

Von Neumann entropy of the angle operator between a pair of intermediate subalgebras

Keshab Chandra Bakshi, Satyajit Guin, and Biplab Pal

Abstract. Given a pair of intermediate C^* -subalgebras of a unital inclusion of simple C^* -algebras equipped with a conditional expectation of finite Watatani index, we discuss the corresponding ‘angle operator’ and its Fourier transform. We provide a calculable formula for the von Neumann entropy of the (Fourier) dual angle operator for a large class of quadruples of simple C^* -algebras.

1. Introduction

The study of the lattice structure of von Neumann subalgebras was initiated by Murray and von Neumann. The lattice of intermediate von Neumann subalgebras of a subfactor with finite Jones index was studied by many researchers. In recent years, the study of the symmetries of an inclusion of C^* -algebras has become an active area of research (for instance, [4, 5, 10, 15] and references therein). In this short article, we focus on unital inclusion of simple C^* -algebras $B \subset A$ with a conditional expectation of finite *Watatani index* (a generalization of the Jones index) and a pair of intermediate C^* -subalgebras $B \subset C$, $D \subset A$. Ino and Watatani (in [9, Corollary 3.9]) have shown that every irreducible pair of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation of finite index has only finitely many intermediate C^* -subalgebras. In [2, 5], we have introduced a notion of angle between intermediate subalgebras C and D to obtain an upper bound on the cardinality of this set (see also [3] for improvement).

Motivated by [16], we may also consider the corresponding *angle operator* Θ between the intermediate subalgebras C and D . Moreover, using the *Fourier transform* on the *relative commutants* of $B \subset A$, as developed in [5], we may consider the Fourier dual $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ of the angle operator. It seems to be an apt place to mention that the Fourier transform on the relative commutants has been used in [4, 11] to obtain a noncommutative uncertainty principle. Our goal in this article is to compute the *von Neumann entropy* of the angle operator and its Fourier dual. We observe that the subalgebras C and D are ‘orthogonal’; in other words, $B \subset C$, $D \subset A$ form a ‘commuting square’, if and only if the von Neumann entropy of the angle operator vanishes. The main result of this article is the following theorem (see Theorem 3.12).

Theorem A. *Let $B \subset A$ be an irreducible inclusion of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation of index-finite type, and suppose that C, D are two intermediate unital C^* -subalgebras. Then, we have the following:*

$$H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{[A : B]_0}} \eta(\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D)),$$

where $\delta = \sqrt{[A : B]_0}$ and $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the continuous function defined by

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} -t \log t & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

We remark that the generalization of the above formula beyond the irreducible situation seems difficult at the moment. However, in the case of ‘co-commuting squares’ (a dual notion of commuting square), we have an explicit formula (see Theorem 3.15).

Theorem B. *Let $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ be a quadruple of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type. If the quadruple is a co-commuting square, then we have the following:*

$$H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{[A : B]_0}} \eta\left(\frac{\sqrt{[A : B]_0}}{[A : C]_0 [A : D]_0}\right).$$

2. Preliminaries

We first briefly recall Watatani’s C^* -index theory for a unital inclusion of simple C^* -algebras following [17] and then touch upon the Fourier theory developed in [5] for the relative commutants of that inclusion. The Fourier transformation on the higher relative commutants is a crucial tool in the theory of subfactors. It was introduced by Ocneanu (for more see [13]). Consider a unital inclusion of C^* -algebras $B \subset A$ and a conditional expectation $E : A \rightarrow B$. E is said to be of index-finite type if there exists a finite set $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\} \subset A$ such that every $x \in A$ can be written as

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n E(x \lambda_i) \lambda_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i E(\lambda_i^* x).$$

The finite set $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\} \subset A$ is called quasi-basis for E (see [17]). In this case, the Watatani index of E is given by $\operatorname{Ind}_w(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \lambda_i^*$. For an inclusion $B \subset A$ of simple C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation of index-finite type, there always exists a minimal conditional expectation E_B^A . The minimal index of $B \subset A$, denoted by $[A : B]_0$, is defined as $\operatorname{Ind}_w(E_B^A)$. In the sequel, we always deal with an inclusion of simple unital C^* -algebras. We denote the C^* -basic construction of the inclusion $B \subset A$ by A_1 . Iterating the C^* -basic construction, we get a tower of simple unital C^* -algebras $B \subset A \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset$

