



Jason P. Bell · Keping Huang · Wayne Peng · Thomas J. Tucker

# A Tits alternative for endomorphisms of the projective line

Received May 7, 2021; revised December 1, 2021

**Abstract.** We prove an analog of the Tits alternative for endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . In particular, we show that if  $S$  is a finitely generated semigroup of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then either  $S$  has polynomially bounded growth or  $S$  contains a nonabelian free semigroup. We also show that if  $f$  and  $g$  are polarizable maps over any field of any characteristic and  $\text{Prep}(f) \neq \text{Prep}(g)$ , then for all sufficiently large  $j$ , the semigroup  $\langle f^j, g^j \rangle$  is a free semigroup on two generators.

**Keywords.** Tits alternative, semigroups, preperiodic points, height functions, rational functions, free semigroups

---

## 1. Introduction

The Tits alternative [65] is a celebrated result in the theory of linear groups. It says that a finitely generated linear group contains either a solvable subgroup of finite index or a nonabelian free group. In general, a group  $G$  is said to satisfy the *Tits alternative* if each of its finitely generated subgroups contains either a solvable subgroup of finite index or a nonabelian free group. Many classes of groups have now been shown to satisfy the Tits alternative [4, 10, 16, 17, 30, 35, 39, 46].

When one instead considers the structure of linear groups as semigroups, an even stronger dichotomy is obtained. A result of Longobardi, Maj, and Rhemtulla [44] (see also Milnor [48] and Wolf [66]) combined with the Tits alternative implies that a finitely

---

Jason P. Bell: Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo,  
Waterloo, ON, N2L 3G1, Canada; [jpbell@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:jpbell@uwaterloo.ca)

Keping Huang: Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology,  
Harbin, P.R. China; [keping.huang@rochester.edu](mailto:keping.huang@rochester.edu)

Wayne Peng: Mathematics Division, National Center for Theoretical Sciences,  
Taipei, 106 Taiwan; [wayne.peng@ncts.tw](mailto:wayne.peng@ncts.tw)

Thomas J. Tucker: Department of Mathematics, University of Rochester,  
Rochester, NY 14627, USA; [thomas.tucker@rochester.edu](mailto:thomas.tucker@rochester.edu)

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):* Primary 20M05; Secondary 14H37, 11G50

generated linear group is either virtually nilpotent or contains a nonabelian free semigroup. Okniński and Salwa [57] later showed that if  $S$  is a finitely generated cancellative linear semigroup, then either  $S$  contains a nonabelian free semigroup or the group generated by  $S$  is virtually nilpotent (recall that a semigroup  $S$  is said to be cancellative if  $ab = ac$  and  $ba = ca$  each imply that  $b = c$  for all  $a, b, c \in S$ ). Rosenblatt [62] showed that a polycyclic group either has a nilpotent subgroup of finite index or contains a free subsemigroup on two generators. Results from the theory of growth of groups then give that the growth of a finitely generated cancellative linear semigroup is either exponential or polynomially bounded. The cancellativity condition here is crucial as Okniński [56] has also produced finitely generated non-cancellative linear semigroups of intermediate growth (see also [12]).

We note that a semigroup  $S$  contains a nonabelian free semigroup if and only if it contains a free semigroup on two generators. As with groups, it is not difficult to see that a free semigroup on two generators must contain a free semigroup on  $n$  generators for any positive integer  $n$ .

We prove the following variant of the Tits alternative for semigroups of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}(x)$  be a finitely generated semigroup of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Then either  $S$  has polynomially bounded growth or  $S$  contains a nonabelian free semigroup.*

We say that an endomorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of degree greater than 1 is *special* if it is conjugate by a homography to a monomial, a Chebyshev polynomial, or a Lattès map; if it is not conjugate to such a map, then it is *nonspecial*. When  $S$  contains a nonspecial rational function of degree greater than 1, we obtain a stronger dichotomy.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $S$  be a finitely generated semigroup of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  such that some element of  $S$  is nonspecial and of degree greater than 1. Then either  $S$  has linear growth or  $S$  contains a nonabelian free semigroup.*

Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-map of a set  $X$ . Recall that  $x_0 \in X$  is a *preperiodic point* of  $f$  if the orbit  $\{x_0, f(x_0), f^2(x_0), \dots\}$  is a finite set. Let  $\text{Prep}(f)$  denote the set of preperiodic points of  $f$ . We derive Theorem 1.1 from a result relating common preperiodic points of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with free subsemigroups. The techniques used for this result also work in the setting of morphisms of projective varieties that are polarized by the same ample line bundle. For  $V$  a projective variety, a morphism  $f : V \rightarrow V$  is said to be *polarized* by the ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  if there is an integer  $d > 1$  such that  $f^*\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$ . The notion of polarization is due to Zhang [70]. Any endomorphism of degree greater than 1 of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is polarized by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ ; it is also true that any polarized morphism on a variety  $V$  comes from restricting an endomorphism of projective space to  $V$  for some embedding of  $V$  into a projective space (see [5, 18]). Polarized morphisms give rise to canonical height functions with good properties (see [9] and Section 3.2). In the theorem below and throughout this paper, we let  $\langle f, g \rangle$  denote

the semigroup generated under composition by  $f$  and  $g$  whenever  $f$  and  $g$  are two maps from a set to itself.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $V$  be a projective variety over a field  $K$ , and let  $f, g : V \rightarrow V$  be endomorphisms polarized by the same line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $\text{Prep}(f) \neq \text{Prep}(g)$ , then for large enough positive integer  $j$ , the semigroup  $\langle f^j, g^j \rangle$  is a free semigroup on two generators.*

**Remark 1.4.** One can use results of Jiang and Zieve [31] to extend Theorem 1.1 to characteristic  $p$  when the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  are all polynomials whose degrees are not divisible by  $p$ . In this setting, one can use their techniques to give a more precise version of Theorem 1.3.

Ritt [61] studied the semigroup of polynomials under composition. He gave necessary and sufficient conditions for two polynomials to commute under composition and determined relations for the semigroup of polynomials under composition. It is very possible that in this case, some of the results here can be obtained using Ritt's work, although there do appear to be some additional subtleties involved. We also point out that the Tits alternative has been considered for automorphism groups of algebraic varieties, with a complete result for projective varieties in characteristic 0 (see [16] for a survey) as well as some results in characteristic  $p$  (see [28]). The Tits alternative has also been proved for the Cremona group  $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  in all characteristics (see [10]).

Pakovich [58] has proved that if  $\mathcal{S}$  is a semigroup of nonspecial endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  over the complex numbers satisfying a strong cancellativity property, then either  $\mathcal{S}$  contains a nonabelian free semigroup or  $\mathcal{S}$  is left amenable. Hindes [26] has proved that certain conditions on a semigroup of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  guarantee that the semigroup is free; the conditions are much more restrictive than those of Theorem 1.3 but have the advantage of ensuring that certain semigroups are free (and do not merely contain a nonabelian free semigroup).

## 2. Preliminaries

We give a brief overview of the basics of semigroups. See [27] for the theory of semigroups and [38] for generalities on growth functions. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a finitely generated semigroup and let  $S$  be a finite set of generators for  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then we can form the *growth function* of  $\mathcal{S}$  with respect to the generating set  $S$  as follows. We define  $d_{\mathcal{S}}(n) = |S^{\leq n}|$ , where  $S^{\leq n}$  is the set of elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  that can be expressed as a product of elements of  $S$  of length at most  $n$ . The function  $d_{\mathcal{S}}(n)$  is weakly increasing as a function of  $n$  and while this function depends upon our choice of generating set, we observe that if  $T$  is another generating set for  $\mathcal{S}$  then there exists a positive integer  $c$  such that  $T \subseteq S^{\leq c}$  and  $S \subseteq T^{\leq c}$ , so we have the inequalities

$$d_T(n) \leq d_S(cn) \quad \text{and} \quad d_S(n) \leq d_T(cn).$$

Thus if we declare that two weakly increasing functions  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  are *asymptotically equivalent* whenever there is a positive integer  $C$  such that  $f(n) \leq g(Cn)$  and

$g(n) \leq f(Cn)$ , then the growth function is independent of our choice of generating set up to this asymptotic equivalence. One says that  $\mathcal{S}$  has *polynomially bounded growth* if  $d_{\mathcal{S}}(n) = O(n^{\kappa})$  for some positive constant  $\kappa$ . More specifically,  $\mathcal{S}$  has *polynomial growth of degree  $\kappa$*  if there are positive constants  $C_1, C_2, \kappa$  such that  $C_1 n^{\kappa} \leq d_{\mathcal{S}}(n) \leq C_2 n^{\kappa}$  for all  $n$ ; in particular,  $\mathcal{S}$  has *linear growth* if there are positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  such that  $C_1 n \leq d_{\mathcal{S}}(n) \leq C_2 n$  for all  $n$ ; and  $\mathcal{S}$  has *exponential growth* if there is a positive constant  $C > 1$  such that  $d_{\mathcal{S}}(n) > C^n$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large. It is not difficult to check that these growth properties are all preserved under asymptotic equivalence, so we can speak unambiguously of  $\mathcal{S}$  having these properties without making reference to a generating set.

