workshop on Operator Theory in Krein Spaces and Spectral Analysis held at the Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, December 13–16, 2007. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 198. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010, 61–85. MR 2648567 Zbl 2536640

- [12] J. Behrndt, M. M. Malamud, and H. Neidhardt, Scattering matrices and Dirichletto-Neumann maps. J. Funct. Anal. 273 (2017), no. 6, 1970–2025. MR 3669028 Zbl 1376.35011
- M. S. Birman, On the theory of self-adjoint extensions of positive definite operators. *Mat. Sb. N.S.* 38(80) (1956), 431–450. English translation by M. Khotyakov and A. Michelangeli available at http://urania.sissa.it/xmlui/handle/1963/34443 MR 0080271 Zbl 0070.12102
- [14] M. S. Birman, Perturbations of the continuous spectrum of a singular elliptic operator by varying the boundary and the boundary conditions. *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* 17 (1962), no. 1, 22–55. In Russian. English translation in T. Suslina and D. Yafaev (eds.), *Spectral theory of differential operators*. M. Sh. Birman 80th anniversary collection. Advances in the Mathematical Sciences, 62. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2008, 19–53. MR 0138874 (original) MR 2509774 (translation) Zbl 1184.35234
- [15] M. S. Birman, D. R. Yafaev, A general scheme in the stationary scattering theory. In M. S. Birman (ed.), *Pacnpocmpaheнue волн. Теория pacceяния*. Problems in Mathematical Physics, 12. Leningrad. University, Leningrad, 1987, 257–258. In Russian. English translation in M. Sh. Birman (ed.), *Wave propagation. Scattering theory*. Translated by P. Zhevandrov. Translation edited by S. Ivanov. American Mathematical Society Translations, Series 2, 157. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1993, 87–112. MR 0923973 (original) MR 1252226 (translation) Zbl 0818.35073
- [16] J. F. Brasche, P. Exner, Yu. A. Kuperin, and P. Šeba, Schrödinger operators with singular interactions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994), no. 1, 112–139. MR 1275948 Zbl 0820.47005
- [17] M. Dauge, *Elliptic boundary value problems on corner domains*. Smoothness and asymptotics of solutions. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1341. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. MR 0961439 Zbl 0668.35001
- [18] R. Dautray and J-L. Lions, *Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for science and technology*. Vol. 1. Physical origins and classical methods. With the collaboration of Ph. Bénilan, M. Cessenat, A. Gervat, A. Kavenoky, and H. Lanchon. Translated from the French by I. N. Sneddon. With a preface by J. Teillac. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. MR 1036731 Zbl 0683.35001
- [19] J.-P. Eckmann and C.-A. Pillet, Spectral duality for planar billiards. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), no. 2, 283–313. MR 1334397 Zbl 0834.35096
- [20] J.-P. Eckmann and C.-A. Pillet, Scattering phases and density of states for exterior domains. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. 62 (1995), no. 4, 383–399. MR 1343783 Zbl 0834.35095
- [21] J.-P. Eckmann and C.-A. Pillet, Zeta functions with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions for exterior domains. *Helv. Phys. Acta* 70 (1997), no. 1-2, 44–65. MR 1441596 Zbl 0871.35070

- [22] P. Exner and J. Rohleder, Generalized interactions supported on hypersurfaces. J. Math. Phys. 57 (2016), no. 4, 041507, 23 pp. MR 3490341 Zbl 1339.81038
- [23] P. Grisvard, Singularities in boundary value problems. Recherches en Mathématiques Appliquées, 22. Masson, Paris, and Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. MR 1173209 Zbl 0766.35001
- [24] G. Grubb, A characterization of the non-local boundary value problems associated with an elliptic operator. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 22 (1968), 425–513. MR 0239269 Zbl 0182.14501
- [25] T. Ikebe, Eigenfunction expansions associated with the Schroedinger operators and their applications to scattering theory. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 5 (1960), 1-34. MR 0128355 Zbl 0145.36902
- [26] T. Ikebe and S. Shimada, Spectral and scattering theory for the Schrödinger operators with penetrable wall potentials. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **31** (1991), no. 1, 219–258. MR 1093339 ZbI 0736.47007
- [27] T. Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators. Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 132. Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1976. MR 0407617 Zbl 0342.47009
- [28] M. G. Kreĭn, The theory of self-adjoint extensions of semi-bounded Hermitian transformations and its applications. I. *Mat. Sbornik* 20(62) (1947), 431–459. In Russian. Zbl 0029.14103
- [29] A. Mantile. A. Posilicano, and M. Sini, Self-adjoint elliptic operators with boundary conditions on not closed hypersurfaces. J. Differential Equations 261 (2016), no. 1, 1–55. MR 3487251 Zbl 1337.35032
- [30] W. McLean, Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. MR 1742312 Zbl 0948.35001
- [31] R. Leis, Initial-boundary value problems in mathematical physics. B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, and John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1986. MR 0841971 Zbl 0599.35001
- [32] J.-L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications*. Vol. I. Translated from the French by P. Kenneth. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 181. Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 1972. MR 0350177 Zbl 0223.35039
- [33] A. Posilicano, A Krĕin-like formula for singular perturbations of self-adjoint operators and applications. J. Funct. Anal. 183 (2001), no. 1, 109–147. MR 1837534 Zbl 0981.47022
- [34] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics*. IV. Analysis of operators. Academic Press, New York and London, 1978. MR 0493421 Zbl 0401.47001
- [35] W. Renger, Limiting absorption principle for singularly perturbed operators. *Math. Nachr.* 228 (2001), 163–187. MR 1845913 Zbl 1006.47017

1486 A. Mantile, A. Posilicano, and M. Sini

- [36] W. Renger, Stability of limiting absorption principle under singular perturbations. In J. Dittrich, P. Exner and M. Tater (eds.), *Mathematical results in quantum mechanics*. Papers from the 7th International Conference (QMath7) held at the Czech Technical University, Prague, June 22–26, 1998. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 108. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999, 351–357. MR 1708817 Zbl 0976.47010
- [37] G. Schmidt, On the representation of the potential scattering operator in quantum mechanics. J. Differential Equations 7 (1970), 389–394. MR 0256006 Zbl 0188.41002
- [38] S. Shimada, Low energy scattering with a penetrable wall interaction. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 34 (1994), no. 1, 95–147. MR 1263863 Zbl 0817.35090
- [39] M. L. Višik, On general boundary problems for elliptic differential equations. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.* 1 (1952). 187–246. In Russian. English translation, *Amer. Math. Soc. Trans.* (2) 24 (1963), 107–172. MR 0051404
- [40] D. R. Yafaev. Mathematical Scattering theory. General theory. Translated from the Russian by J. R. Schulenberger. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 105. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1992. MR 1180965 Zbl 0761.47001
- [41] D. R. Yafaev. On the scattering matrix for perturbations of constant sign. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. 57 (1992), no. 4, 361–384. MR 1198982 Zbl 0780.47011

Received June 14, 2016

Andrea Mantile, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Reims – FR3399 CNRS, Moulin de la Housse BP 1039, 51687 Reims, France

e-mail: andrea.mantile@univ-reims.fr

Andrea Posilicano, DiSAT, Sezione di Matematica, Università dell'Insubria, via Valleggio 11, I-22100 Como, Italy

e-mail: andrea.posilicano@uninsubria.it

Mourad Sini, RICAM, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Altenbergerstr. 69, A-4040 Linz, Austria

e-mail: mourad.sini@oeaw.ac.at