$\cdots \subset A_k \subset \cdots$ and obtain the unique minimal condition expectation $E_{A_{k-1}}^{A_k} : A_k \rightarrow A_{k-1}$ for each $k \geq 0$. Let e_{k+1} denote the Jones projection that implements the basic construction of the inclusion $A_{k-1} \subset A_k$ with respect to $E_{A_{k-1}}^{A_k}$. The relative commutants $B' \cap A_k$ and $A' \cap A_k$ are finite-dimensional. For each $k \geq 0$, $E_B^A \circ E_{A_1}^{A_1} \circ \cdots \circ E_{A_{k-1}}^{A_k} |_{B' \cap A_k}$ is a faithful tracial state on $B' \cap A_k$, to be denoted by tr_k . We often drop ‘ k ’ and denote tr_k simply by tr . The unique trace preserving conditional expectation $E_k^{\text{tr}} : B' \cap A_k \rightarrow A' \cap A_k$ is given by

$$E_k^{\text{tr}}(x) = \frac{1}{[A : B]_0} \sum_i \lambda_i x \lambda_i^*.$$

On the higher relative commutants of any extremal subfactor, a formula for the Fourier transform was given by Bisch in [6]. Following [5] (see also [4]), we quickly recall the notion of ‘Fourier transform’ and ‘convolution’ product on the relative commutants for C^* -inclusion. For each $k \geq 0$, the *Fourier transform* $\mathcal{F}_k : B' \cap A_k \rightarrow A' \cap A_{k+1}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_k(x) = \delta^{k+2} E_k^{\text{tr}}(x e_{k+1} e_k \cdots e_2 e_1) \quad \text{for all } x \in B' \cap A_k;$$

and the *inverse Fourier transform* $\mathcal{F}_k^{-1} : A' \cap A_{k+1} \rightarrow B' \cap A_k$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_k^{-1}(x) = \delta^{k+2} E_{A_k}^{A_{k+1}}(x e_1 e_2 \cdots e_k e_{k+1}) \quad \text{for all } x \in A' \cap A_{k+1}.$$

Here, we use the term ‘inverse’ in the sense that $\mathcal{F}_k \circ \mathcal{F}_k^{-1} = \text{id}_{A' \cap A_{k+1}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_k^{-1} \circ \mathcal{F}_k = \text{id}_{B' \cap A_k}$. For simplicity, we shall use \mathcal{F} for \mathcal{F}_1 .

Theorem 2.1 ([5, Theorem 3.5]). *\mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are isometries with respect to the norm defined by $\|x\|_2 = \text{tr}(x^*x)$, where the tr on $A' \cap A_2$ is the restriction of tr on $B' \cap A_2$.*

For $x, y \in B' \cap A_1$, the *convolution* product of x and y , denoted by $x \star y$, is defined as follows:

$$x \star y := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(y)\mathcal{F}(x)).$$

Similarly, for $w, z \in A' \cap A_2$, their *convolution* product is defined as

$$w \star z := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(z)\mathcal{F}^{-1}(w)).$$

The convolution product is associative [5, Lemma 3.20]. For $x, y \in B' \cap A_1$, by [4, Proposition 3.8], we have

$$(x \star y)^* = x^* \star y^*;$$

and similarly for $w, z \in A' \cap A_2$, we have

$$(w \star z)^* = w^* \star z^*. \tag{1}$$

Suppose that C is an intermediate simple unital C^* -subalgebra of $B \subset A$. By [10], there exist minimal conditional expectations E_B^C from C onto B and E_C^A from A onto C such that $E_B^A = E_B^C \circ E_C^A$. Indeed, E_B^C is given by restricting E_B^A onto C . Let C_1 denote the

C^* -basic construction of the inclusion $C \subset A$ with Jones projection e_C corresponding to the minimal conditional expectation E_C^A . Below we list some useful results, the proofs of which follow along the same line of argument as in [5, Section 4].

Lemma 2.2 ([5, Lemma 4.2]). *Let $B \subset C \subset A$ be as discussed above. Then, we have the following:*

- (i) $C_1 \subset A_1$ is an inclusion of simple unital C^* -algebras with common identity. The dual conditional expectations $E_A^{A_1}$ and $E_A^{C_1}$ are minimal. $E_A^{A_1}$ and $E_A^{C_1}$ must satisfy $E_A^{A_1} = E_A^{C_1} E_{C_1}^{A_1}$, and hence $E_A^{A_1}|_{C_1} = E_A^{C_1}$.
- (ii) The unique tr -preserving conditional expectation from $B' \cap A_1$ onto $B' \cap C_1$ is given by $E_{C_1}^{A_1}|_{B' \cap A_1}$.
- (iii) The tracial state on the relative commutant $C' \cap C_1$ induced by the inclusion $C \subset A \subset C_1$ is the restriction of the tracial state on $B' \cap A_1$ induced by the inclusion $B \subset A \subset A_1$.