A semigroup  $\mathcal{S}$  is *left cancellative* if whenever  $as = at$  with  $a, s, t \in \mathcal{S}$  we have  $s = t$ ; right cancellativity is defined analogously. A *cancellative* semigroup is one that is both left and right cancellative. Note that if  $\mathcal{S}$  is a semigroup of surjective maps, then  $\mathcal{S}$  is right cancellative since  $sa = ta$  implies that  $s = t$  whenever  $a$  is surjective. Hence, in particular, semigroups of nonconstant endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  are right cancellative; on the other hand  $x^2 \circ (-x) = x^2 \circ (x)$ , so they are not always left cancellative.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

#### 3.1. A variant of the ping-pong lemma

In our work here, the functions  $\tau$  and  $\tau_f$  will be real-valued height functions (either Weil or Moriwaki). The arguments in this section work in a more general setting, and we state Proposition 3.1 accordingly.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a set, let  $\tau : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any function that is not bounded in absolute value, and let  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  be a surjective map. Assume that there are positive real numbers  $d_1 > 1$  and  $C(f)$  such that

$$|\tau(f(z)) - d_1 \tau(z)| < C(f). \quad (3.1)$$

We say that  $d_1$  is the *degree*  $\deg_{\tau}(f)$  of  $f$ . Suppose now that  $g : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  is a surjective map of degree  $d_2$ , so that for some positive real number  $C(g)$  we have

$$|\tau(g(z)) - d_2 \tau(z)| < C(g). \quad (3.2)$$

We let  $C = \max(C(f), C(g))$ . It automatically follows that  $\deg_{\tau}(f \circ g) = \deg_{\tau}(f) \deg_{\tau}(g)$  whenever these quantities are defined.

Recall that one can use (3.1) and (3.2) to construct canonical functions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_f(z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau(f^n(z))}{d_1^n} = \tau(z) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(f^{i+1}(z)) - d_1 \tau(f^i(z))}{d_1^{i+1}}, \\ \tau_g(z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau(g^n(z))}{d_2^n} = \tau(z) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(g^{i+1}(z)) - d_2 \tau(g^i(z))}{d_2^{i+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Note that

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(f^{i+1}(z)) - d_1 \tau(f^i(z))}{d_1^{i+1}} \right| < C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_1^i},$$

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(g^{i+1}(z)) - d_2 \tau(g^i(z))}{d_2^{i+1}} \right| < C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_2^i}.$$

The telescoping sum argument above is due to Tate and was used by Call and Silverman [9] in their construction of canonical heights. Kawaguchi [32, 33] has further developed the theory of canonical heights in the context of semigroups. These kinds of arguments also appear in many other contexts.

Let  $d = \min(d_1, d_2) > 1$ , and let

$$C' = C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^i}.$$

Using the same telescoping series argument, we see that for any  $n$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{\tau(f^n(z))}{d_1^n} - \tau_f(z) \right| < \frac{C'}{d_1^n},$$

$$\left| \frac{\tau(g^n(z))}{d_2^n} - \tau_g(z) \right| < \frac{C'}{d_2^n}.$$
(3.4)

We will prove the following variant of the ping-pong lemma of Klein and Fricke [36, 37], which also played an important role in the proof of the Tits alternative.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a set, let  $\tau : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function that is unbounded in absolute value and let  $f, g : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  be surjective maps that satisfy (3.1) and (3.2). Let  $\tau_f$  and  $\tau_g$  be as defined in (3.3). Suppose that there is some  $z \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\tau_f(z) \neq \tau_g(z)$ . Then for all sufficiently large  $j$  the following hold:*

- (i)  $wf^j \neq ug^j$  for all  $w, u \in \langle f, g \rangle$ ;
- (ii) the semigroup  $\langle f^j, g^j \rangle$  is free on two generators.

We begin with one more definition. Let  $w = \varphi_m \circ \varphi_{m-1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1$ , where each  $\varphi_j$  equals  $f$  or  $g$ . The degree of  $w$  is then

$$\deg_{\tau} w = \prod_{j=1}^m \deg_{\tau} \varphi_j.$$
(3.5)

Since  $|\tau(w(z)) - \deg_{\tau} w \cdot \tau(z)|$  is bounded for all  $z$  (by (3.1) and (3.2)) and  $\tau$  is unbounded, we see that the definition in (3.5) is independent of the word representing  $w$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $w = \varphi_m \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1$  where  $\varphi_i$  is equal to  $f$  or  $g$  for each  $i$ . Let  $w_i = \varphi_i \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1$  (for  $i \leq m$ ). With notation as above, we have*

$$\left| \frac{\tau(w(z))}{\deg_{\tau} w} - \frac{\tau(w_j(z))}{\deg_{\tau} w_j} \right| < \frac{C'}{d^j}$$
(3.6)

for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $e_\ell = \deg_\tau \varphi_\ell$  for each  $\ell = 1, \dots, m$ . Then  $\deg_\tau w_j = \prod_{\ell=1}^j e_\ell$ . Thus, as in (3.1) and (3.2), we have a telescoping series

$$\frac{\tau(w(z))}{\deg_\tau w} - \frac{\tau(w_i(z))}{\deg_\tau w_i} = \sum_{j=i}^{m-1} \frac{\tau(w_{j+1}(z)) - e_{j+1}\tau(w_j(z))}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{j+1} e_\ell}. \quad (3.7)$$

Now

$$|\tau(w_{j+1}(z)) - e_{j+1}\tau(w_j(z))| = |\tau(\varphi_{j+1}(w_j(z)) - (\deg_\tau \varphi_{j+1})\tau(w_j(z)))| < C$$

for all  $j$  by (3.1) and (3.2). Thus

$$\left| \sum_{j=i}^{m-1} \frac{\tau(w_{j+1}(z)) - e_{j+1}\tau(w_j(z))}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{j+1} e_\ell} \right| \leq \frac{1}{d^j} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{C}{d^i} \leq \frac{C'}{d^j}.$$

This completes the proof, by (3.7). ■

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* (i) We choose  $x_0$  so that  $\tau_f(x_0) \neq \tau_g(x_0)$  and define  $\varepsilon = |\tau_f(x_0) - \tau_g(x_0)|$ . Choose  $j$  so that  $C'/d^j < \varepsilon/4$ , where  $d = \min(d_1, d_2)$  as above. Let  $wf^j$  and  $ug^j$  be words in  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $\deg_\tau wf^j = \deg_\tau ug^j$ . Then, by (3.4) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tau_f(x_0) - \frac{\tau(wf^j(x_0))}{\deg_\tau wf^j} \right| &< \varepsilon/2, \\ \left| \tau_g(x_0) - \frac{\tau(ug^j(x_0))}{\deg_\tau ug^j} \right| &< \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\tau(wf^j(x_0))}{\deg_\tau wf^j} \neq \frac{\tau(ug^j(x_0))}{\deg_\tau ug^j}.$$

Since  $\deg_\tau wf^j = \deg_\tau ug^j$ , it implies that

$$wf^j(x_0) \neq ug^j(x_0). \quad (3.8)$$

(ii) Now, let  $w' = \varphi_m \circ \dots \circ \varphi_1$  and  $w'' = \theta_n \circ \dots \circ \theta_1$ , where each  $\varphi_i$  and  $\theta_k$  is equal to  $f^j$  or  $g^j$ . Suppose that  $w' = w''$ . We will show by induction on  $\max(m, n)$  that  $m = n$  and  $\theta_i = \varphi_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . If  $\max(m, n) = 1$ , then  $m = n = 1$ . Because  $\tau_f \neq \tau_g$ , we have  $f^j \neq g^j$ , and hence  $\theta_1 = \varphi_1$  as words in  $f^j$  and  $g^j$ . For the inductive step, consider an identity  $\varphi_m \circ \dots \circ \varphi_1 = \theta_n \circ \dots \circ \theta_1$ . If  $\varphi_1 = \theta_1$ , we can cancel from the right because  $f$  and  $g$  are surjective and then apply the inductive hypothesis. If not, then  $w \neq w'$  by (i). ■

### 3.2. Height functions

We will prove Theorem 1.3 in this section. The plan is to let  $\tau$  be a height function, either a Weil height  $h$  or a Moriwaki height  $\mathfrak{h}$ , use Proposition 3.1, and use the fact that

certain canonical heights will be zero at exactly the preperiodic points. There may be other sorts of functions where Proposition 3.1 may be used though. For example, if we let  $\tau : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $\tau(z) = \log \max(|z|, 0)$ , and if  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  are polynomials of degree greater than 1, we see that  $\tau_f$  and  $\tau_g$  vanish precisely on the filled Julia sets of  $f$  and  $g$  respectively. Since the Julia set is simply the boundary of the filled Julia set, Proposition 3.1 implies that if the Julia sets of  $f$  and  $g$  are not equal, then for all large enough  $j$ , the semigroup  $\langle f^j, g^j \rangle$  is free on two generators.

For a more general exposition of the Weil height functions, see [6, 40]. The Moriwaki height functions we use were introduced in [52, 53].

*3.2.1. Basics of height functions.* Let  $K$  be a finitely generated field. If  $K$  is not finite, then there is a set  $\mathbb{M}_K$  of nontrivial absolute values  $|\cdot|_v$  on  $K$  along with positive integers  $e_v$  such that the product formula

$$\prod_{v \in \mathbb{M}_K} |z|_v^{e_v} = 1$$

holds for all nonzero  $z \in K$ .

When  $K$  is a number field, these are simply the usual archimedean and  $p$ -adic absolute values, suitably normalized. When  $K$  is a function field over a field  $k$ , we choose the absolute values from prime divisors on a variety  $V$  over  $k$ , such that the residue fields are

- finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  when we are in characteristic 0,
- finite extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  when we are in characteristic  $p$ .

In either case, see [6, Section 1.4] for more details and [6, Sections 1.3.6 and 1.3.12] for the issue of normalization. When  $K$  is a function field over  $k$ , the set of  $z \in K$  such that  $|z|_v = 1$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{M}_K$  is called the *field of constants*.

One can extend the  $|\cdot|_v$  to  $\bar{K}$ . We present here the extension of  $|\cdot|_v$  to  $K^{\text{sep}}$ , following [6]. We consider a finite-dimensional separable extension field  $L$  of  $K$  and a place  $w$  of  $L$  with  $w|v$ . For any  $z \in L$ , we define  $\|z\|_w := |N_{L_w/K_v}(z)|_v$ ,  $|z|_w := |N_{L_w/K_v}(z)|^{1/[L:K]}$ , and  $|z|_v := \prod_{w|v} |z|_w$ . One can check the compatibility by the properties of the norm and the local degree. For the general case, see [6, Sections 1.3.6 and 1.3.12]. Thus we obtain a Weil height function on  $\mathbb{P}^n(\bar{K})$  by defining

$$h_{\mathbb{P}^n}(z_0 : \cdots : z_n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{M}_K} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \max(|z_0^{[i]}|_v, \dots, |z_n^{[i]}|_v),$$

where  $(z_0^{[i]} : \cdots : z_n^{[i]})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , is the set of Galois conjugates of  $(z_0 : \cdots : z_n)$  in  $\bar{K}$  over  $K$  (note that while this does depend on our choice of coordinates, a change of coordinates will only change the definition by a bounded constant – see, for example, [6, Lemma 1.5.3] for details).

When  $\mathcal{L}$  is an ample line bundle on  $V$ , we can associate a height function  $h$  to  $\mathcal{L}$  by letting  $\iota : V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be an embedding such that  $\iota^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r}$  (such  $\iota$  and  $r$  exist when  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample) and taking  $h_{\mathcal{L}}(z) = \frac{1}{r} h_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\iota(z))$ .

### 3.2.2. The Northcott property and the Moriwaki heights.

**Theorem 3.3** (Northcott, [55], [1, Section 1.2]). *Let  $K$  be a number field or finitely generated function field in characteristic  $p$  with a finite field of constants. Let  $h_{\mathcal{L}}$  be as above. For any constants  $A$  and  $B$  there are at most finitely many  $z \in V(\bar{K})$  such that  $h_{\mathcal{L}}(z) \leq A$  and  $[K(z) : K] \leq B$ .*

Northcott's theorem does not hold over function fields of characteristic 0 for Weil heights. However, Moriwaki [52,53] has used metrics on line bundles and Arakelov intersection theory to associate a height function  $h_{\mathcal{L}}$  to an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  such that a form of Northcott's theorem does hold. We have the following (see [52,53]).

**Theorem 3.4** (Moriwaki). *For any constants  $A$  and  $B$  there are at most finitely many  $z \in V(\bar{K})$  such that  $h_{\mathcal{L}}(z) \leq A$  and  $[K(z) : K] \leq B$ .*

3.2.3. *Canonical heights and polarized dynamical systems.* Suppose  $V$  is a projective variety defined over  $K$ , and  $\mathcal{L}$  is an ample line bundle on  $V$  with associated height function  $h_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Suppose  $f$  is an endomorphism of  $V$  defined over  $K$  such that  $f^*\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$ , where  $d > 1$ , we have

$$|h_{\mathcal{L}}(f(z)) - dh_{\mathcal{L}}(z)| < C \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $z \in V(\bar{K})$  and some  $C = C(f) > 0$ . We can attach a canonical height to  $f$  as in (3.3) by letting  $h_f(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mathcal{L}}(f^n(z))/d^n$ . See [9, Theorem 1.1] for more details and the uniqueness of the canonical height.

The same construction works for Moriwaki heights (see [69, Section 2.4]) and provides a canonical height  $h_f$ . Note that  $h_f(f(z)) = dh_{\mathcal{L}}(z)$  by construction, so if  $z \in \text{Prep}(f)$ , then clearly  $h_f(z) = 0$ .

**Corollary 3.5** (Northcott–Moriwaki). *We have  $h_f(z) = 0$  (resp.  $h_{\mathcal{L}}(z) = 0$ ) if and only if  $z \in \text{Prep}(f)$ .*

### 3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We use Weil heights to treat the case where the field  $K$  is a number field or a function field of characteristic  $p$ . For function fields of characteristic 0, we use Moriwaki heights. Note that we could also treat the case of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  over function fields of characteristic 0 using Weil heights rather than Moriwaki heights, since Baker [1] has proved a dynamical form of Northcott's theorem for Weil heights in the case of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , assuming a nonisotriviality condition. This may be possible in higher dimensions, too, as there are more general dynamical Northcott-type results for the nonisotrivial maps due to Chatzidakis and Hrushovski [13,14] (see also [20]), but the nonisotriviality conditions there are a good deal more complicated.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* If  $K$  is a number field or a function field with a finite field of constants, set  $\tau = h_{\mathcal{L}}$ . For other function fields set  $\tau = h_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Equation (3.9) shows that



we are in the setting of Section 3.1:  $\tau_f$  and  $\tau_g$  correspond to the canonical heights. By Theorem 3.3 (resp. Theorem 3.4) and Corollary 3.5,  $\text{Prep}(f) \neq \text{Prep}(g)$  implies  $\tau_f \neq \tau_g$ . So, the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.1. ■

The following corollary answers a conjecture posed by Cabrera and Makienko [8].

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  both have degree greater than 1. Let  $\mu_f$  and  $\mu_g$  be the measures of maximal entropy for  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively. If  $\mu_f \neq \mu_g$ , then there is a  $j$  such that  $\langle f^j, g^j \rangle$  is a free semigroup on two generators.*

*Proof.* Theorem 1.5 of [69] (see also [11]) states that if  $\text{Prep}(f) \cap \text{Prep}(g)$  is infinite for  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}(x)$ , then  $\mu_f = \mu_g$ . ■

**Remark 3.7.** In fact, Theorem 1.5 of [69] implies that if  $\text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$  for  $f$  and  $g$  polarizable endomorphisms of a projective variety defined over a finitely generated field  $K$ , then the canonical measures associated to  $f$  and  $g$  are equal at every place of  $K$ . However, equality of these measures at a single place is a much weaker condition than equality of the set of preperiodic points. For example, polarized morphisms having good reduction at a nonarchimedean place  $v$  will have the same canonical measure at  $v$ . Even over  $\mathbb{C}$ , one can have  $\mu_f = \mu_g$  but  $\text{Prep}(f) \neq \text{Prep}(g)$ : take  $f(x) = x^2$  and  $g(x) = ax^2$  where  $|a| = 1$  but  $a$  is not a root of unity, for example. We need  $\mu_f = \mu_g$  over all places to conclude that  $\text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$ . On the other hand, equality of measures of maximal entropy for *nonspecial rational functions* of degree greater than 1 over  $\mathbb{C}$  has powerful consequences, due to work of Levin [41] and Levin–Przytycki [42]; the results of Ye [67] that we use in the next section rely on the results of Levin and Levin–Przytycki.