Proposition 2.3 ([5, Lemma 4.4(2) and Proposition 4.6]). *Let $B \subset C \subset A$ be as in Lemma 2.2. Then, we have the following:*

- (i) $[A_1 : C_1]_0 = [C : B]_0$;
- (ii) $E_{C_1}^{A_1}(e_1) = \frac{1}{[C : B]_0} e_C$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{F}(e_C) = \alpha_c e_{C_1}$, where $\alpha_c = \frac{\sqrt{[A : B]_0}}{[A : C]_0}$. In particular, $\mathcal{F}(e_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[A : B]_0}}$.

Notation 2.4. *Now we will fix the notations, which will be used frequently in the sequel.*

- (i) The minimal index $[A : B]_0$ will be denoted by δ^2 . Also, $r = \frac{[C : B]_0}{[A : D]_0} = \frac{[D : B]_0}{[A : C]_0}$, $\tau = \frac{1}{[A : B]_0}$, and $\tau_C = \frac{1}{[A : C]_0}$.
- (ii) $\kappa_0^+ = \min\{\text{tr}(p) : p \in \mathcal{P}(B' \cap A)\}$, $\kappa_0^- = \min\{\text{tr}(q) : q \in \mathcal{P}(A' \cap A_1)\}$, and $\kappa_0 = \sqrt{\kappa_0^+ \kappa_0^-}$.
- (iii) A quadruple of C^* -algebras is the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \subset & A \\ \cup & & \cup \\ B & \subset & C. \end{array}$$

For notational convenience, we denote this by $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$.

3. Fourier transform of the angle operator and its von Neumann entropy

Throughout this section, $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ denotes a quadruple of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type. Given the quadruple $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$, there exist unique minimal conditional expectations E_C^A

and E_D^A . Let e_C and e_D be the Jones projection corresponding to E_C^A and E_D^A , respectively. By Lemma 2.2, the new quadruple $(A \subset C_1, D_1 \subset A_1)$ again forms a quadruple of simple unital C^* -algebras, where B_1, C_1 , and D_1 are C^* -basic constructions corresponding to E_B^A, E_C^A , and E_D^A , respectively. The quadruple $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ will be called *commuting square* if $E_C^A E_D^A = E_D^A E_C^A = E_B^A$. The same quadruple will be called *co-commuting* if the dual quadruple $(A \subset C_1, D_1 \subset A_1)$ is a commuting square.

Motivated by [12, 16], we define the angle operator between a pair of intermediate simple C^* -subalgebras as follows.

Definition 3.1. Let $B \subset A$ be an inclusion of simple unital C^* -algebras, and let C, D be two intermediate (unital) simple C^* -algebras. The angle operator, denoted by Θ , is defined as follows:

$$\Theta = e_C e_D.$$

Definition 3.2 ([11]). Let $B \subset A$ be an inclusion of simple unital C^* -algebras and B_1 is the C^* -basic construction. For $x \in B' \cap A_1$, the von Neumann entropy of $|x|^2$ is defined as the following quantity:

$$H(|x|^2) = \text{tr}(\eta(|x|^2)),$$

where η is the continuous function $\eta(t) = -t \log t$.

Proposition 3.3. Suppose that $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ is a quadruple of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type. Then,

$$H(|\Theta|^2) + H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) \geq \frac{2\kappa_0}{\delta} \eta\left(\frac{\delta}{\kappa_0} \text{tr}(e_C e_D)\right),$$

where $\kappa_0^+ = \min\{\text{tr}(p) : p \in \mathcal{P}(B' \cap A)\}$, $\kappa_0^- = \min\{\text{tr}(q) : q \in \mathcal{P}(A' \cap A_1)\}$, and $\kappa_0 = \sqrt{\kappa_0^+ \kappa_0^-}$.

Proof. Use [4, Theorem 4.10]. ■

Proposition 3.4. If $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ is a commuting square of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type, then $H(|\Theta|^2) = 0$. Furthermore, in this case, $H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) = \eta([A : B]_0^{-1})$.