### 3.4. Some counterexamples

Propositions 4.8 and 4.10 provide converses to Theorem 1.3 for endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  in characteristic 0. One might ask more generally if it is true that when  $f, g : V \rightarrow V$  are morphisms polarized by the same line bundle, the equality  $\text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$  must imply that  $\langle f, g \rangle$  cannot contain a nonabelian free semigroup. It turns out that this is not true for polarized morphisms of varieties of dimension greater than one in characteristic 0, as Example 3.8 shows. In characteristic  $p$ , it is not even true for polynomials, as Example 3.9 shows.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field such that  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is the Hamiltonian quaternion algebra  $\mathbb{H}$ . (That such abelian varieties exist is well-known; see [43, Theorem B.33], for example.) By [21, Theorem 2],  $1 + 2i$  and  $1 + 2j$  generate a free multiplicative subgroup of  $\mathbb{H}$  of rank 2. Let  $\dagger$  be the Rosati involution associated to the theta divisor  $\Theta$  (see [47, Section 17] for more details). We also have  $\phi^\dagger \phi = [1 - 2i][1 + 2i] = [5]$  and  $\psi^\dagger \psi = [1 - 2j][1 + 2j] = [5]$ . Therefore  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are both polarized by  $\Theta$  on  $A$  by [59, Proposition 3.1]. Since  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  both commute with  $[m]$  for any  $m$ , we must have  $\text{Prep}(\phi) = \text{Prep}(\psi) = A_{\text{tors}}$ .

**Example 3.9.** Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_p$  and let  $d, e > 1$  be integers such that  $p \nmid de$ . If  $f(x) = x^d$  and  $g \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  is any polynomial of degree  $e$  that is not a monomial, then  $\langle f, g \rangle$  is a free semigroup on two generators by [31, Lemma 3.1]. Note that  $\text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g) = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  since  $f$  and  $g$  are both defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

#### 4. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Throughout this section,  $\mathcal{S}$  will denote a finitely generated semigroup of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , and  $\mathcal{S}^+$  will denote the set of elements in  $\mathcal{S}$  of degree greater than 1.

We will now prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We will do so by proving results on the growth of finitely generated semigroups  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $\text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$  for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$  with degrees greater than 1; these are Propositions 4.8 and 4.10. We then combine these with Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose that  $S$  is a finite set of maps from a set  $X$  to itself, and  $f$  is a map that sends all of  $X$  to a single element of  $X$ . Let  $S_1 = S \cup \{f\}$ . Then  $|S^{\leq n}| \leq |S_1^{\leq n}| \leq 2|S^{\leq n}|$ .*

*Proof.* It will suffice to show that the number of words in  $S_1^{\leq n}$  containing  $f$  is bounded by  $|S^{\leq n}|$ . Let  $w \in S_1^{\leq n}$  contain  $f$ . We write  $w = w_1 f w_2$  where  $w_1$  does not contain  $f$  ( $w_1$  and  $w_2$  may be empty). Let  $x_0$  be the element of  $X$  such that  $f(x) = x_0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $w(x) = w_1(x_0)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $w_1 \in S^{\leq n}$ , there are at most  $|S^{\leq n}|$  such  $w_1(x_0)$ , and our proof is done. ■

**Remark 4.2.** While Lemma 4.1 allows us to treat semigroups of rational functions containing constant maps, we cannot expect to obtain results in higher dimensions for semigroups containing morphisms that are neither constant nor finite, because of the examples in [56].

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  be a nonspecial rational function of degree greater than 1. Then the set of  $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  of degree 1 such that  $\mu_f = \mu_{\sigma f}$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Set  $\mu = \mu_f = \mu_g$ . Its support  $\mathcal{J}$  is the Julia set of  $f$  and  $g$ . Then  $\sigma(\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{J}$ . Recall that by [41, Definition 1], the rational function  $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  is a symmetry of  $\mathcal{J}$  when  $f$  is nonspecial if the following condition is satisfied:  $x \in \mathcal{J}$  if and only if  $\sigma(x) \in \mathcal{J}$ . Thus,  $\sigma$  is a symmetry of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Since  $f$  is nonspecial the set of such symmetries is finite by [41, Theorem 1]. ■

We will use the following result due to Ye [67]. Since his argument appears with slightly different notation as an implication in the proof of [67, Theorem 1.5, p. 393], rather than as a lemma or theorem, we provide here a proof using the same argument. Recall that if  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is an endomorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $x_0$  is a fixed point, one defines the *multiplier* of  $f$  at  $x_0$  to be the complex number  $\lambda$  such that the tangent map  $Df_{x_0}$  is the multiplication by  $\lambda$ . If  $x_0 \neq \infty$ , then  $\lambda$  is just  $f'(x_0)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  be endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  over the complex numbers such that  $\mu_f = \mu_g$  and  $\deg f = \deg g > 1$ . Suppose that there is an  $x_0$  in  $\mathcal{J}_f = \mathcal{J}_g$  such that  $f(x_0) = g(x_0) = x_0$  and the multipliers satisfy  $f'(x_0) = g'(x_0)$ . Then  $f = g$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $x_0 \neq \infty$  (otherwise, conjugate by  $z \mapsto 1/z$ ). Set  $\mu = \mu_f = \mu_g$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_f = \mathcal{J}_g$ . Since  $x_0$  is fixed by  $f$  and  $g$  and is in the Julia set of  $f$  and  $g$ , we must have  $f'(x_0) = g'(x_0) \neq 0$ , so  $f$  and  $g$  are both one-one in a neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Hence, there is a neighborhood  $W$  of  $x_0$  and univalent functions  $\tilde{g}, \tilde{f}$  on a neighborhood of  $x_0$  containing  $W$  such that  $\tilde{g} \circ g, g \circ \tilde{g}, \tilde{f} \circ f$ , and  $f \circ \tilde{f}$  are all the identity on  $W$ . There is then an open disc  $U$  around  $x_0$  contained in  $W$  such that  $f(U), g(U), \tilde{g}(U)$ , and  $\tilde{f}(U)$  are all contained in  $W$ . Let  $R_1 = f \circ \tilde{g}$  and let  $R_2 = g \circ \tilde{f}$ ; then  $R_1 \circ R_2$  and  $R_2 \circ R_1$  are both the identity on  $U$ . Then for any open subset  $V$  of  $U$ , we have  $\mu(\tilde{g}(V)) = \mu(V)/\deg g$ , since  $g$  is one-one on  $\tilde{g}(V)$ , and  $\mu(f(\tilde{g}(V))) = (\deg f)\mu(\tilde{g}(V))$ , since  $f$  is one-one on  $\tilde{g}(V)$ . Since  $\deg f = \deg g$ , we therefore have

$$\mu(R_1(V)) = \mu(V). \quad (4.1)$$

Likewise, we have

$$\mu(R_2(V)) = \mu(V). \quad (4.2)$$

Suppose that  $R_1$  is not the identity on any neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Then, since  $R_1$  has multiplier equaling 1 at  $x_0$ , it determines attracting and repelling petals near  $x_0$ ; note that a repelling petal for  $R_1$  is an attracting petal for  $R_2$  (see [50, Definition 10.6]). The union of the repelling and attracting petals for  $R_1$  contains a punctured disc  $D$  around  $x_0$  [50, Theorem 10.7]. Furthermore, for any attracting petal  $\mathcal{P}$  for  $R_1$ , there is a choice of coordinates such that  $|R_1(z) - x_0| < |z - x_0|$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{P}$ , and likewise for any attracting petal of  $R_2$  by [50, Theorem 10.9] (see also [3, Theorems 6.5.4 and 6.5.7]). Hence there is an open subset  $X$  of  $U \cap D \cup \{x_0\}$  such that  $R_i(X \cap \mathcal{P}) \subseteq X \cap \mathcal{P}$  for any attracting petal  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $R_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $Y$  be an open subset of  $\mathcal{P} \cap X$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is an attracting petal for  $R_i$ . We may apply (4.1) and (4.2) to any  $R_i^n(Y)$  because  $R_i^n(Y) \subseteq U$  for any  $n$ . Since  $R_i^n$  converges uniformly to  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow x_0$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  (see [50, p. 108]), we must have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(R_i^n(Y)) = 0$ , and thus  $\mu(Y) = 0$ . Hence  $\mu(\mathcal{P} \setminus \{x_0\}) = 0$  at each petal, a contradiction because a Julia set has no isolated point. Therefore,  $R_1$  must be the identity. ■

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  be endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  over the complex numbers such that  $\text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$  and  $\deg f = \deg g > 1$ . Then  $\text{Prep}(w) = \text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$  for all  $w \in \langle f, g \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Prep} = \text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$ . Then  $f(\text{Prep}) \subseteq \text{Prep}$  and  $g(\text{Prep}) \subseteq \text{Prep}$ . Let  $w \in \langle f, g \rangle$ . Then we have  $w(\text{Prep}) \subseteq \text{Prep}$ . Since  $\text{Prep}$  contains at most finitely many points defined over any finitely generated field by Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, it follows that for any  $z \in \text{Prep}$ , the orbit of  $z$  is finite under  $w$ , so  $\text{Prep} \subseteq \text{Prep}(w)$ . Since  $\text{Prep}(f)$  and  $\text{Prep}(g)$  are infinite, it follows from [2, Theorem 1.2] that  $\text{Prep}(w) = \text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$ . ■