Proof. Since $e_C e_D = e_D e_C = e_1$, we get that $|\Theta|^2 = e_1$. Therefore, $\eta(|\Theta|^2) = 0$ and so, $H(|\Theta|^2) = 0$. Also, as $\mathcal{F}(e_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[A : B]_0}}$, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2 = \frac{1}{[A : B]_0}. \quad \blacksquare$$

The converse of the above theorem is also true.

Proposition 3.5. Let $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ be an irreducible inclusion of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation E from A onto B of index-finite type. If $H(|\Theta|^2) = 0$, then

$$e_C e_D = e_D e_C = e_{C \cap D}.$$

Proof. Observe that $0 \leq e_D e_C e_D \leq 1$ and η is positive in $[0, 1]$. Now, $H(|\Theta|^2) = 0$ implies that $\eta(e_D e_C e_D) = 0$, and by [14, p. 74], we conclude that $e_D e_C e_D$ is a projection in $B' \cap A_1$. Since $B' \cap A_1$ is finite-dimensional, $(e_D e_C e_D)^n$ converges to $e_C \wedge e_D$ in the norm topology. Also since $e_C \wedge e_D = e_{C \cap D}$ [3, Proposition 4.1], we immediately get that $e_D e_C e_D = e_{C \cap D}$, and hence $e_D e_C = e_C e_D = e_{C \cap D}$. ■

To provide a formula for the von Neumann entropy of the dual angle operator beyond the commuting square situation, let us recall the auxiliary operators associated with a quadruple of simple C^* -algebras, along with various properties of them, as developed in [5].

Suppose that $\{\gamma_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ and $\{\delta_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ are quasi-bases of E_B^C and E_B^D , respectively. We can define two auxiliary operators $p(C, D) \in C' \cap D_1$ and $q(C, D) \in D' \cap C_1$ by

$$p(C, D) := \sum_{i,j} \gamma_i \delta_j e_1 \delta_j^* \gamma_i^* \quad \text{and} \quad q(C, D) := \sum_{i,j} \delta_j \gamma_i e_1 \gamma_i^* \delta_j^*. \quad (2)$$

Lemma 3.6 ([5, Proposition 5.15]). *Let $B \subset A$ be an irreducible inclusion of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type. If C and D are two intermediate unital C^* -subalgebras of $B \subset A$, then $\frac{1}{t} p(C, D)$ and $\frac{1}{t} q(C, D)$ both are projections, where $t = [A : B]_0 \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D)$.*

Lemma 3.7. *If $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ is a commuting square of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type, then $p(C, D)$ and $q(C, D)$ both are projections.*

Proof. The proof follows along the same line of argument as in [2, Proposition 2.20] and hence we omit it. ■

The following result was proved in [5] in the irreducible case only.

Lemma 3.8. *If $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ is a quadruple of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type, then*

$$p(C, D) = [D : B]_0 E_{D_1}^{A_1}(e_C) \quad \text{and} \quad q(C, D) = [C : B]_0 E_{C_1}^{A_1}(e_D).$$

Proof. Recall that the trace on $B' \cap A_1$ is $E_B^A \circ E_A^{A_1}|_{B' \cap A_1}$ and e_1 is the Jones projection that implements the basic construction of the inclusion $B \subset A$ with respect to the minimal conditional expectation E_B^A . By [5, Proposition 4.2 (4)], we know that $E_{C_1}^{A_1}|_{B' \cap A_1}$ is the unique trace preserving conditional expectation from $B' \cap A_1$ onto $B' \cap C_1$. For any $w = \sum_i x_i e_C y_i \in B' \cap C_1$, where $x_i, y_i \in A$ for all i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(e_D w) &= \operatorname{tr}\left(e_D \left(\sum_i x_i e_C y_i\right)\right) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\sum_k \delta_k e_1 \delta_k^*\right) \left(\sum_i x_i e_C y_i\right)\right) && \text{(by [5, Lemma 5.11])} \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{k,i} \delta_k e_1 e_C \delta_k^* x_i e_C y_i\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= E_B^A E_A^{C_1} E_{C_1}^{A_1} \left(\sum_{k,i} \delta_k e_1 E_C^A(\delta_k^* x_i) y_i \right) \\
 &= E_B^A E_A^{C_1} \left(\sum_{k,i} \delta_k E_{C_1}^{A_1}(e_1) E_C^A(\delta_k^* x_i) y_i \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{[C : B]_0} E_B^A E_A^{C_1} \left(\sum_{k,i} \delta_k e_C E_C^A(\delta_k^* x_i) y_i \right) \quad (\text{by [5, Lemma 4.4 (2)]}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{[C : B]_0} E_B^A \left(\sum_{k,i} \delta_k E_A^{C_1}(e_C) E_C^A(\delta_k^* x_i) y_i \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{[C : B]_0 [A : C]_0} E_B^A \left(\sum_{k,i} \delta_k E_C^A(\delta_k^* x_i) y_i \right) \quad (\text{since } E_A^{C_1}(e_C) = [A : C]_0^{-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by [5, Lemma 5.11], we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{tr}(q(C, D)w) &= \text{tr} \left(\left(\sum_k \delta_k e_C \delta_k^* \right) \left(\sum_i x_i e_C y_i \right) \right) \\
 &= \text{tr} \left(\sum_{k,i} \delta_k e_C \delta_k^* x_i e_C y_i \right) \\
 &= E_B^A E_A^{A_1} \left(\sum_{k,i} \delta_k E_C^A(\delta_k^* x_i) e_C y_i \right) \\
 &= E_B^A \left(\sum_{k,i} \delta_k E_C^A(\delta_k^* x_i) E_A^{A_1}(e_C) y_i \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{[A : C]_0} E_B^A \left(\sum_{k,i} \delta_k E_C^A(\delta_k^* x_i) y_i \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the following:

$$\text{tr}(q(C, D)w) = [C : B]_0 \text{tr}(e_D w).$$

By Lemma 2.2, the tr on the left-hand side which is the trace on $B' \cap C_1$ is equal to the restriction of the tr on $B' \cap A_1$. Thus, we have

$$q(C, D) = [C : B]_0 E_{C_1}^{A_1}(e_D).$$

The proof for $p(C, D)$ is similar. ■

Corollary 3.9. *If $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ is a quadruple of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type, then*

$$\text{tr}(p(C, D)) = \text{tr}(q(C, D)) = r,$$

where $r = \frac{[C : B]_0}{[A : D]_0} = \frac{[D : B]_0}{[A : C]_0}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, we have $q(C, D) = [C : B]_0 E_{C_1}^{A_1}(e_D)$. Thus,

$$\text{tr}(q(C, D)) = [C : B]_0 \text{tr}(E_{C_1}^{A_1}(e_D)) = [C : B]_0 \text{tr}(e_D) = r.$$

The proof for $p(C, D)$ is similar. ■

Proposition 3.10. *If $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ is a quadruple of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type, then*

$$p(C, D) = \delta e_C \star e_D \quad \text{and} \quad q(C, D) = \delta e_D \star e_C.$$

Proof. By [4, Lemma 4.15], we know that for any $x, y \in B' \cap A_1$, we have

$$E_{A_1}^{A_2}(\mathcal{F}(x)y\mathcal{F}(x)^*) = \frac{1}{\delta} y \star xx^*.$$

Putting $x = e_D$ and $y = e_C$, we immediately obtain

$$\delta e_C \star e_D = [D : B]_0^2 E_{A_1}^{A_2}(e_{D_1} e_C e_{D_1}),$$

thanks to Proposition 2.3. Since $e_{D_1} e_C e_{D_1} = E_{D_1}^{A_1}(e_C) e_{D_1}$ and $E_{A_1}^{A_2}(e_{D_1}) = [A_1 : D_1]_0^{-1} = [D : B]_0^{-1}$ (see Proposition 2.3), we observe that

$$\delta e_C \star e_D = [D : B]_0 E_{D_1}^{A_1}(e_C).$$

The proof is complete once we apply Lemma 3.8. The proof for $q(C, D)$ is obtained by interchanging C and D . ■

Lemma 3.11. *If $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ is a quadruple of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type, then*

$$\text{tr}(e_{C_1} e_{D_1}) = \frac{[A : C]_0}{[D : B]_0} \text{tr}(e_C e_D).$$

Proof. Using Proposition 2.3 and by the multiplicativity of the minimal index, we observe that the following equalities hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(e_{C_1} e_{D_1}) &= \langle e_{C_1}, e_{D_1} \rangle \\ &= \frac{[A : C]_0 [A : D]_0}{[A : B]_0} \langle \mathcal{F}(e_C), \mathcal{F}(e_D) \rangle \\ &= \frac{[A : C]_0}{[D : B]_0} \langle e_C, e_D \rangle \quad (\text{by [5, Theorem 3.5]}) \\ &= \frac{[A : C]_0}{[D : B]_0} \text{tr}(e_C e_D), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