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  be nonspecial rational functions of degree greater than 1 such that  $\text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$  and  $\deg f = \deg g$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  denote  $\mathcal{J}_f = \mathcal{J}_g$ . Suppose there is a periodic cycle  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{J}$  such that  $f(x_i) = g(x_i) = x_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$  and  $f(x_r) = g(x_r) = x_1$ . Then we have the following:*

- (1)  $f'(x_1)/g'(x_1)$  is a root of unity.
- (2) If  $f'(x_1) = g'(x_1)$ , then  $f = g$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\eta_i = f'(x_i)$  and let  $\lambda_i = g'(x_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Note that none of the  $\eta_i$  and  $\lambda_i$  are zero since the  $x_i$  are in the Julia set for  $f$  and  $g$ . Now let  $w_1 = fg^{r-1}$  and let  $w_2 = g^r$ . Then  $w_1(x_2) = w_2(x_2) = x_2$ . Furthermore,  $\text{Prep}(w_1) = \text{Prep}(w_2)$  by Lemma 4.5. We have

$$w'_1(x_2) = \eta_1 \prod_{i=2}^r \lambda_i \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$w'_2(x_2) = \lambda_1 \prod_{i=2}^r \lambda_i \quad (4.4)$$

by the chain rule.

Since  $\text{Prep}(w_1) = \text{Prep}(w_2) = \text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  contains a point that is periodic for both  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , it follows from [67, Theorem 1.5] that there is an  $n$  such that  $w_1^n = w_2^n$  for the iterates  $w_1^n$  and  $w_2^n$ . By (4.3) and (4.4),  $(\eta_1/\lambda_1)^n = 1$ , so  $f'(x_1)/g'(x_1)$  is a root of unity, as desired. Furthermore, if  $f'(x_1) = g'(x_1)$ , then (4.3) and (4.4) imply that  $w'_1(x_2) = w'_2(x_2)$ , which means that  $w_1 = w_2$ , by Lemma 4.4. Now, if  $fg^{r-1} = g^r$ , then  $f = g$  by right cancellation. ■

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a finitely generated semigroup of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{S}^+$  contains a nonspecial endomorphism  $f$  and that  $\text{Prep}(g) = \text{Prep}(f)$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{S}^+$ . Then there is a constant  $N$  such that for all  $d \geq 1$ , the number of elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  of degree  $d$  is less than or equal to  $N$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $g \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\text{Prep}(gf) = \text{Prep}(f)$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.3, the set  $\{\sigma \in \mathcal{S} : \deg(\sigma) = 1\}$  is finite.

Now, let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the Julia set, and let  $\text{Prep}(\mathcal{S}^+)$  be the set of preperiodic points of the elements of  $\mathcal{S}^+$ . Then  $g(\text{Prep}(\mathcal{S}^+)) = \text{Prep}(\mathcal{S}^+)$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{S}^+$ . Choose  $y_0 \in \mathbb{C} \cap \text{Prep}(\mathcal{S}^+)$ . Let  $K$  be a finitely generated field over which  $y_0$  and every element of  $\mathcal{S}$  are defined. Since  $\text{Prep}(g) \cap K$  is finite for each  $g$  of degree greater than 1 (by Theorems 3.3 and 3.4), it follows that the orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $y_0$  under  $\mathcal{S}^+$  is finite. After change of coordinates, we may assume that  $\mathcal{O}$  does not contain the point at infinity.

Let  $n$  be the number of roots of unity in  $K$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{S}^+$  have degree  $d$ . We will show that there are at most  $n$  elements  $h \in \mathcal{S}^+$  such that  $\deg h = d$  and  $g|_{\mathcal{O}} = h|_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  be a periodic cycle for  $g$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  (note that there must be one since  $\mathcal{O}$  is finite) such that  $g(x_i) = x_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$  and  $g(x_r) = x_1$ . Then for any  $h \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\deg h = d$  and  $h|_{\mathcal{O}} = g|_{\mathcal{O}}$ , we may apply Lemma 4.6 to conclude that  $f'(x_1)/g'(x_1)$  is a root of unity in  $K$ . Furthermore, given any  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{S}$  of degree  $d$  such that  $h_1|_{\mathcal{O}} = h_2|_{\mathcal{O}} = g|_{\mathcal{O}}$ , we have  $h_1 = h_2$  whenever  $h'_1(x_1) = h'_2(x_1)$ . Thus, the

number of  $h \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\deg h = d$  and  $h|_{\mathcal{O}} = f|_{\mathcal{O}}$  is bounded by the number of roots of unity in  $K$ , which is  $n$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}$  is finite, there are  $|\mathcal{O}|^{|\mathcal{O}|}$  maps from  $\mathcal{O}$  to itself, so there are at most  $n|\mathcal{O}|^{|\mathcal{O}|}$  elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  of degree  $d$  for any  $d > 1$ . ■

**Proposition 4.8.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a finitely generated semigroup of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  such that every element of  $\mathcal{S}^+$  is nonspecial and has the same set of preperiodic points. Then*

- (i) *there is some  $c \geq 2$  such that every element of  $\mathcal{S}$  has degree  $c^n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\mathcal{S}$  has linear growth.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu_f = \mu_g$  for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}^+$  (by [69, Theorem 1.5], as in Corollary 3.6), there are  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $(\deg f)^m = (\deg g)^n$  (by [42, Theorem A]). Since the set  $\mathcal{D}$  of possible degrees of elements in  $\mathcal{S}^+$  is a finitely generated subsemigroup of the positive natural numbers under multiplication, it follows that  $\mathcal{D}$  is contained in a semigroup generated by a single element, and (i) follows.

For (ii), it suffices to treat the case where every element of  $\mathcal{S}$  is nonconstant, by Lemma 4.1. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  be generators for  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then for each  $i$ , there is some  $c \geq 2$  such that  $\deg(f_i) = c^{m_i}$ , by the previous paragraph, since all  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}^+$  have the same set of preperiodic points. Let  $M = \max(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ . Now, consider the set  $\mathcal{S}^{\leq n}$  of elements in  $\mathcal{S}$  formed by taking a words of length at most  $n$  in  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ . These elements all have degrees in  $\{1, c, c^2, \dots, c^{Mn}\}$ , so there is a natural number  $N$  such that  $|\mathcal{S}^{\leq n}| \leq MNn$  for all  $n$ , by Lemma 4.7. Clearly,  $|\mathcal{S}^{\leq n}| \geq n + 1$  since the elements  $\text{id}, f, f^2, \dots, f^n$  are pairwise distinct for  $f \in \mathcal{S}^+$ , and so we see that  $\mathcal{S}$  has linear growth as claimed. ■

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\pi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be a nonconstant morphism. Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is a morphism of degree greater than 1 such that there is a nonconstant morphism  $\phi_f : E \rightarrow E$  with  $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ \phi_f$  (i.e.,  $f$  is a Lattès map associated to  $\pi$  and  $E$ ). Then for any  $g : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  of degree greater than 1 such that  $\text{Prep}(g) = \text{Prep}(f)$ , there is a nonconstant  $\phi_g : E \rightarrow E$  such that  $\pi \circ \phi_g = g \circ \pi$ . Furthermore,  $\phi_g$  can be written as  $m_g + t_g$ , where  $m_g \in \text{End}(E)$  and  $t_g$  is translation by a torsion element of  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Prep}(g) = \text{Prep}(f)$  implies that  $g(\text{Prep}(f)) \subseteq \text{Prep}(f)$ , we may apply [34, Theorem 27]. The last two lines of the proof of this theorem state that there is an automorphism  $\sigma : E \rightarrow E$  and a nonconstant morphism  $\psi : E \rightarrow E$  such that  $(\pi \circ \sigma) \circ \psi = g \circ (\pi \circ \sigma)$ . Letting  $\phi_g = \sigma \circ \psi \circ \sigma^{-1}$  gives  $\pi \circ \phi_g \circ \sigma = g \circ \pi \circ \sigma$ , which implies that  $\pi \circ \phi_g = g \circ \pi$ , as desired. The fact that  $\phi_g$  can be written as  $m_g + t_g$ , where  $m_g \in \text{End}(E)$  and  $t_g$  is translation by a torsion element of  $E$ , follows from [34, Theorem 30] (see also [49, Theorem 3.1]). ■

**Proposition 4.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a finitely generated semigroup of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}^+$ , we have  $\text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}$  has polynomially bounded growth, and if  $\mathcal{S}^+$  contains at least one nonspecial rational function, then  $\mathcal{S}$  has linear growth.*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be a finitely generated field such that every element of  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined over  $K$ . Again, we may assume that every element of  $\mathcal{S}$  is nonconstant, by Lemma 4.1. Because of Proposition 4.8, we need only treat the cases where every element of  $\mathcal{S}$  is linear or  $\mathcal{S}^+$  contains a special rational function. In the cases where  $\mathcal{S}^+$  contains a special rational function, we will show both that  $\mathcal{S}^+$  has polynomially bounded growth and that every element of  $\mathcal{S}^+$  is special. It follows from this that if  $\mathcal{S}^+$  contains at least one nonexceptional rational function, then  $\mathcal{S}$  has linear growth by Proposition 4.8.