Theorem 3.12. *Let $B \subset A$ be an irreducible inclusion of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type and suppose that C, D are two intermediate (unital) C^* -subalgebras. Then,*

$$H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) = \frac{2}{\delta} \eta(\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D)),$$

where $\delta = \sqrt{[A : B]_0}$, $r = \frac{[C : B]_0}{[A : D]_0} = \frac{[D : B]_0}{[A : C]_0}$, and η is the continuous function $\eta(t) = -t \log t$.

Proof. First note that $\mathcal{F}(\Theta) = r e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1}$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) &= H(\mathcal{F}(\Theta)^* \mathcal{F}(\Theta)) \\ &= H((r e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1})^* (r e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1})) \\ &= H(r^2 (e_{D_1}^* \star e_{C_1}^*) (e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1})) \quad (\text{by equation (1)}) \\ &= H(r^2 (e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1})^2). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.10, we have $q_1 := q(C_1, D_1) = \delta e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1}$. Since $B \subset A$ is an irreducible inclusion, it follows that the inclusion $A \subset A_1$ is also irreducible (see [5, Proposition 3.2], for instance). Now, using [5, Proposition 5.15], we know that

$$q_1^2 = [A_1 : A]_0 \operatorname{tr}(e_{C_1} e_{D_1}) q_1.$$

Thus, using Lemma 3.11, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1})^2 &= [A : B]_0 \delta \operatorname{tr}(e_{C_1} e_{D_1}) e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1} \\ &= \frac{[A : C]_0 [A : B]_0^{\frac{3}{2}}}{[D : B]_0} \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D) e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we see that $(e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1})^2 = \frac{[A : C]_0 \sqrt{[A : B]_0}}{[D : B]_0} \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D) e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1}$. If we put $f = \frac{\delta e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1}}{[A : C]_0 [A : D]_0 \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D)}$, then a straightforward calculation yields

$$r^2 (e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1})^2 = (\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D))^2 f. \quad (3)$$

Using Lemma 3.11, we can easily see that

$$f = \frac{\delta e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1}}{[A_1 : A]_0 \operatorname{tr}(e_{C_1} e_{D_1})}.$$

Thus, by [5, Proposition 5.15] and Proposition 3.10, we conclude that f is a projection. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(f) &= \frac{[C_1 : A]_0}{[A_1 : D_1]_0 [A : C]_0 [A : D]_0 \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D)} \quad (\text{by Corollary 3.9}) \\ &= \frac{1}{[A : B]_0 \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D)} \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.3}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using equation (3), we easily obtain the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) &= H(r^2(e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1})^2) \\ &= H((\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D))^2 f) \\ &= \operatorname{tr} \eta((\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D))^2 f). \end{aligned}$$

As $\eta(\alpha f) = \eta(\alpha) f$ for f a projection, and $\eta(\alpha^2) = 2\alpha\eta(\alpha)$ for any scalar α , we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) &= \operatorname{tr}(\eta((\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D))^2) f) \\ &= \eta((\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D))^2) \operatorname{tr}(f) \\ &= 2\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D) \eta(\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D)) \operatorname{tr}(f) \\ &= 2\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D) \eta(\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D)) \frac{1}{[A : B]_0 \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D)} \\ &= \frac{2}{\delta} \eta(\delta \operatorname{tr}(e_C e_D)), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \blacksquare

Remark 3.13. It seems to be a difficult problem to generalize the formula in Theorem 3.12 to the non-irreducible case.

It turns out that $H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2)$ is closely related to the Pimsner–Popa probabilistic index, at least in the case of irreducible subfactors. For a pair of subfactors P and Q of a II_1 factor M , let $\lambda(P, Q)$ be the probabilistic index (see [1, Definition 3.1], for instance). In general, $\lambda(P, Q)$ and $\lambda(Q, P)$ are not equal [1].

Corollary 3.14. *Let $(N \subset P, Q \subset M)$ be a quadruple of type II_1 factors with $[M : N] < \infty$ and $N' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$. Then,*

$$H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) = \frac{2}{\delta} \eta(\alpha_p \lambda(P, Q)) = \frac{2}{\delta} \eta(\alpha_q \lambda(Q, P)).$$

Proof. Use Theorem 3.12 and [1, Theorem 3.3]. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.15. *Let $(B \subset C, D \subset A)$ be a quadruple of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type. If the quadruple is a co-commuting square, then*

$$H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) = \frac{2}{\delta} \eta\left(\frac{\delta}{[A : C]_0 [A : D]_0}\right).$$

Proof. First observe that the quadruple $(A \subset C_1, D_1 \subset A_1)$ is a commuting square. By Lemma 3.7 and Proposition 3.10, $q(C_1, D_1) = \delta e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1}$ is a projection. The following equations hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) &= H(r^2(e_{D_1} \star e_{C_1})^2) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left(\eta\left(\frac{r^2}{\delta^2} q(C_1, D_1)\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \operatorname{tr} \left(\eta \left(\frac{r^2}{\delta^2} \right) q(C_1, D_1) \right) \\
 &= \eta \left(\frac{r^2}{\delta^2} \right) \operatorname{tr} (q(C_1, D_1)) \\
 &= \frac{2r}{\delta} \eta \left(\frac{r}{\delta} \right) \frac{1}{r} \quad (\text{by Corollary 3.9}) \\
 &= \frac{2}{\delta} \eta \left(\frac{r}{\delta} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the multiplicativity of the minimal index, it is easy to check that

$$\frac{r}{\delta} = \frac{\delta}{[A : C]_0 [A : D]_0},$$

which concludes the proof. \blacksquare

We conclude the paper with the following question.

Problem. Let $B \subset A$ be an irreducible inclusion of simple unital C^* -algebras with a conditional expectation from A onto B of index-finite type and suppose C, D are two intermediate unital C^* -subalgebras. Can we provide a formula (in the spirit of Theorem 3.12) for $H(|\Theta|^2)$ instead of $H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2)$?

Recall that a quadruple $(N \subset P, Q \subset M)$ of II_1 factors is called a quadrilateral if $N = P \vee Q$ and $M = P \wedge Q$ [16, Definition 3.5].

Corollary 3.16. *Suppose $(N \subset P, Q \subset M)$ is a quadrilateral of II_1 subfactors with $[M : N] < \infty$ and $N' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$ such that $[P : N], [Q : N] < 4$; then,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(|\Theta|^2) &= \frac{2}{\delta^2} \eta \left(\frac{1}{[P : N] - 1} \right), \\
 H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2) &= \frac{2}{\delta} \eta \left(\frac{\delta}{[M : N] - [M : P]} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [7], we know that $e_P e_Q e_P = e_N + \lambda(e_P - e_N)$, for $\lambda = \frac{[P:N]^2 - 1}{\dim_N L^2(PQ) - 1}$. Furthermore, by the proof of [7, Theorem 4.1.3], we have $\dim_N L^2(PQ) = [P : N]([P : N] - 1)$. Thus, $\lambda = \frac{1}{([P:N]-1)^2}$. Since $\eta(e_P e_Q e_P) = \eta(\lambda)(e_P - e_N)$, it follows that

$$H(|\Theta|^2) = \eta(\lambda)([M : P]^{-1} - [M : N]^{-1}) = \frac{2}{[M : N]} \eta \left(\frac{1}{[P : N] - 1} \right).$$

To prove the formula for $H(|\mathcal{F}(\Theta)|^2)$, we consider the dual factors \bar{P} and \bar{Q} from the basic constructions $P \subset M$ and $Q \subset M$, respectively (with the corresponding Jones projections $e_{\bar{P}}$ and $e_{\bar{Q}}$). Then, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(e_{\bar{P}} e_{\bar{Q}}) = \frac{[M : P]}{[Q : N]} \operatorname{tr}(e_P e_Q).$$

Also, we know that (see [8]) $\text{tr}(e_P e_Q) = (\dim_M L^2(\overline{P}\overline{Q}))^{-1}$ and therefore,

$$\text{tr}(e_P e_Q) = \frac{1}{[M : P]([P : N] - 1)}.$$

Type II_1 factors are simple C^* -algebras and also there exists a trace preserving conditional expectation from M onto N . By [14, Proposition 1.3], there exists a quasi-basis for that conditional expectation. Hence, the assumptions of Theorem 3.12 are met and the proof is complete once we apply Theorem 3.12. ■

Acknowledgments. K. C. Bakshi thanks Zhengwei Liu for useful exchange. He also acknowledges the support of INSPIRE Faculty grant DST/INSPIRE/04/2019/002754, and S. Guin acknowledges the support of SERB grant MTR/2021/000818.