*Case I: Every element of  $\mathcal{S}$  is linear.* In this case, the result is contained in [57, Theorem 1.5].

*Case II: Some element of  $\mathcal{S}^+$  is conjugate to  $x^m$ .* There are  $f \in \mathcal{S}^+$  and  $\sigma \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\sigma^{-1}f\sigma = x^m$  for some integer  $m$  with  $|m| \geq 2$ . Then we may assume that  $f(x) = x^m$  is in  $\mathcal{S}^+$ . Then, for any  $g \in \mathcal{S}^+$ , the Julia set  $\mathcal{J}_g$  is the unit circle, so we have  $g(x) = ax^d$  for some  $d = d(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and some  $a = a(g)$  of modulus 1 by [3, Theorem 1.3.1]. Since  $\mathrm{Prep}(g)$  consists of the roots of unity along with 0 and  $\infty$ , we see that  $a$  must be a root of unity. All the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  are defined over  $K$ , and there are at most  $N$  roots in unity in  $K$  for some  $N \geq 1$ . Thus, there are at most  $N$  elements in  $\mathcal{S}^+$  of degree  $d$  for each  $d \geq 2$ . Every element  $\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  of degree 1 has the form  $\sigma(x) = ax^{\pm 1}$  for some root of unity  $a = a(\sigma)$ , so there are finitely many elements in  $\mathcal{S}$  of degree 1. Thus, if  $S$  is a finite set that generates  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $|\mathcal{S}^{\leq n}|$  is bounded above by  $O(n^s)$  where  $s = |S|$ .

*Case III: Some element of  $\mathcal{S}^+$  is conjugate to a Chebyshev polynomial.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^+$  be conjugate to  $\pm T_m$  where  $T_m$  is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree  $d$  with  $m \geq 2$ . Thus, after change of coordinates we may assume that  $f = \pm T_m$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{S}^+$ . Then  $\mathcal{J}_g = \mathcal{J}_f = [-2, 2]$ , so by [3, Theorem 1.4.1], we have  $g = \pm T_d$  for some  $d$ . Let  $S$  be a finite set that generates  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then the number of possible degrees for elements of  $\mathcal{S}^{\leq n}$  is bounded by  $O(n^s)$  where  $s = |S|$ , so the number of elements of  $\mathcal{S}^{\leq n}$  of degree greater than 1 is bounded by  $O(n^s)$  since there are at most two elements in  $\mathcal{S}^+$  having the same degree by the above. Since every element of degree 1 in  $\mathcal{S}$  sends  $[-2, 2]$  to itself, there are at most two elements of degree 1 in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{S}$  has polynomially bounded growth.

*Case IV: Some element of  $\mathcal{S}^+$  is Lattès.* Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{S}^+$  is Lattès; then there are nonconstant  $\phi_f : E \rightarrow E$  and  $\pi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  with the property that  $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ \phi_f$ . Let  $\mathrm{End}(E)$  be the ring of endomorphisms of the algebraic curve  $E$  that preserve the group law. After passing to a finite extension, we may assume that  $\pi$  is defined over  $K$  (which implies that each  $\phi_f$  is defined over  $K$ ) and that every element of  $\mathrm{End}(E)$  is defined over  $K$ . Since  $\mathrm{Prep}(g) = \mathrm{Prep}(f)$  for every  $g \in \mathcal{S}^+$ , Lemma 4.9 implies that for each  $g \in \mathcal{S}^+$ , there is a nonconstant  $\phi_g : E \rightarrow E$  such that  $g \circ \pi = \pi \circ \phi_g$ . Each  $\phi_g$  is defined over  $K$  and  $\phi_g = m_g + t_g$ , where  $m_g \in \mathrm{End}(E)$  and  $t_g$  is a translation by a torsion point. Because  $E$  has at most finitely many torsion points defined over  $K$  by [54, Chapter II, Theorem 3], only finitely many  $\phi_g$  of any given degree are possible. Let  $\mathcal{S}_1$  denote the set of elements of degree 1 in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since  $\deg \sigma g = \deg g$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_1$ , the set  $\mathcal{S}_1$  is finite. Let  $T$  be a finite set of generators for  $\mathcal{S}$ . Enlarging  $T$  to another finite set if necessary, we may assume that  $T$  contains all  $\sigma q \tau$  where  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_1$  and  $q \in \mathcal{S}^+ \cap T$ . Since the

$m_g$  commute, the number of words in  $m_g + t_g$  for  $g \in T$  of length  $n$  or less is bounded above by  $O(n^{|T|})$ . Thus the number of words of length  $n$  in elements of  $T$  is bounded by  $O(n^{|T|})$  as well. Now, let  $S = T \cup \mathcal{S}_1$ . Then  $S^{\leq n} \subseteq T^{\leq n} \cup \mathcal{S}_1$ , so  $|S^{\leq n}|$  is bounded above by  $O(n^{|T|})$  as well (since  $\mathcal{S}_1$  is finite), and hence  $\mathcal{S}$  has polynomially bounded growth. ■

**Remark 4.11.** The proof of Proposition 4.10 shows that for a finitely generated field  $K$  and any rational function  $f \in K(x)$  that is not a Lattès map, there is a constant  $N(f, K)$  such that for any  $d$ , the set of endomorphisms  $g$  of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of degree  $d$  such that  $\text{Prep}(g) = \text{Prep}(f)$  has at most  $N(f, K)$  elements. This is clearly not true if  $f$  is a Lattès map associated to an elliptic  $E$  with complex multiplication. Indeed, in that case,  $A := \text{End}(E)$  is an order in a quadratic number field  $M$ . Let  $\phi \in A$  be a lift of  $f$ . Then  $\deg(f) = |N_{M/\mathbb{Q}}(\phi)|$ . Therefore, by [64, Proposition 6.37]), we have

$$\#\{g \in K(x) \mid \deg g = \deg f\} \geq \#\{\psi \in A \mid |N_{M/\mathbb{Q}}(\psi)| = \deg f\}/6,$$

which depends also on  $M$ , and hence cannot be bounded by a constant of the type  $N(f, K)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* By Theorem 1.3, the semigroup  $\mathcal{S}$  contains a free subsemigroup on two elements unless  $\text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$  for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}^+$ , in which case  $\mathcal{S}$  has linear growth by Proposition 4.10. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* As above, the semigroup  $\mathcal{S}$  contains a free subsemigroup on two elements unless  $\text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$  for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}^+$ , by Theorem 1.3, in which case  $\mathcal{S}$  has polynomially bounded growth by Proposition 4.10. ■

**Corollary 4.12.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  be two endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , each having degree greater than 1. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Prep}(f) \cap \text{Prep}(g)$  is infinite;
- (ii)  $\text{Prep}(f) = \text{Prep}(g)$ ;
- (iii) for any  $w_1, w_2 \in \langle f, g \rangle$ , we have  $\text{Prep}(w_1) = \text{Prep}(w_2)$ ;
- (iv)  $\langle f, g \rangle$  has polynomial growth;
- (v)  $\langle f, g \rangle$  does not contain a nonabelian free semigroup;
- (vi) for any  $\ell > 0$ , the semigroup  $\langle f^\ell, g^\ell \rangle$  is not free on two generators.

*Proof.* It is clear that (iii) implies (ii), that (iv) implies (v), and that (v) implies (vi). Lemma 4.5 shows that (ii) implies (iii). Theorem 1.2 of [2] (see also [11, 51, 68, 69]) states that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Proposition 4.10 shows that (iii) implies (iv). By Theorem 1.3, we see that (vi) implies (iii). ■

The techniques used to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 do not extend in an obvious way to higher dimensions. We note, however, that for an abelian variety  $A$  one can use the fact that  $A(K)$  is finitely generated when  $K$  is finitely generated (see [54]) along with [57, Theorem 1.5] to prove the following without difficulty.