References

- [1] K. C. Bakshi, [A short note on relative entropy for a pair of intermediate subfactors](#). *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150** (2022), no. 9, 3899–3913 Zbl [1501.46051](#) MR [4446239](#)
- [2] K. C. Bakshi, S. Das, Z. Liu, and Y. Ren, [An angle between intermediate subfactors and its rigidity](#). *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **371** (2019), no. 8, 5973–5991 Zbl [1433.46041](#) MR [3937315](#)
- [3] K. C. Bakshi, S. Guin, and D. Jana, [A few remarks on intermediate subalgebras of an inclusion of \$C^*\$ -algebras](#). 2023, arXiv:[2311.07244v2](#)
- [4] K. C. Bakshi, S. Guin, and Sruthymurali, [Fourier-theoretic inequalities for inclusions of simple \$C^*\$ -algebras](#). *New York J. Math.* **29** (2023), 335–362 Zbl [1521.46040](#) MR [4564011](#)
- [5] K. C. Bakshi and V. P. Gupta, [Lattice of intermediate subalgebras](#). *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **104** (2021), no. 5, 2082–2127 Zbl [1516.46033](#) MR [4368671](#)
- [6] D. Bisch, [Bimodules, higher relative commutants and the fusion algebra associated to a subfactor](#). In *Operator algebras and their applications (Waterloo, ON, 1994/1995)*, pp. 13–63, Fields Inst. Commun. 13, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997 Zbl [0894.46046](#) MR [1424954](#)
- [7] P. Grossman, [Forked Temperley–Lieb algebras and intermediate subfactors](#). *J. Funct. Anal.* **247** (2007), no. 2, 477–491 Zbl [1126.46040](#) MR [2323443](#)
- [8] P. Grossman and V. F. R. Jones, [Intermediate subfactors with no extra structure](#). *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (2007), no. 1, 219–265 Zbl [1131.46041](#) MR [2257402](#)
- [9] S. Ino and Y. Watatani, [Perturbations of intermediate \$C^*\$ -subalgebras for simple \$C^*\$ -algebras](#). *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **46** (2014), no. 3, 469–480 Zbl [1303.46039](#) MR [3210702](#)
- [10] M. Izumi, [Inclusions of simple \$C^*\$ -algebras](#). *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **547** (2002), 97–138 Zbl [1007.46048](#) MR [1900138](#)
- [11] C. Jiang, Z. Liu, and J. Wu, [Noncommutative uncertainty principles](#). *J. Funct. Anal.* **270** (2016), no. 1, 264–311 Zbl [1352.46062](#) MR [3419762](#)
- [12] R. Longo and F. Xu, [Von Neumann entropy in QFT](#). *Comm. Math. Phys.* **381** (2021), no. 3, 1031–1054 Zbl [1460.81048](#) MR [4218677](#)
- [13] A. Ocneanu, [Quantum symmetry, differential geometry of finite graphs and classification of subfactors](#). 1991, university of Tokyo Seminary Notes 45

- [14] M. Pimsner and S. Popa, [Entropy and index for subfactors](#). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **19** (1986), no. 1, 57–106 Zbl [0646.46057](#) MR [0860811](#)
- [15] M. Rørdam, [Irreducible inclusions of simple \$C^*\$ -algebras](#). *Enseign. Math.* **69** (2023), no. 3-4, 275–314 Zbl [1539.46037](#) MR [4599249](#)
- [16] T. Sano and Y. Watatani, [Angles between two subfactors](#). *J. Operator Theory* **32** (1994), no. 2, 209–241 Zbl [0838.46052](#) MR [1338739](#)
- [17] Y. Watatani, [Index for \$C^*\$ -subalgebras](#). *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1990), no. 424, vi+117 Zbl [0697.46024](#) MR [0996807](#)

Received 22 February 2024.

Keshab Chandra Bakshi

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh 208016, India; keshab@iitk.ac.in, bakshi209@gmail.com

Satyajit Guin

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh 208016, India; sguin@iitk.ac.in

Biplab Pal

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh 208016, India; bpal21@iitk.ac.in, biplabpal32@gmail.com