**Theorem 4.13.** *Let  $A$  be an abelian variety. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a finitely generated semigroup of finite morphisms from  $A$  to itself. Then either  $\mathcal{S}$  has polynomially bounded growth or  $\mathcal{S}$  contains a nonabelian free semigroup.*

**Corollary 4.14.** *Let  $C$  be an irreducible curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a finitely generated semigroup of morphisms from  $C$  to itself. Then either  $\mathcal{S}$  has polynomially bounded growth or  $\mathcal{S}$  contains a nonabelian free semigroup.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1, we may assume that every element of  $\mathcal{S}$  is nonconstant. Any morphism  $f : C \rightarrow C$  extends to a morphism  $\tilde{f} : C' \rightarrow C'$  for  $C'$  the normalization of the projective closure of  $C$ . Hence we may assume that  $C$  is projective and nonsingular. If  $C$  has genus greater than 1, then  $\mathcal{S}$  must be finite (see [25, Example IV.5.2], for instance), so we may assume that  $C$  is isomorphic either to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  or to an elliptic curve. Applying Theorems 1.1 and 4.13 then gives the desired conclusion, since every nonconstant map on an irreducible curve is finite. ■

## 5. Further directions

Let  $K$  be a field of arbitrary characteristic.

**Question 5.1.** *Are there endomorphisms  $f, g \in K(x)$  of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  over  $K$ , each of degree greater than 1, such that  $\text{Prep}(f) \neq \text{Prep}(g)$  and  $\langle f, g \rangle$  is not a free semigroup on two generators?*

One might also ask for something weaker, namely that there is a  $j$  depending only on  $K$  such that  $\langle f^j, g^j \rangle$  must be free whenever  $\text{Prep}(f) \neq \text{Prep}(g)$ . This might be thought of as analogous to the uniform version of the Tits alternative proved by Breuillard and Gelfand [7]. Recent work of DeMarco, Krieger, and Ye [15] suggests it may be possible to do something along these lines using Arakelov–Zhang intersections of adelic metrized line bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  (see also [19, 60]).

**Question 5.2.** *Let  $V$  be a projective variety, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on  $V$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a finitely generated semigroup of morphisms  $f$  that are polarized by  $\mathcal{L}$ . Is it true that  $\mathcal{S}$  must either have polynomially bounded growth or contain a nonabelian free semigroup?*

It might also be natural to ask for a version of the Tits alternative for semigroups of dominant endomorphisms that says something about the structure of the semigroups rather than the growth. For example, one might ask if it is true that any finitely generated semigroup of dominant endomorphisms must contain either a nilpotent subsemigroup of finite index or a free subsemigroup on two generators (there is a notion of nilpotence for semigroups due to Mal'tsev [45]). The techniques of this paper can be adapted to show that if  $\mathcal{S}$  is a finitely generated semigroup of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $\mathcal{S}^+$  is nonempty, then  $\mathcal{S}$  contains either an abelian subsemigroup of finite index or a free semigroup on two generators (see Remark 4.11). In higher dimensions, it seems likely that



one might also have to allow for the more general possibility of nilpotent subsemigroups of finite index. We note that Grigorchuk [22] has shown that finitely generated cancellative semigroups have polynomially bounded growth if and only if they have a group of left quotients with a nilpotent subgroup of finite index; this extends well-known work of Gromov [23] from the group setting to the cancellative semigroup setting.

*Acknowledgments.* We would like to thank Alex Carney, Dragos Ghioca, Wade Hindes, Liang-Chung Hsia, Patrick Ingram, Shu Kawaguchi, Peter Makienko, Fedor Pakovich, Juan Rivera-Letelier, Sema Salur, Hexi Ye, Shouwu Zhang, and Michael Zieve for many helpful conversations. We give special thanks to an anonymous referee for a list of insightful comments and corrections that greatly improved the presentation of this paper. We would also like to thank the Simons Foundation and the University of Paderborn for hosting conferences at which some of the problems here were discussed.

*Funding.* The first-named author thanks NSERC for its generous support.

The fourth-named author thanks the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute for its generous support.

## References

- [1] Baker, M.: [A finiteness theorem for canonical heights attached to rational maps over function fields](#). *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **626**, 205–233 (2009) [Zbl 1187.37133](#) [MR 2492995](#)
- [2] Baker, M., DeMarco, L.: [Preperiodic points and unlikely intersections](#). *Duke Math. J.* **159**, 1–29 (2011) [Zbl 1242.37062](#) [MR 2817647](#)
- [3] Beardon, A. F.: [Iteration of rational functions](#). Graduate Texts in Mathematics 132, Springer, New York (1991) [Zbl 0742.30002](#) [MR 1128089](#)
- [4] Bestvina, M., Feighn, M., Handel, M.: [The Tits alternative for  \$\text{Out}\(F\_n\)\$ . I. Dynamics of exponentially-growing automorphisms](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **151**, 517–623 (2000) [Zbl 0984.20025](#) [MR 1765705](#)
- [5] Bhatnagar, A., Szpiro, L.: [Very ample polarized self maps extend to projective space](#). *J. Algebra* **351**, 251–253 (2012) [Zbl 1254.37066](#) [MR 2862209](#)
- [6] Bombieri, E., Gubler, W.: [Heights in Diophantine geometry](#). New Mathematical Monographs 4, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006) [Zbl 1115.11034](#) [MR 2216774](#)
- [7] Breuillard, E., Gelander, T.: [Uniform independence in linear groups](#). *Invent. Math.* **173**, 225–263 (2008) [Zbl 1148.20029](#) [MR 2415307](#)
- [8] Cabrera, C., Makienko, P.: [Amenability and measure of maximal entropy for semigroups of rational maps](#). *Groups Geom. Dynam.* **15**, 1139–1174 (2021) [Zbl 1491.37045](#) [MR 4349656](#)
- [9] Call, G. S., Silverman, J. H.: [Canonical heights on varieties with morphisms](#). *Compos. Math.* **89**, 163–205 (1993) [Zbl 0826.14015](#) [MR 1255693](#)
- [10] Cantat, S.: [Sur les groupes de transformations birationnelles des surfaces](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **174**, 299–340 (2011) [Zbl 1233.14011](#) [MR 2811600](#)
- [11] Carney, A.: [The arithmetic Hodge index theorem and rigidity of algebraic dynamical systems over function fields](#). *Pacific J. Math.* **369**, 71–102 (2020) [Zbl 1465.11161](#) [MR 4035320](#)
- [12] Cedó, F., Okniński, J.: [Semigroups of matrices of intermediate growth](#). *Adv. Math.* **212**, 669–691 (2007) [Zbl 1119.20050](#) [MR 2329316](#)
- [13] Chatzidakis, Z., Hrushovski, E.: [Difference fields and descent in algebraic dynamics. I](#). *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **7**, 653–686 (2008) [Zbl 1165.03014](#) [MR 2469450](#)
- [14] Chatzidakis, Z., Hrushovski, E.: [Difference fields and descent in algebraic dynamics. II](#). *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **7**, 687–704 (2008) [Zbl 1165.03015](#) [MR 2469451](#)

- [15] DeMarco, L., Krieger, H., Ye, H.: [Uniform Manin–Mumford for a family of genus 2 curves](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **191**, 949–1001 (2020) Zbl [1452.14027](#) MR [4088354](#)
- [16] Dinh, T.-C.: [Tits alternative for automorphism groups of compact Kähler manifolds](#). *Acta Math. Vietnam.* **37**, 513–529 (2012) Zbl [1271.14056](#) MR [3058661](#)
- [17] Dinh, T.-C., Hu, F., Zhang, D.-Q.: [Compact Kähler manifolds admitting large solvable groups of automorphisms](#). *Adv. Math.* **281**, 333–352 (2015) Zbl [1335.14010](#) MR [3366842](#)
- [18] Fakhruddin, N.: [Questions on self maps of algebraic varieties](#). *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **18**, 109–122 (2003) Zbl [1053.14025](#) MR [1995861](#)
- [19] Favre, C., Rivera-Letelier, J.: [Équidistribution quantitative des points de petite hauteur sur la droite projective](#). *Math. Ann.* **335**, 311–361 (2006) Zbl [1175.11029](#) MR [2221116](#)
- [20] Gauthier, T., Vigny, G.: [The geometric dynamical Northcott and Bogomolov properties](#). arXiv:[1912.07907](#) (2019)
- [21] Gonçalves, J. Z., Mandel, A., Shirvani, M.: [Free products of units in algebras. I. Quaternion algebras](#). *J. Algebra* **214**, 301–316 (1999) Zbl [0934.16026](#) MR [1684864](#)
- [22] Grigorchuk, R. I.: [Semigroups with cancellations of degree growth](#). *Mat. Zametki* **43**, 305–319, 428 (1988) (in Russian) Zbl [0643.20036](#) MR [941053](#)
- [23] Gromov, M.: [Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps \(with appendix by J. Tits\)](#). *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **53**, 53–73 (1981) Zbl [0474.20018](#) MR [623534](#)
- [24] Hardy, G. H., Wright, E. M.: [An introduction to the theory of numbers](#). 6th ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008) Zbl [1159.11001](#) MR [2445243](#)
- [25] Hartshorne, R.: [Algebraic geometry](#). Graduate Texts in Mathematics. 52, Springer, New York (1977) Zbl [0367.14001](#) MR [0463157](#)
- [26] Hindes, W.: [Counting points of bounded height in monoid orbits](#). *Math. Z.* **301**, 3395–3416 (2022) Zbl [1505.37109](#) MR [4449715](#)
- [27] Howie, J. M.: [Fundamentals of semigroup theory](#). London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series 12, Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (1995) Zbl [0835.20077](#) MR [1455373](#)
- [28] Hu, F.: [A theorem of Tits type for automorphism groups of projective varieties in arbitrary characteristic](#). *Math. Ann.* **377**, 1573–1602 (2020) Zbl [1455.14082](#) MR [4126902](#)
- [29] Iitaka, S.: [Logarithmic forms of algebraic varieties](#). *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **23**, 525–544 (1976) Zbl [0342.14017](#) MR [429884](#)
- [30] Ivanov, N. V.: [Algebraic properties of the Teichmüller modular group](#). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **275**, 786–789 (1984) (in Russian) Zbl [0586.20026](#) MR [745513](#)
- [31] Jiang, Z., Zieve, M.: [Functional equations in polynomials](#). arXiv:[2008.09554](#) (2020)
- [32] Kawaguchi, S.: [Canonical heights, invariant currents, and dynamical eigensystems of morphisms for line bundles](#). *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **597**, 135–173 (2006) Zbl [1109.14025](#) MR [2264317](#)
- [33] Kawaguchi, S.: [Canonical heights for random iterations in certain varieties](#). *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **2007**, art. rnm 023, 33 pp. Zbl [1208.37056](#) MR [2345343](#)
- [34] Kawaguchi, S., Silverman, J. H.: [Dynamics of projective morphisms having identical canonical heights](#). *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **95**, 519–544 (2007) Zbl [1130.11035](#) MR [2352570](#)
- [35] Keum, J., Oguiso, K., Zhang, D.-Q.: [Conjecture of Tits type for complex varieties and theorem of Lie–Kolchin type for a cone](#). *Math. Res. Lett.* **16**, 133–148 (2009) Zbl [1172.14025](#) MR [2480567](#)
- [36] Klein, F.: [Neue Beiträge zur Riemann’schen Functionentheorie](#). *Math. Ann.* **21**, 141–218 (1883) JFM [15.0351.01](#) MR [1510193](#)
- [37] Klein, K., Fricke, R.: [Vorlesungen über die Theorie der elliptischen Modulfunctionen](#), Vol. 1. Teubner (1890) JFM [24.0412.01](#)

- [38] Krause, G. R., Lenagan, T. H.: *Growth of algebras and Gelfand–Kirillov dimension*. Rev. ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics 22, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2000) Zbl 0957.16001 MR 1721834
- [39] Lamy, S.: *L’alternative de Tits pour  $\text{Aut}[\mathbb{C}^2]$* . J. Algebra **239**, 413–437 (2001) Zbl 1040.37031 MR 1832900
- [40] Lang, S.: *Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry*. Springer, New York (1983) Zbl 0528.14013 MR 715605
- [41] Levin, G. M.: *Symmetries on Julia sets*. Mat. Zametki **48**, 72–79, 159 (1990) (in Russian) Zbl 0709.30021 MR 1092156
- [42] Levin, G., Przytycki, F.: *When do two rational functions have the same Julia set?* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125**, 2179–2190 (1997) Zbl 0870.58085 MR 1376996
- [43] Lewis, J. D.: *A survey of the Hodge conjecture*. 2nd ed., CRM Monograph Series 10, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1999) Zbl 0922.14004 MR 1683216
- [44] Longobardi, P., Maj, M., Rhemtulla, A. H.: *Groups with no free subsemigroups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347**, 1419–1427 (1995) Zbl 0833.20043 MR 1277124
- [45] Mal’tsev, A. I.: *Nilpotent semigroups*. Ivanov. Gos. Ped. Inst. Uchen. Zap. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki **4**, 107–111 (1953) (in Russian) MR 0075959
- [46] McCarthy, J.: *A “Tits-alternative” for subgroups of surface mapping class groups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **291**, 583–612 (1985) Zbl 0579.57006 MR 800253
- [47] Milne, J. S.: *Abelian varieties*. In: Arithmetic geometry (Storrs, CN, 1984), Springer, New York, 103–150 (1986) Zbl 0604.14028 MR 861974
- [48] Milnor, J.: *Growth of finitely generated solvable groups*. J. Differential Geometry **2**, 447–449 (1968) Zbl 0176.29803 MR 244899
- [49] Milnor, J.: *On Lattès maps*. In: Dynamics on the Riemann sphere, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, Zürich, 9–43 (2006) Zbl 1235.37015 MR 2348953
- [50] Milnor, J.: *Dynamics in one complex variable*. 3rd ed., Annals of Mathematics Studies 160, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (2006) Zbl 1085.30002 MR 2193309
- [51] Mimar, A.: *On the preperiodic points of an endomorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  which lie on a curve*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365**, 161–193 (2013) Zbl 1300.14029 MR 2984056
- [52] Moriwaki, A.: *Arithmetic height functions over finitely generated fields*. Invent. Math. **140**, 101–142 (2000) Zbl 1007.11042 MR 1779799
- [53] Moriwaki, A.: *The canonical arithmetic height of subvarieties of an abelian variety over a finitely generated field*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **530**, 33–54 (2001) Zbl 1013.11032 MR 1807267
- [54] Néron, A.: *Problèmes arithmétiques et géométriques rattachés à la notion de rang d’une courbe algébrique dans un corps*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **80**, 101–166 (1952) Zbl 0049.30803 MR 56951
- [55] Northcott, D. G.: *Periodic points on an algebraic variety*. Ann. of Math. (2) **51**, 167–177 (1950) Zbl 0036.30102 MR 34607
- [56] Okniński, J.: *Linear semigroups with identities*. In: Semigroups (Luino, 1992), World Scientific Publications, River Edge, NJ, 201–211 (1993) Zbl 0820.20073 MR 1647224
- [57] Okniński, J., Salwa, A.: *Generalised Tits alternative for linear semigroups*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **103**, 211–220 (1995) Zbl 0846.20067 MR 1358764
- [58] Pakovich, F.: *On amenable semigroups of rational functions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375**, 7945–7979 (2022) Zbl 1511.37055 MR 4491443
- [59] Pazuki, F.: *Polarized morphisms between abelian varieties*. Int. J. Number Theory **9**, 405–411 (2013) Zbl 1302.37073 MR 3005555
- [60] Petsche, C., Szpiro, L., Tucker, T. J.: *A dynamical pairing between two rational maps*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364**, 1687–1710 (2012) Zbl 1300.37052 MR 2869188

- 
- [61] Ritt, J. F.: [Prime and composite polynomials](#). *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23**, 51–66 (1922) Zbl [48.0079.01](#) MR [1501189](#)
- [62] Rosenblatt, J. M.: [Invariant measures and growth conditions](#). *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **193**, 33–53 (1974) Zbl [0246.28017](#) MR [342955](#)
- [63] Silverman, J. H.: [Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves](#). Graduate Texts in Mathematics 151, Springer, New York (1994) Zbl [0911.14015](#) MR [1312368](#)
- [64] Silverman, J. H.: [The arithmetic of dynamical systems](#). Graduate Texts in Mathematics 241, Springer, New York (2007) Zbl [1130.37001](#) MR [2316407](#)
- [65] Tits, J.: [Free subgroups in linear groups](#). *J. Algebra* **20**, 250–270 (1972) Zbl [0236.20032](#) MR [286898](#)
- [66] Wolf, J. A.: [Growth of finitely generated solvable groups and curvature of Riemannian manifolds](#). *J. Differential Geometry* **2**, 421–446 (1968) Zbl [0207.51803](#) MR [248688](#)
- [67] Ye, H.: [Rational functions with identical measure of maximal entropy](#). *Adv. Math.* **268**, 373–395 (2015) Zbl [1351.37187](#) MR [3276598](#)
- [68] Yuan, X., Zhang, S.-W.: [The arithmetic Hodge index theorem for adelic line bundles](#). *Math. Ann.* **367**, 1123–1171 (2017) Zbl [1372.14017](#) MR [3623221](#)
- [69] Yuan, X., Zhang, S.-W.: [The arithmetic Hodge index theorem for adelic line bundles II](#). arXiv:[1304.3539v2](#) (2021)
- [70] Zhang, S.: [Small points and adelic metrics](#). *J. Algebraic Geom.* **4**, 281–300 (1995) Zbl [0861.14019](#) MR [1311351](#)